File No.	<u> 151181 </u>	Committee Item No4	
		Board Item No.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

	AGENDA PACKET CONT	TENTS LIST
Committee:	Budget and Finance	Date December 9, 2015
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boar	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional spa	ce is needed)
	by: Victor Young by: Victor Young	Date December 4, 2015 Date

[Apply for, Accept and Expend Grant - Metropolitan Transportation Commission - State Transportation Improvement Program - \$1,910,000]

Resolution authorizing the filing of an application for funding assigned to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC); stating assurance to complete the projects; and authorizing the Department of Public Works to accept and expend \$1,910,000 in State Transportation Improvement Program grant funds awarded through MTC.

WHEREAS, The State Transportation Improvement Program (herein referred to as "program") is a five-year program of projects for state and federal-transportation fund-sources; and

WHEREAS, The program includes federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and federal or state funding administered by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) such as Surface Transportation Program (STP) funding, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funding, Transportation Alternatives (TA)/Active Transportation Program (ATP) funding, and Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) funding; and

WHEREAS, The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (Public Law 112-141, July 6, 2012) and any extensions or successor legislation for continued funding (collectively, MAP-21) authorize various federal funding programs including, but not limited to the Surface Transportation Program (STP) (23 U.S.C., Section 133), the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) (23 U.S.C., Section 149) and the Transportation Alternatives Program (TA) (23 U.S.C., Section 213); and

WHEREAS, Every two years the California Transportation Commission (CTC) adopts the PROGRAM based on priorities set by regional transportation planning agencies (RTPAs); and

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the RTPA for the Bay Area region; and

WHEREAS, MTC is responsible for programming \$3,231,000 in state and federal funds (herein referred to as "regional discretionary funding") through the Regional Competitive program; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is responsible for establishing San Francisco project priorities for programming in the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), subject to approval by MTC; and

WHEREAS, On October 27, 2015 the SFCTA Board approved San Francisco Public Works (herein referred to as DPW) and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA)'s reprogramming of \$1,910,000 in regional discretionary funding to the Lombard Street Vision Zero Project (herein referred to as "project"); and

WHEREAS, On November 4, 2015 the SFCTA and DPW submitted the project application to MTC for regional discretionary funding under the 2016 program; and

WHEREAS, State statutes, including California Streets and Highways Code,
Sections182.6, 182.7, and 2381(a)(1), and California Government Code, Section 14527,
provide various funding programs for the programming discretion of the Metropolitan Planning
Organization (MPO) and the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA); and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to MAP-21 and any regulations promulgated thereunder, eligible project sponsors wishing to receive federal or state funds for a regionally-significant project shall submit an application first with the appropriate MPO, or RTPA, as applicable, for review and inclusion in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, MTC is the MPO and RTPA for the nine counties of the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, MTC has adopted a Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised) that sets out procedures governing the application and use of regional discretionary funding; and

WHEREAS, DPW is an eligible sponsor for regional discretionary funding; and WHEREAS, As part of the application for regional discretionary funding, MTC requires a resolution adopted by the responsible implementing agency stating the following:

- 1. The commitment of any required matching funds;
- 2. That the sponsor understands that the regional discretionary funding is fixed at the programmed amount, and therefore any cost increase cannot be expected to be funded with additional regional discretionary funding;
- That the project will comply with the procedures, delivery milestones and funding deadlines specified in the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised);
- The assurance of the sponsor to complete the project as described in the application, subject to environmental clearance, and if approved, as included in MTC's federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP);
- 5. That the project will have adequate staffing resources to deliver and complete the project within the schedule submitted with the project application; and
- 6. That the project will comply with all project-specific requirements as set forth in the program;

- 7. That DPW has assigned, and will maintain a single point of contact for all FHWA and CTC-funded transportation projects to coordinate within the agency and with the respective Congestion Management Agency (CMA), MTC, Caltrans, FHWA, and CTC on all communications, inquires or issues that may arise during the federal programming and delivery process for all FHWA- and CTC-funded transportation and transit projects implemented by DPW;
- 8. In the case of an RTIP project, state law requires project be included in a local congestion management plan, or be consistent with the capital improvement program adopted pursuant to MTC's funding agreement with the countywide transportation agency; and

