File No	150817	Committee Item Board Item No.			
	COMMITTEE/BOAR AGENDA PACKE	D OF SUPER ET CONTENTS LIS		RS	· .
Committee:	Budget and Finance			mber 2, 20	
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Da	te <u>Dec</u>	lember	8,2015
	rd Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Youth Commission Rep Introduction Form Department/Agency Cov MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Comm Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	ort /er Letter and/or mission	Report		
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	onal space is nee	ded)		

Completed by:_	Linda Wong	Date November 23, 2015
Completed by:	N	Date/>///

FILE NO. 150817

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUBSTITUTED 11/3/2015 ORDINANCE NO.

[Administrative Code - Prevailing Wage, Apprenticeship, and Local Hire for City Property Sold for Housing Development or Leased by the City] Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require inclusion of prevailing wage. apprenticeship, and local hiring requirements in the sale of City-owned property for the development of housing or where the City is a landlord, and to require inclusion of prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements where the City is a tenant; and authorizing the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement and Office of Economic and Workforce Development to enforce such provisions to the extent not already authorized. Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. NOTE: Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article VII. consisting of Sections 23.60, 23.61, 23.62, 23.63, 23.64, 23.65, and 23.66, to Chapter 23, to read as follows: ARTICLE VII: PREVAILING WAGE, APPRENTICESHIP, AND LOCAL HIRE **REQUIREMENTS IN CITY REAL PROPERTY SALES CONTRACTS AND LEASES** SEC. 23.60. SCOPE OF ARTICLE. When the City sells real property for Housing Development or leases real property as a landlord or as a tenant, and the real property in each of these types of transactions is located within the *jurisdictional boundaries of the City, the City, in the applicable sales contract or lease and all*

Supervisors Cohen, Farrell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Construction Contracts thereunder, shall require compliance with the prevailing wage, apprenticeship, and local hiring requirements as set forth in this Article VII.

SEC. 23.61. PREVAILING WAGE AND APPRENTICESHIP REQUIREMENTS.

(a) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply to the terms used in this Article VII: <u>Apprentice</u>. Any worker who is indentured in a construction apprenticeship program that <u>maintains current registration with the State of California's Division of Apprenticeship Standards</u>. Construction Contract. The construction agreement entered into by the Contracting Party for

Covered Construction.

<u>Contracting Party.</u> Any entity or individual, or successor in interest to the rights of any entity or individual, who is (1) the buyer of real property intended for Housing Development under a City-asseller contract, (2) the landlord under a City-as-tenant lease, or (3) the tenant under a City-as-landlord lease; provided, however, that public entities shall not be considered Contracting Parties.

Contractor. Any person, firm, partnership, owner operator, limited liability company, corporation, joint venture, proprietorship, trust, association, or other entity that directly enters into a Construction Contract to perform Covered Construction work. A Contractor may also be referred to as a "Prime Contractor" or "General Contractor."

Covered Construction. Any project for any erection, construction, renovation, alteration, improvement, demolition, excavation, installation, or repair, including tenant improvements, that meets the following requirements: (1) the real property on which the project is located is within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City; (2) the estimated project cost exceeds the Threshold Amount; and (3) the project is performed on (A) any real property sold to the Contracting Party under a City-asseller contract for Housing Development; or (B) real property leased by the City as a landlord or leased to the City as a tenant, where the construction is performed before or during the term of the lease in accordance with plans, specifications, or criteria approved by the City.

Housing Development. Any residential or mixed-use project that includes the construction, expansion, or rehabilitation of three or more residential units.

<u>Prevailing Rate of Wages.</u> The Prevailing Rate of Wages as defined in Section 6.1, and established under subsections 6.22(e)(3) and 6.22(f), of the Administrative Code.

<u>Subcontractor.</u> Any person, firm, partnership, owner operator, limited liability company, <u>corporation, joint venture, proprietorship, trust, association, or other entity that contracts with a Prime</u> Contractor or a subcontractor to provide construction work on Covered Construction.

Threshold Amount. The Threshold Amount as defined in Section 6.1 of the Administrative Code.

(b) Prevailing Wage and Apprentice Requirements. The City shall include in all real property sales contracts and leases that include or contemplate Covered Construction the requirement that the Contracting Party and each Contractor and Subcontractor performing work on Covered Construction pay prevailing wages and employ Apprentices in accordance with this Section 23.61. Each Contracting Party shall comply with such provisions as if the Covered Construction is a "public work and improvement" under subsection 6.22(e) of this Code and the California Labor Code, and as if the Contractors and Subcontractors are "Contractors" and "subcontractors" under subsection 6.22(e) of this Code.

The following requirements are in addition to any applicable requirements under state and federal law:

(1) Prevailing Wage Rates. Each Contracting Party shall (A) pay, and shall require its Contractors and Subcontractors to pay, all persons performing work on Covered Construction no less than the applicable Prevailing Rate of Wages, and (B) comply with, and require its Contractors and Subcontractors to comply with, the provisions of subsections 6.22(e)(5), (6), (7) and subsection 6.22(f) of this Code. If, under subsection 6.22(e)(6) of this Code, there is no department authorized to award a contract under Chapter 6 of this Code for whom the Contractor or Subcontractor shall maintain weekly certified payroll records for submission, then the Contractor or Subcontractor shall maintain weekly certified payroll records to be submitted to the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement upon request in order to comply with Section 6.22(e)(6) of this Code.

(2) Employment of Apprentices. Each Contracting Party shall require its Contractors and Subcontractors to employ Apprentices in compliance with the requirements of the State Apprenticeship Program as set forth in the California Labor Code, Division 3, Chapter 4 commencing at Section 3070, and Section 1777.5 of the Labor Code, as amended from time to time.

(c) __City as Third Party Beneficiary. Each Construction Contract will (1) require the payment of the Prevailing Rate of Wages and employment of Apprentices in all contracts and subcontracts for the Covered Construction, with specific reference to this Section 23.61, (2) name the <u>City and County of San Francisco, affected workers, and employee organizations formally representing</u> affected workers, as third party beneficiaries for the limited purpose of having the right to enforce the prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements, including the right to file charges and seek penalties against any Contractor or Subcontractor in accordance with this Article VII, and (3) require the <u>Contracting Party to reasonably cooperate with the City in any action or proceeding against a</u> Contractor or Subcontractor that fails to pay the Prevailing Rate of Wages or employ Apprentices as required. A Contracting Party that requires the payment of prevailing wages and employment of Apprentices in the Construction Contract and reasonably cooperates with the City in any enforcement action shall not be in breach of the applicable sales contract or lease with the City due to a <u>Contractor's or Subcontractor's failure to pay the Prevailing Rate of Wages or employ Apprentices.</u> So long as the Contracting Party satisfies the requirements of the previous sentence, any enforcement action by the City, through the City's Labor Standards Enforcement Officer or otherwise, shall be directly against the Contractor or Subcontractor that failed to pay prevailing wages or employ Apprentices as required. Nothing in this Section 23.61 shall limit the remedies available to a City department, as set forth in the applicable sales contract or lease, for a Contracting Party's failure to require the payment of the Prevailing Rate of Wages or the employment of Apprentices in a

1

Construction Contract, or for a Contracting Party's failure to reasonably cooperate with the City in any enforcement action as set forth above.

