| File No. 141303 | Committee Item No. 2 |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| , | Board Item No |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: | Land Use & Transportation | Date <u>April 20, 2015</u> | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Board of Sup | pervisors Meeting | Date | | | | | | | | |
| Cmte Boar | d | | | | | | | | | |
| | Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/ MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | | | | | | | | | |
| OTHER | (Use back side if additional space is r | needed) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Date April 16, 2015 Completed by: Date | | | | | | | | | |

NOTE:

Section 1. Findings.

²³

[Planning Code - Massage Establishments]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.

Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.

Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

- (a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare, for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19344, and incorporates such reasons by this reference thereto. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 141303.
- (b) On March 26, 2015, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 19344, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 141303, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 218.1, 303(o), 790.60, 890.60, 790.114, and 890.114 to read as follows:

SEC. 218.1. MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS.

- (a) Definition. Massage establishments are defined by Section <u>29.5</u> 1900 of the <u>San</u> *Francisco* Health Code. Any massage establishment shall have first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section <u>29.10</u> 1908 of the <u>San Francisco</u> Health Code, or a letter from the Director of the Department of Public Health certifying that the establishment is exempt from such a permit under Section <u>29.10(b)</u>.
- (b) Controls. Massage establishments shall *generally* be subject to Conditional Use authorization. Certain exceptions to the Conditional Use for accessory use massage are described in subsection (c) below. When considering an application for a conditional use permit pursuant to this subsection, the Planning Commission shall consider, in addition to the criteria listed in Section 303(c), the additional criteria described in Section 303(o).
- (c) Exceptions. Certain exceptions would allow a massage use to be "permitted" without a Conditional Use authorization including:
- (1) Certain Accessory Use Massage, provided that the massage use is accessory to a principal use and the massage use is accessed by the principal use; and
- (A) the principal use is a dwelling unit and the massage use conforms to the requirements of Section 204.1, for accessory uses for dwelling units in R or NC districts; or
- (B) the principal use is a tourist hotel, as defined in Section 790.46 of this Code, that contains 100 or more rooms-; *or*
- (C) the principal use is a large institution as defined in Section 790.50 of this $Code_{7}$ or

(D) the principal use is a hospital or medical center, as defined in Section 790.44 of this Code.

- (2) Chair Massage. The only massage service provided is chair massage, such service is visible to the public, and customers are fully-clothed at all times.
- (3)—California State Certification. A State certified massage establishment, as defined by Section 1900 of the San Francisco Health Code, that is a sole proprietorship, as defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 4612(b)(1), and where the sole proprietor is certified pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., or one that employs or uses only persons certified by the state's Massage Therapy Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., shall be regulated as a "Medical Service" use as defined by Section 790.114 or 890.114 provided that the massage establishment has first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section 1908 of the San Francisco Health Code.
- (d) Enforcement. Any massage establishment or exempted massage use found to be operating, conducted or maintained contrary to the provisions of this Code <u>or the provisions of Health Code Article 29</u> shall be found to be operating in violation of the Code and will be subject to enforcement as provided in Section 176. No application or building permit to establish a massage establishment or exempted massage use will be accepted within one year after the subject property <u>if is</u> found operating in violation of the provisions of this Code. <u>No new Massage Establishments shall be approved for one year at any sites that have been closed for violations of this Code, the Health Code, or any other law.</u>

| . [| C-1 | C-2 | C-3- R | C-3- G | C-3- S | C-M | M-1 | M-2 | PDR- 1 | PDR- 2 | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | SEC. 218.1. MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS. |

| Р | P . | Р | Р | P | Р | Р | Р | Р | Р | Р | Certain Accessory Massage as defined above in 218.1(c)(1): |
|---|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Р | P | Р | Р | Р | Р . | Р | Р | Р | Р | Р | Chair Massage as defined above in 218.1(c)(2). |
| С | С | С | C | С | С | С | С | С | С | С | All other massage. If the massage use does not meet the definition of California State Certification per 218.1(c)(3) or the requirements of 218.1(c)(1) or 218.1(c)(2), above, then the massage use shall obtain a conditional use permit from the Planning Commission, pursuant to Section 303(c), and the additional criteria described in Sections 303(o) and 218.1(b) of this Code. |

SEC. 303. CONDITIONAL USES.

(o) Massage Establishments.

(1) With respect to Massage Establishments that are subject to Conditional Use authorization, as defined in Sections 218.1, 790.60, and 890.60 of this Code, in addition to the criteria set forth in Subsection (c) above, the Commission shall make the following findings:

- (A) Whether the applicant has obtained, and maintains in good standing, a permit for a Massage Establishment from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section <u>29.10</u>1908 of the <u>San Francisco</u> Health Code;
- (B) Whether the use's facade is transparent and open to the public. Permanent transparency and openness are preferable. Elements that lend openness and transparency to a facade include:

- (i) active street frontage of at least 25' in length where 75% of that length is devoted to entrances to commercially used space or windows at the pedestrian eye-level;
- (ii) windows that use clear, untinted glass, except for decorative or architectural accent;
- (iii) any decorative railings or decorative grille work, other than wire mesh, which is placed in front of or behind such windows, should be at least 75 percent open to perpendicular view and no more than six feet in height above grade;
- (C) Whether the use includes pedestrian-oriented lighting. Well lit establishments where lighting is installed and maintained along all public rights-of-way adjacent to the building with the massage use during the post-sunset hours of the massage use are encouraged:
- (D) Whether the use is reasonably oriented to facilitate public access.

