File No. <u>150646</u>	Committee Item No. <u>9</u>
	Board Item No

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use & Transportation	Date <u>July 27, 2015</u>			
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date			
Cmte Board				
Motion   Resolution   Ordinance   Legislative Digest   Budget and Legislative Analys   Youth Commission Report   Introduction Form   Department/Agency Cover Let   MOU   Grant Information Form   Grant Budget   Subcontract Budget   Subcontract Budget   Contract/Agreement   Form 126 – Ethics Commissio   Award Letter   Application   Public Correspondence	ter and/or Report			
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)				
Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Date Completed by: Date	July 24, 2015			

#### SUBSTITUTED 7/21/2015 ORDINANCE NO.

[Administrative Code - Amendments to Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration

FILE NO. 150646

Ordinance]

1 2

3

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to: 1) prohibit, with certain exceptions, rent increases based on the addition of occupants even where a pre-existing rental agreement or lease permits such an increase; 2) prevent evictions based on the addition of occupants if the landlord has unreasonably refused the tenant's written request, including a refusal based on the amount of occupants allowed by the rental agreement or lease; 3) require landlords, after certain vacancies, to set the new base rent as the lawful rent in effect at the time of the vacancy; 4) require that there be a substantial violation of a lawful obligation or covenant of tenancy as a basis for the recovery of possession; 5) require a landlord, prior to seeking recovery of possession, to provide tenants an opportunity to cure the unauthorized addition of the tenant's family members to the tenant's unit; 6) prevent a landlord from seeking recovery of possession solely because the tenant is occupying a unit not authorized for residency; 7) require landlords to state in notices to vacate for certain good cause evictions the lawful rent for the unit at the time the notice is served; 8) require the Rent Board to prepare a form in English, Chinese, Spanish, Vietnamese, Tagalog, and Russian stating that a notice to vacate may lead to a lawsuit to evict and stating that advice regarding notices to vacate is available from the Rent Board; 9) require landlords to attach a copy of the Rent Board form in the primary language of the tenant to each notice to vacate; and 10) require landlords to plead and prove in any action to recover possession that at least one of the grounds of Administrative Code, Section

37.9(a)-(b) stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive for recovering

possession.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.

Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.

Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that:

- (a) Evictions are increasing across San Francisco. The Rent Board's annual reports on evictions to this Board of Supervisors for 2010 through 2015 show the number of eviction notices issued to rent controlled tenants and reported to the Rent Board has increased each year. Over that five-year reporting period, evictions reported to the Rent Board have increased by 67%.
- (b) The rise in efforts to evict tenants coincides with a rise in market rents. Market rents have increased at a pace exceeding the ability of most San Franciscans to pay. In its July 2015 Economic Impact Analysis Report, "General Obligation Bond for Affordable Housing," the Controller's Office of Economic Analysis reported that "many tenants face high rent burdens, which have increased rapidly in recent years." The Mayor's 2015 "\$310 Million Affordable Housing General Obligation Bond Report" shows that, as of July 2015 the average market rent for a one-bedroom apartment in San Francisco is reported to be \$3495 per month approximately 60% of the median gross monthly income of a single person in San Francisco.
- (c) Rising rates of evictions and rents are forcing thousands of lower and even middle income households to move out of San Francisco. According to the July 2015 Economic

Impact Analysis Report, 12.3% of low income households and 5.9% of moderate and higher income households are now moving out of San Francisco every year. The involuntary displacement of residents adversely impacts San Francisco's collective economic vitality, diversity, and social and cultural well-being. The individual impacts of evictions and displacement from established community relationships and institutions can result in substantial adverse impacts on the health of seniors and vulnerable populations including but not limited to residents with limited English language skills.

