File No. <u>150241</u>	Committee Item No. <u>3</u>
	Board Item No.

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Land Use & Transportation	Date <u>June 1, 2015</u>
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boar	·d	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst R Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	e is needed)
-	oy: <u>Andrea Ausberry</u> Date <u>M</u>	lay 28, 2015

NOTE:

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

[Administrative Code - Prohibiting Advertisements for Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on City Property]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit advertising of sugarsweetened beverages on City property.

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italies Times New Roman font</u>.

Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>.

Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>.

Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 4.20 to read as follows:

## SEC. 4.20. TOBACCO PRODUCT\_<u>AND</u>, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE, <u>AND SUGAR</u>-<u>SWEETENED BEVERAGE</u> ADVERTISING PROHIBITION.

- (a) No advertising of cigarettes or tobacco products, <code>OP</code> alcoholic beverages, <code>or Sugar-Sweetened Beverages</code> shall be allowed on any property owned by or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, except as exempted in subsections (c) and (d) below. For purposes of this Section 4.20, "alcoholic beverage" shall be as defined in California Business and <code>Professional Professions</code> Code section 23004 and shall not include cleaning solutions, medical supplies, and other products and substances not intended for drinking, <code>and "Sugar-Sweetened Beverages" shall be as defined in subsection (e) below</code>.
- (b) This prohibition shall include the placement of the name of a company producing, selling, or distributing cigarettes or tobacco products, *or*-alcoholic beverages, *or Sugar*-

<u>Sweetened Beverages</u>, or the name of any cigarette or tobacco product, <u>or-alcoholic beverages</u>, <u>or Sugar-Sweetened Beverages</u>, in any promotion of any event or promotion of any product or beverage on property owned by or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco. This prohibition shall not apply to any advertisement sponsored by a state, local, nonprofit or other entity designed to (<u>i1</u>) communicate the health hazards of cigarettes and tobacco products, <u>or-alcoholic beverages or Sugar-Sweetened Beverages</u>, (<u>ii2</u>) encourage people not to smoke or to stop smoking, or encourage people not to drink <u>alcoholic</u> or to stop drinking alcohol <u>or Sugar-Sweetened Beverages</u>, or (<u>iii3</u>) provide or publicize drug or alcohol treatment or rehabilitation services.

- (c) All leases, permits or agreements awarded by the City and County of San Francisco allowing any person to use City property shall specifically provide that there shall be no advertising of cigarettes or tobacco products, <code>ef</code> alcoholic beverages, <code>or Sugar-Sweetened</code> <code>Beverages</code> as set forth in this Section 4.20. Thisese prohibitions on advertising shall only apply to those leases, permits or agreements entered into, renewed, or materially amended from and after the effective date of thise ordinance <code>establishing the prohibition</code>.
- (d) City property used for operation of a restaurant, concert or sports venue, or other facility or event where the sale, production or consumption of alcoholic beverages is permitted, shall be exempt from the alcoholic beverage advertising prohibition in subsections (a) through (c) above, and City property used for operation of a restaurant, concert or sports venue, or other facility or event where the sale or production of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages is permitted, shall be exempt from the Sugar-Sweetened Beverage advertising prohibition in subsections (a) through (c) above, but thiseither of these prohibitions may be made applicable by lease, permit or agreement.
  - (e) For purposes of this Section 4.20,

"Caloric Substance" means a substance that adds calories to the diet of a person who consumes that substance.

"Caloric Sweetener" means any Caloric Substance suitable for human consumption that humans perceive as sweet and includes, but is not limited to, sucrose, fructose, high fructose corn syrup, glucose and other sugars.

"Medical Food" means medical food as defined in Section 109971 of the California Health and Safety Code, including amendments to that Section.

"Milk" means natural liquid milk, natural milk concentrate or dehydrated natural milk (whether or not reconstituted) regardless of animal source or butterfat content. For purposes of this definition, "Milk" includes flavored milk containing no more than 40 grams of total sugar (naturally occurring and from added Caloric Sweetener) per 12 ounces.

"Natural Fruit Juice" means the original liquid resulting from the pressing of fruit, the liquid resulting from the complete reconstitution of natural fruit juice concentrate, or the liquid resulting from the complete restoration of water to dehydrated natural fruit juice.

"Natural Vegetable Juice" means the original liquid resulting from the pressing of vegetables, the liquid resulting from the complete reconstitution of natural vegetable juice concentrate, or the liquid resulting from the complete restoration of water to dehydrated natural vegetable juice.