 Barriers that make entrance to the use more difficult than to an average service-provider in the area are to be strongly discouraged. These include (but are not limited to) foyers equipped with double doors that can be opened only from the inside and security cameras.

SEC. 790.60. MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENT.

- (a) Definition. Massage establishments are defined by Section <u>29.5 1900</u> of the <u>San</u> *Franciseo* Health Code. Any massage establishment shall have first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section <u>29.10 1908</u> of the <u>San Franciseo</u> Health Code, or a letter from the Director of the Department of Public Health certifying that the establishment is exempt from such a permit under Section 29.10(b).
- (b) Controls. Massage establishments shall *generally* be subject to Conditional Use authorization. Certain exceptions to the Conditional Use requirement for accessory use massage are described in subsection (c) below. When considering an application for a

conditional use permit pursuant to this subsection, the Planning Commission shall consider, in addition to the criteria listed in Section 303(c), the additional criteria described in Section 303(o).

- (c) Exceptions. Certain exceptions would allow a massage use to be "permitted" without a Conditional Use authorization including:
- (1) Certain Accessory Use Massage, provided that the massage use is accessory to a principal use; the massage use is accessed by the principal use; and
- (A) the principal use is a dwelling unit and the massage use conforms to the requirements of Section 204.1, for accessory uses for dwelling units in R or NC districts; or
- (B) the principal use is a tourist hotel as defined in Section 790.46 of this Code, that contains 100 or more rooms,; or
- (C) the principal use is a large institution as defined in Section 790.50 of this Code,; or
- (D) the principal use is a hospital or medical center, as defined in Section 790.44 of this Code.
- (2) Chair Massage. The only massage service provided is chair massage, such service is visible to the public, and customers are fully-clothed at all times.
- (3) California State Certification. A State certified massage establishment, as defined by Section 1900 of the San Francisco Health Code, that is a sole proprietorship, as defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 4612(b)(1), and where the sole proprietor is certified pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., or one that employs or uses only persons certified by the state's Massage Therapy Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., shall be regulated as a "Medical Service" use as defined by Section 790.114 or 890.114 provided that the massage establishment has

first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section 1908 of the San Francisco Health Code.

(d) Enforcement. Any massage establishment or exempted massage use found to be operating, conducted or maintained contrary to the provisions of this Code *or the Health Code* shall be found to be operating in violation of this Code and will be subject to enforcement as provided in Section 176. No application or building permit to establish a massage establishment or exempted massage use will be accepted within one year after the subject property #is found operating in violation of the provisions of this Code. No new Massage

Establishments shall be approved for one year at any sites that have been closed for violations of this Code, the Health Code, or any other law.

SEC. 890.60. MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENT.

- (a) Definition. Massage establishments are defined by Section <u>29.5</u> <u>1900</u> of the <u>San</u> <u>Francisco</u> Health Code. Any massage establishment shall have first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section <u>29.10</u> <u>1908</u> of the <u>San Francisco</u> Health Code, or a letter from the Director of the Department of Public Health certifying that the establishment is exempt from such a permit under Section <u>29.10(b)</u>.
- (b) Controls. Massage establishments shall *generally* be subject to Conditional Use authorization. Certain exceptions to the Conditional Use for accessory use massage are described in subsection (c) below. When considering an application for a conditional use permit pursuant to this subsection, the Planning Commission shall consider, in addition to the criteria listed in Section 303(c), the additional criteria described in Section 303(o).
- (c) Exceptions. Certain exceptions would allow a massage use to be "permitted" without a Conditional Use authorization including:
- (1) Certain Accessory Use Massage and provided that the massage use is accessory to a principal use; the massage use is accessed by the principal use; and

- (A) the principal use is a dwelling unit and the massage use conforms to the requirements of Section 204.1, for accessory uses for dwelling units in R or NC districts; or
- (B) the principal use is a tourist hotel as defined in Section 790.46 of this Code, that contains 100 or more rooms,; or
- (C) the principal use is a large institution as defined in Section 790.50 of this Code, or
- (D) the principal use is a hospital or medical center, as defined in Section 790.44 of this Code.
- (2) Chair Massage. The only massage service provided is chair massage, such service is visible to the public, and customers are fully-clothed at all times.
- (3)—California State Certification. A State certified massage establishment, as defined by Section 1900 of the San Francisco Health Code, that is a sole proprietorship, as defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 4612(b)(1), and where the sole proprietor is certified pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., or one that employs or uses only persons certified by the state's Massage Therapy Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., shall be regulated as a "Medical Service" use as defined by Section 790.114 or 890.114 provided that the massage establishment has first obtained a permit from the Department of Public Health pursuant to Section 1908 of the San Francisco Health Code.
- (d) Enforcement. Any massage establishment or exempted massage use found to be operating, conducted or maintained contrary to the provisions of this Code <u>or the Health Code</u> shall be found to be operating in violation of <u>the this</u> Code and will be subject to enforcement as provided in Section 176. No application or building permit to establish a massage establishment or exempted massage use will be accepted within one year after the subject

property <u>if is</u> found operating in violation of the provisions of this Code. <u>No new Massage</u>

<u>Establishments shall be approved for one year at any sites that have been closed for violations of this</u>

Code, the Health Code, or any other law.