WHEREAS, That DPW is authorized to submit an application for regional discretionary funding for the project; and

WHEREAS, There is no legal impediment to DPW making applications for the funds; and

WHEREAS, There is no pending or threatened litigation that might in any way adversely affect the proposed project, or that might impair the ability of DPW to implement the project; and

WHEREAS, The Director of DPW or his or her designee is authorized to execute and file an application with MTC for regional discretionary funding for the project as referenced in this resolution; and

WHEREAS, MTC requires that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the MTC in conjunction with the filing of the application; and

WHEREAS, The grants do not require an ASO amendment; and

WHEREAS, The grant budgets include indirect costs in the amount of \$97,645; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That DPW is authorized to execute and file an application for funding for the project for regional discretionary funding under MAP-21 or continued funding; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That DPW by adopting this resolution does hereby state that:

- 1. DPW will commit any required matching funds;
- 2. DPW understands that the regional discretionary funding for the projects is fixed at the MTC-approved programmed amount, and that any cost increases must be funded by DPW from other funds, and that DPW does not expect any cost increases to be funded with additional regional-discretionary funding;
- 3. DPW understands the funding deadlines associated with these funds and will comply with the provisions and requirements of the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised) and DPW has, and will retain the expertise, knowledge and resources necessary to deliver federally-funded transportation projects, and has assigned, and will maintain a single point of contact for all FHWA- and CTC-funded transportation projects to coordinate within the agency and with the respective Congestion Management Agency (CMA), MTC, Caltrans, FHWA, and CTC on all communications, inquires or issues that may arise during the federal programming and delivery process for all FHWA- and CTC-funded transportation projects implemented by DPW;
- 4. PROJECT will be implemented as described in the complete applications and in this resolution, subject to environmental clearance, and, if approved, for the amount approved by MTC and programmed in the federal TIP;

- 5. DPW has reviewed the project and has adequate staffing resources to deliver and complete the project within the schedule submitted with the project application;
- 6. That the project will comply with the requirements as set forth in MTC programming guidelines and project selection procedures for the program;
- 7. In the case of an RTIP project, state law requires project is included in a local congestion management plan, or is consistent with the capital improvement program adopted pursuant to MTC's funding agreement with the countywide transportation agency; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That DPW is an eligible sponsor of regional discretionary funding funded projects; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That DPW is authorized to submit an application for regional discretionary funding for the project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That there is no legal impediment to DPW making applications for the funds; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That there is no pending or threatened litigation that might in any way adversely affect the proposed project, or the ability of DPW to deliver such project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of DPW or his or her designee is authorized to execute and file an application with MTC for regional discretionary funding for the project as referenced in this resolution; and, be it

// // //

// // //

// // //

FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution will be transmitted to the MTC in conjunction with the filing of the application; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That MTC is requested to support the application for the PROJECT described in the resolution, and if approved, to include the PROJECT in MTC's federal TIP upon submittal by the project sponsor for TIP programming; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That DPW is authorized to accept and expend \$1,910,000 awarded by MTC through the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of DPW or his or her designee is authorized to execute all documents pertaining to the project with Caltrans.

Recommend	ded:
-----------	------

Mohammed Nuru

Director of Public Works

Approved: July Ands

for Controller

File Number:	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective May 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying ordinance:

- 1. Grant Title: State Transportation Improvement Program
- 2. Department: Public Works
- 3. Contact Person: Rachel Alonso

Telephone: 415.558.4034

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[] Approved by funding agency

[X] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,910,000.00

Grant Codes:

Grant Code	Project
PWSC03 1732FD	Lombard Street Vision Zero Project

- 6a. Matching Funds Required: 0%
- b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):
- 7a. Grant Source Agency: California Transportation Commission
- b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: To construct curb extensions and other pedestrian safety and transit features on Lombard/US-101 between Broderick Street and Franklin Street.
- 9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: 7/1/2016

End-Date: 12/31/2020

- 10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0
- 11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A
- 12a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$1,660,870
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? YES