"Nonalcoholic Beverage" means any beverage that is not subject to tax under Part 14 (commencing with Section 32001) of the California Revenue and Tax Code.

"Sugar-Sweetened Beverage" means any Nonalcoholic Beverage sold for human consumption that has one or more added Caloric Sweeteners and contains more than 25 calories per 12 ounces of beverage, or any powder or syrup with added Caloric Sweetener that is used for mixing, compounding, or making Sugar-Sweetened Beverages. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, "Sugar-Sweetened Beverage" does not include any of the following:

(1) Milk.

additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance. APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney By: **Deputy City Attorney** n:\legana\as2015\1500621\00998347.doc 

#### **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Administrative Code - Prohibiting Advertisements for Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on City Property]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit advertising of sugarsweetened beverages on City property.

#### **Existing Law**

Existing law prohibits advertising cigarettes or tobacco products or alcoholic beverages on property owned by or under the control of the City and requires all leases, permits or agreements awarded by the City that allow any person to use City property to specifically provide that there shall be no advertising of cigarettes or tobacco products or alcoholic beverages on such property, subject in each case to certain limited exceptions for alcoholic beverage advertising.

#### Amendments to Current Law

The proposed legislation would include a prohibition against advertising Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on property owned by or under the control of the City.

n:\legana\as2015\1500621\00998266.doc

1415-RBM-15

(415) 554-6446

(415) 554-6140 FAX

www.sfgov.org/youth\_commission

## Youth Commission

City Hall ~ Room 345 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4532

# YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO:

Derek Evans, Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee Clerk

FROM:

Youth Commission

DATE:

Wednesday April 7, 2015

RE:

Referral response to BOS Files Numbers: 150241, 150243, 150245, 150252

At our regular meeting of **Monday, April 6, 2015** the Youth Commission voted unanimously to support the following four motions:

**To support BOS File No. 150241 –** Administrative Code - Prohibiting Advertisements for Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on City Property

**To support BOS File No. 150243** – Administrative Code - Barring City Departments from Purchasing and City Contractors from Selling or Distributing Sugar-Sweetened Beverages

**To support BOS File No. 150245 –** Health Code - Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Warning for Advertisements

**To support BOS File No. 150252** – Resolution Supporting California Senate Bill 203 (Monning) - Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Safety Warning Act

Youth commissioners support for these pieces of legislation follows on their previous support of efforts to curb sugary drink consumption to improve public health outcomes for young people, including their 2014 support of the proposed soda tax legislation and their participation in the Open Truth social media campaign.

Youth Commissioners thank the Board of Supervisors for their attention to this issue. If you have any questions about these recommendations, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (415) 554-6446, or your Youth Commissioner.

Chair, Michel Li

Adopted on April 6, 2015

2014-2015 San Francisco Youth Commission

#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**

**No Comment** 

**Recommendation Attached** 

TO:

Youth Commission



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

FROM:	Derek Evans, Assistant Clerk
DATE:	April 3, 2015
SUBJECT:	REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
,	
Youth Comi	of Supervisors has received the following legislation. At the request of the mission, this item is being referred as per Charter Section 4.124 for and recommendation. The Commission may provide any response it opriate within 12 days from the date of this referral.
File No. 150	241
	amending the Administrative Code to prohibit advertising of sugar- beverages on City property.
	n this cover sheet with the Commission's response to <b>Derek Evans</b> , c Safety & Neighborhood Services Committee.
******	**************************************
RESPONSE	FROM YOUTH COMMISSION Date:

Chairperson, Youth Commission

#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Barbara A. Garcia, Department of Public Health

Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Small Business Commission

Nicole Wheaton, Office of the Mayor

FROM:

Derek Evans, Assistant Committee Clerk

DATE:

March 16, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee has received the following legislation, which is being referred to your department.

File No. 150241

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit advertising of sugar-sweetened beverages on City property.

If you wish to submit any comments or reports, please forward those to the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

cc:

Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health Colleen Chawla, Department of Public Health

A nonpartisan, nonprofit organization established by the Northern and Southern California Public Health Associations



Post Office Box 2309
Davis, California 95617
Telephone 530.297.6000
Fax 530.297-6200
www.PublicHealthAdvocacy.org

May 28, 2015

Jane Kim
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
Room 244

Re: Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Advertising and Purchasing Legislation

Dear Supervisor Jane Kim:

The California Center for Public Health Advocacy (CCPHA) supports the legislation put forth by San Francisco Supervisors Malia Cohen, Eric Mar and Scott Wiener to address the epidemic of type two diabetes, obesity and other diseases related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. Their legislation will ban sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements on public property, require health warnings on all posted sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements, and ban city spending on sugar-sweetened beverages. These important policies will send a clear message that San Francisco understands the impact the consumption of these beverages has on its residents, will improve the health of people living in San Francisco, and will serve as a model for the rest of the country to follow.

