## MOTION NO.

| 1  | [Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 2251 Greenwich Street]                       |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | Motion reversing the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed                     |
| 4  | project at 2251 Greenwich Street is categorically exempt from environmental review.               |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | WHEREAS, On June 2, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed                    |
| 7  | project located at 2251 Greenwich Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review          |
| 8  | under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San             |
| 9  | Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and  |
| 10 | WHEREAS, The proposed project involves demolition of an existing two-story, 10,272                |
| 11 | square foot fire station built in 1936 and construction or a new 2-story, 10,398 square foot fire |
| 12 | station on the same lot; and  |
| 13 | WHEREAS, The project also includes replacement of the roof top generator, removal                 |
| 14 | of one underground storage tank and replacement of a second underground storage tank; and         |
| 15 | WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on July 2,           |
| 16 | 2014, Stephen M. Williams, of the Law Offices of Stephen M. Williams and on behalf of Brent       |
| 17 | McMicking and Evan Kletter, (Appellants) appealed the exemption determination; and                |
| 18 | WHEREAS, The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical                  |
| 19 | Exemption Determination, signed June 2, 2014, which found that the proposed project was           |
| 20 | exempt under Class 2 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15302); and                |
| 21 | WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by                               |
| 22 | memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated July 7, 2014, determined that the appeal had           |
| 23 | not been timely filed because no approval had yet been granted for the proposed project; and      |
| 24 | WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by                               |
| 25 | memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 16, 2015, determined that the appeal             |

was now timely because a building permit was issued for the proposed project on February
 12, 2015; and

WHEREAS, On May 19, 2015, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider
the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public
hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

6 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board 7 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the 8 appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before 9 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to 10 the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
reversed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the
Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and
opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 140767 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning

- 21 Department that the project is exempt from environmental review.
- 22
- 23
- 24
- -7
- 25