	If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE requirements? No, because of restrictions on use of these Federal grant funds.					
d. Is t	his likely to be a one-time or ongoing reque	est for contracting out? One-ti	ime			
13a. Doe	es the budget include indirect costs?	[X]Yes	[] No			
	yes, how much? \$97,645 ow was the amount calculated? Using DPW	's overhead rate				
[]	o, why are indirect costs not included?] Not allowed by granting agency] Other (please explain):	[] To maximize use of grant	funds on direct services			
c2. If	no indirect costs are included, what would	have been the indirect costs	?			
-	other significant grant requirements or comons has been requested by December 201		support for the project			

Disability Access Checkl	ist*	
15. This Grant is intended fo	r activities at (check all that apply):	
	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)
concluded that the project as other Federal, State and loca	s proposed will be in compliance with	Disability have reviewed the proposal and the Americans with Disabilities Act and all will allow the full inclusion of persons with described in the comments section:
Comments:		
	tor or Mayor's Office of Disability Re	eviewer:
Kevin Jensen (Name)		
Disability Access Coo (Title)	ordinator	
Date Reviewed: 5 NOVE	MBGR 2015	(Signature Required)
Overall Department Head or	Designee Approval:	
Mohammed Nuru (Name)		
Director, Department of	of Public Works	
· · ·	-1,6	Mun
Date Reviewed:		(Simple type Deguired)

			,	

RESOLUTION ADOPTING SAN FRANCISCO'S PROJECT PRIORITIES FOR THE 2016
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, As Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, every two years the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (Transportation Authority) is responsible for establishing San Francisco project priorities for programming in the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), subject to approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC); and

WHEREAS, MTC will submit the Bay Area's RTIP to the California Transportation Commission (CTC), which will combine it with other regions' RTIPs and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) programs statewide and approve them as the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and

WHEREAS, Due to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, as well as the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill, CTC's 2016 STIP Fund Estimate for the five-year period between Fiscal Years (FY) 2016/17 and 2020/21 contains no new Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds for CMAs; and

WHEREAS, As part of the Cycle 1 OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) County Program, MTC had assigned \$1.91 million in STIP Transportation Enhancement funds to San Francisco Public Works' (SFPWs') Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project in FY 2014/15, to be programmed through the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, Due to the lack of funding capacity in earlier years of the 2014 STIP period, CTC delayed the programming year to FY 2016/17; and

WHEREAS, In order to keep the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project on schedule, Transportation Authority staff worked with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and MTC to swap the STIP funds with SFMTA's revenue bonds, and committed to reprogram the STIP funds to another San Francisco project as part of the 2016 STIP; and

WHEREAS, SFMTA and SFPW have proposed to reprogram the \$1.91 million from the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project in FY 2016/17, with SFPW as the project lead; and

WHEREAS, The proposed project supports the Vision Zero policy by improving safety of the 1.1 miles stretch of a high injury corridor along Lombard Street between Van Ness Avenue and Richardson Avenue; and

WHEREAS, SFMTA and SFPW are coordinating this project with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and Caltrans and are committed to completing the project prior to a Caltrans paving project in 2018; and

WHEREAS, State statutes allow regional transportation agencies (e.g. MTC) and CMAs to use up to 5% of the county's RTIP share for planning, programming and monitoring (PPM) activities such as project delivery oversight, development of RTIPs and project study reports, and assistance with timely use of funds deadlines; and

WHEREAS, \$207,000 in PPM funds for MTC and \$1.114 million in PPM funds for San Francisco have been carried over from the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, As shown on Attachment 2, Transportation Authority staff recommends programming \$1.91 million in RIP funds to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement in FY 2016/17, as requested by SFPW; and reconfirming \$207,000 in PPM funds for MTC and \$1.114 million for the Transportation Authority, as carried over from the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, Since the new RIP funds are generally available in the last two years of the STIP period, i.e. FYs 2019/20 and 2020/21, SFPWs' request is effectively an advancement of funds; and

WHEREAS, The actual amount and year of programming of San Francisco's 2016 RTIP priorities are subject to MTC approval, CTC approval, and state budget appropriation by the California State Legislature; and

WHEREAS, If the funds proposed for the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement project are not programmed in FY 2016/17, Transportation Authority staff will work with SFPW and SFMTA to seek CTC approval of an AB3090 to allow the project to advance with local funds and subsequently be paid back when the STIP funds become available; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's final 2016 RTIP project priorities are due to the MTC by November 4, 2015, including all associated supporting documentation required by the MTC's RTIP guidelines; and

WHEREAS, At its September 30, 2015 meeting, the Citizens Advisory Committee considered San Francisco's proposed 2016 RTIP priorities and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; and

WHEREAS, At its October 20, 2015 meeting, the Plans and Programs Committee reviewed San Francisco's proposed 2016 RTIP priorities and unanimously recommended approval of the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby approves San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP as presented in Attachment 2; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Director is directed to submit San Francisco's 2016 RTIP project priorities and any associated documentation to the MTC by the established deadlines; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Capital Improvement Program of the Congestion Management Program is amended as appropriate.

