File No. <u>150117</u>	Committee Item No.4_		
	Board Item No. 31		

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Land Use & Transportation	1 .	Date <u>April 13, 2015</u>
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting		Date Apr. 21, 2015
Cmte Boar	d		·
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative An Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commis Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	Letter and	
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)			needed)
Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Date April 9, 2015 Completed by: Date			

AMENDED IN COMMITTE' -4/13/15

FILE NO. 150117

ORDINANCE NO.

[Administrative Code - Relocation Payments to Evicted Tenants]

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

difference between the rent paid by an evicted tenant and market rent (rental payment differential) by having the Controller use data from RealFacts based on number of bedrooms, or another data source providing reliable market data; to cap relocation payments based on the rental payment differential at \$50,000 for each unit; to require the tenant to submit to the landlord a sworn statement of intent to use the relocation payment solely for housing or other relocation costs; to require the tenant to keep proof of expenditures on relocation costs for at least three years after vacating the unit, and to make copies available to the landlord within 10 business days of a request; and to require the tenant to reimburse the landlord any portion of the relocation payment not expended on relocation costs within three years after vacating the unit.

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to modify the calculation of the

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that:

(a) San Francisco's housing has become increasingly less affordable to tenants and to low-income, working, and middle-income people.

- (1) San Francisco housing purchase prices increased by about 20% over the four years from 2011 to 2014;
- (2) San Francisco housing rental prices increased by 25.2% over the three years from 2011 to 2013;
- (3) The rental vacancy rate in San Francisco decreased from 6.4% in 2009 to 2.8% in 2012;
- (4) The median monthly rental rate citywide was \$3,414 as of June 2013 for all types of apartments; and
- (5) Over 40% of households in San Francisco pay 30% or more of their household income on housing costs.
- (b) Even as housing has become less affordable for tenants, evictions have increased, particularly Ellis Act evictions. Evictions have disproportionately affected some of San Francisco's most vulnerable residents and can have severe consequences.
 - (1) Ellis Act evictions increased 169% in San Francisco from 2010 to 2013;
- (2) Seniors and people with disabilities face the highest eviction rates among all types of evictions in San Francisco;
- (3) Tenants evicted under the Ellis Act from rent-controlled units lose their controlled rental rate and suffer disruptions in their daily lives, which are just a couple of the hardships of eviction;
- (4) Some tenants evicted under the Ellis Act have difficulty remaining in San Francisco without rent control or other rental assistance; and
- (5) One recent study showed that nearly a third of evicted tenants leave San Francisco and that more than 13% of evicted tenants in that study became homeless or used a post office box for their address following eviction.

- (c) Landlords who evict tenants under the Ellis Act impose adverse impacts on evicted tenants.
- (1) When landlords use the Ellis Act to evict rent-controlled tenants, they impose on tenants many costs, including but not limited to the cost of moving and related expenses and the difference between the regulated rent the tenant had been paying and what is often a much higher market rent;
- (2) Ellis Act evictions also have an adverse impact on housing affordability in San Francisco. When landlords leave the residential rental market, units of San Francisco's housing stock may be permanently removed from the rental market, such as when these units are sold for owner-occupancy as tenancies in common. This causes a decrease in the City's overall rental housing stock, and particularly its stock of pre-1979 rental units that are subject to rent control. Because state law generally prohibits imposing rent controls on newly constructed units of rental housing, these older rent-controlled units often cannot be replaced; and
- (3) Landlords who use the Ellis Act to evict their tenants in order to sell their buildings often realize significant profits on their sales. A recent study by the Budget and Legislative Analyst of the Board of Supervisors determined that a sample of buildings that were sold following Ellis Act evictions sold for more than double their purchase prices on average.
- (d) Under the Ellis Act, the City may mitigate any adverse impacts on persons by reason of their displacement.
- (e) The Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code, provides relocation payments to evicted tenants to mitigate the impacts of evictions.
- (f) Because of the significant increases in the cost of the San Francisco rental market, it is not only reasonable but imperative to mitigate the impacts of evictions by providing

payments to tenants who have been evicted that reflect their relocation costs and increased housing costs incurred due to eviction, based on reliable data about the San Francisco rental market.

For the aforestated reasons, the Board of Supervisors enacts these amendments to Section 37.9A of the Administrative Code to provide evicted tenants reasonable relocation assistance based on reliable market rate data for dwellings in San Francisco.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 37.9A to read as follows:

SEC. 37.9A. TENANT RIGHTS IN CERTAIN DISPLACEMENTS UNDER SECTION 37.9(a)(13).

