	Number:Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)				
Grant Ordinance Information Form (Effective July 2011)					
	ose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and nd grant funds.				
The f	following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:				
1	Grant Title: California Career Pathways Trust Implementation Grant				
2	. Department: Office of Economic and Workforce Development				
3	. Contact Person: John Halpin Telephone: (415) 701-4856				
4	. Grant Approval Status (check one):				
	[x] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved				
5	. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,056,978				
6	 a. Matching Funds Required: None b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/A 				
7	a. Grant Source Agency: California Department of Educationb. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): City College of San Francisco				
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: For the establishment of K-14 career pathways programs that provide students with a sequenced pathway of integrated academic and career-based education and training, aligned to current or emerging regional economic needs. Career pathway programs are designed to lead students to a postsecondary degree or certification in a high-skill, high-wage, and high-growth field.					
9	9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:				
	Start-Date: 06/01/2015 End-Date: 06/30/2017				
1	0. Number of new positions created and funded: 2				
	1. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? Employees will be separated once the grant expires.				
1	 2. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$400,000 b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes. c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? No. d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time. 				
1	3. a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [x] No b. 1. If yes, how much? \$ b. 2. How was the amount calculated? c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?				
	[x] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services				

[] Ot	her (ple	ease explain):
C.	2.	If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?
13.96	6% of c	lirect costs.

14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

Disability Access Checklist*						
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):						
[x] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[x] Existing Structure(s)[] Rehabilitated Structure(s)[] New Structure(s)	[x] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)[] New Program(s) or Service(s)				
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:						
Comments:						
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:						
Alfredo Fajardo						
(Name)						
(Title)						
Date Reviewed:						
		(Signature Required)				
Overall Department Head or Designee Approval:						
Todd Rufo (Name)						
<u>Director of Economic and Workforce Development</u> (Title)						
Date Reviewed: (Signature Required)						