File No. <u>150220</u>Co Bo

Committee Item No. 1 Board Item No. 9

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date May 14, 2015 Committee: Rules Committee Date (UNE 9 2015 **Board of Supervisors Meeting Cmte Board** Motion Resolution Ordinance . Legislative Digest **Budget and Legislative Analyst Report** Youth Commission Report **Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report** Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) **Grant Information Form Grant Budget** Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Vacancy Notice **Information Sheet Public Correspondence OTHER** (Use back side if additional space is needed) \_\_\_\_\_ . . . \_\_\_\_\_

Completed by:Alisa SomeraDateMay 8, 2015Completed by:Alisa SomeraDateMay 28, 2015505

• .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## ORDINANCE NO.

[Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Disclosure Requirements for Draft Committees] Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code by adding Section 1.160, to impose disclosure requirements on draft committees that support a person for City elective office who has not qualified as a candidate. NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. The Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code is hereby amended by adding Section 1.160, to read as follows: SEC. 1.160. DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR DRAFT COMMITTEES. Definitions. Whenever in this Section the following words or phrases are used, they (a) shall mean: "Draft committee" shall mean any person, group of persons, or entity that either receives contributions of \$1,000 or more or makes expenditures of \$1,000 or more, in order to support the qualification or election of an identifiable person to City elective office who has not qualified as a candidate. "Primarily formed committee" shall be defined as set forth in the California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82047.5. "Support" shall mean any public actions or statements encouraging or urging an identifiable person to declare as a candidate for City elective office. The Ethics Commission may adopt regulations further defining these terms.

Page 1

(b) Filing requirements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

(1) Draft committees shall file campaign finance-related filings, reports, or statements required by either state or local law for a primarily formed committee supporting a candidate seeking the same City elective office.

(2) If the identifiable person supported by a draft committee qualifies as a candidate for City elective office, the committee shall continue to file, as required by either state or local law, as a primarily formed committee supporting that candidate.

Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 3. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: **DENNIS J. HEBRERA, City Attorney** 

By: ANDREW SHEN

ANDREW SHEN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2015\1500618\00995191.doc

#### FILE NO. 150220

#### LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Disclosure Requirements for Draft Committees]

Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code by adding Section 1.160, to impose disclosure requirements on draft committees that support a person for City elective office who has not qualified as a candidate.

#### Existing Law

Existing law does not specifically address whether committees that seek to "draft" a candidate for City elective office must disclose their financial activity.

#### Amendments to Current Law

The proposed legislation would require "draft committees," political committees that support the qualification or election of an identifiable person to City elective office who has not qualified as a candidate, to file campaign statements as "primarily formed committees." To qualify as a draft committee, the committee would also be required to either receive contributions of \$1,000 or more or make expenditures of \$1,000 or more.

If the identifiable person supported by a draft committee qualifies as a candidate for City elective office, the draft committee would be required to continue to file as a primarily formed committee supporting that candidate, unless it formally terminates its activities.

#### Background Information

A "primarily formed committee" is a political committee that supports or opposes a single candidate or measure, or a group of measures or candidates appearing on the same ballot. Cal. Gov. Code § 82047.5; 2 C.C.R. § 18247.5(a). Under state law, primarily formed committees must file preelection statements with the Ethics Commission and comply with specific committee naming requirements. *Id.* § 18247.5(b)-(c).

Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code section 1.103, the Board of Supervisors may amend the campaign finance provisions of the Code if:

(a) The amendment furthers the purposes of this Chapter;

(b) The Ethics Commission approves the proposed amendment in advance by at least a four-fifths vote of all its members;

## FILE NO. 150220

(c) The proposed amendment is available for public review at least 30 days before the amendment is considered by the Board of Supervisors or any committee of the Board of Supervisors; and

(d) The Board of Supervisors approves the proposed amendment by at least a twothirds vote of all its members.

On November 26, 2012, the Ethics Commission unanimously approved the proposed legislation.

n:\legana\as2015\1500618\00995296.doc.



# ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BENEDICT Y. HUR CHAIRPERSON

To:

Re:

From:

JAMIENNE S. STUDLEY VICE-CHAIRPERSON

> BEVERLY HAYON COMMISSIONER

DOROTHY S. LIU COMMISSIONER

PAUL A. RENNE COMMISSIONER

JOHN ST. CROIX EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR August 27, 2012

Members, Ethics Commission

John St. Croix, Executive Director By: Mabel Ng, Deputy Executive Director

Proposed legislation to impose disclosure requirements on draft committees

#### Background

At its August 8, 2011 meeting, the Ethics Commission considered the status of two campaign committees, one called the "Draft Ed Lee Committee," which registered as a primarily formed committee; and another called "Progress for All," which initially registered as a general purpose committee. "Progress for All" funded the "Run, Ed, Run" campaign, which focused on convincing then interim-Mayor Ed Lee to run for election as Mayor in the November 2011 election. Because news reports indicated that the committee functioned as a primarily formed committee that existed solely to support Mayor Lee's potential candidacy,<sup>1</sup> the Executive Director instructed Progress for All to refile as a primarily formed committee.

Mayor Lee was not listed on the ballot, nor had he received any contributions or made any expenditures in support of his election. In other words, he did not meet the definition of being a "candidate" under the law. *See* Cal. Gov't Code § 82007. And because the Commission determined that Mayor Lee was not a "candidate" at that time, there was no basis to require Progress for All to refile as a primarily formed committee.

In making its determination, however, the Commission instructed staff to propose amendments to the City's campaign finance laws that would require a committee promoting an individual as a candidate to the voters to file reports and disclose its activities with the Commission as a primarily formed committee. This memo presents staff's recommendations to create such a requirement.

Both general purpose committees and primarily formed committees are recipient committees that generally file semi-annual statements due January 31 and July 31 to disclose campaign activities of the past six months. Local committees also file pre-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A primarily formed committee is a committee formed or existing primarily to support or oppose, (a) a single candidate, (b) a single measure, (c) a group of specific candidates being voted upon in the same city, county, or multicounty or state election, or (d) two or more measures being voted upon in the same city, county, multicounty, or state election. See Calif. Gov't Code § 82047.5.

election reports and late contribution and late independent expenditure reports, if applicable. The distinguishing feature of a primarily formed committee, however, is that it must file in the jurisdiction where the candidate or measure it is primarily formed to support or oppose normally files its campaign statements. *See* 2 Cal. Code Regs § 18247.5. Thus, a primarily formed committee supporting a candidate for City elective office would be required to file with the Ethics Commission; a general purpose committee that makes expenditures to support a candidate for City elective office need not be required to file with the Ethics Commission.

The proposed changes are to the Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance (CFRO), San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code section 1.100 et seq. (C&GC Code). The Board of Supervisors (Board) may amend the CFRO if (a) the amendment furthers the purposes of the CFRO, (b) the Ethics Commission approves the proposed amendment in advance by at least a four-fifths vote of all its members; (c) the proposed amendment is available for public review at least 30 days before the amendment is considered by the Board or any committee of the Board; and (d) the Board approves the proposed amendment by at least a two-thirds vote of all its members. C&GC Code § 1.103.

#### Discussion

The proposed amendments establish a new section in the CFRO, section 1.160, which has two subsections, one setting forth definitions and the other the filing requirements.

1. Proposed section 1.160(a)

Section 1.160(a) contains three definitions and a provision that the Ethics Commission may adopt regulations further defining those terms.

The term "draft committee" under section 1.160(a)(1) is perhaps the most critical definition here. Under staff's proposal, any person, group of persons, or entity that receives at least \$1,000 in contributions or makes \$1,000 in expenditures to support the election of an identifiable person who is *not* yet a candidate will be subject to reporting requirements. The language that describes "identifiable person" in the proposal generally tracks language that defines "candidate" under state law. Under the legislation, a committee that receives contributions or makes expenditures to support the election of *an identifiable person who has not yet qualified as a candidate* will have the same filing obligations as a primarily formed committee that receives contributions or makes expenditures to support a declared candidate. The "draft committee" must register as a committee and file reports disclosing contributions and expenditures during set time periods, just like other primarily formed committees that support candidates.

The term "support" is defined as any public actions or statements encouraging or urging an identifiable person to declare as a candidate for City elective office.