SEC. 790.114. SERVICE, MEDICAL.

A retail use which provides medical and allied health services to the individual by physicians, surgeons, dentists, podiatrists, psychologists, psychiatrists, acupuncturists, chiropractors, or any other health-care professionals when licensed by a State-sanctioned Board overseeing the provision of medically oriented services. It includes a clinic, primarily providing outpatient care in medical, psychiatric or other health services, and not part of a hospital or medical center, as defined in Section 790.44 of this Code. It also includes a massage establishment, as defined by Section 1900 of the Health Code, that is a sole proprietorship, as defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 4612(b)(1), and where the sole proprietor is certified pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., and one that employs or uses only persons certified by the state's Massage Therapy Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq.

SEC. 890.114. SERVICE, MEDICAL.

A use, generally an office use, which provides medical and allied health services to the individual by physicians, surgeons, dentists, podiatrists, psychologists, psychiatrists, acupuncturists, chiropractors, or any other health-care professionals when licensed by a State-sanctioned Board overseeing the provision of medically oriented services. It includes a clinic, primarily providing outpatient care in medical, psychiatric or other health services, and not part of a hospital or medical center, as defined in Section 890.44 of this Code. It also includes a massage establishment, as defined by Section 1900 of the Health Code, that is a sole proprietorship, as defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 4612(b)(1), and where the sole proprietor is certified pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600

et seq., and one that employs or uses only persons certified by the state's Massage Therapy

Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

ANDREA RUIZ-ESQUIDE Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2014\1500236\00977919.doc

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Massage Establishments]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

Massage establishments are defined in the Health Code as "a fixed place of business where more than one person engages in or carries on, or permits to be engaged in or carried on, the practice of massage." "Massage," in turn, is defined in that Code as "any method of pressure on or friction against, or stroking, kneading, rubbing, tapping, pounding, vibrating, or stimulating of the external soft pads of the body...".

The Planning Code incorporates those definitions by reference, but distinguishes between two types of massage establishments. Those that are fully certified by the California Massage Therapy Organization, pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code Section 4600 et seq., are treated as "Medical Services" and are generally permitted uses. Those that are not fully certified under the state's program, on the other hand, are treated as "Massage Establishments" and, with some exceptions, are required to obtain a Conditional Use permit ("CU") from the Planning Commission.

Amendments to Current Law

This Ordinance would put an end to the distinction in the Planning Code between different types of massage establishments, treating all such establishments in the same way. It would require a CU from the Planning Commission for all massage establishments, with some exceptions. These exceptions are the same exceptions that exist currently in the Code:

- Circumstances in which the massage use is accessory to a principal use; the massage
 use is accessed by the principal use, and the principal use is either: a) a dwelling unit;
 b) a tourist hotel that contains 100 or more rooms; c) a large institution; or d) a hospital
 or medical center.
- Circumstances where the only massage service provided is chair massage, such service is visible to the public, and customers are fully-clothed at all times.

In both cases, the massage establishment would be a permitted use. In all other cases, the massage establishment would require a CU. The Ordinance preserves the additional criteria listed in Section 303(o), to guide the Planning Commission's consideration of a massage establishment's CU application.

Background Information

This Ordinance is a companion piece of legislation to another Ordinance amending the Health Code's regulation of massage establishments in the City. Those amendments are being introduced at the same time as this Ordinance – on December 16, 2014. Together, these two Ordinances seek to implement Assembly Bill No. 1147 ("A.B. 1147"), which was passed in September of 2014.

A.B. 1147 authorizes local governments to use their regulatory and land use authority to ensure the public's safety, reduce human trafficking, and enforce local standards for the operation of the business of massage therapy in the best interests of the affected community.

n:\legana\as2014\1500236\00977852.doc



March 30, 2015

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor Tang Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2015-000709PCA:

Massage Establishments Board File No. 141303

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval with Modification

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Tang,

On March 26, 2015, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed amendments to the Massage Establishments Ordinance introduced by Supervisor Tang. At the hearing, the Planning Commission recommended approval with modification.

The Commission recommended modifications are:

- 1. Require all new and existing Massage Establishments to secure a permit through the Department of Public Health (DPH). The permitting process should be publically noticed, if possible.
- 2. Require a three year review of Massage Establishments for lawful operation and compliance with conditions of approval.
- 3. Prohibit the re-establishment of a Massage Establishment in the same location that was closed due to Planning, Health or other Code violations for three years.
- 4. Allow existing Massage Establishments to continue in operation while they secure land use approvals.
- 5. Exempt Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments with only one Sole Practitioner from the Conditional Use Authorization requirement.
- 6. Reconcile the proposed Ordinance with recent changes to Article 2.

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558,6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 7. Add a finding to explore an expedited Conditional Use Authorization process for small businesses.

The proposed amendments have been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15061(b)(3) and 15308.