Attachment:

1. Proposed 2016 RTIP Programming Priorities

Attachment 1 San Francisco 2016 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) Programming Priorities - Proposed

	Project Totals by Fiscal Year (\$ 1,000's)							
Agency	Project	Total	FY 2016/17	FY 2017/18	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	Phase
San Francisco Public Works	Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement ¹	\$1,910	\$1,910		:			Construction
Metropolitan Transportation Commission	Planning, programming, and monitoring ²	\$207	\$67	\$69	\$71 /			n/a
San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Planning, programming, and monitoring ²	\$1,114	\$ 44 7	\$667				n/a
	RTIP Total RTIP Funds Available Surplus/(shortfall)	, - ,	\$2,424	\$736	\$71	\$ 0	\$0	

¹ Previously programmed to the San Francisco Public Works' Chinatown Broadway IV project as part of the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) Cycle 1. The \$1.91 million had been swapped with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's local revenue bond funds because the OBAG project needed

 $^{^{2}}$ Carryover from the 2014 STIP



Memorandum

Date:

10.13.15

RE:

Plans and Programs Committee October 20, 2015

To:

Plans and Programs Committee: Commissioners Tang (Chair), Christensen (Vice Chair),

Breed, Farrell, Yee and Wiener (Ex Officio)

From:

Amber Crabbe – Assistant Deputy Director for Policy and Programming

Through:

Tilly Chang – Executive Director

Subject:

ACTION - Recommend Adopting San Francisco's Project Priorities for the 2016 Regional

Transportation Improvement Program

Summary

As Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, every two years the Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing project priorities for San Francisco's county share funds from the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), subject to approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) through its Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) process. Due to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, as well as the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill, the fund estimate for the 2016 STIP leaves no new programming capacity for CMAs. Still, CMAs must submit carryover projects and any associated changes from the 2014 STIP to MTC. As shown in Attachment 2, we recommend reprogramming \$1.91 million from the San Francisco Public Works' (SFPW's) Broadway Chinatown IV streetscape project to its Lombard US-101 Corridor Improvement project since delays in STIP programming forced SFPW to use local funds to keep the Chinatown project on schedule. We also recommend carrying forward (essentially reconfirming) \$207,000 and \$1.114 million in existing Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds for MTC and the Transportation Authority, respectively.

BACKGROUND

Every two years, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) adopts the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), a five-year program of projects for a number of state and federal transportation fund sources. While the overall STIP must be approved by the CTC, priorities for approximately 75% of the programming capacity are set by regional transportation planning agencies such as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for the Bay Area, and the remaining 25% is established by the state. The Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) is MTC's submittal to the state, which is merged with other regions' RTIPs and additional CTC priorities to become the STIP. As the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, the Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing San Francisco's project priorities for the RTIP. Attachment 1 shows the Transportation Authority's Board-adopted list of San Francisco's RTIP priorities, with a total remaining commitment of about \$147 million for four projects: Central Subway (first priority, \$75.5 million), payback to MTC of an advance for Presidio Parkway (second priority, \$34.0 million) Caltrain Electrification (\$20 million), and Caltrain Downtown Extension to a Rebuilt Transbay Terminal (\$17.9 million).

No New Programming for Locals: The STIP used to be a significant, although highly variable source of state funds for highways, local streets and roads, transit rehabilitation and expansion projects, and pedestrian and bicycle projects. In recent cycles, the biennial STIP programming cycles have experienced a drastic reduction in available funding due primarily to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, but also to the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill. Given that this year's fund estimate is only \$46 million statewide (vs. \$1.3 billion in 2014 STIP), CTC is making no funds available for CMAs. In accordance with MTC's 2016 RTIP Policies and Procedures, CMAs must still submit their carryover programming and any associated changes from the 2014 STIP to MTC.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this memorandum is to present our recommendation for reprogramming \$1.91 million in the Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds (the project-specific portion of the STIP funds) from the Broadway IV streetscape project to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project and recommend adoption of San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP as shown in Attachment 2.

