File No. 150950_	Committee Item No.	<u> </u>
	Board Item No.	55

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS			
	AGENDA PACKET CONT	TENTS	LIST
Committee: Board of Supervisors Meeting		Date: Date:	September 29, 2015
Cmte Boa	rd		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	er and/	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional spa	ce is n	needed)
	BOS File No. 150494 Ordinance BOS File No. 150494 Leg Diges		
Completed by: John Carroll Date: September 24, 2015 Completed by: Date:			

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

[Approval of a 60-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of an Ordinance Amending the Planning Code to Require Conditional Use Authorization for All Residential Mergers (File No. 150494)1

Resolution extending by 60 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 150494) amending the Planning Code to require conditional use authorization for all residential mergers and to require compliance with landscaping and permeable surfaces requirements for building additions and residential mergers; affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

WHEREAS, On May 12, 2015, Supervisor Avalos introduced legislation amending the Planning Code to require conditional use authorization for all residential mergers and to require compliance with landscaping and permeable surfaces requirements for building additions and residential mergers, and affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings. and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, On or about May 22, 2015, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission, which currently is reviewing the proposed ordinance; and

WHEREAS, On or about July 21, 2015, the Board of Supervisors granted a 60-day extension that extended the time in which the Planning Commission could review the proposed for an additional 60-days to October 22, 2015; and

`5

WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d) may, by Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors initiates; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Avalos has requested additional time to work on amendments to the ordinance and for the Planning Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for approximately 60 additional days, until December 21, 2015.

1	[Planning Code - Residential Mergers; Permeable Surfaces and Landscaping Requirements]
2	
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require conditional use authorization for all
4	residential mergers and to require compliance with landscaping and permeable
5	surfaces requirements for building additions and residential mergers, and affirming the
6	Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making
7	Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the
8	General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.
9	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
10	Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.
11	Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font.</u> Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font .
12	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
13	
14	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
15	
16	Section 1. Findings.
17	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
18	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
19	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
20	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this
21	determination.
22	(b) On, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No,
23	adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
24	with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
25	

1	Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
2	the Board of Supervisors in File No, and is incorporated herein by reference.
3	(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code
4	amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set
5	forth in Planning Commission Resolution No and the Board incorporates such
6	reasons herein by reference.
7	
8	Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections132 and 317, to
9	read as follows:
10	SEC. 132. FRONT SETBACK AREAS, RTO, RH AND RM DISTRICTS AND FOR
11	REQUIRED SETBACKS FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS.
12	The following requirements for minimum front setback areas shall apply to every
13	building in all RH, RTO, and RM Districts, in order to relate the setbacks provided to the
14	existing front setbacks of adjacent buildings. Buildings in RTO Districts which have more than
15	75 feet of street frontage are additionally subject to the Ground Floor Residential Design
16	Guidelines, as adopted and periodically amended by the Planning Commission. Planned Unit
17	Developments or PUDs, as defined in Section 304, shall also provide landscaping in required

(g) Landscaping and Permeable Surfaces. The landscaping and permeable surface requirements of this Section and Section (h) below shall be met by the permittee in the case of construction of a new building; the addition of a new dwelling unit, a garage, or additional parking; any addition to a structure that would result in an increase of 20% or more of the existing Gross Floor Area, as defined in Section 102; a Residential Merger, as defined in Section 317; or paving or repaving more than 200 square feet of the front setback. All front setback areas

setbacks in accord with Section 132(g).

	required by this Section 132 shall be appropriately landscaped, meet any applicable water use
	requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 63, and in every case not less than 20 percent
	of the required setback area shall be and remain unpaved and devoted to plant material,
	including the use of climate appropriate plant material as defined in Public Works Code
٠	Section 802.1. For the purposes of this Section, permitted obstructions as defined by Section
	136(c)(6) chimneys, <u>Section</u> 136(c)(14) <u>steps</u> <u>stairs</u> , and <u>Section</u> 136(c)(26) underground
	garages, shall be excluded from the front setback area used to calculate the required
	landscape and permeable surface area. If the required setback area is entirely taken up by
	one or more permitted obstructions, the Zoning Administrator may allow the installation of
	sidewalk landscaping that is compliant with applicable water use requirements of Chapter 63
	of the Administrative Code to satisfy the requirements of this <u>s</u> Section, subject to permit
	approval from the Department of Public Works in accordance with Public Works Code Section
	810B.

SEC. 317. LOSS OF DWELLING UNITS THROUGH DEMOLITION, MERGER AND CONVERSION.

(e) Residential Merger.

