

File Number: _____
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Proposition 84 Round 2 Integrated Regional Water Management Implementation Grants
2. Department: Airport Commission
3. Contact Person: Mark Costanzo Telephone: (650) 821-7809
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$750,000
6. a. Matching Funds / Cost Share Required: \$5,285,847
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): General Airport Revenue Bonds
7. a. Grant Source Agency: California Department of Water Resources (DWR)
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

On behalf of numerous local public agencies including the San Francisco International Airport, ABAG applied for a Proposition 84 Integrated Regional Water Management Program Grant from the State of California, Department of Water Resources (DWR) to help fund the implementation and operation of 19 local, subregional, and regional water conservation, water recycling, ecosystem restoration, green infrastructure, and flood management-watershed projects to be carried out by the participating agencies.

DWR awarded ABAG a grant of \$20,000,000 in State funding, requiring an estimated \$14,428,997 in agency matching funds. Each of the participating agencies is responsible for the cost-share match of at least 25% required under the DWR agreement with ABAG. Expenditure of matching funds may include costs incurred since September 30, 2008.

DWR and ABAG entered into a grant agreement in July 2014, whereby State grant funds will be disbursed by DWR to ABAG, the fiscal agent for the grant funds, on a reimbursement basis. With Commission authorization, the SFPUC and ABAG will enter into a grant implementation agreement in which ABAG agrees to disburse the grant funds to the Airport for implementation of the (Tertiary) Recycled Water Plant in accordance with the implementation agreement.

This project includes upgrading the existing Mel Leong Tertiary Treatment Plant at the San Francisco International Airport (SFIA) to produce approximately 1.0 MGD of disinfected recycled water for unrestricted non-potable uses throughout the SFIA, including landscape irrigation and urban reuse. The treatment upgrade includes the combined use of membrane microfiltration and ultraviolet disinfection. The upgrade includes constructing new pipes, pumps, and water storage tanks in order to store the water onsite.

The estimated cost of the project for the purpose of the Grant Agreement is \$6,035,847, of which \$750,000 will be provided through the Grant funding. The SFIA cost share of \$5,285,847 will be paid from funds available in General Airport Revenue Bonds.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: January 1, 2015

End-Date:

The Recycled Water Plant is expected to be completed July 31, 2018. The duration of this agreement will terminate when the SFPUC's obligations under the Agreement have been fully satisfied, no later than December 31, 2018.

- 10. a.** Amount budgeted for contractual services: ~~\$5,938,447~~ \$6,035,847
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? Yes
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time

11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?

Yes No

b. 1. If yes, how much?

b. 2. How was the amount calculated?

c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

Not allowed by granting agency To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

Other (please explain):

c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

Indirect costs in the amount of \$97,400 are included in the overall project budget for project administration, planning, design and environmental documentation. Since the grant funding only accounts for a portion of the overall project budget, indirect costs were not allocated to the grant funding portion in order to maximize the use of grant funds on direct services.

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

Annual Post-Performance Reports are required by the State beginning with the first operational year of the completed project and will perpetuate for a total of 10 years after.

****Disability Access Checklist***(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

 Jorge Garcia

(Name)

 ADA Coordinator

(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____

(Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

 John L. Martin

(Name)

 Airport Director

(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____

(Signature Required)