CCPHA is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization that tackles the underlying factors that perpetuate childhood obesity and diabetes. We advocate for policy change simultaneously at state and local levels, we network local efforts together for statewide impact, and we support our work with grassroots organizing, strategic research, media advocacy, and direct lobbying. We successfully helped establish nutrition standards for foods in schools and helped California become the first state to require menu labeling. We also have a history of working toward requiring health warning labels on sugar-sweetened beverages at the state level.

The facts related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages are clear. One-third of today's youth—and one-half of African-American and Hispanic youth—will become diabetic if actions aren't taken. Drinking just one 12 ounce can of soda a day can increase your chance of getting type 2 diabetes by 25%. This is a public health crisis, and local policy leaders must take the lead to put forth innovative solutions to address the consumption of these drinks.

Please join supervisors Cohen, Mar and Wiener in their efforts to improve the health of San Francisco by voting to support these important measures. These are vital policies to the future health of San Francisco and the rest of the country.

Sincerely,

Harold Goldstein Executive Director

four of horon

California Center for Public Health Advocacy

A nonpartisan, nonprofit organization established by the Northern and Southern California Public Health Associations



Post Office Box 2309
Davis, California 95617
Telephone 530.297.6000
Fax 530.297-6200
www.PublicHealthAdvocacy.org

May 28, 2015

Norman Yee San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244

Re: Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Advertising and Purchasing Legislation

Dear Supervisor Norman Yee:

The California Center for Public Health Advocacy (CCPHA) supports the legislation put forth by San Francisco Supervisors Malia Cohen, Eric Mar and Scott Wiener to address the epidemic of type two diabetes, obesity and other diseases related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. Their legislation will ban sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements on public property, require health warnings on all posted sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements, and ban city spending on sugar-sweetened beverages. These important policies will send a clear message that San Francisco understands the impact the consumption of these beverages has on its residents, will improve the health of people living in San Francisco, and will serve as a model for the rest of the country to follow.

CCPHA is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization that tackles the underlying factors that perpetuate childhood obesity and diabetes. We advocate for policy change simultaneously at state and local levels, we network local efforts together for statewide impact, and we support our work with grassroots organizing, strategic research, media advocacy, and direct lobbying. We successfully helped establish nutrition standards for foods in schools and helped California become the first state to require menu labeling. We also have a history of working toward requiring health warning labels on sugar-sweetened beverages at the state level.

The facts related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages are clear. One-third of today's youth—and one-half of African-American and Hispanic youth—will become diabetic if actions aren't taken. Drinking just one 12 ounce can of soda a day can increase your chance of getting type 2 diabetes by 25%. This is a public health crisis, and local policy leaders must take the lead to put forth innovative solutions to address the consumption of these drinks.

Please join supervisors Cohen, Mar and Wiener in their efforts to improve the health of San Francisco by voting to support these important measures. These are vital policies to the future health of San Francisco and the rest of the country.

Sincerely,

Harold Goldstein Executive Director

California Center for Public Health Advocacy

Honor M horon

A nonpartisan, nonprofit organization established by the Northern and Southern California Public Health Associations



Post Office Box 2309

Fax 530.297-6200

Davis, California 95617

Telephone 530.297.6000

www.PublicHealthAdvocacy.org

Because health doesn't just happen

May 28, 2015

John Avalos San Francisco Board of Supervisors. San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244

Re: Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Advertising and Purchasing Legislation

Dear Supervisor John Avalos:

The California Center for Public Health Advocacy (CCPHA) supports the legislation put forth by San Francisco Supervisors Malia Cohen, Eric Mar and Scott Wiener to address the epidemic of type two diabetes, obesity and other diseases related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. Their legislation will ban sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements on public property, require health warnings on all posted sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements, and ban city spending on sugar-sweetened beverages. These important policies will send a clear message that San Francisco understands the impact the consumption of these beverages has on its residents, will improve the health of people living in San Francisco, and will serve as a model for the rest of the country to follow.

CCPHA is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization that tackles the underlying factors that perpetuate childhood obesity and diabetes. We advocate for policy change simultaneously at state and local levels, we network local efforts together for statewide impact, and we support our work with grassroots organizing, strategic research, media advocacy, and direct lobbying. We successfully helped establish nutrition standards for foods in schools and helped California become the first state to require menu labeling. We also have a history of working toward requiring health warning labels on sugar-sweetened beverages at the state level.