(d) Enforcement. The City's Labor Standards Enforcement Officer shall have the authority to enforce the prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements against a Contractor or Subcontractor as set forth in this Section 23.61.

(1) Penalty and Forfeiture. Any Contractor or Subcontractor that fails to pay the Prevailing Rate of Wages or fails to employ Apprentices as required under this Section 23.61 shall (A) pay and, in the case of any Subcontractor so failing, the original Contractor and the Subcontractor shall be jointly and severally liable to pay the City back wages due plus penalties in amounts consistent with analogous provisions of the California Labor Code as amended from time to time, including Sections 1775, 1813 and 1777.7, but not less than the sum of \$50 for each day or portion thereof for each violation, and (B) be subject to the penalties set forth in Article V of this Chapter 6, including debarment.

(2) The Labor Standards Enforcement Officer shall determine whether a Contractor or Subcontractor has failed to comply with the prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements of this Section. If after conducting an investigation, the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer determines that a violation has occurred, the Officer shall issue and serve a Determination of Violation on the Contractor or Subcontractor that sets forth the basis of the determination and orders payment of back wages due plus the penalty sum set forth in subsection 23.61(d)(1). Service of the Determination of Violation shall be made by United States mail and the date of service shall be the date of mailing. (3) Recourse Procedure. A Contractor and/or a Subcontractor may appeal from a Determination of Violation. The Controller shall adopt and maintain rules and regulations for any appeal under this subsection 23.61(d)(3), which rules shall be consistent with the following

parameters:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Supervisors Cohen, Farrell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(A) Any Appeal from a Determination of Violation (referred to in this subsection 23.61(d)(3) as the "Appeal") shall be filed in writing by the Contractor and/or Subcontractor (referred to in this subsection 23.61(d)(3), whether singular or plural, as the "Appellant") within 15 days of the date of service of the Determination of Violation. Appellant shall serve the Appeal on the Controller and the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer. Failure by the Contractor or Subcontractor to serve a timely, written Appeal shall constitute concession to the Determination of Violation, and that determination shall be deemed final upon expiration of the 15-day period.

(B) The Office of Labor Standards Enforcement shall promptly afford Appellant an opportunity to meet and confer in good faith regarding possible resolution of the Determination of Violation in advance of further proceedings under this subsection 23.61(d)(3), with the intention that such meeting occur within 30 days of the date the Appeal is filed.

(C) After the expiration of 30 days following the date the Appeal is filed, any party may request in writing, with concurrent notice to all other parties, that the Controller appoint a hearing officer to hear and decide the Appeal. If no party requests appointment of a hearing officer, the Determination of Violation shall be deemed final on the 60th day after the date the Appeal is filed.

(D) Within 15 days of receiving a written request for appointment of a hearing officer under subsection 23.61(d)(3)(C), the Controller shall appoint an impartial hearing officer and immediately notify the enforcing official and Appellant, and their respective counsel or authorized representative if any, of the appointment. The appointed hearing officer shall be an Administrative Law Judge with at least 10 years' experience with the City and not less than two years' experience in labor law, prevailing wage, and/or wage and hour matters; or shall be an attorney with knowledge of and not less than five years' experience in labor law, prevailing wage, and/or wage and hour matters.

(E) The hearing officer shall promptly set a date for a hearing. The hearing must commence within 45 days of the date of the notification of the hearing officer appointment, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conclude within 75 days of such notice. The hearing officer shall conduct a fair and impartial evidentiary hearing in conformance with the time limitations set forth in this subsection 23.61(d)(3) and in the rules and regulations, so as to avoid undue delay in the resolution of any appeal. The hearing officer shall have the discretion to extend the times under this subsection 23.61(d)(3), and any time requirements under the rules and regulations, only upon a showing of good cause.

(F) Appellant has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the basis for the Determination of Violation is incorrect, including any back wage and penalty assessments that are at issue in the Appeal.

(G) Within 30 days of the conclusion of the hearing, the hearing officer shall issue a written decision affirming, modifying, or dismissing the Determination of Violation. The decision of the hearing officer shall consist of findings and a determination. The hearing officer's findings and determination shall be the final determination of the City.

(H) Appellant may appeal a final determination under this subsection 23.61(d)(3) only by filing in the San Francisco Superior Court a petition for a writ of mandate under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1084 et seq., as applicable and as amended from time to time.

(4) Remedies for Non-Compliance with Determination of Violation. No later than 30 days after receipt of a Determination of Violation or, in the case of an Appeal, after an adverse final determination by a hearing officer, the Contractor or Subcontractor shall comply with the Determination of Violation by paying the amounts due for back wages and any penalty amount as set forth therein. The Contractor or Subcontractor shall, in addition, be subject to the penalties set forth in Article V of Chapter 6 of this Code, including debarment. If any Contractor or Subcontractor fails to pay the amounts required under this Section 23.61 within the required 30 days, it shall be subject to enforcement actions, including the following:

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

(A) The City may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against
the non-complying party and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to such legal and equitable relief as
may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation: (i) damages in the amount of
back wages and any penalty amounts due to workers for violation of the prevailing wage and/or
apprenticeship requirements, which amounts the City shall, on receipt, distribute to workers following
the procedures in subsection 23.61(d)(5); and (ii) an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
(B) Each worker entitled to back pay as set forth in the Determination of
Violation or, where a hearing officer has decided the matter, as set forth in the decision of the hearing
officer, may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the non-complying party
and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to such legal and equitable relief as may be appropriate to
remedy the violation including, without limitation: (i) damages in the amount of back wages due to
such workers for violation of the prevailing wage and/or penalties due for violation of the
apprenticeship requirements; and (ii) an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. An employee
organization that formally represents a worker or workers entitled to bring a civil action under this
subsection 23.61(d)(4)(B) may do so on behalf of the worker or workers, with the same range of
possible legal and equitable relief as would be available in an action brought by the worker or
workers.
(5) Distribution of Damages. Upon payment of back wages and/or penalties, as provided in
the foregoing paragraphs, the City shall distribute the amounts in the following order: (A) the Labor
Standards Enforcement Officer shall make reasonable best efforts to distribute back wages withheld to
the individual workers identified as not having been paid the proper wage rate; (B) the assessed

penalties shall inure to the benefit of the general fund of the City; (C) the Controller shall hold the balance of any back wages in escrow for workers whom the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer, despite his or her reasonable best efforts, cannot locate. In the event back wages are unclaimed for a

period of three years, the Controller shall undertake administrative procedures for unclaimed funds in

Supervisors Cohen, Farrell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

)

5۔

Page 8

conformance with California Government Code Section 50050 et seq., as may be amended from time to time. This subsection 23.61(d)(5) also shall be applicable to damages obtained as a result of an enforcement action pursuant to subsection 23.61(d)(4), as applicable.