Need to Reprogram \$1.91 Million from Chinatown Broadway IV Streetscape Project: As part of the Cycle 1 OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) County Program, MTC had assigned \$1.91 million in STIP Transportation Enhancement funds to San Francisco Public Works' (SFPW's) Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project in Fiscal Year (FY) 2014/15, to be programmed through the 2014 STIP¹. However, due to the lack of funding capacity in earlier years of the 2014 STIP period, CTC delayed the programming year to FY 2016/17. In order to keep the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project on schedule, we worked with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and MTC to swap the STIP funds with SFMTA's revenue bonds, and committed to reprogram the STIP funds to another San Francisco project as part of the 2016 STIP.

Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Project: Per the fund swap explained above, we are proposing to reprogram \$1.91 million from the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project to a project identified by SFMTA and SFPW: the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project. The proposed project supports the Vision Zero policy by improving safety of the 1.1 miles stretch of a high injury corridor along Lombard Street between Van Ness Avenue and Richardson Avenue. This project is also the Transportation Authority's Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) project for District 2. Proposed improvements include curb extensions (pedestrian and transit bulb-outs), daylighting at intersections, signal timing improvements, advance stop bars and high visibility curb crosswalks. SFMTA and SFPW are coordinating this project with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and plan to complete the project prior to a Caltrans paving project in 2018. SFPW is the city's project lead.

The estimated total cost of the project is \$7.7 million. The Transportation Authority Board has already allocated \$646,586 in Prop K sales tax funds for design and early implementation construction. SFPW submitted an application for \$3.8 million in Active Transportation Program (ATP) funds to the state and MTC. The state application was unsuccessful, but yesterday MTC's Programming and Allocations Committee recommended \$1.9 million (due to a very competitive call for projects) while placing the Lombard project first in line on the wait list to receive any freed-up funds should other projects drop

¹ The State subsequently eliminated Transportation Enhancement funds from the STIP and reclassified the remaining Transportation Enhancements programming as Regional Improvement Program funds.

out or have cost savings. With the addition of the ATP funds and the proposed RTIP funds, the project will have a \$2 million funding gap. SFPW and SFMTA are currently considering other local funds, such as SFMTA's Prop A bond or the Transportation Authority's Prop AA vehicle registration fee funds, for which we plan to release a competitive call for projects later this month to reprogram over \$1.1 million in de-obligated funds.

The project is in the design phase, and needs to obtain both state and federal environmental clearance. The current project schedule calls for advertising the construction contract in fall 2016. This means that SFPW currently anticipates needing to allocate the STIP funds in FY 2016/17, the first year of the 2016 STIP cycle. Unfortunately, the CTC is expected to push projects out to the later years of the STIP (FY 2019/20 or FY 2020/21), since the earlier-year funds are already overcommitted. Therefore, we are working with SFPW, MTC, and CTC staff to identify alternatives that will still allow the project to move forward, such as getting CTC approval of an AB3090, which would allow the City to spend local funds on the project and get reimburse later when the STIP funds become available.

SFPW and SFMTA are committed to delivering the Lombard project prior to the planned Caltrans repaving project. Given all the uncertainties noted above and the tight timeline, we are working closely to support SFPW and SFMTA's efforts to develop an overall strategy for project delivery that includes a variety of contingency plans to mitigate some of the risks, such as identifying an alternative fund source.

Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds: State statutes allow regional transportation agencies (e.g. MTC) and CMAs to use up to 5% of the county's RTIP share for PPM activities such as project delivery oversight, development of RTIPs and project study reports, and providing assistance to project sponsors with timely use of funds deadlines. Planning, Programming, and Monitoring funds for both MTC and San Francisco, as shown on Attachment 2, are carryover from the 2014 STIP. We are asking the CTC to re-confirm the existing programming, as required.