This Section 37.9A applies to certain tenant displacements under Section 37.9(a)(13), as specified.

(a) Rent Allowed.

- (1) Except as provided in Section 37.9A(a)(2) below, any rental unit which a tenant vacates after receiving a notice to quit relying on Section 37.9(a)(13) (withdrawal of rental units from rent or lease under the Ellis Act, California Government Code Sections 7060 et seq.), if again offered for rent or lease, must be offered and rented or leased at a rent not greater than the lawful rent in effect at the time the notice of intent to withdraw rental units is filed with the Board, plus annual rent increases available under this Chapter 37.
- (A) The provisions of Section 37.9A(a)(1) apply to all tenancies commenced during either of the following time periods:

- (i) The five-year period after a notice of intent to withdraw the rental units is filed with the Board, whether or not the notice of intent is rescinded or the withdrawal of the units is completed pursuant to that notice;
 - (ii) The five-year period after the rental units are withdrawn.

* * * *

(e) Relocation Payments to Tenants.

- (1) Before August 10, 2004, Low Income, Elderly or Disabled. Where a landlord seeks eviction based upon Section 37.9(a)(13), and the notice of intent to withdraw rental units was filed with the Board before August 10, 2004, the relocation payments described in this Subsection 37.9A(e)(1) shall be limited to tenants who are members of lower income households, who are elderly, or who are disabled, as defined below.
- (A) Tenants who are members of lower income households, as defined by Section 50079.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, and who receive a notice to quit based upon Section 37.9(a)(13), in addition to all rights under any other provisions of law, shall be entitled to receive \$4,500, \$2,250 of which shall be paid within fifteen (15) calendar days of the landlord's receipt of written notice from the tenants of their entitlement to the relocation payment, and \$2,250 of which shall be paid when the tenants vacate the unit.
- (B) With respect to Subsection 37.9A(e)(1)(A), the Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor agency shall annually determine the income limits for lower income households, adjusted for household size.
- (C) Notwithstanding Subsection 37.9A(e)(1)(A), and irrespective of the size of the unit, any tenant who receives a notice to quit under Section 37.9(a)(13) and who, at the time such notice is served, is 62 years of age or older, or who is disabled within the meaning of Section 12955.3 of the California Government Code, shall be entitled to receive \$3,000,

\$1,500 of which shall be paid within fifteen (15) calendar days of the landlord's receipt of written notice from the tenant of entitlement to the relocation payment, and \$1,500 of which shall be paid when the tenant vacates the unit.

- (D) The payments due pursuant to this Subsection 37.9A(e)(1) for any unit which is occupied by more than one tenant shall be divided equally among all the occupying tenants, excluding those tenants who are separately entitled to payments under Subsection 37.9A(e)(1)(C) above.
- (2) On August 10, 2004 and until-(Effective Date of Ordinance Amendments.)

 February 19, 2005. Where a landlord seeks eviction based upon Section 37.9(a)(13) and either (i) the notice of intent to withdraw rental units is filed with the Board on or after August 10, 2004 through February 19, 2005(the effective date of ordinance amendments), or (ii) the notice of intent to withdraw rental units was filed with the Board prior to August 10, 2004 but the tenant still resided in the unit as of August 10, 2004, relocation payments shall be paid to the tenants as follows:
- (A) Tenants who are members of lower income households, as defined by Section 50079.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, shall be entitled to receive \$4,500, \$2,250 of which shall be paid within fifteen (15) calendar days of the landlord's receipt of written notice from the tenants of their entitlement to the relocation payment, and \$2,250 of which shall be paid when the tenants vacate the unit.
- (B) Subject to Subsections 37.9A(e)(2)(C) and (D) below, tenants who are not members of lower income households, as defined by Section 50079.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, shall be entitled to receive \$4,500, which shall be paid when the tenant vacates the unit;