SEC. 23.62. LOCAL HIRE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) Local Hire Requirement. The City shall include in all real property sales contracts for Housing Development, as that term is defined in Section 23.61(a), and in all City-as-landlord leases that include or contemplate construction of a Covered Project, the requirement that the purchaser or tenant of the real property comply with the requirements of the City's Local Hiring Policy, as set forth in Administrative Code subsection 6.22(g) and under the standards set forth in subsection 6.22(g)(3)(B). For purposes of this Section 23.62, the terms "Covered Project" and, notwithstanding Section 23.61, "Contractor" and "Subcontractor," shall have the same meaning as the definitions set forth in subsection 6.22(g)(2).

(b) Mandatory Participation Levels. In the performance of work or labor on a Covered Project, each purchaser or tenant shall comply, and shall require its Contractors and Subcontractors to comply, with the mandatory participation levels set forth in subsection 6.22(g)(4) of the Administrative Code.

(c) City as Third Party Beneficiary. Each applicable construction contract for a Covered Project will (1) require compliance with the local hiring policy, with reference to this Section 23.62; (2) name the City and County of San Francisco as a third party beneficiary for the limited purpose of having the right to enforce the local hiring policy, including the right to file charges and seek penalties against any Contractor or Subcontractor; and (3) require the purchaser or tenant to reasonably cooperate with the City in any action or proceeding against a Contractor or Subcontractor that fails to comply with the requirements of this Section 23.62. A purchaser or tenant that requires compliance with this Section in the construction contract for the Covered Project and reasonably cooperates with the City in any enforcement action shall not be in breach of the applicable sales contract or lease with the City due to a Contractor's or Subcontractor's failure to comply or to meet the mandatory participation levels. So long as the purchaser or tenant complies with the requirements of the previous sentence, any enforcement action by the City, through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development ("OEWD") or otherwise, shall be directly against the Contractor or Subcontractor that failed to meet the mandatory participation levels. Nothing in this Section shall limit the remedies available to a City department, as set forth in the applicable sales contract or lease, for a purchaser's or tenant's failure to require compliance with this Section in a construction contract for the Covered Project or to reasonably cooperate with the City in any enforcement action as set forth above.

(d) Enforcement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5۔

(1) Assessment of Penalties. OEWD shall have the authority to enforce this Section 23.62 against a Contractor or Subcontractor as set forth in Administrative Code subsection 6.22(g)(7). OEWD shall determine whether a Contractor or Subcontractor has failed to comply with the local hiring requirements. If after conducting an investigation, OEWD determines that a violation has occurred, it shall issue and serve an assessment of penalties that sets forth the basis of the assessment and orders payment of penalties under subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F) of this Code,

(2) Remedies for Non-Compliance with Assessment of Penalties. Each Contractor and Subcontractor shall comply with the final assessment of penalties by paying the amounts due for wages as set forth in Administrative Code subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(iii) no later than 30 days after the determination or decision of the hearing officer is final. The Contractor or Subcontractor shall, in addition, be subject to the penalties set forth in Article V of Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code, including debarment. If any Contractor or Subcontractor fails to pay the amounts required under subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F) of this Code within the required 30 days, the non-complying party shall be subject to the enforcement actions set forth in subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(y).

Supervisors Cohen, Farrell

SEC. 23.63. APPLICATION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The requirements of this Article VII are intended to have prospective effect only, and shall not be interpreted to impair the obligations of any existing sales contract, lease, or amendment thereto entered into by the City before the operative date of this Article VII. Where the Covered Construction or Covered Project involves Housing Development on real property sold by the City, the requirements of this Article VII shall terminate upon issuance of a final certificate of occupancy for the Covered Construction or Covered Project.

SEC. 23.64. NO CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE CITY.

In no event shall any person or entity have the right to bring an action against the City based on any alleged failure to enforce or negligent enforcement of the requirements of this Article VII.

SEC. 23.65. PREEMPTION.

In contracts that involve the use of any funds furnished, given, or loaned by the Government of the United States or the State of California, all laws, rules and regulations of the Government of the United States or the State of California or of any federal or State departments relative to the performance of such work and the conditions under which the work is to be performed, shall prevail over the requirements of this Article VII when such laws, rules or regulations are in conflict.

SEC. 23.66. SEVERABILITY.

If any part or provision of this Article VII, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, the remainder of this Article, including the application of such part or provisions to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Article are severable.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 6.22(e) and 6.22(g), to read as follows:

SEC. 6.22. PUBLIC WORK CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TERMS AND WORKING CONDITIONS.

(e) Prevailing Wages.

* * * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(2) Additional Projects *Included <u>Considered As</u> Within Definition of* "Public Work<u>s</u> or Improvements" for Purposes of Prevailing Wages.

(A) **Property Leased** <u>or Sold</u> by the City. For <u>construction work performed on real</u> property leased by the City or sold by the City for Housing Development, as that term is defined in <u>Administrative Code subsection 23.61(a)</u>, Contractors and subcontractors must pay prevailing wages in accordance with Article VII of Chapter 23 of the Administrative Code and this subsection 6.22(e) as <u>applicable.</u> the limited purposes of this subsection 6.22(e), a "public work or improvement" also means and includes any construction work done under private contract when all of the following conditions exist:

(i) - The construction contract is between private persons; and

(ii) - The property subject to the construction contract is privately owned, but upon completion of the construction work will be leased to the City for its use; and

(iii) – Either of the following conditions exist: (1) The lease agreement between the lessor and the City, as lessee, is entered into prior to the construction contract, or (2) The construction work is performed according to the plans, specifications, or criteria furnished by the City, and the lease agreement between the lessor and the City as lessee, is entered into during, or upon completion, of the construction work.

* * *

(8) Non-compliance with Wage Provisions – Penalties.

