File No. 15016	8
----------------	---

Committee	Item	No	
Board Item	No.	21	

TEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST				
Committee:_ Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date March 24, 2015		
	d Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter			
	Award Editor Application Public Correspondence			
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)				
	<u> </u>	Date March 19, 2015 Date		

24

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 53 States Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 53 States Street is categorically exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On May 28, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located at 53 States Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31. The proposed project involves demolition of an existing building and construction of a four-story, 7,103-square-foot building containing two residential units and four parking spaces; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on February 9, 2015, Hector Martinez (Appellant) appealed the exemption determination. The Appellant provided a copy of the Planning Commission's Discretionary Review Action DRA 03-99, dated January 8, 2015, with the Planning Department's Categorical Exemption Determination attached, which found that the proposed project was exempt under Classes 1 and 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Sections 15301 and 15303); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated February 12, 2015, determined that the appeal had been timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On March 24, 2015, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the

appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 150167 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\landuse\mbyme\bos ceqa appeals\53 states street aff v2.docx

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I here	eby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
	1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendmen	nt)
	2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
\boxtimes	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	•
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
	9. Reactivate File No.	
	10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
	e check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	ission
	For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	Form.
Sponso		
Clerk	of the Board	
Subjec	et:	
Affirm	ning the Categorical Exemption Determination - 53 States Street	
The te	ext is listed below or attached:	- 1
	n affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 53 State orically exempt from environmental review.	es Street is
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For C	lerk's Use Only:	