The term "primarily formed committee" is as defined in California Government Code section 82047.5, which is: "a committee pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 82013 which is formed or exists primarily to support or oppose any of the following: (a) A single candidate.

(b) A single measure.

(c) A group of specific candidates being voted upon in the same city, county, or multicounty election.

(d) Two or more measures being voted upon in the same city, county, multicounty, or state election."<sup>2</sup>

#### **Decision Point 1**

Shall the Commission approve the proposed new language in section 1.160(a) of the CFRO, as set forth on page 1, lines 13-24 of the draft amendments?

#### 2. Proposed section 1.160(b)

Section 1.160(b) sets forth the filing requirements for the draft committees. Under the proposal, a draft committee would be subject to the same state or local filing requirements that apply to a primarily formed committee that supports a candidate seeking the same City elective office. Generally speaking, this means that the draft committee must file its statement of organization as a primarily formed committee. Thereafter, the draft committee would file the FPPC Form 460 on a periodic basis, as required by law, to disclose contributions received and expenditures made.

#### **Decision Point 2**

Shall the Commission approve the proposed new language in section 1.160(b) of the CFRO, as set forth on page 1, line 25 – page 2, line 7 of the draft amendments?

(Regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission, Title 2, Division 6, California Code of Regulations)

#### § 18247.5. Primarily Formed Committees.

(a) A "primarily formed" committee, as defined in Section 82047.5 and this regulation, is a recipient committee under Section 82013(a) that supports or opposes a single candidate or measure, or a specific group of measures or local candidates on the same ballot. (In contrast, a "general purpose" committee, defined in Section 82027.5 and Regulation 18227.5, supports multiple candidates or ballot measures.)

(b) Filing. Under Section 84215, a primarily formed committee files in the jurisdiction where the candidate(s) or measure(s) it is primarily formed to support or oppose normally file(s) their campaign statements.

(c) Special Requirements for Primarily Formed Committees. In addition to other applicable provisions of the Act and regulations, a primarily formed committee must automatically file preelection statements under Section 84200.5 and 84200.7 or 84200.8; must comply with the committee name and identification of donors requirements of Sections 84107, 84503 and 84504, if supporting or opposing a ballot measure; must comply with the committee name rules of Regulation 18402(c)(3) if supporting or opposing candidate(s); must file online 90-day reports under Section 85309 if supporting or opposing a state ballot measure; must file 16-day reports of contributions received under Section 82036; and is subject to mandatory audit if a state committee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fair Political Practices Commission Regulation 18247.5 provides further information about primarily formed committees. The regulation is set forth at the end of this memo.

(d) Definition. For purposes of Section 82047.5, a recipient committee under Section 82013(a) is considered to be "formed or existing primarily to support or oppose" a candidate or measure if:
(1) The committee is created for the purpose of or is involved in running the principal campaign for or against the candidate(s) or measures(s) as listed in subdivision (d)(4) below; or

(2) The committee's primary purpose and activities are to support or oppose candidate(s) or measure(s) as listed in subdivision (d)(4) below; or

(3) The committee makes more than 70 percent of its total contributions and expenditures on all candidates and measures (not including administrative overhead) on those specific candidates(s) or measure(s) as listed in subdivision (d)(4) below, during the time period specified in subdivision (e)(3).

(4)(A) A single candidate. A committee formed or existing primarily to support or oppose a single candidate includes a committee that makes contributions and expenditures for a particular candidate and against that candidate's opponent(s).

(B) A single measure.

(C) A group of specific candidates being voted upon in the same city, county, or multicounty election.

(D) Two or more measures being voted upon in the same city, county, multicounty, or state election.(e) Review.

(1) A committee that has reason to know it is close to triggering the applicable threshold for changing status because its spending is concentrated on candidate(s) or measure(s) as listed in subparagraphs (d)(4)(A), (B), (C) or (D), shall determine whether it is primarily formed quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December.

(2) Newly organized committees.

(A) A committee that files its initial statement of organization within six months of an election in connection with which the committee makes contributions and expenditures shall determine whether it is primarily formed at the end of each month prior to the election unless the committee has not made contributions and/or expenditures of \$1,000 or more to support or oppose candidates or measures during that month.