(B) Enforcement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(i) For a public work or improvement undertaken through a contract with the City and under which the City has the ability to withhold funds, it H-shall be the duty of the officer, board or commission under whose jurisdiction said public work or improvement is being carried on, made or constructed, when certifying to the Controller any payment which may become due under said contract, to deduct from said payment or payments the total amount of said forfeiture provided for in this subsection. In doing so, the Department Head must also notify in writing the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer of his/her action. The Labor Standards Enforcement Officer may also upon written notice to the Department Head who is responsible for the project, certify to the Controller any forfeiture(s) to deduct from any payment as provided for in this Subsection 6.22(e)(8). Certification of forfeitures under this subsection shall be made only upon an investigation and audit by the responsible Department Head or the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer and upon service of written notice to the contractor that includes identification of the grounds for the forfeiture or forfeitures ("Certification of Forfeiture"). The audit supporting the forfeiture shall be appended to the Certification of Forfeiture, but failure to append such documentation shall not invalidate the Certification. Service of the Certification of Forfeiture shall be made by United States mail and the date of service shall be the date of mailing. The Controller, in issuing any warrant for any such payment, shall deduct from the amount which would otherwise be due on said payment. or payments the amount of said forfeiture or forfeitures as so certified.

(ii) For any contract in which the City has required a third party to pay prevailing wages and for which the City does not have the ability to withhold funds, the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer shall determine whether a contractor and/or any subcontractor has failed to comply with the prevailing wage requirement. If after conducting an investigation, the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer determines that a violation has occurred, it shall issue to and serve a

1

2

3

Determination of Violation on the contractor and/or any subcontractor, which sets forth the basis of the determination and orders payment of back wages due plus the penalty of at least \$50 per day for each laborer, workman, or mechanic employed for each calendar day or portion thereof. Service of the Determination of Violation shall be made by United States mail and the date of service shall be the date of mailing.

(C) **Recourse Procedure.** A contractor and/or a subcontractor may appeal from a Certification of Forfeiture <u>under subsection 6.22(e)(8)(B)(i) or Determination of Violation</u> <u>under subsection 6.22(e)(8)(B)(ii)</u>. The Controller shall adopt and maintain rules and regulations for any appeal under this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C), which rules shall <u>generally includebe</u> <u>consistent with</u> the following parameters *for efficient and effective due process*:

(i) Any Appeal from Certification of Forfeiture <u>or Determination of Violation</u> (<u>referred to in this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C) as the "Appeal"</u>) shall be filed in writing by the contractor and/or subcontractor (referred to in this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C), whether singular or plural, as the "Appellant") within 15 days of the date of service of the Certification of Forfeiture <u>or Determination of Violation</u>. Appellant shall file the Appeal <u>from Certification of</u> *Forfeiture* with the City Controller and serve a copy on the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer. Failure by the contractor or subcontractor to submit a timely, written Appeal <u>from</u> *Certification of Forfeiture* shall constitute concession to the forfeiture <u>or determination</u>, and the forfeiture <u>or determination</u> shall be deemed final upon expiration of the 15-day period.

(ii) The Office of Labor Standards Enforcement shall promptly afford Appellant an opportunity to meet and confer in good faith regarding possible resolution of the Certification of Forfeiture <u>or Determination of Violation</u> in advance of further proceedings under this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C), with the intention that such meeting occur within 30 days of the date the Appeal <u>from Certification of Forfeiture</u> is filed.

(iii) After the expiration of 30 days following the date the Appeal-*from Certification of Forfeiture* is filed, any party may request in writing, with concurrent notice to all other parties, that the Controller appoint a hearing officer to hear and decide the *appeal* <u>Appeal</u>. If no party requests appointment of a hearing officer, the Certification of Forfeiture <u>or</u> <u>Determination of Violation</u> shall be deemed final on the 60th day after the date the Appeal *from* <u>Certification of Forfeiture</u> is filed.

(iv) Within 15 days of receiving a written request for appointment of a hearing officer under subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C)(iii), the Controller shall appoint an impartial hearing officer and immediately notify the enforcing official and Appellant, and their respective counsel or authorized representative if any, of the appointment. The appointed hearing officer shall be an Administrative Law Judge with at least 10 years' experience with the City and not less than two years' experience in labor law, prevailing wage, and/or wage and hour matters; or shall be an attorney with knowledge and not less than five years' experience in labor law, prevailing wage, and/or wage and hour matters.

(v) The hearing officer shall promptly set a date for a hearing. The hearing must commence within 45 days of the date *the Controller of the notification notice* of the hearing officer appointment, and conclude within 75 days of such notice. The hearing officer shall conduct a fair and impartial evidentiary hearing in conformance with the time limitations set forth in this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C) and in the rules and regulations, so as to avoid undue delay in the resolution of any appeal. The hearing officer shall have the discretion to extend the times under this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(C), and any time requirements under the rules and regulations, only upon a showing of good cause.

(vi) Appellant has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the basis for the Certification of Forfeiture <u>or Determination of Violation</u> is incorrect, including any back wage and penalty assessments that are at issue in the appeal.

(vii) Within 30 days of the conclusion of the hearing, the hearing officer shall issue a written decision affirming, modifying, or dismissing the <u>Certificate of</u> Forfeiture<u>or</u> <u>Determination of Violation</u>. The decision of the hearing officer shall consist of findings and a determination. The hearing officer's findings and determination shall be <u>the</u> final <u>determination</u>.

(viii) Appellant may appeal a final determination under this subsection 6.22(e)(8) only by filing in the San Francisco Superior Court a petition for a writ of mandate under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1084 et seq., as applicable and as may be amended from time to time.

(D) **Distribution of Forfeiture** <u>and Damages</u>. The Controller shall withhold any forfeiture as provided in the foregoing paragraphs until such time as either the Contractor or subcontractor has conceded to the forfeiture or, in the event of an <u>adppeal</u>, there is a determination no longer subject to judicial review. The Controller shall then distribute the amounts withheld in the following order: (1) the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer shall make best efforts to distribute back wages withheld to the individual workers identified as not having been paid the proper wage rate; (2) the penal sums provided for above shall inure to the benefit of the general fund of the City; (3) the Controller shall hold the balance of any back wages in escrow for workers who the Labor Standards Enforcement Officer, despite his or her best efforts, cannot locate. In the event back wages are unclaimed for a period of three years, the Controller shall undertake administrative procedures for unclaimed funds in conformance with California Government Code Section 50050 et seq., as may be amended from time to time. <u>This subsection 6.22(e)(8)(D) also shall be applicable to damages obtained as a result of an enforcement action pursuant to subsection 6.22(e)(8)(E), as applicable.</u>

(E) Remedies for Non-Compliance with Determination of Violation. No later than 30 days after receipt of a Notice of Determination or, in the case of an Appeal, after an adverse final determination by a hearing officer, the contractor and/or subcontractor shall comply with the Notice of

Supervisors Cohen, Farrell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Determination of Violation by paying the amounts due for back wages and any penalty amount as set forth in the Determination of Violation or final determination. The contractor and/or subcontractor shall, in addition, be subject to the penalties set forth in Article V of this Chapter 6, including debarment. If any contractor and/or subcontractor fails to pay the amounts required under this subsection 6.22(e)(8)(E) within the required 30 days, the City may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the non-complying party and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to such legal and equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation: (i) damages in the amount of back wages and any penalty amounts due to workers for violation of the prevailing wage requirement, which amounts the City shall, on receipt, distribute to workers following the procedures in subsection 6.22(e)(8)(D); and (ii) an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

(g) **Local Hiring**. This subsection 6.22(g) shall be known as and may be cited as the San Francisco Local Hiring Policy for Construction ("Policy").