- (C) In the event there are more than three tenants in a unit, the total relocation payment shall be \$13,500.00, which shall be divided equally by the number of tenants in the unit;
- (D) Notwithstanding Subsection 37.9A(e)(2)(A) and (B), any tenant who, at the time the notice of intent to withdraw rental units is filed with the Board, is 62 years of age or older, or who is disabled within the meaning of Section 12955.3 of the California Government Code, shall be entitled to receive an additional payment of \$3,000.00, \$1,500.00 of which shall be paid within fifteen (15) calendar days of the landlord's receipt of written notice from the tenant of entitlement to the relocation payment, and \$1,500.00 of which shall be paid when the tenant vacates the unit.
- (3) On or After February 20, 2005. Where a landlord seeks eviction based upon Section 37.9(a)(13), and the notice of intent to withdraw rental units is filed with the Board on or after February 20, 2005, relocation payments shall be paid to the tenants as follows:
- (A) Subject to Subsections 37.9(e)(3)(B), (C), and (D) below, each tenant shall be entitled to receive \$4,500.00, one-half of which shall be paid at the time of the service of the notice of termination of tenancy, and one-half of which shall be paid when the tenant vacates the unit;
- (B) In the event there are more than three tenants in a unit, the total relocation payment shall be \$13,500.00, which shall be divided equally by the number of tenants in the unit; and
- (C) Notwithstanding Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(A) and (B), any tenant who, at the time the notice of intent to withdraw rental units is filed with the Board, is 62 years of age or older, or who is disabled within the meaning of Section 12955.3 of the California Government Code, shall be entitled to receive an additional payment of \$3,000.00, \$1,500.00 of which shall be paid within fifteen (15) calendar days of the landlord's receipt of written notice from

the tenant of entitlement to the relocation payment, and \$1,500.00 of which shall be paid when the tenant vacates the unit.

- (D) Commencing March 1, 2005, the relocation payments specified in Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(A) and (B) and (C) shall increase annually at the rate of increase in the "rent of primary residence" expenditure category of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers in the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Region for the preceding calendar year, as that data is made available by the United States Department of Labor and published by the Board.
- (E) <u>(i)</u> Notwithstanding Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D), as of <u>June 1, 2014</u>the effective date of the ordinance creating this subsection (E) (Ordinance No. 54-14)1, each tenant shall be entitled to <u>a relocation payment equal to</u> the greater of:
- (ia.) the payment specified in Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D); or

 (iib.) the relocation payment calculated in accordance with Subsection

 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(iii) below based on the Rental Payment Differential as described in Subsection

 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(ii) below.

creating this subsection (E) (Ordinance No. 54-14), and thereafter by March 1 of each calendar

1

year. The Controller shall provide such Schedule to the Rent Board, which shall make the Schedule publicly available on the Rent Board's website and at the Rent Board office. In addition to receiving his or her relocation payment in accordance with the calculation required by this Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(iii) the Rental Payment Differential, any tenant who qualifies for payment under Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(C) as adjusted by (D) shall also receive that payment. In determining annual changes in the rental market, the Controller shall rely on market data that reasonably reflects a representative sample of rental apartments in San Francisco. The \$50,000 cap on relocation payments does not include any payments for which the tenant qualifies under Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(C) as adjusted by (D).

__(iv) The landlord shall pay one half of each tenant's relocation payment under Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(i)b. at the time of the service of the notice of termination of tenancy and the remaining one half when the tenant vacates the unit; provided, that tThe landlord shall not have any obligation to pay any portion of the relocation payment under Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(i)b. to the tenant until the tenant submits to the landlord a written statement, executed by the tenant under penalty of perjury, stating that the tenant will use the relocation payment solely for Relocation Costs, as such term is defined in Section 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(vi)b. below, and which provides the address of the rental unit from which the tenant is being evicted, the name of the tenant, the name of the landlord, and the date of the notice of eviction for the unit termination of tenancy (the "Declaration"). On or before the date the landlord serves the tenant with the notice of termination of tenancy, the landlord shall provide the tenant any Declaration form that the Rent Board prepares and makes available on its website and notify the tenant in writing that the landlord does not have an obligation to make any portion of the relocation payment prior to the landlord's receipt of the Declaration. If the landlord receives the Declaration on or after serving the notice of termination of tenancy, but before the tenant vacates the unit, the landlord shall pay one half of the tenant's relocation payment on receipt of the Declaration and

<u>specified in Section 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D) or the relocation payment calculated in accordance with</u>

<u>Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(iii)Rental Payment Differential</u>, reduced by any payment the tenant has received under Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(<u>A)-(D)</u>, (B), and (C) as adjusted by (D), upon vacating the unit.

- (G) (i) If payment of the <u>relocation payment Rental Payment Differential</u>-under Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(E)(ii)-(i)b. would constitute an undue financial hardship for a landlord in light of all of the resources available to the landlord, the landlord may file a written request, on a form provided by the Rent Board, for a hearing for a hardship adjustment ("Hardship Adjustment Request") with the Rent Board, with supporting evidence. The Board, or its designated Administrative Law Judges, may order a reduction, payment plan, or any other relief they determine is justified following a hearing on the request.
- (ii) At a hearing for hardship adjustment under Subsection (i), the Board, or its designated Administrative Law Judges, shall consider all relevant factors, including the number of units in the building and any evidence submitted regarding the landlord's age, length of ownership of the building, ownership of any other buildings, income, expenses, other assets, debt, health, and health care costs, except as provided in Subsection (iii).
- (iii) At a hearing for hardship adjustment under Subsection (i), the Board, or its designated Administrative Law Judges, shall not consider any of the following types of assets owned by the landlord:
 - a. Assets held in retirement accounts; and
 - b. Non-liquid personal property.
- (H) Without limiting or otherwise affecting the landlord's right to obtain a hardship adjustment under Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(G), the landlord may file a written request, on a form provided by the Rent Board, for a hearing with the Rent Board claiming that the San Francisco Rental Payment Differential Schedule Report established in Subsection