- (d) Rising rents combined with rules restricting the number of occupants in housing also impose a substantial burden on tenants and their right to associate, cohabitate, and live with partners or relatives of their choosing. For example, a landlord imposed rule that limit occupancy to no more than one person per bedroom empowers the landlord to intrude into the privacy of a tenant's bedroom. When such restrictive rules are enforced with the threat of evictions, tenants are forced to choose to live alone or to leave San Francisco in search of an alternative place to live with friends, relatives, or unmarried partners.
- (e) Therefore, there is a significant public interest in assuring that tenants are not evicted from their rental units without substantial and reasonable cause including the right to live with roommates and or close relations of a tenant's choosing subject to reasonable and articulable standards as established by the landlord.
- (f) This ordinance will enable tenants to stay in their homes to maintain a committed relationship with another person or personal associations. And by doing so, will relieve the burden on some residents to find affordable rental space in San Francisco. It also permits a landlord to reject a request for a roommate for good reason, and to petition the Rent Board to increase the rent to compensate the landlord for any costs incurred as a result of the additional occupancy.

For the aforestated reasons, the Board of Supervisors enacts these amendments to Sections 37.3(a)(1)(11) and 37.9(a)(2)(C) of the Administrative Code.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 37.3, 37.9, and 37.9A, to read as follows:

#### SEC. 37.3. RENT LIMITATIONS.

- (a) Rent Increase Limitations for Tenants in Occupancy. Landlords may impose rent increases upon tenants in occupancy only as provided below and as provided by Subsection 37.3(d):
- (1) Annual Rent Increase. On March 1st of each year, the Board shall publish the increase in the CPI for the preceding 12 months, as made available by the U.S. Department of Labor. A landlord may impose annually a rent increase which does not exceed a tenant's base rent by more than 60 percent of said published increase. In no event, however, shall the allowable annual increase be greater than seven percent.

(11) Additional occupants.

- (A) Except as provided in Section 37.3(a)(11)(B), a landlord may not impose increases solely because a tenant has added an additional occupant to an existing tenancy, including, but not limited to, a newborn child or family member as defined in Section 401 of the Housing Code. The prohibition on increases mandated by this Subsection (A) shall apply notwithstanding a rental agreement or lease that specifically permits a rent increase for additional occupants.
- (B) A landlord may petition the Board for a rent increase pursuant to Section 37.3(a)(8) for costs associated with the addition of occupants authorized under Section 37.9(a)(2)(C).

when the landlord gave notice to the tenant, and provide a copy of the notice to the Board to the tenant.

\* \* \* \*

#### SEC. 37.9. EVICTIONS.

Notwithstanding Section 37.3, this Section shall apply as of August 24, 1980, to all landlords and tenants of rental units as defined in Section 37.2(r).

- (a) A landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit unless:
- (1) The tenant:
- (A) Has failed to pay the rent to which the landlord is lawfully entitled under the oral or written agreement between the tenant and landlord:
- (i) Except that a tenant's nonpayment of a charge prohibited by Section 919.1 of the Police Code shall not constitute a failure to pay rent; and
- (ii) Except that, commencing August 10, 2001, to and including February 10, 2003, a landlord shall not endeavor to recover or recover possession of a rental unit for failure of a tenant to pay that portion of rent attributable to a capital improvement passthrough certified pursuant to a decision issued after April 10, 2000, where the capital improvement passthrough petition was filed prior to August 10, 2001, and a landlord shall not impose any late fee(s) upon the tenant for such non-payment of capital improvements costs; or
  - (B) Habitually pays the rent late; or
- (C) Gives checks which are frequently returned because there are insufficient funds in the checking account; or
- (2) The tenant has violated a lawful obligation or covenant of tenancy other than the obligation to surrender possession upon proper notice or other than an obligation to pay a

charge prohibited by Police Code Section 919.1, <u>the violation was substantial</u>, and <u>the tenant</u> <u>failsfailure</u> to cure such violation after having received written notice thereof from the landlord.