(1) The Merger of <u>any</u> Residential Units, <u>legal or otherwise</u>, <u>shall require</u> not otherwise subject to Conditional Use authorization, <u>unless otherwise prohibited</u> by this Code., <u>shall be prohibited</u>, <u>unless the Planning Commission approves the building permit application at a Mandatory Discretionary Review hearing, applying the criteria in subsection (2) below, or the project qualifies for administrative approval and the Planning Department approves the project administratively in accordance with subsection (3) below.</u>

1	(2) The Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria in the review
2	of applications to merge Residential Units:
3	(A) whether removal of the unit(s) would eliminate only owner occupied
4	housing, and if so, for how long the unit(s) proposed to be removed have been owner
5	occupied;
6	(B) whether removal of the unit(s) and the merger with another is
7	intended for owner occupancy;
8	(C) whether the removal of the unit(s) will remove an affordable housing
9	unit as defined in Section 415 of this Code or housing subject to the Rent Stabilization and
10	Arbitration Ordinance;
11	(D) whether removal of the unit(s) will bring the building closer into
12	conformance with prescribed zoning;
13	(E) if removal of the unit(s) removes an affordable housing unit as defined
14	in Section 401 of this Code or units subject to the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration
15	Ordinance, whether replacement housing will be provided which is equal or greater in size,
16	number of bedrooms, affordability, and suitability to households with children to the units
17	being removed;
18	(E)(F) whether the number of bedrooms provided in the merged unit will
19	be equal to or greater than the number of bedrooms in the separate units;
20	(F)(G) whether removal of the unit(s) is necessary to correct design or
21	functional deficiencies that cannot be corrected through interior alterations.
22	(3) At least ten days prior to any hearing to consider a Conditional Use authorization
23	under subsection (e)(1), the Zoning Administrator shall mail a notice containing the following
24	information to all residential units in the building, in addition to any other notice required under this
15	Code

1 .	(A) Notice of the time, place, and purpose of the hearing:
2	(B) An explanation of the process for merging residential units, including a
3	description of subsequent permits that would be required from the Planning Department and Building
4	Inspection Department and how they could be appealed; and
5	(C) A list of tenant counseling services that can provide assistance to tenants
6	with understanding and participating in the merger process.
7	Administrative review criteria shall ensure that only those Residential Units proposed for Merger that
8.	are demonstrably not affordable or financially accessible housing are exempt from Mandatory
9 .	Discretionary Review hearings. Applications for which the least expensive unit proposed for merger
10	has a value greater than at least 80% of the combined land and structure values of single-family homes
11	in San-Francisco, as determined by a credible appraisal, made within six months of the application to
12	merge, are not subject to a Mandatory Discretionary Review hearing. The Planning Commission, in the
13	Code Implementation Document, may increase the numerical criterion in this subsection by up to 10%
14	of its value should it deem that adjustment is necessary to implement the intent of this Section 317, to
15	conserve existing housing and preserve affordable housing.
16	(4) The Planning Commission shall not approve an application for merger if any
17	tenant has been evicted pursuant to Administrative Code Sections 37.9(a)(9) through
18	37.9(a)(14) where the tenant was served with a notice of eviction after December 10, 2013 if
19	the notice was served within ten (10) years prior to filing the application for merger.
20	Additionally, the Planning Commission shall not approve an application for merger if any
21	tenant has been evicted pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) where the tenant
22	was served with a notice of eviction after December 10, 2013 if the notice was served within
23	five (5) years prior to filing the application for merger. This Subsection (e)(43) shall not apply if
24	the tenant was evicted under Section 37.9(a)(11) or 37.9(a)(14) and the applicant(s) either (A)
25	have certified that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after the temporary eviction or (B)

1	nave submitted to the Planning Commission a declaration from the property owner or the		
2	tenant certifying that the property owner or the Rent Board notified the tenant of the tenant's		
3	right to reoccupy the unit after the temporary eviction and that the tenant chose not to		
4	reoccupy it.		
5	***		
6			
7	Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after		
8	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the		
9	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board		
10	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.		
11			
12 .	Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors		
13	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,		
14	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal		
15	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment		
16	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under		
17	the official title of the ordinance.		
18			
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
20	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney		
21	Ву:		
22	Kate H. Stacy Deputy City Attorney		
23			
24	n:\legana\as2015\1500751\01015271.docx		

`5

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Residential Mergers; Permeable Surfaces and Landscaping Requirements]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require conditional use authorization for all residential mergers and to require compliance with landscaping and permeable surfaces requirements for building additions and residential mergers, and affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Existing Law

The Planning Code currently subjects residential mergers to a mandatory discretionary review process or a conditional use authorization requirement and provides an exemption for residential mergers that are demonstrably not affordable units.

The Planning Code requires that certain kinds of permits trigger the requirement to provide landscaping and permeable surfaces, including construction of a new building; the addition of a new dwelling unit, a garage, or additional parking; or paving or repaving more than 200 square feet of the front setback.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would require conditional use authorization for all residential mergers, for both legal and illegal existing units, and would provide no exemption for such requirement. Prior to the hearing, the Planning Department would provide a special notice to other residents in the building to provide them information about the hearing and the merger process.

This ordinance would require compliance with the provisions for landscaping and permeable surfaces for residential mergers and for any addition to a building that would result in an increase of 20% or more of the existing Gross Floor Area.

n:\legana\as2015\1500751\01014589.docx

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereb	y submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
	1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendmen	ut)
\boxtimes	2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
П	9. Reactivate File No.	
	0. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission		
Inte: F	Planning Commission	•
ponsor		corm.
Supervi	sor John Avalos	
Subject		
	ion - Approval of a 60-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of an Ordinance Ag Code to Require Conditional Use Authorization for All Residential Mergers (File No. 15)	- 1
Γhe text is listed below or attached:		
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Cle	erk's Use Only:	