File No. 150348

Committee Item No. <u>2</u> Board Item No. <u>6</u>

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date	July	20,	20	15
•	Septe			

# **Cmte Board**

	Motion
	Resolution
	Ordinance
	Legislative Digest
	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
	Youth Commission Report
	Introduction Form
	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
	MOU
	Grant Information Form
	Grant Budget
	Subcontract Budget
	Contract/Agreement
	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
	Award Letter
	Application
	Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
	Amenited in Boer 2 9/8/15
Completed	by: ANDREA AUSBERRY Date JULY 16, 2015
Completed	by: H. BARRETT Date 9-3-15

# BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

# MEMORANDUM

# LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TO: Supervisor Malia Cohen, Chair Land Use and Transportation Committee

FROM: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

DATE: July 20, 2015

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE REPORT, BOARD MEETING Tuesday, July 21, 2015

The following file should be presented as a **COMMITTEE REPORT** at the Board meeting, Tuesday, July 21, 2015. This item was acted upon at the Committee Meeting on Monday, July 20, 2015, at 1:30 p.m., by the votes indicated.

Item No. 66 File No. 150348

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; change certain requirements for group housing projects including eliminating the exemption for group housing projects from certain exposure requirements; allowing a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements; allowing affordable On-Site Units in group housing projects to be exempt from density calculations in certain circumstances; providing that such On-site Units be affordable to households at 90% of AMI for rental and 120% of AMI for ownership, and to specifying that such On-site Units in group housing projects be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet; and clarify the requirements for Designated Units in certain C-3 districts under Section 124(f) including that they be affordable to households of 120% of AMI for rental and 150% of AMI for ownership and otherwise meet the monitoring and procedures for affordable units under the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED AS A COMMITTEE REPORT

Vote: Supervisor Malia Cohen - Aye Supervisor Scott Wiener - Aye Supervisor Jane Kim - Aye

## AMENDED IN BOARD 9/8/15 ORDINANCE NO.

24

ĵ

FILE NO. 150348

[Planning Code - Inclusionary Housing Requirements for Group Housing, Affordable Designated Unit Requirements in C-3 Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable

Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing

projects; change certain requirements for group housing projects including eliminating

the exemption for group housing projects from certain exposure requirements;

allowing a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements;

allowing affordable On-Site Units in group housing projects to be exempt from density

calculations in certain circumstances; providing that such On-site Units be affordable to

households at 90% of AMI for rental and 120% of AMI for ownership, and to specifying that

such On-site Units in group housing projects be priced as 75% of the maximum

purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet; and

clarify the requirements for Designated Units in certain C-3 districts under Section

124(f) including that they be affordable to households of 120% of AMI for rental and

150% of AMI for ownership and otherwise meet the monitoring and procedures for

affordable units under the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program; and affirming the

Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act;

and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the

eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>. Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>. Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>. Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>. Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 150348 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

(b) On July 2, 2015, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 19405, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 150348, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that this Planning Code Amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405, and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference.

Section 2. General Findings.

(a) The Board of Supervisors finds that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program ("Inclusionary Program"), adopted in 2002 by Ordinance No. 37-02, was originally intended to apply and has always applied to group housing projects. Ordinance No. 37-02 provided that the Inclusionary Program applied to all applications for "housing projects" filed on or after June 18, 2001. The definition of "Housing project" in Ordinance No. 37-02 states that it includes a broad variety of developments "which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households." The 2002 definition specifically included group housing, among other forms of housing. The definition of "Housing project" currently found in Planning Code Section 401 has not changed in any material respect since the adoption of

1

2

3

4

5

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ordinance No. 37-02. It continues to specifically include group housing. The Board finds that the definition of "Housing project" specifically includes group housing in a broad definition of housing to insure that all types of developments that are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households are subject to the requirements of the Inclusionary Program.

(b) The Board has learned that, at some point since the adoption of the Inclusionary Program, the Planning Department began to disregard the specific reference to group housing as a "Housing project" subject to the Inclusionary Program. It is the Board's understanding that in reaching this conclusion the Department relied on language in the Inclusionary Program that sets a threshold of application to "10 or more units." The Department concluded that group housing is not measured in "units" and is not comprised of "dwelling units" as defined in the Planning Code. The Inclusionary Housing ordinance, however, does not use the Planning Code definition of "Dwelling Unit" that excludes group housing, but rather refers broadly to "dwelling units" as defined in the San Francisco Housing Code. That definition can include group housing that meets certain requirements. The Department's interpretation was never formalized in a written Zoning Administrator determination under Planning Code Section 307 that could have been appealed to the Board of Appeals. Thus, neither the Board nor the public were aware of the Department's unofficial policy to exclude group housing projects from the application of the Inclusionary Program.

(c) To reiterate the original intent of Ordinance 37-02, the Board of Supervisors adopts this ordinance to specifically require the Planning Department and Department of Building Inspection to follow the original intent and the express language of the Planning Code to apply the Inclusionary Program to group housing and all other forms of housing intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households. Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections <u>124, 140, 207,</u> <u>307, and 401 and 415.6</u> to read as follows:

SEC. 124. BASIC FLOOR AREA RATIO.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(f) For buildings in C-3-G and C-3-S Districts, other than those designated as Significant or Contributory pursuant to Article 11 of this Code, additional square footage above that permitted by the base floor area ratio limits set forth above may be approved for construction of dwellings on the site of the building affordable for the Life of the Project, as defined in Section 40120 years to households whose incomes are within 150 percent of AMI, the median income as defined in Section 401 herein, for ownership units and up to 120% of AMI for rental units, in accordance with the conditional use procedures and criteria as provided in Section 303 of this Code. For buildings in the C-3-G District designated as Significant or Contributory pursuant to Article 11 of this Code, additional square footage above that permitted by the base floor area ratio limits set forth above up to the Gross Floor Area of the existing building may be approved, in accordance with the conditional use procedures and criteria as provided in Section 303 of this Code, where: (1) TDRs (as defined by Section 128(a)(5)) were transferred from the lot containing the Significant or Contributory building prior to the effective date of the amendment to Section 124(f) adding this paragraph when the floor area transferred was occupied by a non profit corporation or institution meeting the requirements for exclusion from Gross Floor Area calculation; (2) the additional square footage includes only the amount necessary to accommodate dwelling units and/or group housing units that are affordable for not less than 50 years the Life of the Project to households whose incomes are within 60 percent of the median incomeAMI as defined herein together with any social, educational, and health service space accessory to such units; and (3) the proposed change in use to dwelling units and accessory space and any construction

associated therewith, if it requires any alternation to the exterior or other character defining features of the Significant or Contributory Building, is undertaken pursuant to the duly approved Permit to Alter, pursuant to Section 1110, provided, however, that the procedures otherwise required for a Major Alteration as set forth in Sections 1111.4 and 1111.5 and shall be deemed applicable to any such Permit to Alter. (1) Any dwelling approved for construction under this provision shall be deemed a "<u>D</u>designated <u>U</u>unit " as defined below. Prior to the issuance by the Director of the Department of Building Inspection ("Director of Building Inspection") of a <u>First Construction Document site or building permit</u> to construct any <u>D</u>designated <u>U</u>unit subject to this Section, the permit applicant shall notify the Director of Planning and the Director of <u>MOHCD</u>Property in writing whether the <u>Designated U</u>unit will be an owned or rental unit as defined in Section 401 of this Code. <u>If any Designated Units will be rental units, the project sponsor must follow the procedures in Section 415.5(g)(2). As provided in that subsection, the Planning Director or the Director of MOHCD, may execute such an agreement under the terms specified in Section 415.5(g)(2).</u>

(2) Within 60 days after the issuance by the Director of Building Inspection of a First Construction Document site or building permit for construction of any unit intended to be an owned unit, the Director of Planning shall notify the City Engineer in writing identifying the intended owned unit, and the Director of Property shall appraise the fair market value of such unit as of the date of the appraisal, applying accepted valuation methods, and deliver a written appraisal of the unit to the Director of Planning and the permit applicant. The permit applicant shall supply all information to the Director of Property necessary to appraise the unit, including all plans and specifications.

(3) <u>Unless specifically stated in this Section 124(f)</u>, <u>e</u>Each designated unit shall be subject to the provisions of Section <u>415</u>413 of this Code. For purposes of this Subsection and the application of Section <u>415</u>413 of this Code to <u>D</u>*d*esignated <u>U</u>*u*nits constructed pursuant to

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

this Subsection, the definitions set forth in Section 401 of this Code shall apply<sub>≜</sub>, with the exception of the following definitions, which shall supersede the definitions of the terms set forth in Section 401:

(A) "Base price" shall mean 3.25 times the median income for a family of four persons for the County of San Francisco as set forth in California Administrative Code Section 6932 on the date on which a housing unit is sold.

(B) "Base rent" shall mean 0.45 times the median income for the County of San Francisco as set forth in California Administrative Code Section 6932 for a family of a size equivalent to the number of persons residing in a household renting a designated unit.

(C) "Designated unit" shall mean a housing unit identified and reported to the Director by the sponsor of an office development project subject to this Subsection as a unit that shall be affordable to households of low or moderate income for 20 years.

(D) "Household of low or moderate income" shall mean a household composed of one or more persons with a combined annual grossnet income for all adult members that does not exceed 150 percent of AMI for ownership units and 120 percent of the qualifying limit for a median income family of a size equivalent to the number of persons residing in such household, as set forth for the County of San Francisco in California Administrative Code Section 6932.

(E) "Sponsor" shall mean an applicant seeking approval for construction of a project subject to this Subsection and such applicants' successors and assigns.

(3) Except as specifically specified herein, Designated Units shall meet all of the procedures, pricing methodology, monitoring obligations and other requirements of the Inclusionary Housing Procedures Manual and either:

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(A) Be used to satisfy the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Section 415 et seq. and meet all of the requirements of that Program, including the income limits specified therein; or

(B) Meet the requirements of this subsection (f), including the income limits specified, and be family sized, meaning that each Designated Unit contains at least 2 or 3 bedrooms. In the event that the Designated Unit is not also an On- or Off-site Unit under Section 415, Designated Units shall not be used to determine the required unit size mix for purposes of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program.

(4) MOHCD shall update its Procedures Manual if necessary to include any specific provisions related to Designated Units.

(5) Affordable unit gross square footage which is exempted per this section for FAR shall not be exempted for impact fees that are levied on a gross square foot or FAR basis.
SEC. 140. ALL DWELLING UNITS IN ALL USE DISTRICTS TO FACE ON AN OPEN AREA.

(a) **Requirements** <u>for Dwelling Units</u>. With the exception of dwelling units in single room occupancy buildings in the South of Market Mixed Use Districts, in each dwelling unit in any use district, the required windows (as defined by Section 504 of the San Francisco Housing Code) of at least one room that meets the 120-square-foot minimum superficial floor area requirement of Section 503 of the Housing Code shall face directly on an open area of one of the following types:

(1) A public street, public alley at least 20 feet in width, side yard at least 25 feet in width, or rear yard meeting the requirements of this Code; provided, that if such windows are on an outer court whose width is less than 25 feet, the depth of such court shall be no greater than its width; or

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2) An open area (whether an inner court or a space between separate buildings on the same lot) which is unobstructed (except for fire escapes not projecting more than necessary for safety and in no case more than four feet six inches, chimneys, and those obstructions permitted in Sections 136(c)(14), (15), (16), (19), (20) and (29) of this Code) and is no less than 25 feet in every horizontal dimension for the floor at which the dwelling unit in question is located and the floor immediately above it, with an increase of five feet in every horizontal dimension at each subsequent floor, except for single room occupancy buildings in the Eastern Neighborhoods Mixed Use Districts, which are not required to increase five feet in every horizontal dimension until the fifth floor of the building.
(b) Requirements for Group Housing. For group housing projects, either each bedroom or at least one interior common area that mosts the 120 gruane foot minimum superficial floor.

or at least one interior common area that meets the 120 square-foot minimum superficial floor area requirement of Section 503 of the Housing Code shall include windows meeting the requirements of subsections a(1) or a(2) above. The requirements of this subsection (b) may be waived by the Zoning Administrator per Section 307(m) of this Code.