Next Steps: We will submit to MTC the draft listing of 2016 RTIP priorities by MTC's October 14 deadline. Following approval by the Transportation Authority Board, we will work with SFPW to provide MTC with the required documentation to support the proposed programming by its November 4 deadline. MTC staff will work with CMAs, Caltrans and project sponsors to develop a RTIP submittal and forward it to the CTC by December 15. We will continue to work with MTC and SFPW to advocate for CTC's approval of our 2016 RTIP recommendations as proposed.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP, as requested.
- 2. Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP, with modifications.
- 3. Defer action, pending additional information or further staff analysis.

CAC POSITION

The CAC considered this item at its September 30 meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

Approval of San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP would not impact the Transportation Authority's adopted Fiscal Year 2015/16 budget. The proposed reconfirmation of existing Planning, Programming, and Monitoring fund programming in FY 2016/17 and FY 2017/18 would be

incorporated into future year budgets.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP.

Attachments (2):

- 1. San Francisco's Remaining RIP Commitments
- 2. Proposed 2016 RTIP Programming Priorities

Attachment 1

Remaining Regional Improvement Program (RIP) Commitments (Resolution 14-25, Approved 10.22.13)

Remaining RIP Commitments					
Project	RIP Commitment	Allocated or Programmed RIP Funds	Remaining RIP Commitment		
Presidio Parkway ¹	\$84,101,000	\$84,101,000	\$0		
Central Subway ²	\$92,000,000	\$16,498,000	\$75,502,000		
MTC STP/CMAQ Advance for Presidio Parkway ³	\$34,000,000	\$0	\$34,000,000		
Caltrain Downtown Extension to a New Transbay Transit Center	\$28,000,000	\$10,153,000	\$17,847,000		
Caltrain Electrification	\$24,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$20,000,000		
Total	\$262,101,000	\$114,752,000	\$147,349,000		

¹ The RIP commitment to Presidio Parkway, the highest RIP priority project, has been completed with adoption of the 2012 State Transportation Improvement Program.

²With completion of the RIP commitment to Presidio Parkway, Central Subway is now the highest priority for future RIP funds.

³ Acronyms include the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Surface Transportation Program (STP), and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ). Through Resolution 12-44, the Authority accepted MTC's proposed advance of \$34 million in STP/CMAQ funds for Presidio Parkway to be repaid with future county share RIP funds. Repayment of the advance, i.e. by programming \$34 million in RIP funds to a project or projects of MTC's choice, is a third priority after fulfilling Central Subway's remaining RIP commitment.

Lombard Street Vision Zero Project Active Transportation Program and	
State Transportation Improvement Program	
Grant Budget	
<u>Sources</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Active Transportation Program (ATP)	\$ 3,800,000
State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)	\$ 1,910,000
Proposition K sales tax	\$ 1,625,399
Other Local Funds	\$ 10,128,700
TOTAL COST	\$ 17,464,099
•	
<u>Uses</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$ 235,440
Environmental	\$ 28,759
Design	\$ 1,568,087
Construction	\$ 15,631,813
TOTAL COST	\$ 17,464,099

					•
÷					
	-		. •	•	
•					
					·
		·			
	•				

Alonso, Rachel (DPW)

From:

Seon Joo Kim <seonjoo.kim@sfcta.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, November 04, 2015 1:13 PM

To:

Kenneth Kao

Cc:

Alonso, Rachel (DPW); Amber Crabbe

Subject:

Re: 2016 STIP: Update

Attachments:

2016 STIP SF PPR - PPM.xls; 2016 STIP SF PPR - Lombard.xlsm; Resolution of Local

Support - Lombard (2015.11.04).pdf

Hi Kenny, please see below and attached for SF's 2016 STIP application.