(1) Findings and Purpose.

(A) The Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance 286-94 on August 4, 1994, to establish local hiring requirements for City public work or improvement projects performed within the boundaries of the City.

(B) In 2010, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and the City's Office of Economic and Workforce Development commissioned a study of the labor market in the construction industry in San Francisco (the "Labor Market Analysis"), including review of comparative demographic data regarding workers on public and private projects, scope of past and future public and private construction work in San Francisco, comparative compensation on public and private projects, demographic data regarding apprenticeship programs operating in San Francisco, and income and residency data regarding construction workers in San Francisco.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(C) In 2010, the Walter and Elise Haas Fund and the San Francisco Foundation, with assistance of the City's Office of Economic and Workforce Development, convened a local hiring stakeholder process to discuss possible revision of subsection 6.22(g), at which community, labor, contractor, and City stakeholders participated.

(D) In August 2010, a report from Chinese for Affirmative Action and Brightline Defense Project entitled, "The Failure of Good Faith," found that the City has historically failed to meet its local hiring goals.

(E) The Budget & Finance and Land Use & Economic Development Committees of the Board of Supervisors held public hearings regarding local hiring and proposed revisions to subsection 6.22(g).

(F) The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Redevelopment Agency, Human Rights Commission, and other City departments and agencies held public hearings regarding local hiring.

(G) The construction industry is one of the few industries providing a path to middle-class careers for individuals without advanced degrees or facing barriers to quality employment, and is therefore a crucial component of the effort to build economic opportunities for targeted residents of San Francisco, with a particular emphasis on low-income and underrepresented workers in various building and construction trades, in order to elevate historically disadvantaged populations and create more sustainable communities throughout San Francisco.

(H) The City has awarded more than \$8 billion in public work and improvement contracts during the last 10 years.

(I) The City anticipates that it will award approximately \$27 billion in public work and improvement contracts in the next 10 years.

(J) City spending on public work and improvement projects over the next10 years will generate tens of thousands of construction work hours.

(K) The Board desires to ensure that employment and training opportunities created by such public work and improvement projects provide consistent and high-quality opportunities to the San Francisco labor pool, especially low-income residents of San Francisco and other disadvantaged residents.

(L) Although approximately 40% of construction workers employed in San Francisco are San Francisco residents, from 2002 to 2010 San Francisco residents worked only approximately 24% of the work-hours on publicly-funded construction projects in the City, and only 20% of work-hours since July 2009.

(M) The City faces unemployment levels that have risen dramatically over the past four years, climbing from a low of 3.7% in December 2006 to an average of 9.8% for each month of 2010 through July, leaving at least 44,500 San Franciscans out of work according to the California Employment Development Department, with disproportionate concentrations of high unemployment in neighborhoods such as Bayview-Hunters Point, Chinatown, the Mission, Western Addition, Visitacion Valley, the Excelsior, South of Market, Ocean View, Merced Heights and Ingleside.

(N) The 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan for the City and County of San Francisco indicates that several San Francisco neighborhoods face concentrated poverty and San Francisco's slow job growth rate and changing job base has had major impacts on patterns of income inequality and disparity in the City, with distinctive, adverse, neighborhoodspecific effects.

(O) The loss of middle-income jobs has been associated with a diminishing middle class in San Francisco, as indicated by rising income inequality. San Francisco's unequal income distribution threatens the City's future competitiveness and

overall economic stability, and the City's anti-poverty strategy aims to ensure that the City and its partners are marshaling its limited resources in an effective and coordinated way to create economic opportunities in San Francisco's low-income communities.

(P) The City has made substantial public investments in its workforce development system, including CityBuild and the City's community-based partners, to create job opportunities in industries such as construction, which are vital to the economic health of the local economy, have a capacity to generate a significant number of jobs, are accessible to low- and middle-skilled individuals, have career ladder opportunities where workers can move up with additional training and skill development, and provide access to living wage and family-sustaining jobs.

(Q) City-funded construction projects provide a crucial opportunity to connect participants in these City-funded or City-operated workforce development programs with employment and training opportunities, and to direct employment and training opportunities created by the City's public expenditures.

(R) The City and the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency have made substantial public investments toward creating and facilitating growth in economic opportunities for low-income individuals and neighborhoods in San Francisco.

(S) CityBuild, San Francisco's construction training workforce program, was initiated in 2005 to serve as a training vehicle for ushering disadvantaged workers into the construction skilled trades. The program is a multi-craft pre-apprenticeship training program, and has assisted over 450 graduates, into union-sponsored apprentice programs. CityBuild, in 2009-2010, contributed approximately 44<u>% percent</u> of all new San Francisco resident apprentice intakes based on data provided by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Apprenticeship Standards. San Francisco's workforce construction

training infrastructure has the capacity to meet future demand for high quality and well trained workers in the construction trades.

(T) Employment of workers that reside close to job sites has environmental benefits, including reducing the distance of commutes and resulting vehicle emissions. These environmental benefits are consistent with the mandates, policies and goals of the California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32), the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act (SB 375), and the Climate Action Plan for San Francisco.

(U) The Board seeks terms and conditions that advance the City's workforce and community development goals, removing obstacles that may have historically limited the full employment of local residents on the wide array of opportunities created by public works projects, curbing spiraling unemployment, population decline, and reduction in the number of local businesses located in the City, eroding property values and depleting San Francisco's tax base.

(V) A local hiring policy is necessary to counteract these grave economic and social ills.

(W) The San Francisco Local Hiring Policy for Construction 2012-2013 Annual Report *and the San Francisco Local Hiring Policy for Construction 2013-2014 Annual Report* shows that the Policy has proven to be a highly effective tool in guaranteeing good-paying jobs for Local Residents on Covered Projects, which includes public work construction projects completed under City contracts.

(X) The 2012-2013 <u>and 2013-2014</u> Annual Report<u>s</u> is <u>are</u> evidence that a true partnership between the City, CityBuild and its community-based partners, contractors, labor organizations, and state-certified apprenticeship programs has increased local hiring on projects covered by the Policy by an average of 35% as of <u>2013-2014</u>. This compares to an average of 20% under the "good faith efforts" policy it replaced.

(Y) The City has a proprietary interest in the construction contracts it issues, and also has a proprietary interest in the leases and development agreements that it enters that all allow for construction on city-owned property.