(B) A committee that files its initial statement of organization within six months of a statewide primary or general election or within 30 days after a declaration calling a special election for a state elective office or measure and makes at least \$25,000 in independent expenditures to support or oppose a state candidate or state measure(s) as listed in subparagraphs (d)(4)(A), (B), or (D), is presumed to be, and shall report as, a primarily formed committee. This presumption can be rebutted when the committee's contributions and expenditures on multiple candidates or measures in different jurisdictions or elections demonstrate that it is not primarily formed and the committee may amend its statement of organization to identify itself as a general purpose committee pursuant to Regulation 18227.5.

(3) For purposes of determining whether it is primarily formed under subdivision (d)(3), a committee shall count contributions and expenditures made to support or oppose candidates or measures during whichever of the following time periods most accurately reflects the current and upcoming activities of the committee:

(A) The immediately preceding 24 months; or

(B) The current two-year period, beginning with January 1 of an odd-numbered year and ending with December 31 of the following even-numbered year.

(f) File as Primarily Formed through the Election. A committee that is or becomes primarily formed within 90 days prior to an election shall maintain that status and file disclosure reports as a primarily formed committee up to the date of that election and continuing until the end of the post-election reporting period.

(g) Change of Status.

513

(1) Amend Statement of Organization. A recipient committee whose status changes from one jurisdiction to another, or between general purpose and primarily formed shall amend its statement of organization pursuant to Section 84103 to reflect the change. If, after filing reports with one jurisdiction, a committee changes jurisdiction, in addition to filing reports with a new filing officer, the committee must continue filing reports with the original filing officer through the end of the calendar year under Section 84215(g).

(2) An existing general purpose committee is not required to change its filing status to a primarily formed committee unless it meets the requirements in subdivision (d) and it makes at least \$100,000 of contributions and/or expenditures if supporting or opposing a state candidate or measure(s) listed in subparagraphs (d)(4)(A), (B), or (D), or at least \$10,000 of contributions and/or expenditures if supporting or opposing local candidate(s) or measure(s) listed in subparagraphs (d)(4)(A), (B), (C), or (D).

(3) Contributions from a general purpose committee to a primarily formed ballot measure or candidate committee shall not be included in the calculations required under subdivision (d)(3) if the sponsor of the general purpose committee is also a sponsor of the primarily formed committee.
(4) A committee that was primarily formed for the election of a candidate or measure, but after that election continues to exist to support or oppose different candidates or measures in the future, may remove the candidate or measure name from the committee name and change its status following the election, as long as the committee is not raising funds to pay debt from the election, except as provided in subdivision (f).

(h) Avoidance of Disclosure. A committee shall not knowingly file in an incorrect jurisdiction or as an incorrect type of committee, with the intention of avoiding the appropriate legal disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures to the public.

Note: Authority cited: Section 83112, Government Code. Reference: Section 82047.5, Government Code.

S:\C.F.R.O\2012\Draft Committees\mem to EC re proposed legis 8.2012.doc

From: ∋nt: ⊥o: Subject: Sharyn Saslafsky <sasplanner@gmail.com> Friday, May 08, 2015 8:19 AM Somera, Alisa (BOS); Jeremy.Pollack@sfgov.org Adopt requirements for "Draft Committees"

Rules Committee San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall

Members, Rules Committee:

The legislation to require "Draft Committees" to file public disclosure of their donors and spending is important and I urge that it be approved. The transparency of efforts to engage in political activity, intended to draft a candidate to run for office is at he core of our democratic values. This measure was approved by the San Francisco Ethics Commission in 2013 but was never scheduled at the Board of Supervisors. It should be passed now.

Thank you for you attention to this important issue.

Best regards, Sharyn Saslafsky <u>sasplanner@gmail.com</u>

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: joe@kellyandiribarren.com Friday, May 08, 2015 9:43 AM Somera, Alisa (BOS) Pollock, Jeremy (BOS) Draft Committee Legislation

Hello, I am writing to urge you to continue your efforts to draft this important Campaign Finance measure.

Draft Committees are playing a major role if not The Major role in deciding who runs for top tier offices in San Francisco. This measure in the form of Legislation will greatly increase the public's understanding of who is paying for people to become candidates . This type of legislation is overdue in San Francisco.

Thank you for your efforts on this item.