Supervisor, please advise the City Attorney at your earliest convenience if you wish to incorporate the changes recommended by the Planning Commission.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Planning Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Deputy City Attorney Dyana Quizon, Aide to Supervisor Tang Andrea Ausberry, Board of Supervisors

Attachments:

Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary

Planning Commission Resolution 19344

HEARING DATE MARCH 26, 2015

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415,558,6377

Project Name:

Massage Establishments

Case Number:

2015-000709PCA [Board File No. 141303]

Initiated by:

Supervisor Tang / Introduced December 16, 2014

Staff Contact:

Diego R Sánchez, Legislative Affairs

diego.sanchez@sfgov.org, 415-575-9082

Reviewed by:

Aaron Starr, Manager Legislative Affairs aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 218.1, 790.60, 790.114. 890.60 AND 890.114 TO REQUIRE THAT MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS, AS DEFINED. OBTAIN CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION, LISTING EXCEPTIONS TO THAT REQUIREMENTS; TO REQUIRE ALL NEW AND EXISTING MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS TO SECURE A PUBLICALLY NOTICED PERMIT THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF **HEALTH:** TO REQUIRE A THREE YEAR **REVIEW** ESTABLISHMENTS FOR LAWFUL OPERATION AND COMPLIANCE WITH CONDITIONS APPROVAL: TO PROHIBT THE RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF ESTABLISHMENT IN THE SAME LOCATION THAT WAS CLOSED DUE TO PLANNING, HEALTH OR OTHER CODE VIOLATIONS FOR THREE YEARS; TO ALLOW EXISTING MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS TO CONTINUE IN OPERATION WHILE THEY SECURE LAND APPROVALS: TO SOLE USE **EXMEPT** PRACTITIONER MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS FROM THE CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENT; TO RECONCILE THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE WITH RECENT CHANGES TO ARTICLE 2: TO ADD A FINDING TO EXPLORE AN EXPEDITED CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PROCESS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS. PLANNING CODE SECTION 302 FINDINGS. AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2014, Supervisors Tang introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 141303, which would amend Planning Code Sections 218.1, 790.60, 790.114, 890.60 and 890.114 to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain Conditional Use authorization and listing exceptions to that requirement; to require all new and existing massage establishments to secure a publically noticed permit through the Department of Public Health; to require a three year review of massage establishments for lawful operation and compliance with conditions of approval; to prohibit the re-establishment of a massage establishment in the same location that was closed due to Planning, Health or other Code violation for three years; to allow existing massage establishments to continue in operation while they secure land use approvals; to exempt sole practitioner massage establishments from the Conditional Use Authorization requirement; to reconcile the proposed

Ordinance with recent changes to Article 2; to add a finding to explore an expedited Conditional Use Authorization process for small businesses; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on March 26, 2015; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Sections 15060(c)(2) and 15378; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve, with modification, the proposed ordinance.

The Commission recommended modifications are:

- 1. Require all new and existing Massage Establishments to secure a permit through the Department of Public Health (DPH). The permitting process should be publically noticed, if possible.
- 2. Require a three year review of Massage Establishments for lawful operation and compliance with conditions of approval.
- 3. Prohibit the re-establishment of a Massage Establishment in the same location that was closed due to Planning, Health or other Code violations for three years.
- 4. Allow existing Massage Establishments to continue in operation while they secure land use approvals.
- 5. Exempt Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments from the Conditional Use Authorization requirement.
- 6. Reconcile the proposed Ordinance with recent changes to Article 2.
- 7. Add a finding to explore an expedited Conditional Use Authorization process for small businesses.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. Since 2008 California State law effectively preempted local jurisdictions from utilizing land use and zoning controls to regulate the location and concentration of massage establishments.
- 2. As a result of the absence of local regulation on massage establishment location, San Francisco experienced a significant increase in the number of massage establishments in specific neighborhoods.
- 3. Overconcentration of any one use in a neighborhood can have potentially negative effects upon the neighborhood's well-being and allure.
- 4. California State law adopted in 2014 now allows local jurisdictions to exercise land use and zoning controls in their regulation of massage establishments.
- 5. The Conditional Use authorization requirement will provide the City with an effective means to regulate the location and operation of massage establishments. This will also help address concerns around neighborhood vitality and economic diversity.
- It is also recognized that, given the length of time required to secure Conditional Use authorization, an expedited Conditional Use authorization process for small businesses should be explored.
- 7. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are not addressed in the General Plan; the Commission finds that the proposed Ordinance is not inconsistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.1

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Conditional Use authorization requirement for new massage establishments will help encourage those operators who will provide to the community a valuable, therapeutic service. The conditions of approval

that accompany a Conditional Use authorization will assure that new massage establishments will meet minimum and reasonable performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.3

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

Having a robust, safe and legitimately operating massage therapy sector contributes to a favorable social and cultural climate in the City. This enhances the City's attractiveness as a firm location. The Conditional Use authorization requirement will assist in maintaining the message therapy in this state.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

Policy 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

The Conditional Use authorization process will facilitate community serving massage therapy establishments to locate in the City's neighborhood commercial districts while filtering out those establishments that provide no benefits to the community.