The facts related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages are clear. One-third of today's youth—and one-half of African-American and Hispanic youth—will become diabetic if actions aren't taken. Drinking just one 12 ounce can of soda a day can increase your chance of getting type 2 diabetes by 25%. This is a public health crisis, and local policy leaders must take the lead to put forth innovative solutions to address the consumption of these drinks.

Please join supervisors Cohen, Mar and Wiener in their efforts to improve the health of San Francisco by voting to support these important measures. These are vital policies to the future health of San Francisco and the rest of the country.

Sincerely,

Harold Goldstein **Executive Director** 

California Center for Public Health Advocacy

Honor M koron

From: Calvillo, Angela (BOS)

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 3:42 PM

To: Somera, Alisa (BOS)

Subject: FW: Please Support Sugary Drinks Purchasing and Advertising Legislation

For the sugary drink file please. Thank you

Angela

From: Sheffield Hale [mailto:sheffieldhale@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, May 25, 2015 10:43 AM To: Breed, London (BOS); BreedStaff, (BOS)

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Wiener, Scott **Subject:** Please Support Sugary Drinks Purchasing and Advertising Legislation

Dear Board President Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I, Sheffield Hale, support the legislation put forth by San Francisco Supervisors Malia Cohen, Eric Mar and Scott Wiener to address the epidemic of type two diabetes, obesity and other diseases related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages.

The proposed legislation will ban sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements on public property, require health warnings on all posted sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements, and ban city spending on sugar-sweetened beverages. These important policies will send a clear message that San Francisco understands the impact the consumption of these beverages has on its residents and will improve the health of people living in San Francisco. The message will extend beyond San Francisco to the rest of the nation. These policies will serve as models for the rest of the country to follow.

The facts related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages are clear. One-third of today's youth—and one-half of African-American and Hispanic youth—will become diabetic if actions aren't taken. Drinking just one 12 ounce can of soda a day can increase your chance of getting type 2 diabetes by 25%.

Sugar sweetened beverages are the largest single source of added sugars in the American diet, are less satiating than solid food, and contain little or no nutritional value. Numerous studies in adults and youth link the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages with weight gain, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and heart disease. In fact, sugar sweetened beverages are the only beverage or food that has been directly linked to obesity.

Despite recent declines, consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages remains excessively high. In 2010, the average American adult consumed 151 calories per day from sugar-sweetened beverages. Certain populations derive a much larger proportion of calories from sugar-sweetened beverages than others. For instance, adolescent males (ages 12-17) consume, on average, nearly 300 calories a day, or 15% of their recommended daily calorie allowance, from sugarsweetened beverages. Soda, energy and sports drinks, sweetened water, fruit drinks, and sweetened coffee—all drinks that would be subject to the fee—are the largest source of daily calories for adolescents in the US. In addition, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption is highest among groups that have the highest risk of type 2 diabetes and obesity — low income people, Latinos and African Americans.

These high levels of consumption are driven by the extensive and aggressive industry marketing tactics. Moreover, this advertising targets the most vulnerable. For example, Latino and African American children see significantly more ads for sugar drinks and dollars spent on targeted marketing to Latinos and African Americans has increased sharply in recent years. While awareness of the adverse health effects of sugary sodas in increasing, many fewer people are aware that consuming sugary sports drinks and fruit drinks is no different from drinking sodas.

Thus the three measures are perfectly on target by addressing aggressive advertising of a product harmful to health and increasing awareness of the range of sugar-sweetened beverages and their health impacts.

This is a public health crisis, and local policy leaders must take the lead to put forth innovative solutions to address the consumption of these drinks.

Please join supervisors Cohen, Mar and Wiener in their efforts to improve the health of San Francisco by voting to support these important measures. These are vital policies to the future health of San Francisco and the rest of the country.

Sincerely, Sheffield Hale

340 Church St, SF, CA

## Action for Healthy Food

1218 Third Ave, Suite 505 Seattle, WA 98101 May 13, 2015

London Breed President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244

Re: Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Advertising and Purchasing Legislation

Dear Board President Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Action for Healthy Food (AFHF) supports the legislation put forth by San Francisco Supervisors Malia Cohen, Eric Mar, and Scott Wiener to address the epidemic of type 2 diabetes, obesity, and other diseases related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages.