- (A) Provided that notwithstanding any lease provision to the contrary, a landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit as a result of subletting of the rental unit by the tenant if the landlord has unreasonably withheld the right to sublet following a written request by the tenant, so long as the tenant continues to reside in the rental unit and the sublet constitutes a one-for-one replacement of the departing tenant(s). If the landlord fails to respond to the tenant in writing with a description of the reasons for the denial of the request within fourteen (14) days of receipt of the tenant's written request, the tenant's request shall be deemed approved by the landlord.
- (B) Provided further that where a rental agreement or lease provision limits the number of occupants or limits or prohibits subletting or assignment, a landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit as a result of the addition to the unit of a tenant's child, parent, grandchild, grandparent, brother or sister, or the spouse or domestic partner (as defined in Administrative Code Sections 62.1 through 62.8) of such relatives, or as a result of the addition of the spouse or domestic partner of a tenant, so long as the maximum number of occupants stated in Section 37.9(a)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) is not exceeded, if the landlord has unreasonably refused a written request by the tenant to add such occupant(s) to the unit. If the landlord fails to respond to the tenant in writing with a description of the reasons for the denial of the request within fourteen (14) days of receipt of the tenant's written request, the tenant's request shall be deemed approved by the landlord. A landlord's reasonable refusal of the tenant's written request may not be based on the proposed additional occupant's lack of creditworthiness, if that person will not be legally obligated to pay some or all of the rent to the landlord. A landlord's reasonable refusal of the tenant's written request may be based on, but

25

is not limited to, the ground that the total number of occupants in a unit exceeds (or with the proposed additional occupant(s) would exceed) the lesser of (i) or (ii):

- (i) Two persons in a studio unit, three persons in a one-bedroom unit, four persons in a two-bedroom unit, six persons in a three-bedroom unit, or eight persons in a four-bedroom unit; or
- (ii) The maximum number permitted in the unit under state law and/or other local codes such as the Building, Fire, Housing and Planning Codes.
- (C) Provided further that where a rental agreement or lease provision limits the number of occupants or limits or prohibits subletting or assignment, a landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit as a result of the addition by the tenant of additional occupants to the rental unit, so long as the maximum number of occupants does not exceed the lesser of the amounts allowed by Subsection (i) or Subsection (ii) of this Section 37.9(a)(2)(C), if the landlord has unreasonably refused a written request by the tenant to add such occupant(s) to the unit. If the landlord fails to respond to the tenant in writing with a description of the reasons for the denial of the request within 14 days of receipt of the tenant's written request, the tenant's request shall be deemed approved by the landlord. A landlord's reasonable refusal of the tenant's written request may not be based on either of the following: (1) the proposed additional occupant's lack of creditworthiness, if that person will not be legally obligated to pay some or all of the rent to the landlord, or (2) the number of occupants allowed by the rental agreement or lease. With the exception of the restrictions stated in the preceding sentence, a landlord's reasonable refusal of the tenant's written request may be based on, but is not limited to, the ground that the landlord resides in the same unit as the tenant or the ground that the total number of occupants in a unit exceeds (or with the proposed additional occupant(s) would exceed) the lesser of (i) or (ii):
- (i) Two persons in a studio unit, three persons in a one-bedroom unit, four persons in a two-bedroom unit, six persons in a three-bedroom unit, or eight persons in a four-bedroom unit; or.

2
3
, 4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

(ii) The maximum number permitted in the unit under state law and/or other local			
codes such as the Building, Fire, Housing and Planning Codes.			
(iii) This Subsection 37.9(a)(2)(C) is not intended by itself to establish a direct			
landlord-tenant relationship between the additional occupant and the landlord or to limit a landlord's			
rights under the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act, California Civil Code Section 1954.50 et seq. (as			
it may be amended from time to time).			
(D) Before endeavoring to recover possession based on the violation of a lawful			
obligation or covenant of tenancy regarding subletting or limits on the number of occupants in the			
rental unit, the landlord shall serve the tenant a written notice of the violation that provides the tenant			
with an opportunity to cure the violation in 10 or more days. The tenant may cure the violation by			
making a written request to add occupants referenced in Subsection (A), (B), or (C) of Section			
37.9(a)(2) or by using other reasonable means to cure the violation, including, without limitation, the			
removal of any additional or unapproved occupant. Nothing in this Section 37.9(a)(2)(D) is intended			
to limit any other rights or remedies that the law otherwise provides to landlords; or			

- (3) When the landlord commences the endeavor to recover possession. The tenant is committing or permitting to exist a nuisance in, or is causing substantial damage to, the rental unit, or is creating a substantial interference with the comfort, safety or enjoyment of the landlord or tenants in the building, and the nature of such nuisance, damage or interference is specifically stated by the landlord in writing as required by Section 37.9(c); or
- (4) The tenant is using or permitting a rental unit to be used for any illegal purpose, provided however that a landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit solely (a) as a result of a first violation of Chapter 41A that has been cured within 30 days written notice to the tenant; or, (b) because the illegal use is the residential occupancy of a unit not authorized for residential occupancy by the City.