- 8. Planning Code Section 101 Findings. The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on existing neighborhood serving retail uses because the Ordinance will require Conditional Use authorization on new retail uses.
 - 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would help conserve and protect existing neighborhood character and preserve the economic diversity of San Francisco's neighborhoods by providing the Planning Commission an opportunity to consider the concentration of massage uses within the City's neighborhoods.
 - 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing because the Ordinance concerns itself with the regulation of a retail use.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking because the Ordinance concerns itself with the regulation of a retail use.

That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development because the Ordinance is concerned with the regulation of a retail use. The proposed Ordinance does not have an effect on future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake as the Ordinance is concerned with the regulation of a retail use.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings as the Ordinance is concerned with the regulation of a retail use.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas as the Ordinance is concerned with the regulation of a retail use.

8. Planning Code Section 302 Findings. The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

Resolution 19344 March 26, 2015

CASE NO. 2015-000709PCA Massage Establishments

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on March 26, 2015.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

Commissioners Antonini, Fong, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards and Wu

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED:

March 26, 2015

Executive Summary Planning Code Text Change

HEARING DATE: MARCH 26, 2015

1650 Misslon St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

415,558.6409

Planning Information:

415.558.6377

Project Name:

Massage Establishments

Case Number:

2015-000709PCA [Board File No. 141303]

Initiated by:

Supervisor Tang / Introduced December 16, 2014

Staff Contact:

Diego R Sánchez, Legislative Affairs

diego.sanchez@sfgov.org, 415-575-9082

Reviewed by:

Aaron Starr, Manager Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

Recommendation:

Recommend Approval

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance would amend (1) Planning Code Sections 218.1, 790.60 and 890.60 to eliminate the exception from Conditional Use authorization requirement for California State certified massage establishments; (2) Planning Code Sections 218.1, 790.60 and 890.60 to prohibit for one year the reestablishment of a massage use at a location that had been closed for Planning, Health or other Code violations; and (3) Planning Code Sections 790.114 and 890.114 to eliminate California State certified massage establishments from the definition of a Medical Service use.

The Way It Is Now:

- The Planning Code generally requires Conditional Use authorization for massage establishments. However it does provide exceptions from this requirement for certain Accessory Use massage, Chair Massage and California State certified massage establishments.
- 2. The Planning Code does not prohibit the re-establishment of a massage use where one was closed because of Planning, Health or other Code violations.
- 3. The Planning Code considers a California State certified massage establishment to be a Medical Service use, which generally does not require Conditional Use authorization.

The Way It Would Be:

- 1. The Planning Code would eliminate the exception from Conditional Use authorization for California State certified establishments.
- 2. The Planning Code would prohibit the re-establishment of a massage use for one year in the same location where one was closed because of Planning, Health or other Code violations.
- 3. The Planning Code would no longer consider a California State certified massage establishment to be a Medical Service use.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Local Massage Establishment Controls

Prior to 2004 massage establishments were primarily regulated by the San Francisco Police Department. Massage was thought to be an off-shoot of adult entertainment and, given the nature of the activity, required Police oversight. However by 2003 attitudes in San Francisco around massage changed dramatically. The curative properties of massage therapy were popularly recognized and massage was considered a legitimate healing art.

This shift in perspective was formalized through Ordinance No. 269-03.¹ Effective as of July 2004, Ordinance No. 269-03 gave the Department of Public Health (DPH) permitting authority over massage establishments. This recognized that DPH is better equipped to regulate and enforce health and safety standards for massage than the Police Department.

In 2004, Planning Code requirements for massage establishments varied across the City. In certain neighborhoods, including the downtown commercial district and the light industrial districts, massage establishments were principally permitted. In others they either required Conditional Use authorization or were not permitted.

By 2006 the Planning Code controls on massage establishments were standardized across the City.² With the exception of incidental/accessory massage associated with other uses or chair massage, all massage establishment operators were required to secure Conditional Use authorization. From a land use perspective this was beneficial for two reasons. First, the Conditional Use authorization process allows the Planning Department and Planning Commission to analyze and consider the geographic concentration of massage uses on neighborhoods. Second, the conditions of approval required by a Conditional Use authorization greatly assist Planning Department code enforcement efforts.

State Massage Establishment Controls

In 2008 the California State Legislature passed SB-731. This bill established the California Massage Therapy Council (CAMTC), a private non-profit organization with the authority to implement a statewide certification program for massage professionals. CAMTC issues certifications to qualified individual applicants, allowing them to provide massage services for compensation anywhere in California. Local jurisdictions cannot require CAMTC certificate holders to obtain any other license to provide massage for compensation. In terms of land use and zoning controls, SB-731 required local jurisdictions to treat CAMTC certified sole proprietorship massage establishments no different than other professional or personal service businesses.

In response to SB-731 the Planning Code was amended to include CAMTC certified massage establishments as Medical Services.³ Medical Service uses can be approved over the counter without Planning Commission consideration or neighborhood notification in the vast majority of zoning districts.

