

L A W Y E R S WWW.DLFLAWYERS.COM FORTY FIFTH FLOOR 707 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 TELEPHONE 213.943.6100 FACSIMILE 213.943.6101 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA OFFICE SACRAMENTO NEVADA OFFICE LAS VEGAS

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICEWASHINGTON, D.C.

July 20, 2015

VIA EMAIL AND FACSIMILE

Bos.legisltation@sfgov.org Fax (415 554-5163)

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Re:

Appeal of Adoption of Negative Declaration

Case No. 2014.0653E

Project Title: Agreement for Disposal of San Francisco Municipal Solid Waste at

Recology Hay Road Landfill in Solano County

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

This firm represents Solano County Orderly Growth Committee ("SCOGC") in its appeal of the adoption of a Negative Declaration for the Recology Hay Road Landfill Project (the "Project"). We are in receipt of the Planning Department's July 17, 2015 memorandum which states the Department of the Environment ("DOE") is in the process of revising its proposed agreement with Recology so that it purportedly no longer requires approval from the Board of Supervisors. The Department further advised that the approval by DOE of this revised agreement for the Project, which is expected on or about July 22, 2015, would be the Approval Action for purposes of a new appeal and appeal period, and that an appellate hearing will be scheduled in accordance following this future Approval Action and a new appeal.

In reliance on this representation, we will be not be submitting any further documents in support of our current appeal at this time. SCOGC objects to the mooting of the current appeal, and affirms for the record that it stands ready to appear on this appeal at a future date as the clerk may advise. As necessary, SCOGC would file, appear and present evidence on a new appeal.

Ms. Angela Calvillo July 20, 2015 Page 2

Moreover, SCOGC objects to any attempt by the DOE to "revise" the published contract in an attempt to avoid Board of Supervisors and public review. The contract and the flawed negative declaration raises significant issues of public concern and should be subject to full public scrutiny.

Very truly yours,

Joshua N. Levine of

DONGELL LAWRENCE FINNEY LLP

JNL:sd

1813-011/106330 2