\* \* \* \*

25

(c) A landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit unless at least one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b) above is (1) the landlord's dominant motive for recovering possession and (2) unless the landlord informs the tenant in writing on or before the date upon which notice to vacate is given of the grounds under which possession is sought, and for notices to vacate under Sections 37.9(a)(8), (9), (10), (11), and (14), state in the notice to vacate the lawful rent for the unit at the time the notice is issued and that advice regarding the notice to vacate is available from the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, before endeavoring to recover possession. The Board shall prepare a written form that states that a tenant's failure to timely act in response to a notice to vacate may result in a lawsuit by the landlord to evict the tenant and advice regarding the notice to vacate is available from the Board. The Board shall prepare the form in English, Chinese, Spanish, Vietnamese, Tagalog, and Russian and make the form available to the public on its website and in its office. A landlord shall attach a copy of the form that is in the primary language of the tenant to a notice to vacate before serving the notice, except that if the tenant's primary language is not English, Chinese, Spanish, Vietnamese, Tagalog or Russian, the landlord shall attach a copy of the form that is in English to the notice. A copy of all notices to vacate except three-day notices to vacate or pay rent and a copy of any additional written documents informing the tenant of the grounds under which possession is sought shall be filed with the Board within 10 days following service of the notice to vacate. The District Attorney shall determine whether the units set forth on the list compiled in accordance with Section 37.6(k) are still being occupied by the tenant who succeeded the tenant upon whom the notice was served. In cases where the District Attorney determines that Section 37.9(a)(8) has been violated, the District Attorney shall take whatever action he deems appropriate under this Chapter or under State law. In any action to recover possession of the rental unit under Section 37.9, the landlord must plead and prove that at least one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b) and also stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive for recovering possession.

Tenants may rebut the allegation that any of the grounds stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive.

SEC. 37.9A. TENANT RIGHTS IN CERTAIN DISPLACEMENTS UNDER SECTION 37.9(a)(13).

This Section 37.9A applies to certain tenant displacements under Section 37.9(a)(13), as specified.

\* \* \* \*

- (f) Notice to Rent Board; Recordation of Notice; Effective Date of Withdrawal.
- (1) Any owner who intends to withdraw from rent or lease any rental unit shall notify the Rent Board in writing of said intention. Said notice shall contain statements, under penalty of perjury, providing information on the number of residential units, the address or location of those units, the name or names of the tenants or lessees of the units, and the rent applicable to each residential rental unit. Said notice shall be signed by all owners of record of the property under penalty of perjury and shall include a certification that actions have been initiated as required by law to terminate existing tenancies through service of a notice of termination of tenancy. The notice must be served by certified mail or any other manner authorized by law prior to delivery to the Rent Board of the notice of intent to withdraw the rental units. Information respecting the name or names of the tenants, the rent applicable to any unit, or the total number of units, is confidential and shall be treated as confidential information by the City for purposes of the Information Practices Act of 1977, as contained in Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1798) of Title 1.8 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code. The City shall, to the extent required by the preceding sentence, be considered an "agency," as defined by Subdivision (b) of Section 1798.3 of the Civil Code.