¹ Board File 030995: https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=2600565&GUID=4DC26B04-364E-4A7B-AEB5-190B271594F3

² Board File 050176: https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=2593706&GUID=45BDC081-4D0A-4616-A069-A09BEC3403BE

³ Board File 090402: https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=713562&GUID=EBF2B436-83EE-4E15-9969-6E22AC3904F7

CASE NO. 2015-000709PCA Massage Establishments

3

Executive Summary Hearing Date: March 26, 2015

Given this less rigorous land use permitting process, the number of CAMTC certified massage establishment has grown to comprise a significant portion of all massage establishments in San Francisco. According to a 2013 DPH analysis, of the 154 active DPH permitted massage establishments, 62 (40%) were CAMTC certified.⁴ In 2015, DPH found that 90 (62%) of the 146 active DPH permitted massage establishments were CAMTC certified.⁵

In conjunction with this growth, DPH reports that massage establishments are geographically concentrated in a half dozen of the approximately 35 larger neighborhoods in the City. There is also a cluster effect. DPH reported that 84 of the 154 active DPH permitted massage establishments in 2013 were within 1,000 feet of another establishment.

Revisiting State and Local Massage Controls

AB-1147

The inability of local jurisdictions to effectively regulate the proliferation of massage establishments led to the passage of AB-1147. This law, signed by Governor Brown in September 2014, returns certain regulatory powers over operating standards and land use to local agencies. With respect to land use controls, AB-1147 no longer requires San Francisco to consider CAMTC certified establishments as Medical Service uses.

Health Code Amendments and Interim Land Use Controls

As part of the effort to comply with the changes in AB-1147, Supervisor Tang is proposing companion legislation to amend the Health Code.⁶ These amendments would provide DPH with permitting and regulatory authority of all massage establishments in San Francisco. Most importantly, these amendments will assist in the safe and legitimate operation of massage uses.

Supervisor Tang also introduced interim land use controls.⁷ The interim controls, effective as of January 20, 2015, require Conditional Use authorization of all massage establishments and medical service uses. This will ensure full consideration by the Planning Commission of new massage establishments until permanent controls are in place.

Planning Department's Enforcement Efforts

The Planning Department's Zoning Compliance division enforces the Planning Code, Conditions of Approval, and works closely with the public and other City agencies, including the Police and Health

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

⁴Environmental Health Protection, Equity and Sustainability Branch. San Francisco Department of Public Health. Report on Licensing and Regulation of Massage Establishments in San Francisco. 2013. https://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/EHSdocs/ehsMassagedocs/massage%20establishment%20report%20140 224.pdf

⁵ Comerford, Cyndy. (February 2014). Presentation given at Health Commission of the City and County of San Francisco. "Massage Establishments in San Francisco: Codification of State Law and Amendments to the SF Health Code." San Francisco, CA

⁶ Board File 141302: https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=3422068&GUID=7C26BC94-8D3D-491A-BF8A-46B27265ECE7

⁷ Board File 141231: https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=3453710&GUID=B6B78CCF-72AD-4F66-A7CB-C45CF1C8DEFD

Departments, to resolve complaints regarding a wide range of illegal uses that also includes the operation of massage establishments.

Although the primary complaint against massage establishments relates to alleged illicit activity, the Planning Department recognized that applying a set of clear and enforceable conditions for massage establishments, i.e., hours of operation, required storefront transparency, and prohibiting locks on interior doors for massage therapy rooms, may deter illegitimate operators from operating.

In addition, the Planning Department believes that having a set of conditions compliments the Health and Police Department tools for monitoring, prevention, and enforcement of illegitimate massage operators.

Forthcoming Substitute Legislation

Supervisor Tang's office intends to introduce a substitute Ordinance that the Planning Department understands will be comprised of two changes to the proposed Ordinance.

Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments

The first is an exemption from the Conditional Use authorization requirement for "Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments." The companion legislation amending the Health Code will define a "Sole Practitioner Massage Establishment" as a fixed place of business solely owned by a DPH or CAMTC certified practitioner for the purposes of providing massage for compensation. This fixed location may be shared with up to *four* other certified practitioners.

Amnesty Program

The second change is to create an amnesty program for existing massage establishments that are operating without proper land use approvals. Conversations with the massage practitioner community indicate that there are dozens of existing massage establishments that do not have land use approvals for their physical site. The Supervisor is sympathetic to this issue given the lack of clarity from the State on required local permits under the CAMTC process.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval* of the proposed Ordinance as currently drafted, and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department supports the proposed Ordinance as currently drafted because it reinstates local land use controls over a retail use that can have negative effects when it is disproportionately concentrated in a neighborhood. It also standardizes the definition of a massage establishment by removing the differentiation between a State certified massage establishment and City certified massage establishments, reducing confusion around the City's land use regulations. The requirement of Conditional Use authorization is common for many retail uses, including restaurants and certain automotive uses, and

should not be considered unusual or burdensome. Further, establishing uniform controls for massage uses creates certainty in the permitting process and eliminates any duplicative or parallel processes.

Conditional Use Authorization Requirement

The Conditional Use authorization process is beneficial for a number of reasons. The public nature of the process, including the neighborhood notice and hearing, allows community serving operators to shine. It also serves to filter less scrupulous proposals. Under the Conditional Use authorization process the Planning Commission is required to find the proposal necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the surrounding community. It is believed that legitimate operators, sensitive to their surrounding community, will easily meet this requirement.