(<u>c</u>b) **Exceptions**. For historic buildings identified in Section 307(h), and for the conversion of a nonconforming use in an existing building to a residential use in a district where the residential use is principally permitted, the requirements of this Section 140 may be modified or waived pursuant to the procedures and criteria set forth in Sections 307(h) and 329. This administrative exception does not apply to new additions to historic buildings. **SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMITS.** 

(c) Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits. <u>An exception to the calculations under</u> this Section shall be made in the following circumstances:

(1) Affordable Units in Projects with 20 Percent or More Affordable Units. For projects that are not located in any RH-1 or RH-2 zoning district, or are not seeking and receiving a density bonus under the provisions of California Government Code Section 65915,

Page 8

where 20 percent or more of the Dwelling Units on-site are "Affordable Units," the on-site Affordable Units shall not count towards the calculation of dwelling unit density. This Planning Code Section does not provide exceptions to any other Planning Code requirements such as height or bulk. For purposes of this Section 207, "Affordable Units" shall be defined as meeting (1) the criteria of Section 406(b); (2) the requirements of Section 415 et seq. for onsite units; or (3) restricted units in a project using California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bond financing and 4 percent tax credits under the Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC). If a project sponsor proposes to provide "Affordable Units" that are not restricted by any other program, in order to receive the benefit of the additional density permitted under this Subsection (c)(1) or Subsection (c)(2), the project sponsor shall elect and the Planning Department and MOHCD shall be authorized to enforce, restricting the units as affordable under Planning Code Section 415.6 up to a maximum of 20 percent of the units in the principal project. The project sponsor shall make such election through the procedures described in Section 415.5(g) including submitting an Affidavit of Compliance indicating the project sponsor's election to pursue the benefits of Subsection (c)(1) or (c)(2) and committing to 20% on-site units restricted under Section 415.6 prior to approval by the Planning Commission or Planning Department staff. If a project sponsor obtains the exemption from the density calculation for Affordable Units provided in this subsection, the exemption shall be recorded against the property. Any later request to decrease the number of Affordable Units shall require the project to go back to the Planning Commission or Planning Department, whichever entity approved the project as a whole.

(2) Affordable Units in RTO Districts. In the RTO District, on site Dwelling Units that are "Affordable Units," as defined in Subsection (a), shall not count toward density calculations or be limited by lot area.

(3) Double Density for Senior Housing in RH, RM, RC, and NC Districts.Senior Housing, as defined in and meeting all the criteria and conditions defined in Section102 of this Code, is permitted up to twice the dwelling unit density otherwise permitted for the District.

(A) Projects in RC Districts or within one-quarter of a mile from an RC or NC-2 (Small-Scale Neighborhood Commercial District) zoned area or higher, including Named Commercial Districts, and located in an area with adequate access to services including but not limited to transit, shopping and medical facilities, shall be principally permitted.

(B) Projects in RH and RM Districts located more than one-quarter of a mile from an RC or NCD-2 (Small-Scale Neighborhood Commercial District) zoned area or higher, including Named Commercial Districts, shall require Conditional Use authorization.

(4) Accessory Dwelling Units.

(A) Definition. An "Accessory Dwelling Unit," also known as a Secondary Unit or In-Law Unit, is defined for purposes of this Subsection 207(c)(4) as an additional Dwelling Unit that:

(i) is constructed entirely within the existing built envelope of an existing building zoned for Residential use or within the envelope of an existing and authorized auxiliary structure on the same lot; and

(ii) will be constructed with a complete or partial waiver from the Zoning Administrator of the density limits and/or the parking, rear yard exposure, or open space standards of this Code pursuant to the provisions of this Section 207(c)(4) and Section 307(l) of this Code.

As used in this Section 207, the term Accessory Dwelling Unit is separate and distinct from the term "dwelling units accessory to other uses" in Section 204.4.

(B) Applicability. The exceptions permitted by this Subsection 207(c)(4) shall apply only to:(i) lots within the Castro Street Neighborhood Commercial District

(NCD) or within 1,750 feet of the Castro Street NCD boundaries, excluding any lot within 500 feet of Block 2623 Lots 116 through 154; and

(ii) lots located in a building undergoing mandatory seismic retrofitting in compliance with Section 34B of the Building Code or voluntary seismic retrofitting in compliance with the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection's Administrative Bulletin 094.

(C) Controls. An Accessory Dwelling Unit is permitted to be constructed under the following conditions:

(i) An Accessory Dwelling Unit shall not be constructed using space from an existing Dwelling Unit.

(ii) Castro Street NCD and Surrounding Area. For Accessory DwellingUnits on lots covered by Subsection 207(c)(4)(B)(i):

a. An Accessory Dwelling Unit shall not be permitted in any RH-1(D) zoning district.

b. An Accessory Dwelling Unit shall be constructed entirely within the existing building envelope or auxiliary structure, as it existed three (3) years prior to the time of the application.

c. For buildings that have no more than 10 existing dwelling units, one Accessory Dwelling Unit is permitted; for buildings that have more than 10 existing dwelling units, two Accessory Dwelling Units are permitted.

(iii) Buildings Undergoing Seismic Retrofitting. For Accessory Dwelling Units on lots covered by Subsection 207(c)(4)(B)(ii):

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a. An Accessory Dwelling Unit shall not be permitted in any RH-1 or RH-1(D) zoning district.

b. If allowed by the Building Code, a building in which an Accessory Dwelling Unit is constructed may be raised up to three additional feet in height to create ground-floor ceiling heights suitable for residential use.

(iv) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 307(I) of this Code, an Accessory Dwelling Unit may receive a waiver of the density limits and parking, rear vard. exposure, or open space standards of this Code from the Zoning Administrator; provided. however, that if the existing building or any existing dwelling unit within the building is subject to the provisions of the San Francisco Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance (Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code), the property owner shall submit to the Department (AA) a proposed agreement demonstrating that the Accessory Dwelling Unit(s) are not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil Code Section 1954.50) because, under Section 1954.52(k), the owner has entered into this agreement with the City in consideration for a direct financial contribution or any other form of assistance specified in California Government Code Sections 65915 et seq. ("Agreement") and (BB) if the Planning Director determines necessary, an Affidavit containing information about the direct financial contribution or other form of assistance provided to the property owner. The property owner and the Planning Director (or his designee), on behalf of the City, will execute the Agreement, which shall be reviewed and approved by the City Attorney's Office. The Agreement shall be approved prior to the City's issuance of the First Construction Document, as defined in Section 107A.13.1 of the San Francisco Building Code.

(D) Monitoring Program.

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(i) Monitoring of Affordability. The Department shall establish a system to monitor the affordability of the Accessory Dwelling Units authorized to be constructed by this Subsection 207(c)(4). Property owners shall provide the Department with rent information as requested by the Department. The Board of Supervisors recognizes that property owners and tenants generally consider rental information sensitive and do not want it publicly disclosed. The intent of the Board is for the Department to obtain the information so that it can be used by the Department in aggregate form, not in a manner that would be linked to specific individuals or units. The Department shall only request rental information from property owners if the notice includes the statement that the Department is acquiring it in confidence and will publicly disclose it only in aggregate form. The Department shall not ask property owners to provide rental information if it determines, after consulting with the City Attorney's Office, that the information would be publicly disclosable under federal, state, or local law in nonaggregated form.

(ii) Department Report. The Department shall publish a report by April 1, 2016, that describes and evaluates the types of units being developed and their affordability rates. The report shall contain such additional information as the Director determines would inform decision makers and the public on the effectiveness and implementation of the Subsection and make recommendations for any amendments or expansion of areas where Accessory Dwelling Units should be constructed. In subsequent years, information on Accessory Dwelling Units shall be included in the Housing Inventory.

(5) On-site Units in Group Housing projects: For On-site Units in Group Housing projects subject to Section 415.6 that are not located in any RH-1 or RH-2 zoning district, or are not seeking and receiving a density bonus under the provisions of California Government Code Section 65915, the On-site Units in Group Housing projects subject to Section 415.6 shall not count towards the calculation of dwelling unit density. This Planning Code Section does not provide exceptions to any other Planning Code requirements such as height or bulk.

SEC. 307. OTHER POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR.

In addition to those specified in Sections 302 through 306, and Sections 316 through 316.6 of this Code, the Zoning Administrator shall have the following powers and duties in administration and enforcement of this Code. The duties described in this Section shall be performed under the general supervision of the Director of Planning, who shall be kept informed of the actions of the Zoning Administrator.

\* \* \*

(m) The Zoning Administrator may partially wave the exposure requirements of Section 140(b) for group housing so that when a qualifying window faces an open area per subsection 140(a)(2), such open area may be no less than 15 feet in every horizontal direction and may not be required to expand on subsequent floors.

# SEC. 401. DEFINITIONS.

In addition to the specific definitions set forth elsewhere in this Article, the following definitions shall govern interpretation of this Article:

"Allowable average purchase price." A price for all affordable owned units of the size indicated below that are affordable to a household of median income as defined in this Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below as of the date of the close of escrow, except for Single Room Occupancy units *and Group Housing* <u>units that are less than 350</u> <u>square feet</u> (*both* as defined in Section <u>102890.88</u>), which shall be 75% of the maximum purchase price level for studio units, and, where applicable, adjusted to reflect the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time:

Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

"Allowable average annual rent." Annual rent for an affordable rental unit of the size indicated below that is 30 percent of the annual gross income of a household of low income as defined in this Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below except for Single Room Occupancy units <u>and Group Housing</u> units that are less than 350 square feet (*both* as defined in Section <u>102890.88</u>), which shall be 75% of the maximum rent level for studio units, and, where applicable, adjusted to reflect the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time:

Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5	
At no time can a rent increase, or can	multiple rent increases with	hin one year, exceed
the percentage change in Maximum Monthly	Rent levels as published b	y MOH from the
previous calendar year to the current calend	ar year.	
* * * *		
	4 (More than 1,300 square feet) At no time can a rent increase, or can the percentage change in Maximum Monthly previous calendar year to the current calend	4 (More than 1,300 square feet)       5         At no time can a rent increase, or can multiple rent increases with         the percentage change in Maximum Monthly Rent levels as published b         previous calendar year to the current calendar year.

3 (1.101 to 1.300 square feet)

"Housing project." Any development which has includes residential units use as defined in the Planning Code Section 102, including but not limited to Dewellings, Geroup Haousing, Single Room Occupancy Units, independent living units, and other forms of development which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households. "Housing project" shall not include that portion of a development that gualifies as an Institutional Use under the Planning Code. "Housing project" for purposes of the Inclusionary Housing Program shall also include the development of live/work units as defined by Section 102.13 of this Code. Housing project for purposes of the Inclusionary Housing Program shall mean all phases or elements of a multi-phase or multiple lot residential development.

4

"Housing unit" or "unit." A residential use dwelling unit in a Housing projectas defined in San Francisco Housing Code Section 401. For the purposes of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415 et seq., and corresponding definitions in this Section 401, the use of the word "unit" will also mean bedrooms where a Group Housing or other Housing project is measured by number of bedrooms.

"Maximum annual rent." The maximum rent that a housing developer may charge any tenant occupying an affordable unit for the calendar year. The maximum annual rent for an affordable housing unit, of the size indicated below shall be no more than 30 percent of the

annual gross income for a household of low income as defined in this Section, as adjusted for the household size indicated below, except in the case of Single Room Occupancy units <u>and</u> <u>Group Housing</u> <u>units that are less than 350 square feet</u> (<u>both</u> as defined in Section <u>102890.88</u>), which shall be 75% of the maximum rent level for studio units, as of the first date of the tenancy:

Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

At no time can a rent increase, or can multiple rent increases within one year, exceed the percentage change in Maximum Monthly Rent levels as published by MOH from the previous calendar year to the current calendar year.