37.9A(e)(3)(E)(ii) does not reasonably reflect the market rental rate for a comparable unit in San Francisco and would result in an overpayment by the landlord ("Rent Differential Recalculation Request"). The landlord shall include evidence in support of the request. If the Board, or its designated Administrative Law Judges, grant(s) the request in whole or part, they shall order an appropriate adjustment of the payment due from the landlord.

(I) For purposes of considering Hardship Adjustment and Rent Differential Recalculation Requests under Subsections 37.9<u>A</u> (e)(3)(G) and (H), the Board shall follow a process consistent with the existing Board hearing process under Section 37.8. If a landlord submits both types of hearing requests, the Board may consolidate its hearing of the two requests.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 5. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not

affect the validity of the remaining portions or application of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Robert A. Bryan Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2014\1500392\01005168.doc

<u>LEGISLATIVE DIGEST</u> (4/13/15 - Amended in Committee)

[Administrative Code - Relocation Payments to Evicted Tenants]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to modify the calculation of the difference between the rent paid by an evicted tenant and market rent (rental payment differential) by having the Controller use data from RealFacts based on number of bedrooms, or another data source providing reliable market data; to cap relocation payments based on the rental payment differential at \$50,000 for each unit; to require the tenant to submit to the landlord a sworn statement of intent to use the relocation payment solely for housing or other relocation costs; to require the tenant to keep proof of expenditures on relocation costs for at least three years after vacating the unit, and to make copies available to the landlord within 10 business days of a request; and to require the tenant to reimburse the landlord any portion of the relocation payment not expended on relocation costs within three years after vacating the unit.

Existing Law

As of June 1, 2014, Administrative Code Section 37.9A(e)(3)(E) requires landlords to pay an evicted tenant the greater of amounts specified in Subsections 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D) (the "Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D) Amount") and an amount equal to the difference between the monthly rental rate of the tenant's rental unit at the time the landlord files with the Rent Board the notice of intent to withdraw the unit from the rental market, and the monthly market rental rate for a comparable unit in San Francisco as determined by the Controller's Office, multiplied by 24 (for a two year period), and divided by the number of the tenants in the unit. One half of the rental payment differential calculated by the Controller is paid when the landlord serves the notice of termination of tenancy and the other half is paid when the tenant vacates the premises. The existing law neither limits the amount that might be paid to a tenant nor states the purposes for which the payments may be spent.

Amendments to Current Law

The amendments to the Administrative Code modifies the method of calculating the relocation payment to be paid tenants as of June 1, 2014, cap the maximum amount of the payment at \$50,000 for each unit, specify the purposes for which the payment can be expended, and impose additional requirements on landlords and tenants regarding the receipt and expenditure of the payment.

The amendments require landlords to pay an evicted tenant the difference between the Subsection 37.9A(e)(3)(A)-(D) Amount and the payment calculated based on the Rental Payment Differential. The Rental Payment Differential is equal to the difference between the

monthly rental rate of the tenant's rental unit at the time the landlord files with the Rent Board the notice of intent to withdraw the unit from the rental market and the market rental rate for the unit in San Francisco as determined by the Controller based on data from the posting or publication of RealFacts or other analysis or analyses of the San Francisco rental market providing reliable average market rental rates in San Francisco for the immediately prior calendar year, and if that year's data is unavailable, for the most recent prior calendar year that is available. The relocation payment for each unit shall be an amount equal to the Rental Payment Differential multiplied by 24 to cover a two-year period. The relocation payment for a unit shall not exceed \$50,000. Each tenant of a unit as of the date the landlord files the notice of intent to withdraw the unit shall be entitled the relocation payment for that unit divided by the number of tenants in the unit. The Controller is required to provide the Rent Board the Controller's determination of the average rental values to be used in calculating the Rental Payment Differential for units within 5 business days of the effective date of this amendment, and by March 1 of each calendar year thereafter.

