

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)	Block/Lot(s)		
RPD Coit Tower Food Kiosk		0086/012, 0079/008,	0086/012, 0079/008, and Greenwich Street right-of-way			
Case No.		Permit No.	Plans Dated			
2015-005093ENV				N/A		
✓ Additio	on/	Demolition	New	Project Modification		
Alteration		(requires HRER if over 45 years old) Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)		
Project desc	ription for	Planning Department approval.				
		kiosk at west side of parking lot no Street and transfer property to RP	•	vacate small portion of		
	MPLETED	BY PROJECT PLANNER				
		1 or 3 applies, an Environmental Evalua				
\checkmark	Class I - I	Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior	interations; additions ui	naer 10,000 sq. n.		
	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.					
	Class_					
STEP 2: CE	•	CTS BY PROJECT PLANNER		and the second s		
If any box	is checked	below, an <i>Environmental Evaluation A</i> p	pplication is required.			
	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)					
	hazardou manufac or more o	us Materials: If the project site is located as materials (based on a previous use sucturing, or a site with underground storage of soil disturbance - or a change of use from the project applicant must submit as	th as gas station, auto rege tanks): Would the proom industrial to reside	epair, dry cleaners, or heavy oject involve 50 cubic yards ntial? If yes, this box must be		

	Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).		
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)		
	Noise : Does the project include new noise-sensitive receptors (schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) fronting roadways located in the noise mitigation area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Noise Mitigation Area)		
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)		
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.		
	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an Environmental Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.		
<u> </u>	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.		
Comments	and Planner Signature (optional): Jean Poling		
	OPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE IPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)		
Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.			
Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.			
Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.			

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	Check all that apply to the project.			
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
	. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations.			
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-ofway.			
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .			
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.				
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.			
STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER				
Che	ck all that apply to the project.			
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.			
L_L	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.			
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.			
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.			

V	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interaction (specify or add comments): See attached Preservation Team Review Form		
	9. Other work that would not materially impair a history of the second o		
	10. Reclassification of property status to Category C. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator) a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER) b. Other (specify):		
Note	: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation		
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.		
V	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.		
	nents (optional): rvation Planner Signature: Pilar LaValley	The so-cluster	
	6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION		
	E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed project apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application		
	No further environmental review is required. The projection	ct is categorically exempt under CEQA.	
	BOS lease agr.&st.vacation It Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	Signature: Pilar LaValley Digitally signed by Pilar LaValley DN: do=org, do=sfgov, do=cityplanning, ou=Current Planning, on=Pilar LaValley, email=pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org Date: 2015.10.26 17:12:32-07'00'	
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorial Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Cod days of the project receiving the first approval action.		



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

PRESERVATION TEAM REVIEW FORM

		1650 Mission St. Suite 400
Preservation Team Meeting Date:	Date of Form Completion 10/26/2015	San Francisco, CA 94103-2479
PROJECT INFORMATION:		Reception:
Planner; Address:		415.558.6378
Pilar LaValley RPD Coit Tower f	ood kiosk	Fax:
Block/Lot: Cross Streets:	Projection and the second	415.558.6409
0086/012 & 0079/008		Planning Information:
CEQA Category: Art. 10/11:	BPA/Case No:	415.558.6377
Category A Landmark #165	2015-005093ENV	
PURPOSE OF REVIEW:	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	
©CEQA Article 10/11 Preliminary/PIC	Alteration	
DATE OF PLANS UNDER REVIEW: 10/22/15 - refresh	ment kinsk	
O/22/15 Tellesiii	THE ROOK	
PROJECT ISSUES: An and an analysis of the arrays	· 如果的自由的最后的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的自然的	
Is the subject Property an eligible historic resou		
If so, are the proposed changes a significant imp Additional Notes:	pact?	
Coit Tower is designated as City Landmark #	t165 Coit Mamorial Tower is listed on the	
,	108). Pioneer Park is included in the National	
Register listing as a contributing resource to	•	
PRESERVATION TEAM REVIEW:	•Yes CNo * CN/A	
Historic Resource Present		
Individual	Historic District/Context	
Property is individually eligible for inclusion in a California Register under one or more of the	Property is in an eligible California Register Historic District/Context under one or more of	
following Criteria:	the following Criteria:	
Criterion 1 - Event:	Criterion 1 - Event: C Yes © No	!
Criterion 2 -Persons: Yes • No	Criterion 2 -Persons: Yes • No	
Criterion 3 - Architecture: • Yes C No	Criterion 3 - Architecture: C Yes • No	
Criterion 4 - Info. Potential: C Yes • No	Criterion 4 - Info. Potential: C Yes • No	
Period of Significance: 1933-1934	Period of Significance:	
	Contributor C Non-Contributor	

Complies with the Secretary's Standards/Art 10/Art 11:	Yes	C No	CN/A
CEQA Material Impairment:	C Yes	⊚ No	
Needs More Information:	C Yes	⊙ No	
Requires Design Revisions:	C Yes	⊙ No	
Defer to Residential Design Team:	() Yes	⊚ No	

^{*} If No is selected for Historic Resource per CEQA, a signature from Senior Preservation Planner or Preservation Coordinator is required.

PRESERVATION TEAM COMMENTS:

Coit Memorial Tower is listed on the National Register under Criterion 3 (Architecture) as an excellent example of the Depression era Public Works of Art Project (PWAP) and American Scene art movement for the interior frescoes and murals. Coit Tower is also designated a San Francisco Landmark #165 - this designation notes the Tower's significant associations with architect, Arthur Brown Jr., and with Lillie Hitchcock Coit, who funded the Tower's construction. Pioneer Park, where the Tower is located, appears to be a contributing resource to the Tower's historic, although not designed setting.

The Art Deco structure consists of a 180-foot tall fluted, reinforced concrete tower on a polygonal base. Near the top of the tower, a series of arches provide an open-air observation deck. The exterior is clad with stucco and there are narrow steel casement windows at lower levels as well as several bronze plaques and signs at the building base. On the interior are fresco murals depicting scenes of California life. Pioneer Park, located at the apex of Telegraph Hill, is accessed by several stairs and a curving street that ends in a circular parking lot. Along the edge of the parking lot is a concrete sidewalk and low concrete wall; the eastern portion of the wall steps down the hill.

The proposed refreshment kiosk will be a small (approximately 12' L by 9' W by 10' H), wood-frame structure on concrete footings at the west side of the parking lot. The structure will have wood walls and metal shed roof painted dark green. Rows of small wood-frame windows will frame the east-facing service windows, which will be enclosed with a roll-down grille, and metal letters will be affixed to the top edge of the roof. The west wall, facing the park and stairs, will be covered with a metal mesh for a "living," or "green" wall. Access to the kiosk will be through a wood and glass door; a wood walkway with wood guardrail will lead to the kiosk. In order to access the walkway, a small area of rock wall at the existing stair will be removed.

With the exception of the rock wall at stair, the kiosk will not damage or destroy distinctive features or materials that characterize Coit Tower or Pioneer Park. The kiosk design incorporates the low concrete wall that outlines the parking lot and is located within the landscaping to preserve the setting of the tower and to allow the kiosk to blend into the landscaping as much as possible. The simple utilitarian design and wood-frame construction of the kiosk appropriately differentiates it from the Tower while it also relating it to the park setting of the Tower. With the simple construction, the kiosk could be removed in the future without impacting the integrity of the property. As proposed, the kiosk appears to be compatible with Coit Tower and Pioneer Park in conformance with the Secretary's Standards.

Signature of a Senior Preservation Planner / Preservation Coordinator:	Date:
Oma On)	10-26-2015