| File No. 15 | U | 596 |
|-------------|---|------------|
|-------------|---|------------|

| Committee | Item | No | - | |
|-------------------|------|----|----|--|
| Board Item | No. | | 23 | |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee_ | | Date |
|-------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Board of Su | pervisors Meeting | Date <u>May 19, 2015</u> |
| Cmte Boa | rd | |
| | Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | |
| OTHER | (Use back side if additional | ll space is needed) |
| | | |
| • | by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> by: | Date <u>May 14, 2015</u> Date |

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 26 Hodges Alley]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 26 Hodges Alley is categorically exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On September 18, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located at 26 Hodges Alley ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed project involves construction of a third floor addition and a horizontal addition on the first and second floors to an existing two-story single-family residence; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on April 10, 2015, Melody Mar (Appellant) appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, The Appellant provided a copy of the Planning Commission's Discretionary Review Action DRA 0410, dated March 12, 2015, with the Planning Department's Categorical Exemption Determination attached, which found that the proposed project was exempt under Class 1of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated April 15, 2015, determined that the appeal had been timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On May 19, 2015, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 150395 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption

determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.



Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | or meeting date \$ 112/15 |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion | , or Charter Amendment) |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee | ee. |
| | |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | . inquires" |
| 5. City Attorney request. | |
| ☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee. | |
| ☐ 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | |
| □ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. □ | |
| 9. Reactivate File No. | |
| ☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS of | on |
| riease check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be for Small Business Commission Youth Commission | ☐ Ethics Commission |
| | Inspection Commission |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agend | da), use a imperative form. |
| Sponsor(s): | |
| Clerk of the Board | |
| Subject: | |
| Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 26 Hodges Alley | |
| The text is listed below or attached: | |
| Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a properties of the properties of t | posed project at 26 Hodges Alley is |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: | PEN |
| · Clerk's I se Only. | · · · · |