(Z) Expanding the Policy to include construction projects on City-owned property <u>and on City-owned property sold for Housing Development as defined in Section 23.61 of the</u> <u>Administrative Code</u> promotes an equitable share of job opportunities for San Francisco residents to pursue a career in construction; and provides the opportunity for the use of statecertified apprenticeships that expands the local construction workforce pipeline to support the continued success of local hiring on public works projects.

* * * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_5

(2) **Definitions.** For purposes of this subsection 6.22(g), the following terms shall have the following meanings:

* * * *

"Contractor" means any person, firm, partnership, owner operator, limited liability company, corporation, joint venture, proprietorship, trust, association, or other entity that contracts directly *with the City* to perform construction work on a covered project. A contractor may also be referred to as a "prime contractor" or "general contractor."

* * * *

(3) Coverage.

* * * *

(B) Threshold for Projects Constructed on Property Owned *or Sold* by the City.

(i) For purposes of subsection 6.22(g) only, this Policy applies to <u>*a.*</u> all construction projects on <u>*real*</u> property owned by the City<u>; and</u>

1	b. all construction projects on City-owned real property located
2	within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco that is sold to private
3	parties, or their successor in interest, for the purpose of Housing Development, as defined in Section
4	23.61(a) of the Administrative Code;
5	provided that, under either subsection (g)(3)(B)(i)a or subsection (g)(3)(B)(i)b, the project is that are
6	estimated to cost in excess of the Threshold Amount set forth in Section 6.1, as that amount
7	may be amended, including construction contracts that are issued by an entity or individual
8	other than the City.
9	(<i>ii</i>) The following construction projects are exempt from this subsection
10	6.22(g)(3)(<u><i>bB</i></u>): (i)
11	<u>a.</u> tenant improvement projects estimated to cost less than
12	\$750,000 per building permit, where the project is undertaken and contracted for by the
13	tenant;
14	<i>(ii)-<u>b.</u>projects for special events where the special event is three</i>
15	or fewer consecutive or non-consecutive days within a two week period;
16	<i>(iii)<u>c.</u>construction projects for which the construction work is fully</i>
17	funded and performed by a donor or donor's agent as a gift-in-place donation, where the gift
18	agreement does not require City funds to be used for the construction and where the gift
19	agreement includes a requirement that workers be paid the same Prevailing Rate of Wages
20	as would be required <u>on</u> a public work project; and
21	(iv) d. projects that as of the effective date of this subsection
22	6.22(g)(3)(B) have a term sheet that has been endorsed by the Board of Supervisors and
23	have findings of fiscal feasibility, to the extent that such projects agree to be bound by a
24	legally enforceable document, enforceable by OEWD, committing the project to Local Hire
25	mandatory participation level of 30% per trade. All grant agreements, leases, development

ł

÷

agreements and other contracts that the City enters that allow for such non-exempt construction projects on property owned by the City must contain a provision that such construction shall comply with this Policy.

* * *

(7) Enforcement.

* * * *

÷

.5

(F) Compliance Procedures.

(i) **Consequences of Noncompliance.** Awarding departments and OEWD have the authority to seek for violations of this Policy all of the consequences imposed by or described in this Policy, in the contract for a *C*<u>c</u>overed project, or by statute, including the authority to assess penalties as described herein, assess damages for other violations of terms of this Policy, and/or seek penalties set forth in Article V of this Chapter <u>6</u>, including debarment.

(ii) **Penalties Amount.** Any contractor or subcontractor who fails to satisfy local hiring requirements of this Policy applicable to project work hours performed by local residents shall forfeit; and, in the case of any subcontractor so failing, the contractor and subcontractor shall jointly and severally forfeit to the City an amount equal to the journeyman or apprentice prevailing wage rate, as applicable, with such wage as established by the Board of Supervisors or the California Department of Industrial Relations under subsection 6.22(e)(3), for the primary trade used by the contractor or subcontractor on the covered project for each hour by which the contractor or subcontractor fell short of the local hiring requirement. The assessment of penalties under this subsection shall not preclude the City from exercising any other rights or remedies to which it is entitled.

(iii) Assessment of Penalties.

a. For a covered project undertaken by a contractor with the City where the City makes payments directly to the contractor(s) using City funds, it H-shall be the duty of the awarding department, when certifying to the Controller any payment which may become due under a *C*contract, to deduct from said payment or payments the total amount of penalties due under this subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F). In doing so, the <u>dD</u>epartment <u>hH</u>ead must also notify the OEWD of his or her action. OEWD may also upon written notice to the awarding department, certify to the Controller any forfeiture to deduct from any payment as provided for in this subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F). Certification of forfeitures under this subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F) shall be made only upon an investigation by the awarding department or OEWD and upon written notice to the contractor or subcontractor identifying the grounds for the forfeiture or forfeitures, and providing the contractor or subcontractor with the opportunity to respond *according to the* procedures prescribed in subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(iv). The Controller, in issuing any warrant for any such payment, shall deduct from the amount which would otherwise be due on said payment or payments the amount of said forfeiture or forfeitures as so certified. Any retainage to cover contract performance that may become due to contractor under subsection 6.22(j) may be withheld by the City pending a determination by the awarding department or OEWD as to whether a contractor or subcontractor must pay a penalty or penalties.

b. For any other Covered project for which local hiring requirements are required under this subsection 6.22(g), OEWD shall determine whether a contractor and/or any subcontractor has failed to comply with the local hire requirement. If after conducting an investigation, OEWD determines that a violation has occurred, it shall issue and serve an assessment of penalties to the contractor and/or any subcontractor that sets forth the basis of the assessment and orders payment of penalties in the amounts equal to the journeyman or apprentice prevailing wage rates, as applicable, for the primary trade used by the contractor or subcontractor on the Covered

project for each hour by which the contractor or subcontractor fell short of the local hiring requirement. Assessment of penalties under this subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(iii)b shall be made only upon an investigation by OEWD and upon written notice to the contractor or subcontractor identifying the grounds for the penalty and providing the contractor or subcontractor with the opportunity to respond pursuant to the procedures prescribed in subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(iv).

c. For Covered projects under subsection 6.22(g)(3)(B) where the construction contract is issued by an entity or individual other than the City, and that entity or individual includes such requirements in a construction contract for a Covered project and reasonably cooperates with the City in any enforcement action of such requirements, the entity or individual shall not be in breach of its contract with the City due to the failure of a contractor or subcontractor to comply. Provided that the entity or individual who issues the construction contract complies with the provisions in the previous sentence, any enforcement action by the City, through OEWD or otherwise, shall be directly against the contractor or subcontractor that failed to apply the local hire requirements. Nothing in this Section 6.22(g) shall limit the remedies available to a City department, as set forth in the applicable sales contract or lease, for a purchaser's or tenant's failure to require compliance with this Section 6.22(g) in a construction contract for a Covered project or to reasonably cooperate with the City in any enforcement action as set forth above.