- SFCTA Board resolution adopting SF 2016 RTIP priorities linked here; to be signed today
- SFCTA's PPM PPR attached
- SF DPW's Lombard
 - PPR attached*
 - TIP submitted on 11/4*
 - Resolution of Local Support draft attached, to be approved at 12/1 BOS meeting (might be pushed to 12/15 meeting given the holiday schedule)
 - PSR equivalent please refer to ATP application
 - o Complete Streets checklist submitted on 10/13
- * Please see our notes and questions below:
 - PSR approval: Does DPW have any restriction on other tasks until the full PSR is approved, e.g. on starting PS&E or finalizing NEPA? As you know, a full PSR is required for this project and will likely not be approved until July 2016.
 - PS&E start/ENV end dates: Since DPW is starting design with local funds, the PS&E start date is shown to be earlier than ENV end date. Will this be an issue?
 - ATP contingency: For now we have entered this as ATP Regional Contingency in PPR and Other Federal in the TIP in case the contingency funds get freed up soon. Please advise/correct if needed.
 - Other Local in the TIP refers to the SFPUC contribution. Would an email from SFPUC committing to fully fund the water/sewer portion be sufficient as a back-up?
 - Please note the total in PPR and TIP differ just a tiny bit due to rounding. Please advise/correct if needed.

We greatly appreciate your ongoing guidance and support for this project. Please let us know if you need any other info.

Thanks,

Seon Joo (& Rachel)

RESOLUTION ADOPTING SAN FRANCISCO'S PROJECT PRIORITIES FOR THE 2016
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, As Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, every two years the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (Transportation Authority) is responsible for establishing San Francisco project priorities for programming in the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), subject to approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC); and

WHEREAS, MTC will submit the Bay Area's RTIP to the California Transportation Commission (CTC), which will combine it with other regions' RTIPs and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) programs statewide and approve them as the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and

WHEREAS, Due to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, as well as the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill, CTC's 2016 STIP Fund Estimate for the five-year period between Fiscal Years (FY) 2016/17 and 2020/21 contains no new Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds for CMAs; and

WHEREAS, As part of the Cycle 1 OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) County Program, MTC had assigned \$1.91 million in STIP Transportation Enhancement funds to San Francisco Public Works' (SFPWs') Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project in FY 2014/15, to be programmed through the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, Due to the lack of funding capacity in earlier years of the 2014 STIP period, CTC delayed the programming year to FY 2016/17; and

WHEREAS, In order to keep the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project on schedule, Transportation Authority staff worked with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and MTC to swap the STIP funds with SFMTA's revenue bonds, and committed to reprogram the STIP funds to another San Francisco project as part of the 2016 STIP; and

WHEREAS, SFMTA and SFPW have proposed to reprogram the \$1.91 million from the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project in FY 2016/17, with SFPW as the project lead; and

WHEREAS, The proposed project supports the Vision Zero policy by improving safety of the 1.1 miles stretch of a high injury corridor along Lombard Street between Van Ness Avenue and Richardson Avenue; and

WHEREAS, SFMTA and SFPW are coordinating this project with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and Caltrans and are committed to completing the project prior to a Caltrans paving project in 2018; and

WHEREAS, State statutes allow regional transportation agencies (e.g. MTC) and CMAs to use up to 5% of the county's RTIP share for planning, programming and monitoring (PPM) activities such as project delivery oversight, development of RTIPs and project study reports, and assistance with timely use of funds deadlines; and

WHEREAS, \$207,000 in PPM funds for MTC and \$1.114 million in PPM funds for San Francisco have been carried over from the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, As shown on Attachment 2, Transportation Authority staff recommends programming \$1.91 million in RIP funds to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement in FY 2016/17, as requested by SFPW; and reconfirming \$207,000 in PPM funds for MTC and \$1.114 million for the Transportation Authority, as carried over from the 2014 STIP; and

WHEREAS, Since the new RIP funds are generally available in the last two years of the STIP period, i.e. FYs 2019/20 and 2020/21, SFPWs' request is effectively an advancement of funds; and

WHEREAS, The actual amount and year of programming of San Francisco's 2016 RTIP priorities are subject to MTC approval, CTC approval, and state budget appropriation by the California State Legislature; and

WHEREAS, If the funds proposed for the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement project are not programmed in FY 2016/17, Transportation Authority staff will work with SFPW and SFMTA to seek CTC approval of an AB3090 to allow the project to advance with local funds and subsequently be paid back when the STIP funds become available; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's final 2016 RTIP project priorities are due to the MTC by November 4, 2015, including all associated supporting documentation required by the MTC's RTIP guidelines; and

WHEREAS, At its September 30, 2015 meeting, the Citizens Advisory Committee considered San Francisco's proposed 2016 RTIP priorities and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; and

WHEREAS, At its October 20, 2015 meeting, the Plans and Programs Committee reviewed San Francisco's proposed 2016 RTIP priorities and unanimously recommended approval of the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby approves San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP as presented in Attachment 2; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Director is directed to submit San Francisco's 2016 RTIP project priorities and any associated documentation to the MTC by the established deadlines; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Capital Improvement Program of the Congestion Management Program is amended as appropriate.