"Maximum purchase price." The maximum purchase price for an affordable owned unit of the size indicated below except in the case of Single Room Occupancy units <u>and Group</u> <u>Housing units that are less than 350 square feet</u> (*both* as defined in Section <u>102 890.88</u>), which shall be 75% of the maximum purchase price level for studio units, that is affordable to a household of moderate income, adjusted for the household size indicated below, assuming an annual payment for all housing costs of 33 percent of the combined household annual gross income, a down payment recommended by MOH and set forth in the Procedures Manual, and available financing:

Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

# SEC. 415.6. ON-SITE AFFORDABLE-HOUSING ALTERNATIVE.

\* \* \* \*

(c) Type of Housing: All on-site units constructed under this Section must be provided as ownership units unless the project sponsor meets the eligibility requirement of Section 415.5(g). All on-site units must be Affordable to Qualifying Households, except that Group Housing On-Site Units shall be affordable to households earning up to 90% of AMI if rented and up to 120% of AMI if provided as ownership units. In general, affordable units constructed under this Section 415.6 shall be comparable in number of bedrooms, exterior appearance and overall quality of construction to market rate units in the principal project. A Notice of Special Restrictions shall be recorded prior to issuance of the first construction document and shall specify the number, location and sizes for all affordable units required under this Subsection. The interior features in affordable units should be generally the same as those of the market rate units in the principal project, but need not be the same make, model or type of such item as long as they are of good and new quality and are consistent with then current standards for new housing. The square footage of affordable units do not

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 18

need to be same as or equivalent to those in market rate units in the principal project, so long as it is consistent with then-current standards for new housing. Where applicable, parking shall be offered to the affordable units subject to the terms and conditions of the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time. On-site affordable units shall be ownership units unless the project applicant meets the eligibility requirement of Section 415.5(g).

\* \* \* \*

# SEC. 415.6. ON-SITE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ALTERNATIVE.

: \* \*

(c) Type of Housing: All on-site units constructed under this Section must be provided as ownership units unless the project sponsor meets the eligibility requirement of Section 415.5(q). All on-site units must be Affordable to Qualifying Households. In general, affordable units constructed under this Section 415.6 shall be comparable in number of bedrooms, exterior appearance and overall quality of construction to market rate units in the principal project. A Notice of Special Restrictions shall be recorded prior to issuance of the first construction document and shall specify the number, location and sizes for all affordable units required under this Subsection. The interior features in affordable units should be generally the same as those of the market rate units in the principal project, but need not be the same make, model or type of such item as long as they are of good and new quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing. The square footage of affordable units do not need to be same as or equivalent to those in market rate units in the principal project, so long as it is consistent with then-current standards for new housing. Where applicable, parking shall be offered to the affordable units subject to the terms and conditions of the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time. On-site affordable units shall be

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar, Campos BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ownership units unless the project applicant meets the eligibility requirement of Section 415.5(g).

Section 4. Effective Date; Applicability, and Retroactivity of Ordinance. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance. This ordinance shall apply to all Housing projects as defined, including Group Housing projects, where the Department of Building Inspection issues the First Construction Document, as defined in San Francisco Building Code Section 107A.13.1, after May 19, 2015. Accordingly, this ordinance shall be retroactive to May 20, 2015.

Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Susan Cleveland-Knowles Deputy City Attorney n:\legana\as2015\1500164\01044565.docx

Supervisors Avalos, Kim, Mar BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

. 25

# FILE NO. 150348

# LEGISLATIVE DIGEST (07/20/15 – Amended in Committee)

[Planning Code - Inclusionary Housing Requirements for Group Housing, Affordable Designated Unit Requirements in C-3 Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; change certain requirements for group housing projects including eliminating the exemption for group housing projects from certain exposure requirements; allowing a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements: allowing affordable On-Site Units in group housing projects to be exempt from density calculations in certain circumstances; specifying that On-site Units in group housing projects be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet; and clarify the requirements for Designated Units in certain C-3 districts under Section 124(f) including that they be affordable to households of 120% of AMI for rental and 150% of AMI for ownership and otherwise meet the monitoring and procedures for affordable units under the inclusionary Affordable Housing Program; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

# Existing Law

The Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program ("Inclusionary Program"), adopted by the Board in 2002 provided that the Inclusionary Program applies to all applications for "housing projects" including 10 or more units. The Inclusionary Program requires project sponsors to provide On-site or Off-site affordable units or to pay a fee. On-site units must be affordable to households of 55% of Area Median Income (AMI) if rented and 90% of AMI if sold. The definition of "Housing project" includes a broad variety of developments "which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households," specifically including group housing, among other forms of housing. The definition of "housing project," currently found in Planning Code Section 401, has not changed in any material respect and the Program still applies to projects of 10 or more units. "Unit" is defined as a dwelling unit under the San Francisco Housing Code.

Group housing projects in general do not need to meet the exposure requirements of the Planning Code. In buildings in C-3-G and C-3-S Districts, other than those designated as Significant or Contributory pursuant to Article 11 of this Code, additional square footage above that permitted by the base floor area ratio limits may be approved for construction of dwellings

Supervisors Avalos, Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

# FILE NO. 150348

on the site of the building affordable to households whose incomes are within 150 percent of the median income.

# Amendments to Current Law

The Proposed Legislation makes findings establishing that the Board intended that the Inclusionary Program, as adopted in 2002 and subsequently amended, should apply to group housing, including a variety of other forms of housing. The legislation amends the definition of housing project and housing unit to more clearly include group housing, and provides that the use of the term "unit" where it appears in the Inclusionary Program can mean a bedroom where a group housing or other housing project is measured by number of bedrooms.

# **Background Information**

In implementing the Inclusionary Program, the Planning Department has not applied the Program to group housing. The sponsors have introduced this legislation to clarify that the Department should apply the Program to group housing.

This legislative digest reflects amendments adopted by the Land Use and Transportation Committee on July 13 and July 20, 2015 as follows:

- 1. Apply exposure requirements that apply to other types of residential projects to group housing and allow a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements for all group housing bedrooms or the group housing common room.
- 2. Clarify that the group housing bedrooms provided as On- or Off-site Units under the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program would be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet. Otherwise, such bedrooms would be subject to the price for a studio unit.
- 3. Provide that On-site inclusionary group housing rooms satisfying the Inclusionary Requirements may be exempt from density calculations.
- 4. Amending the requirements for Designated Units in certain C-3 districts under Section 124(f) including that they be affordable to households of 120% of AMI for rental and 150% of AMI for ownership, and that they generally follow the procedures for affordable units under the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program.

n:\legana\as2015\1500164\01030961.doc

Supervisors Avalos, Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

# MEMORANDUM

Date: September 3, 2015

To:

From:

Rick Caldeira, Legislative Deputy

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

Subject: Reconsideration of Ordinance (File No. 150348) Planning Code -Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing, Affordable Designated Unit Requirements in C-3 Districts

Please be advised that the versions of the above referenced Ordinance (File No. 150348) differed between first reading and second reading which were considered by the Board of Supervisors on July 21, 2015, and on July 28, 2015, respectively. As a result, the version finally passed by the Board on July 28, 2015, and signed by the Mayor on August 6, 2015, did not include all the amendments approved by the Board and its committee during the legislative process. Therefore, pursuant to the advice received by the City Attorney, the Board of Supervisors will reconsider this Ordinance on second reading at the meeting of September 8, 2015.

c: Jon Givner



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

#### July 8, 2015

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor John Avalos Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2015.005457PCA: Amendments relating to Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing Board File No. 15-0348 Planning Commission Recommendation: <u>Approval with Modification</u>

#### Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Avalos,

On July 2, 2015, the San Francisco Planning Commission conducted duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed amendments to the Planning Code introduced by Supervisors Avalos and Kim. At the hearing, the Planning Commission recommended approval with modification of this Ordinance.

The Commission also considered the amendments proposed by Supervisor Christensen in her letter dated June 11, 2015. However, the Commission did not have enough information to recommend approval or disapproval for these amendments.

The proposed amendments are exempt from environmental review under Section 15060(c)(2) and 15378 of the CEQA Guidelines.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions by the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Supervisor John Avalos, Jane Kim, Julie Christensen Jon Givner, City Attorney Susan Cleveland-Knowles Jeremy Pollock, Legislative aid to Supervisor John Avalos

www.sfplanning.org



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405

Planning Code Text Change

**HEARING DATE: JULY 2, 2015** 

2015.005457PCA [Board File No. 150348]

Aaron Starr, Manager Legislative Affairs aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395

Kimia Haddadan, Legislative Affairs Kimia.haddadan@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

**Recommend Approval** 

**Requirements to Group Housing** 

Amendments relating to Applying Inclusionary Housing

Supervisor John Avalos / Introduced May 19th, 2015

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA-94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

415,558,640

Information: 415,558.6377

Case Number: Initiated by: Staff Contact:

Project Name:

Reviewed by;

Recommendation:

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO CLARIFY THAT THE INCLUSIONARY AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAM, PLANNING CODE SECTION 415 ET SEQ. APPLIES TO HOUSING PROJECTS, AS DEFINED, INCLUDING GROUP HOUSING PROJECTS; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND MAKING FINDINGS INCLUDING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

#### PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on May 19<sup>th</sup> 2015 Supervisor John Avalos introduced a proposed Ordinance under the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 150348, which would amend the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415 et seq. applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, on June 11, Supervisor Christensen submitted a letter to the Planning Commission (hereinafter referred to as "Supervisor Christensen's letter") expressing her intent to propose amendments to this Ordinance when it returns to the Board of Supervisors at the Land Use Committee. The letter provides that her amendments would modify Section 124 (f) of the Planning Code, clarifying the existing FAR bonus provisions in C-3 zoning districts for affordable units; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined not to be a project under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c) and 15378; and

www.sfplanning.org

# Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405CASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date: July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 2, 2015; and,

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors recommend approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance and amendments proposed in Supervisor Christensen's letter, with the proposed modifications as follows:

- 1. Apply exposure requirements to group housing and allow a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements for all group housing bedrooms or the group housing common room. The partial waiver would allow the windows to face an open area of 15 feet by 15 feet.
- 2. Clarify that the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet. Otherwise, the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be subject to the price for a studio.
- 3. Allow on-site inclusionary group housing rooms satisfying the Inclusionary Requirements to be exempt from density calculations.
- 4. Request the Planning Department to conduct a study on group housing definitions and requirements and specifically propose appropriate ratios to require common rooms in group housing projects.

#### FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, the Commission finds, concludes and determines as follows:

1. Since 2002, when the Inclusionary Ordinances became effective in San Francisco, the Planning Department has not applied the inclusionary requirements to group housing projects. The Planning Code requires "Housing Projects" with 10 or more units to pay towards the Affordable Housing Fee or set aside certain percentage of their units affordable to qualifying households. The Code's definition of a Housing Projects includes group housing

2. In another section of the Inclusionary Ordinance the threshold for the inclusionary requirements is set as 10 or more "units". The Planning Code distinguishes group housing bedrooms from dwelling units. Based on this practice, the Department has historically taken the position that group housing projects are not subject to the Inclusionary Program since such projects do not include dwelling units. However "housing unit" or "unit" in Article 4 of the Planning Code does not relate to the Planning Code's definition of dwelling unit but the Housing Code definition of

## Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405 Hearing Date: July 2, 2015 Inclusiona

## lo. 19405 CASE NO. 2015.005457PCA Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

this term. Unlike the Planning Code, the Housing Code does not exclude group housing from the definition of a dwelling unit. Despite this, the Department has been interpreting that a "housing unit" does not include a group housing bedroom. This interpretation aligned with group housing projects that the Department reviewed in the past decade, as they were mostly serving special needs populations. The recent type of group housing projects however highlighted the inconsistency of the Department's practice in implementing the Inclusionary Program.

- 3. Group housing as a housing type is distinct from a dwelling unit in that it provides smaller shared or private bedrooms, sometimes with limited cooking facilities. It also includes shared amenities such as common living rooms, kitchens, or even bathrooms. Group housing promotes a shared living style where bedroom units would sell or rent less expensively than a dwelling unit as they are smaller in size and less independent. Group housing generally has higher density allowance compared to dwelling units in the same zoning districts. Group housing projects also have a reduced open space requirement (1/3 of dwelling unit requirements) and are exempt from exposure requirements. Also, rear yard requirements do not apply to group housing units if they qualify as Single Room Occupancy (hereinafter SRO) units per the Planning Code, which means the room is less than 350 square feet. The Planning Code lacks any requirements for group housing projects to provide a common room to serve the shared living style and complement the smaller bedroom sizes. The Department has been requesting such amenities from the project sponsors on a case by case basis.
- 4. In the past decade group housing projects have changed in style and targeted demographics. While in the past most group housing projects targeted special needs population and provided affordable housing, currently such projects provide smaller sized market rate private bedroom suites. It is necessary for the Department to update its regulations and practices of this housing typology to reflect this change.
- 5. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

#### HOUSING ELEMENT

#### **OBJECTIVE 4**

FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES

#### POLICY 4.5

Ensure that new permanently affordable housing is located in all of the city's neighborhoods, and encourage integrated neighborhoods, with a diversity of unit types provided at a range of income levels.

