E :1	a NI						
LII		umber : ovided by		d of Supervisors)			
					on Information Form tive July 2011)		
	•	se: Acc d grant		roposed Board of Supervis	ors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and		
Th	e fo	llowing	describes th	ne grant referred to in the a	ccompanying resolution:		
	1.	. Grant Title:		DONATION PURSUANT TO THE ORDER OF FINAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE ESTATE OF NGUEY WOO			
2.		Department:		San Francisco Police Department			
	3.	Conta	ct Person:	Katherine Chiu	Telephone: 415-837-7211		
	4.	. Grant (gift) Approval Status (check one):					
		[X] Ap	proved by f	unding agency	[] Not yet approved		
	5.	Amount of Grant (gift) Funding Approved or Applied for: \$54,972.09					
	6.	a. b.	1000	Funds Required: \$0 of matching funds (if applic	able):		
	7.	a.	Grant (gift)	Source Agency: ESTATE	E OF NGUEY WOO		
		b.	b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):				
	8.	Proposed Grant (gift) Project Summary: A check in the amount of \$54,972.09 to the San Francisco Police Department pursuant to the Order for Final Distribution of the estate of Nguey Woo was received from the Law Offices of Witherspoon & Siracusa					
	9.	Grant (gift) Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:					
		As this is a one-time donation, there is no schedule					
	10.	a. b. c. d.	Will contractif so, will contemprise	(LBE) requirements?			
	11.	. а. b.	[] Yes	udget include indirect costs [X] No es, how much? \$	s?		

2.

2.

b.

C.

C.

How was the amount calculated?

If no, why are indirect costs not included?

[X] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain):

If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? None

1

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: No

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)								
13. This Grant (gift) is intended for activities at (check all that apply):								
[] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[X] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)[] New Program(s) or Service(s)						
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:								
1. Having staff trained in I	now to provide reasonable modifica	ations in policies, practices and procedures;						
2. Having auxiliary aids a	2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;							
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.								
If such access would be tecl	If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:							
Comments:								
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:								
Penny Si (Name)								
(ivalile)								
Departmental ADA Coordina (Title)								
Date Reviewed: 5/7/15 (Signature Required)								
		(Signature Required)						
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:								
Gregory P. Suhr (Name)								
Chief of Police								
(Title)								
Date Reviewed: 5/8/15								
(Signature Required)								