* * *

(v) Remedies for Non-Compliance with Penalty Assessments. No later than 30 days after receipt of a final assessment of penalties by OEWD or, in the case of an appeal, after an adverse final determination by a hearing officer, the contractor and/or subcontractor shall comply with the assessment by paying the amounts due. The contractor and/or subcontractor shall, in addition, be subject to the penalties set forth in Article V of this Chapter 6, including debarment. If any contractor and/or subcontractor fails to pay the amounts required under this subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(v) within the required 30 days, the City may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the

1

non-complying party and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to such legal and equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation:

a. damages in the amount of wages due for violation of the local hiring requirement as calculated under subsection 6.22(g)(7)(F)(iii), and

b. an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

(v<u>i</u>) **Distribution of Penalties.** The Controller shall withhold any penalties assessed as provided in the foregoing subparagraphs until such time as either the contractor or subcontractor has conceded to the penalties or, in the event of an objection, there is a determination no longer subject to judicial review. The Controller shall then deposit the amounts withheld into a special account which shall be created for the sole purpose *orof* receiving said funds. The funds deposited into this account shall be used to support the enforcement of this Policy and the further development of workforce development initiatives to train and prepare local residents for careers in construction. *This subsection* 6.22(g)(7)(F)(v).

* * * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 3. Effective Date and Operative Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment and shall become operative 90 days after the effective date of the ordinance. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal

Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: JULIA H/VEIT Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2015\1500641\01056949.doc

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code – Prevailing Wages, Apprenticeship, and Local Hire for City Property Sold for Housing Development or Leased by the City]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require inclusion of prevailing wage, apprenticeship, and local hiring requirements in the sale of City-owned property for the development of housing or where the City is a landlord and to require inclusion of prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements where the City is a tenant, and authorizing the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement and Office of Economic and Workforce Development to enforce such provisions to the extent not already authorized.

Existing Law

Prevailing Wages

The San Francisco Prevailing Wage law requires that each public works contract issued by the City requires all contractors and subcontractors performing a public work or improvement for the City to pay its workers the prevailing rate of wages. The San Francisco Prevailing Wage law applies to all "public works" for which prevailing wages are required to be paid as defined by California Labor Code Section 1782; trade work performed at any stage of construction (including preconstruction work); construction paid for by the City with "the equivalent of money;" and, certain projects on private property where the City leases the property with the intent to use the property for City purposes.

Apprenticeship

Currently, the City requires all contractors performing a public work or improvement, as defined by our Administrative Code, to comply with the requirements of the State Apprenticeship Program, as set forth in the California Labor Code, Division 3, Chapter 4 and Section 1777.5, and for the contractor to require its subcontractors to comply with the same requirements. The State's Department of Industrial Relations ("DIR") enforces the State Apprenticeship Program requirements.

Local Hire

The San Francisco Local Hire Policy for Construction ("Policy") requires that each public works contract issued by the City in excess of the Threshold Amount (increased to \$600,000 on August 1, 2015) requires that the contractor hire local residents at a specific percentage of each trade (currently 30%) to the exclusion of other California residents. The Policy does not affect the ability of a contractor to hire non-California residents, even if the contractor ends up with a workforce consisting entirely of out-of-state workers. The Policy also requires all grants, agreements, leases, development agreements and other contracts entered into by the

City that allow for construction projects that cost in excess of the Threshold Amount on property owned by the City to include a provision that such construction comply with the Policy, and hire the specified percentage of local residents, subject to the following exemptions: (1) tenant improvement projects estimated to cost less than \$750,000 per building permit, where the project is undertaken and contracted for by the tenant; (2) projects for special events where the special event is three or fewer consecutive or non-consecutive days within a two week period; and (3) construction projects for which the construction work is fully funded and performed by a donor or donor's agent as a gift-in-place donation, where the gift agreement does not require City funds to be used for the construction and where the gift agreement includes a requirement that workers be paid the same prevailing rate of wages as would be required a public work project.

Amendments to Current Law

In general, this ordinance would create a new Article VII in Chapter 23 of the Administrative Code that would require that agreements for the sale of City-owned property for the purpose of developing at least three residential units and lease agreements where the City is a landlord or tenant include requirements that construction contracts for a certain class of projects contain provisions requiring the contractors and subcontractors to comply with prevailing wage, apprenticeship and local hire requirements, as described below. The ordinance would authorize the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement (OLSE) and the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) to enforce these requirements and bring a civil action against offending parties if necessary. For purposes of prevailing wage and apprenticeship violations, affected workers and/or the entities formally representing them also would be able to bring a civil action against the offending parties if necessary.

Prevailing Wage and Apprentices

As to prevailing wage and apprenticeships, the ordinance would require that the applicable sales or lease agreement contain provisions requiring contractors and subcontractors performing any construction projects defined as "Covered Construction" to (1) pay prevailing wages in accordance with the San Francisco Prevailing Wage law and (2) comply with the requirements of the State Apprenticeship Program, as set forth in the California Labor Code, Division 3, Chapter 4 and Section 1777.5. The definition of Covered Construction would include all construction projects that are located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City, where the estimated project cost exceeds the Threshold Amount as defined in Chapter 6 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (\$600,000 as of August 1, 2015); and where the project is to be performed on (1) real property sold to a private party under a City-as-seller contract for a development of at least three residential units; or (2) real property leased by the City as a landlord or tenant.

For public work contracts, the State DIR enforces apprenticeship requirements. This ordinance would create new authority for OLSE to enforce parallel requirements in Article VII by assessing penalties of not less than \$50 a day for each violation. The ordinance also

would expand the enforcement authority of OLSE to assess penalties against offending parties not in contract with the City who violate the prevailing wage requirements, and to bring a civil action to recover penalties and/or back wages for violation of the prevailing wage and/or apprenticeship requirements under this ordinance. Affected workers and employee organizations formally representing affected workers also are entitled to bring a civil action to remedy these violations.

Local Hire

Subject to the exemptions in the existing Local Hire Policy for construction projects issued by entities other than the City, the ordinance would amend the Policy to expand the class of construction projects ("Covered Projects") to which it applies to include projects on real property sold by the City for the purpose of developing at least three residential units, where the estimated project costs exceed the Threshold Amount and where the real property is located within the jursdictional boundaries of the City. In addition, because the Policy already applies to construction projects on City-owned property, the ordinance would reiterate that Policy in Article VII and require that applicable lease agreements where the City is a landlord require that contractors comply with the Policy on any construction project over the Threshold Amount within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City.

The ordinance also would clarify that the Policy's enforcement scheme applies to contractors who are not under contract with the City. OEWD has authority to assess penalties against contractors and subcontractors who violate the Policy, and would have the right to bring a civil action to recover penalties assessed should the offending party fail to pay as required.