(5) Within 15 days of delivery of a Subsection (f)(1) notice of intent to the Rent Board, the owner shall provide notice to any tenant or lessee to be displaced of the following:

- (A) That the Rent Board has been notified pursuant to Subsection (f)(1);
- (B) That the notice to the Rent Board specified the name and the amount of rent paid by the tenant or lessee as an occupant of the rental unit;
  - (C) The amount of rent the owner specified in the notice to the Rent Board;
- (D) The tenant's or lessee's rights to reoccupancy <u>under Section 37.9A(c) if the</u> <u>rental unit is again offered for rent or lease by a current or future owner</u> and to relocation assistance under Subsections 37.9A(c) and (e); and
- (E) The rights of qualified elderly or disabled tenants as described under Subsection (f)(4), to extend their tenancy to one year after the date of delivery to the Rent Board of the Subsection (f)(1) notice of intent to withdraw.

\* \* \* \*

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

Ву:

Robert A. Bryan Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2015\1500782\01032238.docx

#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

### MEMORANDUM

TO:

John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Delene Wolf, Executive Director, Rent Board Joanne Hayes-White, Chief, Fire Department

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee.

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

June 30, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, introduced by Supervisor Kim on June 16, 2015:

File No. 150646

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require landlords, after certain vacancies, to set the new base rent as the lawful rent in effect at the time of the vacancy; to require that there be a substantial violation of a lawful obligation or covenant of tenancy as a basis for the recovery of possession; to require a landlord, prior to seeking recovery of possession, to provide tenants an opportunity to cure the unauthorized addition of the tenant's family members to the tenant's unit; to prevent a landlord from seeking recovery of possession solely because the tenant is occupying a unit not authorized for residency; and to require landlords to plead and prove in any action to recover possession that at least one of the grounds of Section 37.9(a)-(b), stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive for recovering possession.

If you have any additional comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c:

Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Acting Environmental Review Officer, AnMarie Rodgers, Senior Policy Advisor Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection Kelly Alves, Fire Secretary

#### Ausberry, Andrea

From:

Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Sent:

Thursday, July 23, 2015 8:32 AM

To:

BOS-Supervisors; Ausberry, Andrea; Caldeira, Rick (BOS)

Subject:

FW: File 150646

----Original Message----

From: Jeff Stillwell [mailto:jpstillwellcpa@hushmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2015 7:29 PM

Subject: File 150646

I am a SF apartment owner and opposed to the above proposal. It sounds like vacancy control, which is a much different thing than rent control. If the owner cannot set the rent based upon market conditions when there is a vacancy, then the housing economy is essentially controlled by the government. When the government tries to run the economy, things almost always turn out bad. Regarding subletting, I feel that we have been through this over the past 10 years and we currently have a pretty good compromise which protects tenants from excessive rent increases, and also protects owners from perpetual tenancies. Please vote NO.

Jeff Stillwell



July 23, 2015

Members of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place Room 244 San Francisco, CA. 94102

RE: Supervisor Kim's SF Rent Ordinance Proposal

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing to you to urge you to vote no on the subject proposal. As a housing provider it would make it more difficult and even more expensive -it is quite expensive now- to remove bad tenants and protect other tenants. Also, the proposal is an end-around and an attack on the state law, Costa-Hawkins, which allows the landlord to rent a vacant unit at market rents; but the subject proposal would undermine the owner's ability to maintain their buildings.

Sincerely,

Pul Zua

Bill Quan

2526 You Mara A

2526 Van Ness Ave., #10 San Francisco, CA. 94109

BdOfSupervisors-July2015LtrOpposingSupKim'sRentOrdinanceProposal

File: 150 U410
BOS-11, COB, Leg F
Lincient,
Chage

#### Ausberry, Andrea

From: Sent:

Board of Supervisors, (BOS) Thursday, July 16, 2015 4:13 PM

To:

BOS-Supervisors; Ausberry, Andrea

Subject:

File 150646 FW: oppose rent-control proposal

From: Judith Robinson [mailto:judyrobo@pacbell.net]

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 1:27 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Fwd: oppose rent-control proposal

To Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, for dispersal to all Board members.