The proposed Ordinance would help advance this policy by clarifying that group housing projects are subject to the inclusionary requirement. This would result in affordable group housing units that could help address the need for housing for low-income single person or two person households.

## Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405

# Hearing Date: July 2, 2015 Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

- 6. Planning Code Section 101 Findings. The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
  - That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not affect opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

CASE NO. 2015.005457PCA

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would result in more affordable housing as group housing projects comply with the City's inclusionary requirements.

 That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's commuter traffic and would not impede MUNI transit service or overburden the City's streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

# Planning Commission Resolution No. 19405CASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date:July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

7. Planning Code Section 302 Findings. The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance, approved as to form by the City Attorney and as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on July 2nd, 2015.

Jonas Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

Johnston, Hillis, Wu, Moore, Richards

NOES: Anotonini, Fong

ABSENT:



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# **Executive Summary Planning Code Text Change**

HEARING DATE: JULY 2, 2015

1650 Mission St. Sulte 400 San Francisco. CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415,558.6409

Planning Information: 415,558.6377

Re
201
Su
Kir
Kir
Aa

quirements to Group Housing 15.005457PCA [Board File No. 150348] pervisor John Avalos / Introduced May 19th, 2015 mia Haddadan, Legislative Affairs mia.haddadan@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257 Aaron Starr, Manager Legislative Affairs aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395 **Recommend Approval** 

Amendments relating to Applying Inclusionary Housing

# PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

Recommendation:

Project Name:

The proposed ordinance would amend the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415 et seq. applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

#### Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

On June 11, Supervisor Christensen submitted a letter to the Planning Commission (hereinafter referred to as "Supervisor Christensen's letter") expressing her intent to propose amendments to this Ordinance when it returns to the Board of Supervisors at the Land Use Committee<sup>1</sup>. The letter provides that her amendments would modify Section 124 (f) of the Planning Code, clarifying the existing FAR bonus provisions in C-3 zoning districts for affordable units.

## The Way It Is Now:

Because of conflicting language in the Planning Code, the Inclusionary Housing Requirements in Planning Code Section 415 are not applied to Group Housing projects.

#### Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

Planning Code Section 124(f) allows projects in C-3 zoning districts to exempt dwelling units from the building FAR calculations if those units are affordable to 150% AMI for 20 years.

<sup>1</sup> These amendments are not related to group housing but further clarifies some Planning Code provisions related to inclusionary and affordable units.

www.sfplanning.org

#### Executive Summary Hearing Date: July 2nd, 2015

### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

#### The Way It Would Be:

The conflicting language in the Planning Code would be corrected, and Inclusionary Housing requirements in Planning Code Section 415 would be applied to Group Housing projects that have not received a First Construction Permit before May 20, 2015.

#### Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

The proposed amendments would modify the controls for affordable bonus units subject to Section 124(f) so that such units:

- are affordable to households of 120% of AMI if rental and affordable to 150% AMI if ownership units.
- remain affordable for their lifetime
- are two or three bedrooms (except if the units are also satisfying the Inclusionary Program per Section 415)
- are subject to the Inclusionary Program's pricing methodology, procedures, but not the unit mix requirements.
- are subject to a Costa Hawkins Exception Agreement if the designated units are rental

#### BACKGROUND

Since 2002, when the Inclusionary Ordinances became effective in San Francisco, the Planning Department has not applied the inclusionary requirements to group housing projects. The Planning Code requires "Housing Projects" with 10 or more units to pay towards the Affordable Housing Fee or set aside certain percentage of their units affordable to qualifying households. The Code's definition of a Housing Projects includes group housing: "Any development which has residential units as defined in the Planning Code, including but not limited to dwellings, group housing, independent living units, and other forms of development which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households."

In another section of the Inclusionary Ordinance the threshold for the inclusionary requirements is set as 10 or more "units". The Planning Code distinguishes group housing bedrooms from dwelling units. Based on this practice, the Department has historically taken the position that group housing projects are not subject to the Inclusionary Program since such projects do not include dwelling units. However "housing unit" or "unit" in Article 4 of the Planning Code does not relate to the Planning Code, the Housing Code does not exclude group housing from the definition of a dwelling unit. Despite this, the Department has been interpreting that a "housing unit" does not include a group housing bedroom. This interpretation aligned with group housing projects that the Department reviewed in the past decade, as they were mostly serving special needs populations. The recent type of group housing projects however highlighted the inconsistency of the Department's practice in implementing the Inclusionary Program.

The proposed legislation would create consistency in the Planning Code with regards to application of the Inclusionary Program to group housing development projects. It clarifies the definition of a "Housing Unit" for the purpose of Section 415 (the Affordable Housing Fee) to explicitly include a group housing bedroom as well as a dwelling unit. It also establishes that the pricing for the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be calculated as 75% of pricing for studios, as established by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD).

Executive Summary Hearing Date: July 2nd, 2015 CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

### ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

#### Group Housing: Definition and Requirements

Group housing as a housing type is distinct from a dwelling unit in that it provides smaller shared or private bedrooms, sometimes with limited cooking facilities. It also includes shared amenities such as common living rooms, kitchens, or even bathrooms. Group housing promotes a shared living style where bedroom units would sell or rent less expensively than a dwelling unit as they are smaller in size and less independent. The Planning Code defines group housing as:

"A Residential Use that provides lodging or both meals and lodging, without individual cooking facilities, by prearrangement for a week or more at a time, in a space not defined by this Code as a dwelling unit. Such group housing shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, a Residential Hotel, boardinghouse, guesthouse, rooming house, lodging house, residence club, commune, fraternity or sorority house, monastery, nunnery, convent, or ashram. It shall also include group housing affiliated with and operated by a medical or educational institution, when not located on the same lot as such institution, which shall meet the applicable provisions of Section <u>304.5</u> of this Code concerning institutional master plans."

In 2005, an interpretation by the Zoning Administrator<sup>2</sup> at the time expanded this definition so that group housing units may include limited kitchen facilities (two burner oven, small under-counter fridge, microwave, small sink, but no oven). Group housing units with such limited cooking facilities are closer in design and unit type to small studio dwelling units.

Despite such similarity, the Planning Code requirements for group housing are relaxed compared to dwelling units. Group housing generally has higher density allowance compared to dwelling units in the same zoning districts. Group housing projects also have a reduced open space requirement (1/3 of dwelling unit requirements) and are exempt from exposure requirements. Also, rear yard requirements do not apply to group housing units if they qualify as Single Room Occupancy (hereinafter SRO) units per the Planning Code, which means the room is less than 350 square feet. The higher density and relaxed Planning Code requirements allow group housing projects to be more financially feasible on smaller or narrow lots, offering small private bedroom suites to the market.

The Planning Code lacks any requirements for group housing projects to provide a common room to serve the shared living style and complement the smaller bedroom sizes. The Department has been requesting such amenities from the project sponsors on a case by case basis.

Given that group housing units tend to serve more as private independent rooms often with kitchenettes, and also given the increased popularity of group housing projects, further clarifications of group housing definition and regulations are needed. Such clarifications should update the definition of group housing, the amount and quality of required shared amenities such as a common room, the bedroom size and amenities, along with other quality of life requirements such as exposure.

<sup>2</sup> Planning Code interpretation for Section 209.2(a), effective date 10/05

Executive Summary Hearing Date: July 2nd, 2015

### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

One precedent for applying exposure requirement of the Planning Code to inherently smaller units is the provisions for the Accessory Dwelling Units. These are units added to an existing residential building within the existing built envelope. The Zoning Administrator can issue an administrative waiver from the exposure requirements partially, so that in such units the required open area to which the windows face can be of smaller size (15 feet by 15 feet).

#### Past and Current Group Housing Projects

In the past 10 years, four group housing projects have been approved and constructed, all of which provide housing or shelter to specific needs groups including youth shelter<sup>3</sup>, or supportive housing<sup>4</sup> for formerly homeless senior.

Currently, there are two group housing projects in the pipeline that would be affected by the proposed Ordinance: 1) 361 Turk Street proposing 238 group housing rooms with individual kitchenettes; and 2) 1178 Folsom Street including 42 Single Room Occupancy (SRO)<sup>5</sup> group housing units also with individual kitchenettes. The Department currently is also reviewing a few PPAs that propose similar types of group housing private bedroom suites.

The change in the type of group housing projects that seek approval highlighted the inconsistency in the Planning Code and the Department's practice in not applying the inclusionary requirements to group housing projects. While in the past most group housing projects were either homeless shelters or supportive housing, or elderly care, today the market calls for group housing projects with private bedroom suites.

#### Inclusionary Unit Pricing

The inclusionary housing requirements in the Planning Code can be satisfied with an on-site option. Per this option, the project sponsor would set aside a certain number of units (usually 12% of the units) in the building affordable to households earning 55% of AMI if rental or 90% of AMI if ownership. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (hereinafter MOHCD) established a pricing mechanism to determine the rental or sales price for these units to remain affordable for the targeted household types. The pricing mechanism is based on unit type (studio, one-bedroom, two-bedroom, etc.) and household size. If the affordable units qualify as an SRO, MOHCD calculates the pricing based on 75% of a studio unit<sup>6</sup>. The purpose of this adjustment is to account for the fact that an SRO unit is usually cheaper than a studio as they are smaller in size. Similarly, the proposed Ordinance recommends using the same adjustment for group housing bedrooms as they also tend to be smaller in size and less independent than a studio dwelling unit.

It is important to note that for a rental housing project in order to satisfy the requirements of the Inclusionary Housing program with an on-site option, project sponsors need to enter into a Cost-Hawkins Exception Agreement with the City. This agreement holds that units within the buildings are maintained affordable to low income households in return for a direct financial contribution that the

<sup>3</sup> 536 Central- Diamond Youth Shelter

<sup>4</sup> 416 Bay Street- The Arc of San Francisco- supportive housing for adults with disabilities & 2500 Market- Market Street Mission (homeless shelter and services)

<sup>5</sup> For the purpose of the Planning Code, an SRP dwelling unit or group housing mean the unit/bedroom is smaller than 350 sq. ft.

<sup>6</sup> A studio can be up to 600 sq. ft. in MOHCD's pricing table.
### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

sponsor receives. Generally, a direct financial contribution includes exemptions from rear yard, open space, exposure, density, or so forth. Group housing projects, as discussed earlier, are more permissive than dwelling unit projects and such exemptions may not be needed. This may deem the on-site option legally infeasible due to a lack of available direct financial contribution as described in the Code.

### Demographics of Group Housing

The current group housing projects primarily target single person households or couples without children, offering a smaller private bedroom setting, often with a kitchenette, along with shared common rooms and facilities. Given the increase in people living alone, and couples without children, in San Francisco and generally in the country, this is a housing type that would address such demographic change. Looking at living arrangement data in San Francisco from 2000 to 2013, there has been an 18% increase in couples without children. Additionally, there has been a 24% increase in unrelated individuals living together in larger dwelling units that otherwise could accommodate families. Group housing projects can accommodate these increasing demographics, providing private bedroom suites for such independent individuals while maintaining a shared living style.

Per the proposed Ordinance, if such group housing bedrooms are made affordable (through the on-site option), the unit provides a very affordable rent of about \$600 per month for rental. Such rate is on a lower end of affordability spectrum serving a single person or a two person household, a need that is aggravated by the demographic shifts in household types.