The proposed legislation will ban sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements on public property, require health warnings on all posted sugar-sweetened beverage advertisements, and ban city spending on sugar-sweetened beverages. These important policies will send a clear message that San Francisco understands the impact the consumption of these beverages has on its residents and will improve the health of people living in San Francisco. The message will extend beyond San Francisco to the rest of the nation. These policies will serve as models for the rest of the country to follow.

AFHF is a national organization that promotes healthy food policy as a means towards increasing consumption and availability of healthy foods and beverages while decreasing consumption of unhealthy ones. The three proposed policies are innovative and necessary. We will follow closely the progress made in San Francisco and share it with our partners across the country, including local and state governments, foundations, health advocacy organizations, public health agencies, and others.

The facts related to the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages are clear. One-third of today's youth—and one-half of African American and Hispanic youth—will become diabetic if actions aren't taken. Drinking just one 12 ounce can of soda a day can increase your chance of getting type 2 diabetes by 25%. Sugar-sweetened beverages are the largest single source of added sugars in the American diet, are less satiating than solid food, and contain little or no nutritional value. Numerous studies in adults and youth link the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages with weight gain, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and heart disease. In fact, sugar sweetened beverages are the only beverage or food that has been directly linked to obesity.

Despite recent declines, consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages remains excessively high. In 2010, the average American adult consumed 151 calories per day from sugar-sweetened beverages. Certain populations derive a much larger proportion of calories from sugar-sweetened beverages than others. For instance, adolescent males (ages 12-17) consume, on average, nearly 300 calories a day, or 15% of their recommended daily calorie allowance, from sugar-sweetened beverages. Soda, energy and sports drinks, sweetened water, fruit drinks, and sweetened coffee—all drinks that would be subject to the fee—are the largest source of daily calories for adolescents in the US. In addition, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption is highest among groups that have the highest risk of type 2 diabetes and obesity — low-income people, Hispanics, and African Americans.

These high levels of consumption are driven by the extensive and aggressive industry marketing tactics. Moreover, this advertising targets the most vulnerable. For example, Hispanic and African American children see significantly more ads for sugar drinks and dollars spent on targeted marketing to Hispanics and African Americans has increased sharply in recent years.

While awareness of the adverse health effects of sugary sodas is increasing, fewer people are aware that consuming sugary sports drinks and fruit drinks is no different from drinking sodas.

Thus the three measures are perfectly on target by addressing aggressive advertising of a product harmful to health and increasing awareness of the range of sugar-sweetened beverages and their health impacts.

This is a public health crisis, and local policy leaders must take the lead to put forth innovative solutions to address the consumption of these drinks.

Please join supervisors Cohen, Mar, and Wiener in their efforts to improve the health of San Franciscans by voting to support these important measures. These are vital policies to the future health of San Francisco and the rest of the country.

Sincerely,

James Krieger, MD, MPH Executive Director

Action for Healthy Food

CC/

Malia Cohen, Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors Eric Mar, Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors Scott Wiener, Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors Angela Calvillo, Clerk, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

# President, District 5 BOARD of SUPERVISORS



BOSII, COB, Leg Dep.

Dep.Ortyatty, PSNS,

City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco 94102-4689

Tel. No. 554-7630

Fax No. 554-7634

TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

### **London Breed**

## PRESIDENTIAL ACTION

Date:

5/5/15

To:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Madam C	lerk, to Board Rules, I am hereby:			
	Waiving 30-Day Rule (Board Rule N	o. 3.23)		
	File No.	_		
	Title.	(Primary Sponsor)	:	
X	Transferring (Board Rule No. 3.3)			
·	File No. <u>150241</u>	Cohen (Primary Sponsor)		
	Title. Ordinance amending th	ne AdministratiAdverti	si E	
	From: Public Safety & Neigh	borhood Services	_ Committee	
	To: Land Use & Transpor	tation	Committee	
	Assigning Temporary Committee	e Appointment (Board R	ule No. 3.1)	
	Supervisor			
	Replacing Supervisor			
	For: (Date)	(Committee)	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	_Meeting

London Breed, President Board of Supervisors Print Form

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
<ul><li>I. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter A</li></ul>	mendment)
<ul> <li>2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.</li> </ul>	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the Small Business Commission  Youth Commission  Ethi	ne following: cs Commission
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Co	ommission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Im	perative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Cohen	
Subject:	
Administrative Code — Prohibiting Advertisements for Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on	City Property
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit advertising of sugar-sweetened	l beverages on City property.
m 1 A	<i></i>
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	"Ner
For Clerk's Use Only:	

150241