Joe Kelly

Kelly & Iribarren Insurance Services, LLC 1294 9th Ave #1 San Francisco, CA 94122 Phone 415. 665-8100 | Fax 415.665-8102

This message and any attachments (the "message") is intended solely for the addressees and is confidential. If you receive this message in error, please delete it and immediately notify the sender. Any use not in accord with its purpose, any dissemination or disclosure, either whole or partial, is prohibited except formal approval.

From: ∍nt: ≀o: Cc: Subject: Bob Planthold <political\_bob@att.net> Saturday, May 09, 2015 4:53 PM Somera, Alisa (BOS) Bob Planthold Adopt requirements for "Draft "x" committees

Rules Committee SF Board of Supervisors Re: Item 1 on 14 May agenda

The issue of committees being formed to "draft" a person into formally becoming a candidate for office was addressed by the SF Ethics Commission over 2-1/2 years ago, yet never passed along to the Board of Supervisors. As a former officer of the SF Ethics Commission, that neglect rankles.

I commend Supervisor John Avalos for realizing and addressing this oversight.

Requiring so-called "draft 'x' committees" to file, disclose donor information, and committee spending information is important because it provides the public with comprehensive information on which to evaluate any actual candidate for elective office in San Francisco.

Transparency on candidacy information, from start to finish, is beneficial to all.

jincerely,

Bob Planthold

P.S.: On Sat. 9 May, I notice that some support letters already are in the Rules Committee packet for its 14 May meeting.

Since my e-mail is arriving in the inbox of the Rules Committee clerk on 9 May, and since that is before the normal deadline for having correspondence included in a committee packet, I hope my support letter also gets included in the final version of the 14 May Rules Committee packet.

Bob P.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: LARRY BUSH <sfwtrail@mac.com> Monday, May 11, 2015 1:47 PM Pollock, Jeremy (BOS) Somera, Alisa (BOS) File 150220 Draft Committees

Supervisors, Rules Committee

I am writing to strong support your approval of the ordinance establishing disclosure requirements for "draft committees."

This was unanimously approved by the Ethics Commission in 2012. It has been forwarded to the Board this year.

Currently there is no disclosure for these committees that exist to encourage a specific person to file as a candidate for office. As such, all their activity — their donors, their spending, and their activities — operate in a stealth manner. The public has no information to discern whether a special interest is trying to launch a potential candidacy, or whether the funding is heavily weighted toward one or more influence seekers.

This measure requires that these committees report their donors and spending during the election cycle. It does not, as actual candidate committees do, prohibit any donor source such as city contractors and it does not limit the amount any individual or entity can donate to the committees. Because of this, it is all the more important that the minimal information be reported as this ordinance would do.

The approval of this ordinance is strongly supported by Friends of Ethics, an alliance of former Ethics Commissioners, members of the most recent San Francisco Civil Grand Jury, good government advocates from groups like Common Cause, and others with an interest and commitment to transparent and ethical government.

We strongly urge its approval and adoption by the Board.

Sincerely yours,

Larry Bush for Friends of Ethics **BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

# MEMORANDUM

TO: John Arntz, Director, Department of Elections John St. Croix, Executive Director, Ethics Commission

FROM: Alisa Somera, Clerk, Rules Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: March 10, 2015

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Avalos on March 3, 2015. This matter is being referred to you for informational purposes since it affects your department.

## File No. 150220

Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, by adding Section 1.160, to impose disclosure requirements on committees that support a person for City elective office who has not qualified as a candidate.

If you wish to submit any reports or documentation to be considered with the legislation, please send those to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Prin		
	<b>Introduction Form</b>	
	By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor	
I he	reby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
$\boxtimes$	1. For reference to Committee.	
	An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
	2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	· .
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	] inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.	· · · · ·
	9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
$\Box_{.}$	10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	·
	11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:		
Notes	<ul> <li>Planning Commission</li> <li>Building Inspection Commission</li> <li>For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative</li> </ul>	1
Sponsor(s):		
Supervisors Avalos, Mar		
Subject:		
Ordinance - Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Disclosure Requirements for Draft Committees		
The text is listed below or attached:		
$\sim 0^{1}$		
High		
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:		

For Clerk's Use Only:

.