File No. <u>150439</u>

Committee Item No. _____ Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Public Safety & Neighborhood Services Date May 21, 2015

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
	MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
Completed	by: Dorok Evans Data 5/15/15

oompieted by.	Date	
Completed by:	Date	
• • •		

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file. From: To: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) BOS-Supervisors FW: Annual compliance findings for CPMC Development Agreement Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.pdf

From: Lou Giraudo [mailto:lou@gesd.net]
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2015 3:50 PM
To: bos@sfgov.org
Subject: Annual compliance findings for CPMC Development Agreement

See attached letter.

Louis J. Giraudo GESD Capital Partners, LLC 50 Francisco Street, Suite 235 San Francisco, CA 94133 Tel: # (415)477-8204 LOUIS J. GIRAUDO BE SAN BUENAVENTURA WAY SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94127

May 1, 2015

San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carleton B. Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Annual compliance findings for CPMC Development Agreement

Dear President Breed and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Section 8.2.2 of the CPMC Development Agreement (DA) with the City and County of San Francisco identifies me as a "third party monitor," charged with reviewing the City's annual compliance findings and presenting to the Board of Supervisors my agreement or disagreement with the City's findings. I have received and reviewed the Certificate of Compliance, dated February 9, 2015, from Planning Director John Rahaim and Health Director Barbara Garcia. The following are my comments on that document:

I agree with Directors Garcia and Rahaim that CPMC has met the burden of compliance with the requirements of the DA, but also share many of the significant concerns expressed in their letter and believe that CPMC must not only meet the minimum requirements in the agreement, but must also fully live up to its obligations as a care provider in San Francisco. I would suggest that members of the Board of Supervisors and responsible City agencies pay close attention in the coming years to CPMC's performance in the following areas:

1) Baseline Charity Care: There is no question that CPMC met its requirements under the DA for calendar year 2013 in this category. However the Department of Public Health is aware that CPMC may not meet this requirement for calendar year 2014. I have been advised that the Department is working with CPMC to find ways to make sure CPMC has access to enough charity care and Medi-Cal patients to meet this requirement every year.

- 2) Medi Cal Managed Care Beneficiaries in the Tenderloin: Under the DA, CPMC is required to partner with a newly established management services organization (MSO) in the Tenderloin to provide hospital care for at least 1,500 Medi-Cal managed care beneficiaries. I have been made aware that after analysis the community based clinics have determined that establishing a new Tenderloin MSO would not be feasible. I am also aware that DPH is exploring other options to make a Tenderloin provider available to partner with CPMC in Medi-Cal managed care. I agree with the City that this is a critical provision of the DA and that all parties must work together to arrive at a solution.
- 3) Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services at St. Luke's: CPMC has demonstrated that it has met minimum "CLAS" standards by adopting a formal hospital policy adopting basic standards. But I do not believe this is enough and am disturbed by reports that CPMC has eliminated bilingual Spanish-speaking providers at its St. Luke's diabetes clinic, which serves a largely mono-lingual Spanish speaking population. I do not believe that patients are as well served by interpreters, no matter how skillful, as they are by providers who speak their own language. It should not be difficult for CPMC to continue to employ Spanish-speaking providers at St. Luke's and I believe strongly they should do so.
- 4) Operations Activities Hiring Goals: CPMC easily met its obligations under the DA for construction related hiring. However the DA also mandates a 40% hiring rate from the San Francisco Workforce System for entry-level operations jobs and CPMC only made 13% of its hires in calendar year 2013 from the system. Because the unmet obligation rolls over to the following year and because this is a good faith obligation, I am prepared to agree with the City's conclusion that CPMC was in compliance in this first reporting period, despite the early missteps documented in the City's compliance certificate.

It is my understanding that as of February 2015, CPMC hiring rate from the Workforce System has risen to 31%, showing considerable improvement. I would fully expect to see the 40% goal met starting with calendar 2015 and each year thereafter.

Sincerely,

Louis J. Giraudo

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Barbara A. Garcia, Department of Public Health Todd Rufo, Director, Office of Economic & Workforce Development Ed Reiskin, Executive Director, Municipal Transportation Agency John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

FROM: Derek Evans, Assistant Committee Clerk

DATE: May 4, 2015

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors Public Safety & Neighborhood Services Committee has received the following request for hearing, introduced by Supervisor David Campos, which is being referred to your department.

File No. 150439

Hearing to review the California Pacific Medical Center's compliance with the Cathedral Hill Development Agreement; and requesting the Department of Public Health, the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Planning Department to report.

If you wish to submit any comments or reports, please forward those to the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

cc: Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health Colleen Chawla, Department of Public Health Ken Rich, Office of Economic & Workforce Development Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency Kate Breen, Municipal Transportation Agency Dillon Auyoung, Municipal Transportation Agency Roberta Boomer, Municipal Transportation Agency AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Aaron Starr, Planning Department Nicole Wheaton, Office of the Mayor Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amer	ndment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	, ,
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor 	inquires"
 5. City Attorney request. 	I
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on]
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the fo Small Business Commission I Youth Commission Ethics C Planning Commission Building Inspection Comm Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Impera Sponsor(s):	commission nission
Campos, Farrell	
Subject:	
Hearing to review California Pacific Medical Center's (CPMC) compliance with the Cathedra Agreement; and requesting the Department of Public Health, the Office of Economic and Wo the Municipal Transit Agency, and the Planning Department to report. I request that this hearing be assigned to the Neighborhood Services & Safety Committee.to The text is listed below or attached:	orkforce Development,
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	f-
For Clerk's Use Only:	/

150439