File Number: (Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)						
Grant Information Form (Effective July 2011)						
	Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.					
The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:						
1.	Grant Title:	Department of Homeland Security, 2014 Infrastructure Protection Program Port Security Grant Program				
2.	Department:	Port of San Francisco				
3.	Contact Person:	Sidonie Sansom	Telephone: 415-274-054	.4		
4.		ant Approval Status (check one): [X] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved				
5.	5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,440,337					
6a. Matching Funds Required: 25% (\$480,112) in required non-federal matching funds b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): Port capital funds have been identified						
7a. Grant Source Agency: Department of Homeland Security b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A						
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: \$1,440,337 – (\$297,375) Security Hardening of Pier 31, (\$392,962) Pier 50 Security Measures and (\$750,000) Portwide CCTV System Phase 3. All three projects are consistent with Department of Homeland Security requirements.						
9.	. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:					
	Start-Date	: September 1, 2014	End-Date: Augus	st 31, 2016		
10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$720,423						
	b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes					
	c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's (LBE) requirements? No					
	d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One time					
11a. Does the budget include indirect costs?			[] Yes [X]] No		
	b1. If yes, how muc b2. How was the a					
	c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included? [X] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain): c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? N/A					

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: N/A

1

Disability Access Checklist* (Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)					
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):					
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s) [] New Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)				
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:					
1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;					
 Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access; 					
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.					
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:					
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
Wendy Proctor					
(Name) Architect/ADA Coordinator (Title)					
Date Reviewed:					
	(Signature Required)				
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:					
Monique Moyer (Name)					
Executive Director					
(Title)					
Date Reviewed:	(0)				
	(Signature Required)				