3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Resolution urging Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to work with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to ensure continuing company service to the City's customers on fair and nondiscriminatory terms, and to continue providing service to San Francisco under the status quo until PG&E and San Francisco have developed and implemented the new arrangements necessary for future service; and urging San Francisco's representatives in the California State legislature and the United States Congress to express their support for the City's complaint with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission that alleges that PG&E has unreasonably denied service to the City.

[Urging Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to Provide Fair and Nondiscriminatory

Express Their Support for the City's Complaint Against PG&E1

Electric Service to the City and Urging San Francisco's State and Federal Representatives to

WHEREAS, In 1913, the Raker Act made reasonably priced greenhouse-gas-free power available to San Francisco to serve its municipal purposes; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has produced Hetch Hetchy power for its customers since 1918 pursuant to the Raker Act, and since 1945 has delivered that power to its customers using Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) transmission and distribution system; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco and PG&E entered a series of agreements for San Francisco to use PG&E's transmission and distribution system, eliminating the need for San Francisco to build duplicate facilities or buy PG&E's facilities; and

WHEREAS, The most recent interconnection agreement was signed in 1987, revised in 2007, and expires July 1, 2015; and

WHEREAS, Since 1987, the electric industry has changed significantly, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) now requires private utilities like PG&E to provide "open access" transmission and distribution service using fair and nondiscriminatory tariffs approved by FERC; and

WHEREAS, In November 2013, San Francisco requested open access service from PG&E to replace the current agreement; and

WHEREAS, In response, PG&E has proposed terms that would impose additional burdens on San Francisco, including requiring San Francisco to install costly and unnecessary facilities in order to continue serving its existing customers, in many cases; and

WHEREAS, PG&E's proposed terms for continuing service would make it significantly more expensive for San Francisco to continue to serve its customers, render some customers ineligible for City service, and, over time, erode San Francisco's customer base or make electric service by the City cost prohibitive; and

WHEREAS, PG&E's proposed terms would lead to increased energy costs to City agencies and the general fund, and impact the City's ability to fund programs such as renewable energy projects and street lights; and

WHEREAS, PG&E's delay in processing San Francisco's application and its insistence on unreasonable terms for future service have jeopardized an orderly transition by San Francisco from service under the current agreement to tariff-based open access service; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's current customer base uses 150 Megawatts of electricity, a miniscule portion of PG&E's entire 22,000-Megawatt load; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has filed a complaint with FERC alleging that PG&E has unreasonably denied service to the City; and

WHEREAS, The City's complaint requests that FERC order PG&E to serve the City's load without requiring these unreasonable terms and to order that PG&E continue to provide service based on the existing interconnection agreement if these issues are not resolved before the agreement expires; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges PG&E to provide service to San Francisco without requiring the installation of costly and unnecessary facilities; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges PG&E to continue to provide service to San Francisco under the status quo until PG&E and San Francisco have developed and implemented the new systems and procedures needed to support future service; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to expedite a ruling in favor of the City's complaint against PG&E; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges San Francisco's representatives in the California State legislature and the United States Congress to also express their support for the City's complaint with FERC; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this resolution to San Francisco's representatives in the California State legislature and the United States Congress.



## City and County of San Francisco **Tails**

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

File Number:

150228

Date Passed: March 10, 2015

Resolution urging Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to work with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to ensure continuing company service to the City's customers on fair and nondiscriminatory terms, and to continue providing service to San Francisco under the status quo until PG&E and San Francisco have developed and implemented the new arrangements necessary for future service; and urging San Francisco's representatives in the California State legislature and the United States Congress to express their support for the City's complaint with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission that alleges that PG&E has unreasonably denied service to the City.

March 10, 2015 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 150228

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 3/10/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk of the Board

Unsigned

3/20/15

Mayor

**Date Approved** 

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

> > Date: 3/20/15