File	m 48Department:e 15-0817Office of Labor Standards and Enforcement (OLSE)
EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY
	Legislative Objectives
•	The proposed ordinance will amend the Administrative Code to (1) require inclusion of prevailing wage, apprenticeship, and local City hiring provisions in the sale of City property for housing development and/or in all leases where the City is the landlord; and (2) require inclusion of prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements in all leases where the City is a tenant.
	Key Points
•	The Board of Supervisors annually sets prevailing wage rates for employees of businesses with particular types of City contracts. Currently, contractors and subcontractors performing construction improvements for the City, whether on City or leased property, are required to pay their workers the prevailing rate of wages.
	Fiscal Impact
•	The proposed ordinance may reduce the value of City-owned properties sold for housing development because developers will have to pay higher wages to develop the property resulting in higher development costs. It is not known how much the new requirements may reduce sale prices for City-owned property designated for housing development. The local hiring requirements could decrease the number of prospective tenants for the City, making it more difficult for the City to find tenants, especially non-profit and small locally-owned businesses, willing to comply with an additional City requirement. The proposed ordinance expands the enforcement responsibilities of the Office of Labor Standards and Enforcement (OLSE) and the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD). It is unknown how many new complaints for noncompliance would fall under the jurisdiction of OLSE and OEWD, nor how much additional penalties would be assessed. Therefore, the potential increased costs and revenues to the City cannot be estimated at this time.
	Recommendation
	Approval of the proposed ordinance is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.

DECEMBER 2, 2015

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 2.105 states that all legislative acts shall be by ordinance and shall require two readings at separate meetings of the Board of Supervisors.

The City's Administrative Code requires certain contractors that have contracts with the City, including public works contracts, to pay employees the highest general prevailing rate of wages for similar work in private employment. These requirements are enforced by the City's Office of Labor Standards Enforcement (OLSE).

BACKGROUND

The Board of Supervisors annually sets prevailing wage rates for employees of businesses which have been awarded particular types of City contracts. Table 1 below identifies the (a) specific Administrative Code Sections, (b) the dates each Administrative Code Section was last amended by the Board of Supervisors, and (c) the types of City contracts, leases, and/or operating agreements in which such businesses are required to pay prevailing wages.

Administrative Code	Date of Most Recent Amendment	Type of Contract
Section 6.22 (E)	May 19, 2011	Public works or construction
Section 21C.2	February 2, 2012	Janitorial and window cleaning services
Section 21C.3	February 2, 2012	Public off-street parking lots, garages and vehicle storage facilities
Section 21C.4	February 2, 2012	Theatrical performances
Section 21C.5	February 2, 2012	Solid waste hauling services
Section 21C.6	February 2, 2012	Moving services
Section 21C.8	June 29, 2014	Trade show and special event work

Table 1: List of City Contractors Required to Pay Prevailing Wages

In accordance with Administrative Code Sections 6.22(E) and (F), contractors and subcontractors performing construction improvements for the City, whether on City or leased property, are required to pay their workers the prevailing rate of wages.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance will amend the Administrative Code to (1) require inclusion of prevailing wage, apprenticeship, and local City hiring provisions related to the sale of City property for housing development¹ and/or in all leases where the City is the landlord; and (2) require inclusion of prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements in all leases where the City is a tenant. The proposed ordinance would apply to all construction contracts when the City sells property for housing development or leases any property as a landlord or tenant, such that the applicable sales agreement and/or leases would be required to contain these additional Administrative Code provisions. OLSE and the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) will be authorized to enforce these provisions.

¹ The proposed ordinance will apply to any residential or mixed-use project that includes the construction, expansion, or rehabilitation of three or more residential units.

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

DECEMBER 2, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT

Potential Impact on Value of Properties for Sale by City

Under the proposed ordinance, the requirement to pay prevailing wages, hire apprentices, and impose additional local hiring requirements would be expanded to contractors and subcontractors performing construction work on property sold by the City for housing development. According to Ms. Claudia Gorham, Assistant Director of Real Estate, the local hiring and prevailing wage requirements for construction of such projects would likely increase the cost of development and therefore may decrease the value of City-owned properties sold for housing development. It is not known how much the new requirements may reduce sale prices for City-owned property designated for housing development.

Potential Impact on City Leases

City leases (both as landlord and as tenant) currently require that persons performing public work or tenant improvements pay prevailing wages; therefore, this provision should not materially impact the City's costs. However, under the proposed ordinance, local hiring requirements, which are not currently required, will be added to the City's leases (both as landlord and as tenant). According to Ms. Gorham, the local hiring requirements could decrease the number of prospective tenants for the City. Ms. Gorham asserts that the proposed ordinance may make it more difficult for the City to find tenants, especially non-profit and small locally-owned businesses, willing to comply with an additional City requirement.

Additional Enforcement Authorization

The proposed ordinance would expand the City's enforcement authority over (1) apprenticeship requirements, which are currently enforced by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement (the State); and (2) wages paid by contractors on private property formerly owned by the City. According to Ms. Donna Levitt, Manager of OLSE, OLSE staff will need to receive training from the State on the apprenticeship requirements and how they are enforced on public works contracts. According to Mr. Jorge Saavedra, Compliance Officer at OLSE, expanding the City's enforcement authority over complaints related to noncompliance with apprenticeship requirements and underpayment of wages on previously-City-owned property will increase enforcement responsibilities of OLSE, but the amount and size of the newly covered contracts is unknown at this time.

Mr. Saavedra notes that costs associated with potential additional OLSE staff may be offset by additional penalties for noncompliance paid by contractors to the City. Since it is unknown how many new complaints for noncompliance would fall under the jurisdiction of OLSE, and how much additional penalties would be assessed, the potential increased costs and revenues to the City cannot be estimated at this time.

The proposed ordinance would also require OEWD to expand their enforcement of the City's local hiring provisions to cover the subject additional sales agreements and leases. Similar to OLSE, OEWD would have the authority to impose penalties for those contractors and subcontractors that fail to comply with the local hiring requirements. However, the actual number of complaints and projected penalties can also not be estimated at this time.

DECEMBER 2, 2015

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the proposed ordinance is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Prin	t Form	
	Introduction Form	
	By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor	
I her	reby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
	1. For reference to Committee.	,
	An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
	2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.	
	6. Call File No. from Committee.	
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
t	8. Substitute Legislation File No. 150817	
	9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	•
П	10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
L	11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Plea	se check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following	-
	Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Comm	
Madaa	Planning Commission Duilding Inspection Commission	n
	For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative sor(s):	
-	ohen	
Subje		
	wailing wage, Apprenticeship, local Hire for city property sold leased for housing	Development
The	text is listed below or attached:	
	Ahached	
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	\sim
<u> </u>		<u> </u>

. :

For Clerk's Use Only: