File Number:

(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

Telephone: 415-753-7558

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

- 1. Grant Title: Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Evidence-Based Practices Training Project
- 2. Department: Juvenile Probation
- 3. Contact Person: Paula Hernandez
- 4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved

- 5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$17,370
- a. Matching Funds Required: \$1,930
 b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): General Funds
- a. Grant Source Agency: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention
 b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Board of State and Community Corrections
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

The San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department will use Juvenile Accountability Block Grant funding to implement the self-designed Renew and Enhance Evidence-based Practices (REEP) program that will train all Juvenile Counselors, Community Based Organizations and juvenile justice partners in the basics of evidence-based practices and evidence-based programs (collectively referred to as EBP). This funding will also allow for JPD to train staff to pilot Thinking for a Change or similar Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) program at the Log Cabin Ranch facility.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: May 1, 2015 End-Date: June 30, 2016

- 10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0
- 11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A
- 12. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services:
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No, training will be provided by venders already providing training to the Department.
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements?
 - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time
- 13. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
 - [] Yes [X] No
 - 1. If yes, how much? \$
 - b. 2. How was the amount calculated?
 - 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

[] Not allowed by granting agency [X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

[] Other (please explain):

b.

C.

- 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? Staff time to participate in the training and backfill for the positions
- 14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: N/A

Disability Access Checklist*	
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):	
[X] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X] New Program(s) or Service(s)
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:	
Comments:	
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:	
Manytas	
(Name) V	
(Title)	
15	Mary Ha (Signature Reguired)
Overall Department Head or Designee Approval:	
(Name) (Name)	
(Title)	
	r activities at (check all that apply): [X] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s) Coordinator or the Mayor's Office or s proposed will be in compliance with al access laws and regulations and reasonable hardship exceptions, as ator or Mayor's Office of Disability Re- boundary Structure P

Date Reviewed: <u>7-24-15</u>

(Signature Rèquired)