When granting Conditional Use authorization, the Planning Commission applies conditions of approval on the proposed use. These conditions are standard for all uses and help provide harmonious operation. Planning Code Section 303 also provides additional conditions for massage uses. These additional conditions include storefront transparency and having good standing with DPH. Together these conditions ensure safe and legitimate operation and assist enforcement efforts given the revocability of a Conditional Use authorization.

Re-Establishment Prohibition

Prohibiting a new massage establishment from being approved for one year at a site where violations have occurred is an important regulatory addition. DPH reports that it is common for an establishment closed due to code violations to re-open at the same location but under a different name and/or business license.⁸ The one year prohibition puts operators on notice regarding the need to adhere to regulatory codes and terminates operation for an economically significant period of time.

Supervisor Tang's Proposed Amendments

The Planning Department recognizes the value of permitting processes that are not undesirably restrictive or onerous. It is also aware of the need to regulate similar retail uses having a similar land use impact in the same manner. The Planning Department sees the need to regulate new massage establishments in the same manner, irrespective of the ownership structure, given their similar land use impacts, and, as mentioned above, does not believe that the Conditional Use authorization process to be unusual or burdensome. The Planning Department is also aware of the confusion around permitting processes, especially when the State provides a parallel route. In this context, the Planning Department is open to the concept of an "amnesty" program for particular massage establishments.

Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments

There are concerns with exempting the Sole Practitioner Massage Establishment use from the Conditional Use authorization process. First the City loses its ability through the Planning process to weigh in on neighborhood concentration issues. This was one reason to return land use controls to local jurisdictions. Second, the exemption also creates an incentive to represent one's practice as a "Sole Practitioner Massage Establishment" given the relaxed land use approval process. It is unclear that a "Sole Practitioner

⁸ Environmental Health Protection, Equity and Sustainability Branch. San Francisco Department of Public Health. Report on Licensing and Regulation of Massage Establishments in San Francisco. 2013.

Massage Establishment" has a lesser land use impact than a standard massage establishment. Third, the lack of a Conditional Use authorization prevents the Planning Commission from applying standard conditions of approval on operators. These conditions of approval often serve as a means to shut down illicit operators and their absence will complicate Planning Department enforcement efforts.

Amnesty Program

The Planning Department believes it is important to create routes for businesses to legalize, particularly in the context of an unclear and duplicative permitting process. However, going forward all massage uses should require the same permitting process given their similar land use impact. The Planning Department would be open to an amnesty program with the following elements:

- 1. Allow establishments that exclusively employ CAMTC therapists and/or DPH certified practitioners without land use approvals to legalize as a Medical Service (PC§§ 790.114, 890.114);
- 2. The specific route for such legalization process would be dependent upon zoning controls where the establishment is located at the time of application;
- 3. Allow establishments to continue in operation while securing land use approvals;
- 4. Participation in the "Amnesty" program is dependent upon the massage establishment having the following:
 - a. A valid business license prior to January 1, 2015;
 - b. A valid CAMTC or DPH certification/practitioner permit prior to January 1, 2015;
 - c. Has been functioning in the space in question before January 1, 2015;
 - d. No open DPH, Police Department or Planning enforcement cases at time of permit approval;
 - e. Started the DPH permitting process for their site.
- 5. The "Amnesty" program would sunset within 18 months of the effective date of the Ordinance (BF 141303);
- 6. An outreach effort about the amnesty program should be conducted with the help of organized massage industry advocates, including the San Francisco Massage Ordinance Advisory Committee.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposal to amend Planning Code Sections 218.1, 303, 790.60, 790.114, 890.60 and 890.114 would result in no physical change in the environment. It is thus not defined as a "project" under California Public Resources Code Section 21065 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15378, and is not subject to CEQA under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c).

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment in regard to the proposed Ordinance.

CASE NO. 2015-000709PCA Massage Establishments

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation of Approval with Modification

Attachments:

Exhibit A:

Draft Planning Commission Resolution

Exhibit B:

Board of Supervisors File No. 141303

 $i: \ board\ of\ supervisors \ \ legislation\ \ \ 141303\ massage\ establishments \ \ \ pc\ docs \ \ \ \ exec\ summary\ massage\ establishments. doc$



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

January 12, 2015

File No. 141303

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On December 16, 2014, Supervisor Tang introduced the following legislation:

File No. 141303

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy Navarrete

Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete
DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning,
ou=Environmental Planning,
email!=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=US
Date: 2015.01.23 14:18:52 -08'00'



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Barbara A. Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development

Committee, Board of Supervisors

DATE:

January 15, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Tang on December 16, 2014:

File No. 141303

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any additional comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

January 12, 2015

File No. 141303

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On December 16, 2014, Supervisor Tang introduced the following legislation:

File No. 141303

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

January 12, 2015

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On December 16, 2014, Supervisor Tang introduced the following legislation:

File No. 141303

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that massage establishments, as defined, obtain a Conditional Use permit; listing exceptions to that requirement; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Economic Development Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
AnMarie Rodgers, Senior Policy Manager
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



March 25, 2015

The Honorable Rodney Fong, President San Francisco Planning Commission 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 400 San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Support File #: 141303 Planning Code - Massage Establishments

Dear President Fong:

I am writing in support of amending the Planning Code back to require that massage establishments obtain a Conditional Use permit. This amendment will help ensure that the City can prevent illegal activity without penalizing legally operating establishments.