When using RealFacts data to determine the average rental values, the Controller shall use the number of "Bedrooms" in the unit to determine the rental rate, in the following manner: (1) the rental rate for units with 1 Bedroom shall be based on data from RealFacts for units with 1 bedroom and 1 bath; (2) the rental rate for units with 2 Bedrooms shall be based on data from RealFacts for units with 3 or more Bedrooms shall be based on data from RealFacts for units with 3 bedrooms and 2 baths; and (4) the rental rate for units without a Bedroom shall be based on data from RealFacts for a studio. The amendment defines "Bedroom" to mean a room that is primarily used as sleeping quarters, is at least 70 square feet in area, exclusive of closets and similar spaces, and has at least one window opening to an area leading to a street, light well, courtyard or rear yard.

A landlord does not have an obligation to make any payment of any portion of the relocation payment to a tenant until the landlord receives from the tenant a written statement, executed under penalty of perjury, stating that the tenant will use the payment solely for Relocation Costs, as defined in the amendments, and providing the address of the unit, the name of the landlord, the name of the tenant, and the date of the eviction (the "Declaration"). On or before the date a landlord serves a tenant with the notice of termination of tenancy, the landlord must provide the tenant any Declaration form that the Rent Board has prepared and made available on its website, and notify the tenant in writing that the landlord does not have an obligation to make any relocation payment until the landlord has received the completed Declaration. If a landlord receives the Declaration on or after serving the notice of termination of tenancy, but before the tenant vacates the unit, the landlord must pay one half of the tenant's relocation payment on receipt of the Declaration and the remaining half on the tenant's vacation of the unit. If the landlord receives the Declaration on or after the date that the tenant vacates the unit, the landlord must pay the full amount of the relocation payment on receipt of the Declaration. The amendments define Relocation Costs as meaning rent payments for a replacement dwelling, the purchase price of a replacement dwelling, and cost incurred by the tenant in moving to a replacement dwelling, or any cost that were incurred to mitigate adverse impacts on the tenant of the eviction.

Each tenant is required to maintain any documented proof of expenditures for at least three years after the date the tenant vacates the unit. During this period, the tenant must provide the landlord, on written request, copies of the documented proof within 10 business days of the receipt of the request. But the landlord may not make more than two requests for records in any 12-month period. Within three years of vacating the unit, the tenant must reimburse to the landlord any portion of the payments not expended on Relocation Costs.

Background Information

Landlords have sued the City (*Levin v. City and County of San Francisco*, (United States District Court, Northern District of California, 3:14-CV-03352-CRB, 2014)), seeking declaratory and injunctive relief from current ordinance, which required each to make relocation payments they deemed excessive. Under the ordinance, the landlords were required to make relocation payments in excess of \$100,000 per tenant. The Court determined that there was insufficient nexus between the landlords' conduct and the harm to the tenants (that the landlord did not cause the high rental market in San Francisco), and that the payments were not roughly proportional to the harm because the plaintiffs were not required to spend the payments on costs related to the eviction. The Court enjoined the City from enforcing the ordinance. The City has appealed to the Ninth Circuit. The amendments to the legislation attempt to address the Court's concerns.

n:\legana\as2015\1500392\01007938.doc

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development

Ben Rosenfield, City Controller

Delene Wolf, Executive Director, Rent Board

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee,

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

March 6, 2015

SUBJECT:

SUBSTITUTED - LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substituted legislation, introduced by Supervisor Campos on February 24, 2015:

150117

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to modify the calculation of the difference between the rent paid by an evicted tenant and market rent (rental payment differential) by having the Controller use data from RealFacts based on number of bedrooms, or another data source providing reliable market data; to cap relocation payments based on the rental payment differential at \$50,000; to require the tenant to submit to the landlord a sworn statement of intent to use the relocation payment solely for housing or other relocation costs; to require the tenant to keep proof of expenditures on relocation costs for at least three years after vacating the unit, and to make copies available to the landlord within ten business days of a request; and to require the tenant to reimburse the landlord any portion of the relocation payment not expended on relocation costs within three years after vacating the unit.

If you have any additional comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Sophie Hayward, Housing & Community Development Todd Rydstrom, Deputy City Controller Ted Egan, Chief Economist



Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I here	by submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
	1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendme	nt)
	2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
\boxtimes	8. Substitute Legislation File No. 150117	
	9. Reactivate File No.	•
	10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Note:	e check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	nission on
Spons	or(s):	
Camp	os; Kim, Avalos	
Subje	ct:	
Admi	nistrative Code - Relocation Payments to Evicted Tenants	
The t	ext is listed below or attached:	
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For C	Clerk's Use Only:	
- Or 6	riving our only:	i

2276

Time stamp