J. Robinson

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:oppose rent-control proposal

Date: Thu, 16 Jul 2015 13:25:57 -0700

From:Judith Robinson <<u>judyrobo@pacbell.net</u>>

To: Julie. Christensen@sfgov.org

Judith Robinson

562 B Lombard Street

San Francisco, California 94133-7057

415 788 9112

e-mail: judyrobo@pacbell.net

16 July, 2015

Supervisor Julie Christensen

via e-mail: Julie.Christensen@sfgov.org

S. F. Board of Supervisors

RE: Oppose Kim amendment

City Hall

San Francisco, CA. 94102

Dear Supervisor:

I strongly urge a no vote on Supervisor Jane Kim's proposed amendment to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance (file 150646).
It would <u>penalize property owners beyond limitations now imposed</u> on landlords respecting protection of their property and financial interests.
It particularly would work hardships on small property owners like myself who have one rental unit, are on
fixed income and rely on rent for income. It would make it even more difficult to oust problem renters and limit the ability to base rent on market rates as stipulated by existing law and regulations.
Please reject this <u>punitive</u> and <u>unfair proposal entirely</u> .
Thank you for considering my views.
Judith Robinson

Clerk, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Supervisor Jane Kim

cc:

#### Caldeira, Rick (BOS)

From:

Pollock, Jeremy (BOS)

Sent:

Tuesday, June 16, 2015 3:50 PM

To:

Lee, Ivy (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Cc:

Caldeira, Rick (BOS); Veneracion, April (BOS); Davi Lang; Kim, Jane (BOS)

Subject:

RE: KIM - Ordinance - Tenant Protection legislation

Yes, please add Supervisor Avalos as a cosponsor.

Jeremy Pollock Legislative Aide San Francisco Supervisor John Avalos (415) 554-7910 direct (415) 554-6975 office

From: Lee, Ivy (BOS)

Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2015 3:48 PM

To: BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Cc: Caldeira, Rick (BOS); Veneracion, April (BOS); Davi Lang; Kim, Jane (BOS)

**Subject:** KIM - Ordinance - Tenant Protection legislation

Please find attached the above-referenced legislation and the legislative digest. Hard copies and the signed introduction form were provided to your office earlier today.

Please note that Supervisor Avalos should be listed as a co-sponsor but was not named on the accompanying Introduction Form. I will ask his office to confirm co-sponsorship.

Thank you, lvy

Ivy Lee Legislative Aide, Supervisor Jane Kim/District 6 415.554.7973 (direct) ivy.lee@sfgov.org

Sign up for the District 6 newsletter

ORIG: LM Clerk
BOS-11. COB, Leg. Dep.
anal Dep c. a. mayors

## President, District 5 BOARD of SUPERVISORS



# City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-7630 Fax No. 554-7634 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

## **London Breed**

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PRESIDENTIA	L ACTION	M	
Date:	6/24/15			
То:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Box	ard of Supervisors		N SS
Madam Cle Pursuant t	erk, o Board Rules, I am hereby:			
$\boxtimes$	Waiving 30-Day Rule (Board Rule N	To. 3.23)		
	File No. 150646	Kim (Primary Sponsor)		
	Title. Amendments to Reside	ential Rent Stabilization		
	Transferring (Board Rule No. 3.3)		•	
	File No.	(Primary Sponsor)		
	Title.		<u>.</u>	
	From:		_ Committee	
	To:		_Committee	
	Assigning Temporary Committee	e Appointment (Board R	ule No. 3.1)	
	Supervisor	·		
	Replacing Supervisor	<del></del>		
	For:			Meeting
	(Date)	(Committee)		

London Breed, President Board of Supervisors Print Form

WHO DEED TO SERVED WAS IN THE SERVER MINUSELE.

# **Introduction Form**

The state of the second control of the second of the secon

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date				
☐ 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion,	or Charter Amendment)				
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee	<b>.</b>				
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.					
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"				
☐ 5. City Attorney request.					
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	6. Call File No. from Committee.				
☐ 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).					
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 150646	•				
9. Reactivate File No.					
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS of	on				
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be for Small Business Commission Youth Commission Building .  Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agence)	☐ Ethics Commission  Inspection Commission				
Sponsor(s):					
Kim; Campos, Mar, Avalos					
Subject:					
Amendments to Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance					
The text is listed below or attached:	,				
Please see attached.					
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:					
For Clerk's Use Only:					