During my time as Supervisor in 2006, I shaped legislation that required all massage establishments in San Francisco to obtain a permit through the Department of Public Health and conditional use approval through the Planning Department. The Conditional Use Permit process is the highest standard the Planning Department uses in order to grant building and operating permits.

In addition to submitting detailed building plans, businesses are required to hold public meetings and present their plans to the SF Planning Commission for approval. This process also gives the public an opportunity to appeal their concerns to the SF Board of Supervisors.

Conditional Use process is one of the only tools neighborhoods have to keep illicit and unwanted businesses out. According to the Polaris Project, commercial sex networks using massage establishment fronts represents one of the most widespread criminal sex trafficking networks in the United States.

The legislation introduced by Supervisor Tang is a straightforward; direct process, which will help limit the proliferation of businesses dedicated to vice and trafficking of women and children. I support this amendment and urge the Planning Commission to support it as well.

Sincerely,

Fiona Ma, CPA
Member – District 2

California State Board of Equalization

cc: Clerk of the Board (to be distributed to all members of Planning Commission)





San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women Resolution in Support of San Francisco Massage Establishment Ordinances 141302 & 141303

BE IT KNOWN That the Commission on the Status of Women of the City and County of San Francisco hereby issue and authorize the execution, by the subscribing Commissioners, of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The Department on the Status of Women has focused efforts on responding to modern day slavery since 2008 and now staffs the Mayor's Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking, convened by Mayor Edwin M. Lee in 2013, including a committee on Illicit Massage Parlors, the target of a national campaign by Polaris which runs the National Human Trafficking Resource Center to address human trafficking occurring in establishments posing as massage parlors; and,

WHEREAS, The Department recognizes that while there are many law abiding massage establishments, the Department of Public Health estimates that 30-50% of massage establishments in San Francisco are fronts for commercial sexual activity and that 1 out of 4 women employed by establishments inspected by the Department of Public Health evidence signs of being trafficked; and,

WHEREAS, Supervisor Katy Tang has provided strong leadership in the effort to eradicate human trafficking from massage establishments., including contributing to the recent passage of Assembly Bill 1147 that enables local governments to more effectively regulate massage establishments, and gives San Francisco a vital opportunity to prevent and identify trafficking through its regulation and inspections of massage establishments; and,

WHEREAS, Supervisor Tang has introduced two ordinances to amend the Planning Code and the Health Code to strengthen regulations governing massage establishments; and, as part of these amendments, the Department of Public Health is incorporating the innovative use of bilingual health outreach workers into its inspections of massage establishments to provider referrals to women who may be trafficked;

NOW THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED That the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women supports the amendments to the Health Code and the Planning Code contained in File numbers 141302 and 141303 as a strategy for eradicating human trafficking from massage establishments in San Francisco.

Mancy Kirshner-Rodriguez, President

Amy Ackerman

Alicia Ga

Andrea Shorter, Vice President

Julie D. Soo

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

February 25, 2015

Ausberry, Andrea

From:

irene crescio [iac349@aol.com]

Sent:

Saturday, April 11, 2015 9:38 AM

To:

Wiener, Scott; Cohen, Malia (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Ausberry, Andrea

Cc:

Tang, Katy (BOS); Quizon, Dyanna (BOS)

Subject:

Files 141302 and 141303

To everyone concerned:

I am writing to show my support to the changes being made pertaining to Massage Parlors in Files 14102 and 14103.

This is long overdue, however I would like clarification to the phase "with certain exceptions." as shown that all new massage establishments will have to receive Conditional Use approval with certain exceptions.

Also, what will happen with Massage Parlors that currently have Human Trafficking? Will they go on with business as usual?

We definitely know of one Massage Parlor located at 2633 San Bruno Avenue in our Portola District that has twice been brought before the Department of Health at a public hearing for unlicensed and uncertified massage practitioners, various sanitary problems and other violations. It is a given that human trafficking is going on at this location and the girls are still at work behind a locked front door that is illegal for a massage parlor.

Some of us here in the Portola District have met with both Katy and Dyanna showing our concern of the increase in massage parlors here in our neighborhood. Two more have opened in the last year, and we are concerned that they to are fronts for prostitution.

Sincerely,

Irene Crescio, Board Member Portola Neighborhood Association (PNA) Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | or meeting date |
|---|-----------------|
| ✓ 1. For reference to Committee. | |
| An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment. | |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee. | |
| 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. | |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | inquires" |
| 5. City Attorney request. | |
| 6. Call File No. from Committee. | |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File No. | |
| 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion). | |
| ☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole. | · . |
| 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on | |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the | following: |
| ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics | Commission |
| | omission |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Impe | erative |
| Sponsor(s): | |
| Tang | |
| Subject: | 408 |
| Planning Code - Massage Establishments | |
| The text is listed below or attached: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: | |
| For Clerk's Use Only: | |