#### Supervisor Christensen Letter: Middle Income Affordable Units

Section 124(f) of the Planning Code was adopted as a part of the Downtown Plan in 1985. The intent of this Code section was to facilitate provision of units that are affordable to households of middle income (150% of AMI) through an FAR bonus in C-3-G and C-3-S Districts. Since then, the City established an Inclusionary Zoning program in 2002, where the units are affordable to 55% of AMI for rental and 90% of AMI for ownership units. Many projects have used the inclusionary units also as designated units subject to 124(f) for an FAR bonus. Only two projects (55 9<sup>th</sup> Street and 1400 Mission) have used this Section to provide "bonus units" outside of the inclusionary program. However, the units provided, given the current housing affordability crisis do not serve the intended middle income population. For example, in 55 9<sup>th</sup> Street, the affordable studio rents out for \$2,700 for a household earning 150% AMI. Such rent is already available on the market for a studio and therefore does not serve as an affordable unit. In addition, 124(f) requires the project sponsor to restrict the affordability for only 20 years – a much shorter term than other current affordability restrictions, which often last for from 50 years up to the "life of the project."

### REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

### RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval with modifications* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect. The proposed modifications include:

- 1. Apply exposure requirements to group housing and allow a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements for all group housing bedrooms or the group housing common room. The partial waiver would allow the windows to face an open area of 15 feet by 15 feet.
- 2. Clarify that the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units <u>if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet</u>. Otherwise, the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be subject to the price for a studio.
- 3. Allow on-site inclusionary group housing rooms satisfying the Inclusionary Requirements to be exempt from density calculations.
- 4. Request the Planning Department to conduct a study on group housing definitions and requirements and specifically propose appropriate ratios to require common rooms in group housing projects.

Recommendations Related to Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

5. Clarify that the designated units would not be exempt from Planning Code Infrastructure Impact Fees.

### BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

Staff supports the notion that the Inclusionary Program should apply to all housing projects including group housing. Since the establishment of the Inclusionary Program, most group housing projects were homeless shelters or other forms of subsidized housing. Under the current Inclusionary Housing requirements such 100% affordable housing developments are not subject to the Inclusionary Housing requirements and, under the Proposed Legislation, would continue to be exempt. The more recent group housing projects in the pipeline offer market-rate private bedroom suites. This highlighted the inconsistency in the Planning Code in implementation of the Inclusionary Program. Requiring this Ordinance to apply to projects that have not received their First Construction Permit before May 20, 2015 would capture all market rate group housing projects in the pipeline. Staff also supports the clarification in the pricing mechanism for affordable group housing for SROs and addresses the distinction of group housing bedroom suites, with less independent living arrangement (limited cooking facilities, common living room, etc.), from a studio unit, which is an independent living arrangement.

The following are the explanations for staff's proposed modification to the Ordinance:

1. Apply exposure requirements to group housing and allow a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements for all group housing bedrooms or the group housing common room- The Planning Code establishes quality of life standards for residential buildings in order to ensure a certain standard of living for San Franciscans. Group housing units should provide these standards consistent with other types of housing. For a dwelling unit, the Planning Code requires at least one room to have exposure to light and air through a window facing a street or an open area of a

### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

certain size. Staff's proposed modification would adapt this requirement to group housing. Group housing bedrooms are often smaller and less of an independent living arrangement compared to dwelling units and a common room usually serves as living room for these bedrooms. Similar to the current practices of the Planning Code's exposure requirements, the proposed modification would allow either the private bedrooms or the shared common room to comply with the exposure requirements. The goal is that if any of the private bedrooms does not comply with the exposure requirements, the common room in the building would provide such quality of life. Additionally the proposed modifications replicate the partial waiver from exposure for ADUs currently in the Planning Code. This proposal aims to ensure that group housing units are still feasible without compromising quality of life gained by exposure to light and air.

- 2. Clarify that the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units <u>if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet</u> The Planning Code does not restrict the size of a group housing room. While group housing projects currently existing or in the pipeline generally have smaller units, it is possible for a project to propose 450 sq. ft. group housing bedroom suites, for example. The proposed modification aims to capture such potential instances. If a group housing bedroom suite is larger than 350 sq. ft. (the maximum threshold for an SRO), MOHCD would no longer apply the 75% pricing adjustment. Such larger size group housing bedroom suite would be more similar in design and amenities to a studio and therefore should be priced similarly as well.
- 3. Allow on-site inclusionary group housing rooms satisfying the Inclusionary Requirements to be exempt from density calculations The private bedroom suites in the group housing projects would serve types of household which are on the rise: single persons or couples without children. Inclusionary affordable group housing bedrooms would provide housing on the cheapest spectrum of affordability in the inclusionary program for these types of households. Encouraging project sponsors to satisfy their inclusionary requirement with the on-site option would help provide more affordable housing options at the lower level of rent or sales price. The proposed modification would provide an incentive for project sponsors to provide on-site affordable group housing bedroom suites both financially; and also legally for rental projects, as it provides the necessary grounds for the Costa Hawkins Exception Agreement. This proposal would exempt affordable units from density calculations, where density restrictions exist, allowing additional units, and enhancing financial feasibility of the project. This exception would only exempt the units from density calculations while other height, bulk, and building envelope limitations would still apply.
- 4. Request the Planning Department to conduct a study on group housing definition and requirements and specifically propose appropriate ratios to require common rooms in group housing projects. In the past decade group housing projects have changed in style and targeted demographics. While in the past most group housing projects targeted special needs population and provided affordable housing, currently such projects provide smaller sized market rate private bedroom suites. It is necessary for the Department to update its regulations and practices of this housing typology to reflect this change. For example, group housing projects have smaller open space requirements and also tend to have smaller bedroom sizes. Residents of such buildings would therefore need additional living room space used for relaxing and gathering. The Department has been requesting common rooms when reviewing group housing on a case by case basis. Given the current boom in the housing market and the tendency to maximize number of units in each project, staff finds that there is a need for an established common room requirement for group housing projects in order to maintain a standard quality of life in such projects.

### CASE NO. 2015.005457 Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

### Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

Staff also supports the amendments recommended in Supervisor Christensen's letter. The amendments align the intent of Section 124(f) provisions with the current inclusionary procedures and practices of MOHCD. The adjustment to the AMI income levels reflects the distinction MOHCD makes for affordability levels of rental versus ownership units. The amendments also would set the unit type as two or three bedrooms, adjusting the provisions to address the current need for middle income housing for families and households of larger size. This would also avoid having a studio affordable to 150% of AMI while the market already offers such level of affordability. Staff proposes one modification to these amendments:

5. Clarify that the designated units would not be exempt from Planning Code Infrastructure Impact Fees - Since the adoption of the Downtown Plan in 1985, the City has establishes several infrastructure impact fees for development projects. The intention of Section 124(f) was to only exempt the bonus units from FAR calculations. Staff recommends clarifying that such fees would still apply to the additional bonus units.

### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed Ordinance would result in no physical impact on the environment. The proposed amendments are exempt from environmental review under Sections 15060(c)(2) and 15378 of the CEQA Guidelines.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

The Department has not received any public comment on this Ordinance.

### RECOMMENDATION: Recommendation of Approval with modification

Attachments:

Exhibit A:	Draft Planning Commission Resolution
Exhibit B:	Supervisor Christensen Letter
Exhibit C:	Board of Supervisors File No. 150348



## SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## **Planning Commission Draft Resolution**

Planning Code Text Change HEARING DATE: JULY 2, 2015

2015.005457PCA [Board File No. 150348]

Aaron Starr, Manager Legislative Affairs aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6395

Kimia Haddadan, Legislative Affairs Kimia haddadan@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

**Requirements to Group Housing** 

Amendments relating to Applying Inclusionary Housing

Supervisor John Avalos / Introduced May 19th, 2015

Project Name:

Case Number: Initiated by: Staff Contact:

Reviewed by:

Recommendation: Recommend Approval RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO CLARIFY THAT THE INCLUSIONARY AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAM, PLANNING CODE SECTION 415 ET SEQ. APPLIES TO HOUSING PROJECTS, AS DEFINED, INCLUDING GROUP HOUSING PROJECTS; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND MAKING FINDINGS INCLUDING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

### PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on May 19<sup>th</sup> 2015 Supervisor John Avalos introduced a proposed Ordinance under the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 150348, which would amend the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415 et seq. applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings including findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, on June 11, Supervisor Christensen submitted a letter to the Planning Commission (hereinafter referred to as "Supervisor Christensen's letter") expressing her intent to propose amendments to this Ordinance when it returns to the Board of Supervisors at the Land Use Committee. The letter provides that her amendments would modify Section 124 (f) of the Planning Code, clarifying the existing FAR bonus provisions in C-3 zoning districts for affordable units; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined not to be a project under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c) and 15378; and

## www.sfplanning.org

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

133

## Exhibit A- Planning Commission Draft ResolutionCASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date: July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 2, 2015; and,

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors recommend approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance and amendments proposed in Supervisor. Christensen's letter, with the proposed modifications as follows:

- 1. Apply exposure requirements to group housing and allow a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements for all group housing bedrooms or the group housing common room. The partial waiver would allow the windows to face an open area of 15 feet by 15 feet.
- 2. Clarify that the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units <u>if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet</u>. Otherwise, the inclusionary group housing bedrooms would be subject to the price for a studio.
- 3. Allow on-site inclusionary group housing rooms satisfying the Inclusionary Requirements to be exempt from density calculations.
- 4. Request the Planning Department to conduct a study on group housing definitions and requirements and specifically propose appropriate ratios to require common rooms in group housing projects.

Recommendations Related to Supervisor Christensen's Proposed Amendments

 Clarify that the designated units would not be exempt from Planning Code Infrastructure Impact Fees.

### FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, the Commission finds, concludes and determines as follows:

- 1. Since 2002, when the Inclusionary Ordinances became effective in San Francisco, the Planning Department has not applied the inclusionary requirements to group housing projects. The Planning Code requires "Housing Projects" with 10 or more units to pay towards the Affordable Housing Fee or set aside certain percentage of their units affordable to qualifying households. The Code's definition of a Housing Projects includes group housing
- 2. In another section of the Inclusionary Ordinance the threshold for the inclusionary requirements is set as 10 or more "units". The Planning Code distinguishes group housing bedrooms from dwelling units. Based on this practice, the Department has historically taken the position that

# Exhibit A- Planning Commission Draft ResolutionCASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date:July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

group housing projects are not subject to the Inclusionary Program since such projects do not include dwelling units. However "housing unit" or "unit" in Article 4 of the Planning Code does not relate to the Planning Code's definition of dwelling unit but the Housing Code definition of this term. Unlike the Planning Code, the Housing Code does not exclude group housing from the definition of a dwelling unit. Despite this, the Department has been interpreting that a "housing unit" does not include a group housing bedroom. This interpretation aligned with group housing projects that the Department reviewed in the past decade, as they were mostly serving special needs populations. The recent type of group housing projects however highlighted the inconsistency of the Department's practice in implementing the Inclusionary Program.

3. Group housing as a housing type is distinct from a dwelling unit in that it provides smaller shared or private bedrooms, sometimes with limited cooking facilities. It also includes shared amenities such as common living rooms, kitchens, or even bathrooms. Group housing promotes a shared living style where bedroom units would sell or rent less expensively than a dwelling unit as they are smaller in size and less independent. Group housing generally has higher density allowance compared to dwelling units in the same zoning districts. Group housing projects also have a reduced open space requirement (1/3 of dwelling unit requirements) and are exempt from exposure requirements. Also, rear yard requirements do not apply to group housing units if they qualify as Single Room Occupancy (hereinafter SRO) units per the Planning Code, which means the room is less than 350 square feet. The Planning Code lacks any requirements for group housing projects to provide a common room to serve the shared living style and complement the smaller bedroom sizes. The Department has been requesting such amenities from the project sponsors on a case by case basis.

4. In the past decade group housing projects have changed in style and targeted demographics. While in the past most group housing projects targeted special needs population and provided affordable housing, currently such projects provide smaller sized market rate private bedroom suites. It is necessary for the Department to update its regulations and practices of this housing typology to reflect this change.

5. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

### HOUSING ELEMENT

#### **OBJECTIVE 4**

FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES

#### POLICY 4.5

Ensure that new permanently affordable housing is located in all of the city's neighborhoods, and encourage integrated neighborhoods, with a diversity of unit types provided at a range of income levels.

The proposed Ordinance would help advance this policy by clarifying that group housing projects are subject to the inclusionary requirement. This would result in affordable group housing units that could help address the need for housing for low-income single person or two person households.

3

# Exhibit A- Planning Commission Draft ResolutionCASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date: July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

- 6. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
  - That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not affect opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would result in more affordable housing as group housing projects comply with the City's inclusionary requirements.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's commuter traffic and would not impede MUNI transit service or overburden the City's streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Exhibit A- Planning Commission Draft ResolutionCASE NO. 2015.005457PCAHearing Date: July 2, 2015Inclusionary Housing Requirement to Group Housing

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

7. Planning Code Section 302 Findings. The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance, approved as to form by the City Attorney in Exhibit B, along with the amendments described in Supervisor Christensen's letter in Exhibit C, both described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on July 2nd, 2015.

Jonas Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

5

Member, Board of Supervisors District 3



City and County of San Francisco

## JULIE CHRISTENSEN

June 11, 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94

Re: Board File 150348 – Planning Code – Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing

Dear President Fong and Members of the Commission:

Supervisors Avalos and Kim have authored the subject ordinance which is scheduled to be heard by the Planning Commission on July 5, 2015. I will be introducing a friendly amendment to this ordinance when it is returned to the Board of Supervisors and heard at Land Use Committee. In keeping with the subject matter of clarifying application of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, I intend to clarify the application of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Districts. I respectfully request that the Planning Commission consider my amendment as part of Board File 150348.

Planning Code Section 124 allows projects to exempt the FAR of on-site units sold or rented at up to 150% AMI for 20 years. This provision is inconsistent with best practices for pricing and administering below market rate (BMR) units. I will propose language that will monitor these bonus units under the best practices of the Inclusionary Housing Program, and allow these on-site BMR units to be made available for rental to households earning up to 120% AMI and as ownership units for households earning up to 150% AMI, for the life of the project.

My amendment will provide additional clarification requiring units be "family sized" (2 or 3 bedrooms) unless the units are also satisfying the project's Inclusionary Housing Program requirement, in which case the units must follow the unit mix rules of the Inclusionary Program; clarify that the bonus units will not apply to the required unit mix of the Inclusionary Program unless the units also count toward the Inclusionary requirement; require that these units be subject to the procedures, pricing methodology and other provisions for on-site BMR units outlined for the Inclusionary Housing Program Procedures Manual; and call out the need for the Costa Hawkins agreement for rental units. Thank you for your consideration of this amendment as part of the Board File.

Sincerely,

**Julie** Christ

Member Board of Supervisors

cc: Supervisor Avalos, Supervisor Kim

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 244 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689 • (415) 554-7450 Fax (415) 554-7454 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: Julie Christensen@sfgov.org

## SUBSTITUTED 5/19/2015 ORDINANCE NO.

. .... .

٠.

FILE NO.

1	[Planning Code - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing]
2	
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable
4	Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing
5	projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California
6	Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with
7	the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.
8	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> .
9	Deletions to Codes are in <i>strikethrough italies Times New Roman font</i> . Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> .
10	Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code
11	subsections or parts of tables.
12	
13	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
14	
15	Section 1. Environmental, Planning Code, and General Plan Findings.
16	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
17	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
18	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
19	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this
20	determination.
21	(b) On, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No, adopted
22 <sup>.</sup>	findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the
23	City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board
24	adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the
25	Board of Supervisors in File No, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Supervisors Avalos; Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

.

Υ

(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that this Planning Code Amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth 2 in Planning Commission Resolution No. \_\_\_\_, and the Board incorporates such reasons 4 herein by reference.

5

1

3

6

Section 2. General Findings.

The Board of Supervisors finds that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing 7 (a) Program ("Inclusionary Program"), adopted in 2002 by Ordinance No. 37-02, was originally 8 intended to apply and has always applied to group housing projects. Ordinance No. 37-02 9 provided that the Inclusionary Program applied to all applications for "housing projects" filed 10 on or after June 18, 2001. The definition of "Housing project" in Ordinance No. 37-02 states 11 12 that it includes a broad variety of developments "which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households." The 2002 definition specifically included group 13 14 housing, among other forms of housing. The definition of "Housing project" currently found in Planning Code Section 401 has not changed in any material respect since the adoption of 15 16 Ordinance No. 37-02. It continues to specifically include group housing. The Board finds that the definition of "Housing project" specifically includes group housing in a broad definition of 17 18 housing to insure that all types of developments that are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households are subject to the requirements of the inclusionary 19 Program. 20

21 (b) The Board has learned that, at some point since the adoption of the Inclusionary 22 Program, the Planning Department began to disregard the specific reference to group housing 23 as a "Housing project" subject to the Inclusionary Program. It is the Board's understanding that in reaching this conclusion the Department relied on language in the Inclusionary 24 Program that sets a threshold of application to "10 or more units." The Department concluded 25

Supervisors Avalos; Kim **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 

Page 2

that group housing is not measured in "units" and is not comprised of "dwelling units" as 1 defined in the Planning Code. The Inclusionary Housing ordinance, however, does not use 2 the Planning Code definition of "Dwelling Unit" that excludes group housing, but rather refers 3 broadly to "dwelling units" as defined in the San Francisco Housing Code. That definition can 4 5 include group housing that meets certain requirements. The Department's interpretation was never formalized in a written Zoning Administrator determination under Planning Code Section 6 7 307 that could have been appealed to the Board of Appeals. Thus, neither the Board nor the public were aware of the Department's unofficial policy to exclude group housing projects from 8 the application of the Inclusionary Program. 9

(c) To reiterate the original intent of Ordinance 37-02, the Board of Supervisors
 adopts this ordinance to specifically require the Planning Department and Department of
 Building Inspection to follow the original intent and the express language of the Planning Code
 to apply the Inclusionary Program to group housing and all other forms of housing intended to
 provide long-term housing to individuals and households.

15

18

16 Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 401 to read as 17 follows:

SEC. 401. DEFINITIONS.

In addition to the specific definitions set forth elsewhere in this Article, the following
 definitions shall govern interpretation of this Article:

21 \*

"Allowable average purchase price." A price for all affordable owned units of the size
indicated below that are affordable to a household of median income as defined in this
Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below as of the date of the close of escrow,
except for Single Room Occupancy units <u>and Group Housing (both as defined in Section</u>)

<u>102</u>890.88), which shall be 75% of the maximum purchase price level for studio units, and, where applicable, adjusted to reflect the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time:

Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

"Allowable average annual rent." Annual rent for an affordable rental unit of the size
indicated below that is 30 percent of the annual gross income of a household of low income
as defined in this Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below except for Single
Room Occupancy units *and Group Housing* (*both* as defined in Section *102890.88*), which shall
be 75% of the maximum rent level for studio units, and, where applicable, adjusted to reflect
the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the
Procedures Manual and amended from time to time:

23 Number of Bedrooms (or, for
 24 live/work units square foot equivalency)
 25

1	0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
2	1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
3	2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
4	3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
6	4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

At no time can a rent increase, or can multiple rent increases within one year, exceed
the percentage change in Maximum Monthly Rent levels as published by MOH from the
previous calendar year to the current calendar year.

10

"Housing project." Any development which has includes residential units use as defined in 11 the Planning Code Section 102, including but not limited to Detwellings, Geroup Haousing, Single 12 Room Occupancy Units, independent living units, and other forms of development which are 13 intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households. "Housing project" shall 14 not include that portion of a development that qualifies as an Institutional Use under the 15 Planning Code. "Housing project" for purposes of the Inclusionary Housing Program shall also 16 include the development of live/work units as defined by Section 102.13 of this Code, Housing 17 project for purposes of the Inclusionary Housing Program shall mean all phases or elements 18 of a multi-phase or multiple lot residential development. 19 "Housing unit" or "unit." A <u>residential use</u> dwelling unit in a Housing projectas defined in San 20

- 21 Francisco Housing Code Section 401. For the purposes of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing
- 22 Program, Planning Code Section 415 et seq., and corresponding definitions in this Section 401, the use
- 23 of the word "unit" will also mean bedrooms where a Group Housing or other Housing project is
- 24 measured by number of bedrooms.

25

"Maximum annual rent." The maximum rent that a housing developer may charge any
tenant occupying an affordable unit for the calendar year. The maximum annual rent for an
affordable housing unit, of the size indicated below shall be no more than 30 percent of the
annual gross income for a household of low income as defined in this Section, as adjusted for
the household size indicated below, except in the case of Single Room Occupancy units <u>and</u>
<u>Group Housing</u> (both as defined in Section <u>102890.88</u>), which shall be 75% of the maximum rent
level for studio units, as of the first date of the tenancy:

8		
9	Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
10	live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
11	0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
12	1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
13 14	2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
15	3 (1,101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
16	.4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	5

At no time can a rent increase, or can multiple rent increases within one year, exceed
the percentage change in Maximum Monthly Rent levels as published by MOH from the
previous calendar year to the current calendar year.

"Maximum purchase price." The maximum purchase price for an affordable owned unit
 of the size indicated below except in the case of Single Room Occupancy units <u>and Group</u>
 <u>Housing</u> (<u>both</u> as defined in Section <u>102</u> 890.88), which shall be 75% of the maximum purchase
 price level for studio units, that is affordable to a household of moderate income, adjusted for

the household size indicated below, assuming an annual payment for all housing costs of 33

24 25

percent of the combined household annual gross income, a down payment recommended by 1 2 MOH and set forth in the Procedures Manual, and available financing:

Ų	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	Number of Bedrooms (or, for	Number of Persons in
5	live/work units square foot equivalency)	Household
6	0 (Less than 600 square feet)	1
7	1 (601 to 850 square feet)	2
8	2 (851 to 1,100 square feet)	3
9 10	3 (1;101 to 1,300 square feet)	4
11	4 (More than 1,300 square feet)	. 5
12	* * * *	

13 Section 4. Effective Date; Applicability, and Retroactivity of Ordinance. This ordinance 14 shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the 15 ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 16 ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the 17 ordinance. This ordinance shall apply to all Housing projects as defined, including Group 18 Housing projects, where the Department of Building Inspection issues the First Construction 19 Document, as defined in San Francisco Building Code Section 107A.13.1, after May 19, 2015. 20 Accordingly, this ordinance shall be retroactive to May 20, 2015.

21

3

22 Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors 23 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles. 24 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal 25 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment

1	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
2	the official title of the ordinance.
3	
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
. 6.	Ву:
7	Susan Cleveland-Knowles Deputy City Attorney
8	n:\legana\as2015\1500164\01017227.docx
9	
10	
11	
12	• •
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 15, 2015

File No. 150348

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On April 7, 2015, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following legislation:

File No. 150348

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A Auberry

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Attachment

cc: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy Navarrete Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning, ou=Environmental Planning, email=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=U5 Date: 2015.04.27 16:00:53 -07'00'



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 27, 2015

## File No. 150348

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On May 19, 2015, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following substituted legislation:

File No. 150348

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A. Auberry

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Attachment

cc: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 27, 2015

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On May 19, 2015, Supervisor Avalos introduced the following substituted legislation:

File No. 150348

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

cc: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs AnMarie Rodgers, Senior Policy Manager Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO:

C:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development Delene Wolf, Executive Director, Rent Board

FROM: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee, Board of Supervisors

DATE: May 27, 2015

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substituted legislation, introduced by Supervisor Avalos on May 19, 2015:

File No. 150348

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any additional comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development Sophie Hayward, Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development

## Ausberry, Andrea

From: Sent: To: Subject: Frank Jr <frank12na@hotmail.com> Wednesday, July 15, 2015 7:11 PM Ausberry, Andrea OG "Group Housing"

Greetings Andrea,

I'm writing to pass along some very important information to you. As I'm sure you already know, the world is going through some major Birth Pangs right now. We have to "Strengthen our Minds, we're living in Serious Times". Things are becoming increasingly difficult for many people all over this world; much harder for some than others. We really need your support, because I believe that you, being a member of the Land Use & Transportation Committee, have been blessed with and accepted a fine position that gives you pull (by the Almighty Power of God) over the direction of a people. I understand that a position like yours is not about fun & games, and entails a great level of honesty, clarity, and just decision making. Without a doubt, this comes with great responsibility, but I also believe that you will be given no more than that which you can bear.

I HOPE that you agree that "Group Housing" should be subject to the same "Inclusionary Housing requirements" as any other form of housing in San Francisco. Please support the <u>original Avalos/Kim</u> <u>amendment</u> to the Inclusionary Ordinance, to ensure equality of the law, and deny special treatment of Group Housing developers; we are counting on you.

Thank you for all of your support, and for all of your time and energy that you put into your job.

Frank P. Leonard, Jr. Ambassador for Christ

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,	
Name Timothy PAUL SUOKO	DATele (optional)
Email (ifany) + Ks 6 10 yahoo com	• ,
	treet SF 94102

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Honsing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As i'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name GIRBL MOORE	Tele (optional) 415,996,1413
Email (Many) greg C stlivin.	
Address (optional) 350 Golden Ga	te Ave

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Mallory Hasick Tele (optional) 415-627-7576 Name Email (ifany) Mhasick Gecs-Sf. org 25 8th Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Tele (optional)

Address (optional)

Email (if any) 70

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Çc:

Sincerely Name Tele (option: Email (if any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class . community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

0 (	· · · · ·		· .
dr k	CI L	· .	
Name Kicky	- Sigh	Tele (optional)	
	. 10. 1	sf@amail.com	
Email (if any)	MCKQ.SIAgh,	·Solar ( - Con	
	10	Ú ·	
Address (optional)	۲ 		

1

ł

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,	
Name KUR, DALIMIN	Tele (optional) (5/0) 469-13, 33
Email (if any)	
Address (optional) 44 5th Stopet	Boom 319

# peace & all good.

"Pouce and All Good" is a Franciscon greeting that we use at St. Anthony's. St. Francis used this phrose along the road to back on his fellow travelers toward a peace-filed world where all good things are shared.

# 415 241 2600 F 415 440 7770 w STANTHONYSF.OR0 w 150 GDLDEN BATE AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102

### June 19, 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Support for Avalos/Kim Inclusionary Housing Requirements tegislation

Dear Planning Commissioners,

St. Anthony Foundation is writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing, authored and Introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

The need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderion and Central Market residents - as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that Minimel Variab proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - comply with both the letter and intent of the City's inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

Responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on muchneeded affordable housing projects.

St. Anthony Foundation supports the proposed Planning Code amendment regarding applying inclusionary housing requirements to group housing, and we arge the Planning Commission to support the measure.

Sincerely,

Barry J. Stenger Executive Director

Cc: John Rahaim, Director, San Francisco Planning Department Office of Supervisor John Avalos Office of Supervisor Jane Kim



Excoutive Director Barry J. Stenger

Board of Trustees John & Hardin, OFM *Chai*r

Kormold Lavorone; OFFI 14ca Chuir Poter Roogel, OFM

Jerretary Jugan Ohapana, OFM Michael Doberty, OFM David Gos, OFM Michael Harvin, OFM Michael Harvin, OFM

Dannis Aftarau Secretan Nils Behnke J. Thornes Bentley Reymond Bucher, OFM Arms: Burns Johnson . Dale Curlson George E Dooley, Jr Timothy J. Dum Jorge L. Ficosred Tricle A. Grifford Tyrous Hopper Addenine lybinart Sheral Marshell, CSF Lisa SL Chire Manrada Shushan, HVM James Stran, OFM Sittena & Soft For West OFM



San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter; so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely

Tele (optional) Name

Email'(if any)

Address (optional)

Cc: J

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely, (optional) Name Email (if 94102 Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Frank Leonard, Jr.	Tele (optional)	
Email (if any) frank 12 na 10 botmail. com		
Address (optional)		<u></u>

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> <u>to Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

,Sincerely,

Name Parning	Cham Kalfer Tele (optignal) NA	
Email lifany Das	uch min to me poto wo how com	
Address (optional) 33	Debenanting Apt 815 EFCA9	HIP
,	<b>A</b>	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Tele (optional) 🖌 Name ma Email (if any) Address (optional)
San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name GriGI GODARD Te Email (if any) drdreggme@ychoorcom Tele (optional) (4/5) 75 Address (optional) 421. LEAVENWORTH ST

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,	
Name Chonic Davis	Tele (optional) 45 749-2103
Email (it any) CEUVID (U + IDUITITHY VINDE (124	
Address (optional) 44 LEAVEN WORth SF	CA 94102

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

. . . . .. .. .. . . . . .

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

• • • •	415/716-9066
Name_ CARLIND Sichard SE	_Tele (optional)C
Email (if any) garden derkite C g-mail	······································
Address (optional) 610: MADLE ST 787,	GF 94102
1	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name SMIA Tele (optional) Email (if any) Shiva @ home less youth alliance org Address (optional)

Cc:

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Tele loptional Email (if any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely, Tele (optíona Name NRE MAC Email (if any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San. Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

-18-

Tele (optional)

Email (if any)

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,		· .	
΄ Λ	. 1	· ·	
Name HRAK	tennerog	Tele (optional)	_
i and the	ist of horning	Dberkebey-edu	
Email (if any)		-	-
Address (optional)	AN GRAN	LESCO	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income 'Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I firge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name

Tele (optional) 41(5-744-21)

Email (if any) \_\_\_\_

Address (optional)\_

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Ciara	n (	ithque		Tele (optional)	•
Email (if any)	aran	lithgow @	gmail	. com	
Address (optional)	654	Mangelis	Ave.	San Grancis	w, CA

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment</u> - <u>Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> <u>to Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

368 l'ele lootional Name Email (If any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I trge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name T. J. JOHNSTON	Tele (optional)
Email (if any)	1
Address (optional)	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincer	ely, f
	The n. Chi
Name_	ROBERT M. OPPER-WESTONET

Tele (optional) (0#0

Email (if any)\_

Address (optional)

Page: 1/1

# June 16, 2015

San Francisco Planting Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

l urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention		
sincerely, Tank Boden		
	ч. -	
Name PAUL Booken	Tele (optional)	
Email (If any) Poodene weaphome. 019	• •	
Address (optional) 20 Joy Street S.F.	CA 94/10	
	t	_

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

NameA	efa	Vibra			Tele (optional)_	415-346-3740
Email (if any)	QVD	hra@co	hsfi	NĄ		
	•	:	•	5		
Address lontional						

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim,

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating. construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Metvin STARKS	Tele (optional)
Email (Many) Mes 104 2 hot mail com	•
•	
Address (optional)	

# San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for tow-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco. residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I firge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name_Samantha	Lew		•
Email (if any) SOM ave	tha Lew 2:	2 @ Jahoo, com	
Address (optional)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Tele (optional)

Thank you for your attention.

incerely.	· ·
Joyc	e Calagos
vame_forfree_	e Calagos L. Colagos
U V	
Email (if any)	

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the henefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely;

Name Kattanin Callins	Tele (optional)	815-216-1508
Email (if any) Kattanascolliast 32 By aluss cons	•	•
Address (ontional)		•

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

1 am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

) Name < Tele (optional) Email (if any) Vimen good a) and. Com Address (optional).

# June 16; 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name JULIE LAGARDE	Tele (optional)
Email (If any Mile julie @ quail. com	<u>.</u>
Address (optional) Bernal Heighty,	SF CA 94110

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners;

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim,

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name_Julianna	cheng	Tele (optional)	4156851266
Email (if any)	0		
Address (optional) 370 E	11:5 St SF	PA.	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners;

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Dr. Lucia	. S. S.	mmere		_Tele (optio	nall 415.921	8111
Email (if any) Lucio			edu	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Address (optional)		Filbert		SF	94123	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Tess Davis	Tele (optional) 4157492176
Email (If any) + davis @ huspit-111	
Address (optional)	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u>. to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

The arm to A	
Email (If any) JOLA 114181. 0202 00 DV	hal.
Address (optional) Children ( Cuter Frider	10

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Sami	Manumatieu	TQtele (optional)
Email (If any)		

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ----ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely;

Name Tele (optional) CHA. COMMERCE PONZO Email (If any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Honsing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name	Powel	Tele (optional) 100 10 2
Email (if any)	-	
Address (optional)	Jone	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> <u>to Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Gloria E.	Tele (optional) (415) 255-68
Email (If any)	
Address (optional)	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to--ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San-Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Tele (optional) (703) 504. KIL DTZKE FRANCA Name Email (if any) 8423 moved To Address (optional)

#### May 19, 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission delay its vote on item 2012.1531CX - 145 Leavenworth and 361 Turk, until the Commission gives its full consideration to the proposed Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing, introduced 7 April 2015, by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for Tenderloin and Central Market residents - as well as very low-income and low-income residents throughout San Francisco, is extremely important. It is crucial, therefore, that the proposed project - and future group housing projects - comply with both the letter and intent of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors would wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, and encourage Commissioners to engage in meaningful dialogue with community residents so that San Francisco's Civic Center, Tenderloin, and Central Market neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

(828) 989-1596 Steely don@hotmail.com > 101 Hyple St J.F. California > (General Delivery because I can't artiford Hossing) Sincerely

John Rahaim, Director, San Francisco Planning Department

Cc:

ι.

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u>. <u>to Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents — as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language toensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Tele (optiona Mat. ca1123456 Email (if any) Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u>to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to --ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name John St-evenson Tele loptional 415574	63
Emsilitzow Skidddrower Quahoo. com	
Address (optional) 370 Elliss + 16 SFCa 94102	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,	• • •
Name (EK) Talk	Tele (optional)
Ernall (if any)	
Address (optional)	of 2.30th
· .'	10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment</u> - <u>Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to <u>Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention. Sincerely,

Tele (optional)

Email (if any)

Nam

Address (optional)

#### 06/25/2015 14:30

June 16, 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment- Applying inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents - as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name	. lote	livis	Parez.	•	
			<u> </u>		
Email (if a	ny)				

SF, CA, 94102

Address (optional) 140 Jovier 14.
San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

sand it	
Name 10 FX Orwelf	Tele (optional)
Email (if any)	
Address (optional) 40 Jones St.	SE. CA. 94109

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - comply with both the letter and intent of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheantedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

2. 5

Sincerely,

Name Frank.	Gronzalez	Tele (optional)	÷
Email (if any)			
Address (optional)			

Cc: John Rahaim, Director, San Francisco Planning Department

202

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Carla Clypes	·	Tele (optional)
Email (if any)		
Address (optional) 733 BOKer	57-	S.F. CA. 24115

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I firge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely.

Name\_\_\_\_

Tele (optional)

Email (if any)

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents - as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - comply with both the letter and intent of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention. Vacol 7. A.A.

sufficiency.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name JOSEPH T. WILSON	Tele (optional) 4/5-749-211
Email (if any) JEWILSON 55@ hotmai	1. ( <i>dm</i>
Address (optional)	

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Betty Cox	Tele (optional)
Email (if eny)	
Address (optional)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name REUBEN ALVEAR II	Tele (optional)
Email (if any)	
Address loptional) 1301 GATEVIEW AVE	FUNIT D
SF CA 94130	

## San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects - *comply with both the fetter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

fele foptional Name Email (if any)

Lines to anyp.

Address (optional

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

D1

Sincerely,

Name

Tele (optional)

Email (if any)\_\_\_\_

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners;

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderløin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards; true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I arge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Claybarry Barback	Tele (optional)	•
Email (if any) C Baylock @G.mazt.com		

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I urge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.
Sincerely, , Aunthit
Name have Valentine hight Tele Toptional (510)228-9724
Email (if any) by rale without wes & fmall, com
Address (optional) 290 Turk St.

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Tele (optional)

Email (if any):

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type – and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Charlene Tan	_Tele (optional)	323	370	9024	
Email (if any) charlone. c.tan@g.mail.com			·	 	-

Address (optional)

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed <u>Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements</u> to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole – is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects – *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I believe responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify Inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on much-needed affordable housing projects.

I tirge the Planning Commission to give its full attention to this matter, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

ele (optional Email (if any) Address (optional)

Cc:

## Ausberry, Andrea

From:Pollock, Jeremy (BOS)Sent:Friday, July 10, 2015 4:43 PMTo:Ausberry, AndreaSubject:FW: Inclusionary Housing Requirements Support LettersAttachments:150348 Group Housing Inclusionary Housing Requirements.pdf

#### Hi Andrea,

Can you add these letters of support to the file for ordinance 150348 [Planning Code - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing]?

Thanks, Jeremy

Jeremy Pollock Legislative Aide San Francisco Supervisor John Avalos (415) 554-7910 direct (415) 554-6975 office

From: Windy Click [mailto:wclick@hospitalityhouse.org] Sent: Friday, July 10, 2015 4:38 PM To: Pollock, Jeremy (BOS) Subject: Inclusionary Housing Requirements Support Letters

Thank you Jeremy for your support! Have a nice weekend!!!!

## Windy Click Community Organizing Peer Advocate



Hespftully House 290 Turk Street San Francisco, CA 94102 ph.: 415.749.2115 fax: 415.749.2136

wclick@hospitalityhouse.org www.hospitalityhouse.org

Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/HospitalityHouse Twitter: https://twitter.com/HospitalityHous Flickr: https://www.flickr.com/cchh\_cap

Legal Notice: This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain

1 215 confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify sender by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments.

# peace & all good.

"Peace and All Good" is a Franciscan greeting that we use at St. Anthony's. St. Francis used this phrase along the road to beckon his fellow travelers toward a peace-filled world where all good things are shared.

o 415 241 2600 🖸 415 440 7770 🐷 STANTHONYSF.ORG 🕫 150 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102

June 19, 2015

San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Support for Avalos/Kim Inclusionary Housing Requirements legislation

Dear Planning Commissioners,

St. Anthony Foundation is writing to urge the San Francisco Planning Commission to give its full support to the proposed Planning Code Amendment - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim.

The need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents as a whole - is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects - comply with both the letter and intent of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

Responsible housing developers and project sponsors will wholeheartedly welcome and support efforts that clarify inclusionary Housing Affordability language to ensure consistent standards, true affordability, and clear expectations prior to initiating construction on muchneeded affordable housing projects.

St. Anthony Foundation supports the proposed Planning Code amendment regarding applying inclusionary housing requirements to group housing, and we urge the Planning Commission to support the measure.

Sincerely,

Cc:

Barry J. Stenger Executive Director

John Rahaim, Director, San Francisco Planning Department Office of Supervisor John Avalos Office of Supervisor Jane Kim



Executive Director Warry J. Stenger

Board of Trustees John S. Hurdin, OFM Chair

Kenneth Laverone, OFM Vice Chair

Peter Boegel, OFM Sacretory Regan Chapman, OFM Michael Doherty, OFF ( David Gaa, OFM Michael Har, ey, OFM Narthu Ibarta, OFM

Board of Directors resident Vine President Dennis Aftergut Secretary Nils Behnke J. Thoma: Bentley Revmond Bucher, OF M Ange Burne Johnson Dale Carly on George E. Dooley, Jr. Timothy J. Dunn Jorge L. Figueredo Tricia A. Omfin T; rone lioppor Adrienne Iglehart Sheral Marshall, OSF Lisa St. Claire Maureen Sheehan, BVM James Swan, OFM Suzanne B. Swift Tom West, OFM



## Ausberry, Andrea

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Pollock, Jeremy (BOS) Friday, July 10, 2015 5:01 PM Ausberry, Andrea FW: Letter of support for group housing loophole legislation Planning Com Avalos-Kim legislation.pdf

Hi Andrea,

Here is another letter for file #150348.

Thanks, Jeremy

Jeremy Pollock Legislative Aide San Francisco Supervisor John Avalos (415) 554-7910 direct (415) 554-6975 office

From: Colleen Rivecca [mailto:CRivecca@stanthonysf.org] Sent: Friday, July 10, 2015 2:25 PM To: Pollock, Jeremy (BOS) Subject: Letter of support for group housing loophole legislation

Hi Jeremy,

Attached to this message is a letter of support from St. Anthony's for the legislation about closing the loophole around inclusionary units in group housing buildings. This is the letter that we sent to the planning committee – do you need a separate letter that is addressed to members of the land use committee? If so, let me know and I can put it together for our ED to sign. I'm going to try to come to the hearing to testify in support, though I have another meeting on Monday that ends at 2, so I will be late to the hearing. Is there anything else that would be helpful in supporting the legislation? I could send an email to our list asking people to contact the committee about their support, if you feel that is necessary. Let me know – I'm happy to do whatever would be necessary to help.

Thanks, Colleen

Colleen Rivecca Advocacy Coordinator St. Anthony Foundation 150 Golden Gate Ave, SF CA 94102 <u>crivecca@stanthonysf.org</u> 415-592-2729

## COUNCIL OF COMMUNITY HOUSING ORGANIZATIONS

The voice of San Francisco's affordable housing movement

July 10, 2015

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee Malia Cohen, chair City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Subject: SUPPORT – Group Housing ordinance (item #6 for 7/13/15 Agenda)

#### Dear Supervisors: .

On behalf of the 23 member organizations of the Council of Community Housing Organizations, we are writing to express our support for the subject ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to "Group Housing" in the same way that it applies to all other housing typologies. This issue was exposed earlier this year as it became apparent that developers are discovering a new market for this kind of housing. This ordinance simply makes clear that all market rate projects play by similar Inclusionary standards for at least a basic contribution to affordable housing needs, as was the intent of the Inclusionary Housing policy all along.

The Planning Commission overwhelmingly approved the Group Housing ordinance two weeks ago without hesitation, attesting to the importance of resolving this issue as quickly as possible.

At the Commission hearing there was also a new proposal brought up by Supervisor Christensen's office to insert another Planning Code amendment into this ordinance that would modify zoning controls in the C-3 downtown area related to FAR bonuses for inclusionary units. While this may be a useful "cleanup" in the code, CCHO does not support adding this into the current legislation before you which is very specifically about Group Housing and addresses a policy dilemma for which there is immediate urgency. The Planning Commission also took this position and did not approve the "Christensen amendment" in its motion, suggesting instead that the issue be addressed in separate legislation.

We urge you to forward this ordinance to the full Board for adoption with your strong recommendation. Thank you for considering CCHO's position.

Sincerely,

Peter Cohen and Fernando Martí Co-directors, Council of Community Housing Organizations

Cc: John Rahaim, Planning Director Jeff Buckley, Mayor's Office

#### 325 Clementing Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

#### ccho@sfic-409.org | 415.882.0901

The Council of Community Housing Organizations (CCHO) is a coalition of 23 community-based housing developers and tenant advocates. We fight for funding and policies that shape urban development and empower low-income and working-class communities. The work of our member organizations has resulted in nearly 30,000 units of affordable housing, as well as thousands of construction and permanent jobs for city residents.

#### May 6, 2015

Dear Supervisor Avalos,

All of the signatories below are former members of the Western SoMa Citizens Planning Task Force, the creators of the Western SoMa Community Plan, and most of us remain active in our respective communities to this day.

We were shocked recently to witness a high end, tech-oriented project at 1174 Folsom Street obtain approval at the Planning Commission without any inclusionary housing requirements because they skipped including a conventional oven in an otherwise well-appointed kitchen. This is the group housing loophole you're trying to close.

We had been led to believe that South of Market's unique group housing provisions in the Planning Code classified group housing as dwelling units, not as dormitories or residential hotel rooms, and the Western SoMa Plan actually asks for increased amounts of affordable housing, not non-existent requirements. Somehow, between the community plan language and codifying the plan, that got lost.

By all means, please move forward with the legislation as outlined by your staff. This will fix a serious gap in the City's inclusionary housing policy.

Jim Meko Former chair, Western SoMa Task Force, 2005-2013 364 Tenth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 624-4309

Toby Levy

Vice chair, Western SoMa Task Force

Paul A. Lord, Jr. Planning Department, Western SoMa Task Force

John Elberling Nonprofit housing representative, Western SoMa Task Force

Dennis Juarez Arts and entertainment representative, Western SoMa Task Force

Karen Nolan Families, Western SoMa Task Force

Henry Karnilowitz Small business representative, Western SoMa Task Force

## Ausberry, Andrea

From:Pollock, Jeremy (BOS)Sent:Monday, July 13, 2015 10:45 AMTo:Ausberry, AndreaSubject:Letters for #150348Attachments:inclusionary housing.docx; CCHO ltr BOS Land Use Cmte \_Group Housing ordinance.pdf

1

221

Hi Andrea,

Here are two more letters for file 150348.

Thanks, Jeremy

Jeremy Pollock Legislative Alde San Francisco Supervisor John Avalos (415) 554-7910 direct (415) 554-6975 office July 1, 2015

Land Use & Transportation Committee San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Committee Members:

I am writing to urge the members of the Board of Supervisors' Land Use & Transportation Committee to give its full support to the proposed <u>amendment to the San Francisco</u> <u>Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance re: Applying Inclusionary</u> <u>Housing Requirements to Group Housing</u>, authored and introduced by Supervisor John Avalos and Supervisor Jane Kim. ANY additional changes to the proposed language must not violate the original intent of the Avalos/Kim measure, i.e., to ensure affordability for low-income households.

At its July 2, 2015 Commission Meeting, the SF Planning Commission voted overwhelmingly to approve the Avalos /Kim amendment, and urged the Board of Supervisors to give its full support. As I'm sure you're aware, the need for housing that is truly affordable for low-income and very low-income Tenderloin and Central Market residents – as well as San Francisco residents in working class neighborhoods as a whole is extremely important for the continued vitality of our working class community. It is crucial, therefore, that proposed projects of this type - and future group housing projects *comply with both the letter and intent* of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Ordinance of 2002.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to give its full support to this amendment, so that San Francisco's working class neighborhoods can reap some of the benefits of the City's economic recovery.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Name Maria Luz L. Torre

Tele (optional) 415.343.3383

Email (if any) \_\_\_\_\_luztorre@aol.com\_

Address (optional) 3101 21st St #205, SF CA 94110

Cc: Jeremy Pollock, Aide to Supervisor John Avalos Ivy Lee, Aide to Supervisor Jane Kim Member, Board of Supervisors District 10



City and County of San Francisco

ORIG: COB,

THE JAL IS AN 9: 11

# MALIA COHEN 馬莉亞郭嫻

DATE: TO:	July 15, 2015 Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Supervisor Malia Cohen Chairperson
RE:	Land Use and Transportation Committee COMMITTEE REPORT

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Land Use and Transportation Committee, I have deemed the following matter is of an urgent nature and request it be considered by the full Board on July 21, 2015, as a Committee Report:

150348 [Planning Code - Inclusionary Housing Requirements for Group Housing, Affordable Designated Unit Requirements in C-3 Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to clarify that the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program applies to housing projects, as defined, including group housing projects; change certain requirements for group housing projects including eliminating the exemption for group housing projects from certain exposure requirements; allowing a Zoning Administrator partial waiver from the exposure requirements: allowing affordable On-Site Units in group housing projects to be exempt from density calculations in certain circumstances; providing that such On-site Units be affordable to households at 90% of AMI for rental and 120% of AMI for ownership, and to specify that such On-site Units be priced as 75% of the maximum purchase price for studio units if the bedrooms are less than 350 square feet; and clarify the requirements for Designated Units in certain C-3 districts under Section 124(f) including that they be affordable to households of 120% of AMI for rental and 150% of AMI for ownership and otherwise meet the monitoring and procedures for affordable units under the inclusionary Affordable Housing Program; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This matter will be heard in the Land Use and Transportation Committee on July 20, 2015, at 1:30 p.m.

Sincerely,

Mali

Malia Cohen Member, Board of Supervisors City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 244 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689 • (415) 554-7670 Fax (415) 554-7674 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: malia.cohen@sfgov.org

223

	· •
Print Form	0
Introduction Form	Kardis
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor	DOARD SILY
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee.	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	•
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	· · · · ·
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 150348	1
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following	ıg:
Small Business Commission 🗌 Youth Commission 🗌 Ethics Commi	ssion
Planning Commission 🗌 Building Inspection Commission	1
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	
Sponsor(s):	
Avalos, Kim	
Subject:	
Ordinance - Planning Code - Applying Inclusionary Housing Requirements to Group Housing	
The text is listed below or attached:	
$\square$	<b>/</b> -
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	

Page 1 of 1