

File Number: _____
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program – 4th St Bridge Legal Settlement
2. Department: Public Works
3. Contact Person: Simone Jacques Telephone: 554-6912
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$11,420,360
6. a. Matching Funds Required: \$ 2,855,090
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): General Fund
7. a. Grant Source Agency: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Caltrans
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

CONTRACT CLAIM AWARD (SETTLED AMOUNT)	\$ 14,950,000
Non-Participating Muni Share	\$ (2,334,721)
TOTAL PARTICIPATING CONSTRUCTION SETTLEMENT	\$ 12,615,279
Claims Defense Costs for consultant services, engineering, project management and attorneys	\$ 1,660,171
TOTAL PARTICIPATING AMOUNT	\$ 14,275,450
Federal Share (80%)	\$ 11,420,360

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
Start-Date: n/a End-Date: n/a
10.
 - a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: These funds are reimbursing legal settlement costs paid by the city and County of San Francisco related to the 4th Street Bridge Seismic Retrofit project.
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? n/a
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? n/a
 - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? n/a

11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
 Yes No
- b. If yes, how much? \$60,809.47
- c. How was the amount calculated? Amount was calculated using the Department's indirect cost plan
- d. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
 Not allowed by granting agency To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
 Other (please explain):
- e. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

This grant is reimbursing legal settlement costs paid by the city and County of San Francisco related to the 4th Street Bridge Seismic Retrofit project. The project was completed in 2006 and the legal settlement paid in 2012.

****Disability Access Checklist***(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

KEVIN W. JENSEN

(Name)

ADA / DISABILITY ACCESS COORDINATOR

(Title)

Date Reviewed: 26 MAY 2015



(Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:


Mohammed Nuru

(Name)

Director of Public Works

(Title)

Date Reviewed: 5/29/15



(Signature Required)