AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 10/01/2015 RESOLUTION NO.

FILE NO. 150607

1	[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury - San Francisco's City Construction Program: It Needs
Work] 2	WorkJ
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled
5	"San Francisco's City Construction Program: It Needs Work"; and urging the Mayor to
6	cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
7	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
8	
9	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17	which it has some decision making authority; and
18	WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of
19	Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the
20	findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate
21	past foreperson of the civil grand jury when such hearing is scheduled; and
22	WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b),
23	the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of
24	recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held
25	by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

1	WHEREAS, The 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "San Francisco's City
2	Construction Program: It Needs Work" (Report) is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
3	Supervisors in File No. 150607, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if
4	set forth fully herein; and
5	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
6	to Finding Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, as well as Recommendation Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
7	and 9 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and
8	WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "The current lowest bid-contracting environment is
9	not optimal for the City, since it increases costs due to additional project change orders, and it
10	reduces the number of quality contractors willing to bid on City projects;" and
11	WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "The complexity of the contracting environment,
12	especially as it relates to [Local Business Enterprises] (LBEs), reduces the pool of contractors
13	willing to do business with the City, thereby limiting vendor selection;" and
14	WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Change orders are not managed uniformly across
15	departments, which exposes the City to increased project costs;" and
16	WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Construction contract close out procedures are not
17	followed, which can result in the City not receiving the services it contracted to receive;" and
18	WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "The variety of construction projects in the City
19	creates a mismatch between the design and engineering skills required for current projects
20	and the skills of the staff, resulting in duplicate labor costs when outside firms are retained
21	and excess capacity when there is a decline in construction activity;" and
22	WHEREAS, Finding No. 7 states: "The lack of integrated construction management
23	systems and the failure to follow centralized construction management policies and
24	procedures prevents the City from generating citywide construction reports;" and

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. 8 states: "The City does not have an independent
2	management group reviewing citywide construction performance reports and monitoring
3	adherence to change orders and construction contract close out policies and procedures;" and
4	WHEREAS, Finding No. 9 states: "San Francisco City departments do not issue final
5	reports on construction projects that are readily available to its citizens;" and
6	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: "The [Board of Supervisors] (BOS) should
7	amend Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code to require contractor performance as an
8	additional criterion for construction contracts;" and
9	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "The [Civil Grand Jury] (CGJ) recommends
10	that the proposed Chapter 6 amendment make past performance a construction award
11	criterion for all future City construction contracts including LBE subcontracts;" and
12	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4 states: "The Office of the Controller should
13	implement a standardized change order management policy and require all City departments
14	to adhere to any new change order policy;" and
15	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 5 states: "The Office of the Controller should
16	implement a standardized construction contract closeout policy and require all City
17	departments to adhere to any new policy;" and
18	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 6 states: "The BOS should request the [Budget and
19	Legislative Analyst] (BLA) or [City Services Auditor] (CSA) to benchmark the City's design and
20	engineering workforce organizational structure against comparable cities and issue a report;"
21	and
22	WHEREAS, Recommendation No.7 states: "The Mayor should allocate financial
23	resources in the current City budget to fund the Department of Technology hiring a consulting
24	firm with extensive construction management expertise to develop citywide system
25	requirements for the implementation of a construction management system;" and

1	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 8 states: "The BOS should either request the CSA
2	or BLA, or retain an outside firm, to benchmark the independent construction management
3	structure of other cities and develop recommendations applicable to San Francisco;" and
4	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: "The BOS should require all City
5	departments to issue final project construction reports within nine months of project
6	completion for all construction projects and for the reports to be posted on each department's
7	website;" and
8	WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
9	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
10	Court on Finding Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, as well as Recommendation Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
11	9 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it
12	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree partially with
13	Finding No. 2 for reasons as follows: The City has to balance its responsibility to the
14	taxpayers with a clear timeline and stricter oversight on project delivery and cost control; and,
15	be it
16	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree
17	partially with Finding No. 3 for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisors passed
18	Legislation amending Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code which took effect August 1, 2015.
19	These changes, among other things, incorporate some industry best practices and therefore
20	are more likely to attract contractors familiar with the most recent industry innovations,
21	allowing us to grow our contractor pool and better serve the Public; and, be it
22	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree
23	partially with Finding No. 4 for reasons as follows: Though departments need to abide by their
24	respective change order policies, having a uniform change order management policy is not

practical given the differing project types and project delivery methods citywide; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they agree with
Finding No. 5 for reasons as follows: When the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA)
conducted an audit of the closeout procedures it found a number of shortcomings including
lack of sufficient documentation, adequate review or verification, and adherence to existing
policies and procedures; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree partially with Finding No. 6 for reasons as follows: In addition to the wide variety of skills the department has in-house, the use of consultants gives the department flexibility to meet the needs of other departments and meet peak demands without the need to increase its staff, departmental budgets and overall project costs; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree partially with Finding No. 7 for reasons as follows: The Civil Grand Jury is correct that there is not an integrated citywide construction management system. However the Board of Supervisors is represented on the Capital Planning Committee and appoints members to the General Obligation and Revenue Bond Oversight Committees which all review and monitor activities related to construction and capital projects; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree partially with Finding No. 8 for reasons as follows: The Jury is correct that there is not an independent management group that monitors construction; However the Board of Supervisors is represented on the Capital Planning Committee which monitors and prioritizes infrastructure investments. In addition, the Board with their independent Budget and Legislative Analyst's office regularly analyzes construction performance. Finally the CSA on a quarterly basis presents an update on the implementation of their audits to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee; and, be it

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they agree with
2	Finding No. 9 for reasons as follows: While some reports are posted online and publicly
3	available, City departments do not issue final reports when construction projects are
4	completed; and, be it
5	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
6	No. 2 will not be implemented: The Board cannot commit to timing or outcome of future
7	legislation; and, be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
9	No. 3 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: The Board cannot commit to timing or
10	outcome of future legislation and, be it
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
12	No. 4 will not be implemented because it is not warranted for reasons as follows: Given the
13	wide variety of project types, sizes, budgets, and complexity undertaken by the Chapter 6
14	departments, a "one size fits all" approach is not in accordance with best practices; and, be it
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
16	No. 5 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: Although the Board of Supervisors
17	supports the recommendation, it is not within the jurisdiction of the Board; and, be it
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
19	No. 6 requires further analysis for reasons as follows: A benchmarking analysis could provide
20	important and helpful insight into best practices for how to improve the organizational
21	structure of the City's design and engineering workforce, and merits further consideration. The
22	Board of Supervisors will confer with their independent Budget and Legislative Analyst's office
23	to assess how to best address this recommendation by January 2016; and, be it
24	
25	

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
2	No. 7 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: Although the Board of Supervisors
3	supports the recommendation, it is not within the jurisdiction of the Board; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
5	No. 8 requires further analysis for reasons as follows: This recommendation overlaps with
6	recent and existing work of a workgroup of Chapter 6 departments. Legislation modernizing
7	Chapter 6 went into effect August 1, 2015, after more than a year of collaboration. The next
8	round of changes, including a shared database to track contractor performance, is being
9	discussed now with a goal of implementation by Summer 2016. The Board of Supervisors will
10	confer with their independent Budget and Legislative Analyst's office to assess how to best
11	address this recommendation by January 2016; and, be it
12	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
13	No. 9 requires further analysis for reasons as follows: This recommendation will be revisited
14	by the Board of Supervisors by January 2016 as part of the ones being considered when
15	further amendments to Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code are brought forward; and, be it
16	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
17	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads
18	and through the development of the annual budget.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	