Attachment:

1. Proposed 2016 RTIP Programming Priorities

Attachment 1
San Francisco 2016 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) Programming Priorities - Proposed

		Project Totals by Fiscal Year (\$ 1,000's)						-
Agency	Project	Total	FY 2016/17	FY 2017/18	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	Phase
San Francisco Public Works	Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Improvement ¹	\$1,910	\$1,910		-			Construction
Metropolitan Transportation Commission	Planning, programming, and monitoring ²	\$207	\$67	\$69	\$71			n/a
San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Planning, programming, and monitoring ²	\$1,114	\$447	\$667:				n/a
	RTIP Total RTIP Funds Available Surplus/(shortfall)	\$3,231 \$3,231 \$0	\$2,424	, \$736	\$71	\$0	\$0	

¹ Previously programmed to the San Francisco Public Works' Chinatown Broadway IV project as part of the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) Cycle 1. The \$1.91 million had been swapped with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's local revenue bond funds because the OBAG project needed the funds sooner.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Carryover from the 2014 STIP

1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94103 415.522.4800 FAX 415.522.4829 info@sfcta.prg www.sfcta.org



Memorandum

Date:

10.13.15

RE:

Plans and Programs Committee

October 20, 2015

To:

Plans and Programs Committee: Commissioners Tang (Chair), Christensen (Vice Chair),

Breed, Farrell, Yee and Wiener (Ex Officio)

From:

Amber Crabbe – Assistant Deputy Director for Policy and Programming

Through:

Tilly Chang – Executive Director

Subject:

ACTION - Recommend Adopting San Francisco's Project Priorities for the 2016 Regional

Transportation Improvement Program

Summary

As Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, every two years the Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing project priorities for San Francisco's county share funds from the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), subject to approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) through its Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) process. Due to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, as well as the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill, the fund estimate for the 2016 STIP leaves no new programming capacity for CMAs. Still, CMAs must submit carryover projects and any associated changes from the 2014 STIP to MTC. As shown in Attachment 2, we recommend reprogramming \$1.91 million from the San Francisco Public Works' (SFPW's) Broadway Chinatown IV streetscape project to its Lombard US-101 Corridor Improvement project since delays in STIP programming forced SFPW to use local funds to keep the Chinatown project on schedule. We also recommend carrying forward (essentially reconfirming) \$207,000 and \$1.114 million in existing Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds for MTC and the Transportation Authority, respectively.

BACKGROUND

Every two years, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) adopts the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), a five-year program of projects for a number of state and federal transportation fund sources. While the overall STIP must be approved by the CTC, priorities for approximately 75% of the programming capacity are set by regional transportation planning agencies such as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for the Bay Area, and the remaining 25% is established by the state. The Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) is MTC's submittal to the state, which is merged with other regions' RTIPs and additional CTC priorities to become the STIP. As the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Francisco, the Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing San Francisco's project priorities for the RTIP. Attachment 1 shows the Transportation Authority's Board-adopted list of San Francisco's RTIP priorities, with a total remaining commitment of about \$147 million for four projects: Central Subway (first priority, \$75.5 million), payback to MTC of an advance for Presidio Parkway (second priority, \$34.0 million) Caltrain

Electrification (\$20 million), and Caltrain Downtown Extension to a Rebuilt Transbay Terminal (\$17.9 million).

No New Programming for Locals: The STIP used to be a significant, although highly variable source of state funds for highways, local streets and roads, transit rehabilitation and expansion projects, and pedestrian and bicycle projects. In recent cycles, the biennial STIP programming cycles have experienced a drastic reduction in available funding, due primarily to reduced revenues from fuel taxes, but also to the lack of an adequately funded multi-year federal transportation bill. Given that this year's fund estimate is only \$46 million statewide (vs. \$1.3 billion in 2014 STIP), CTC is making no funds available for CMAs. In accordance with MTC's 2016 RTIP Policies and Procedures, CMAs must still submit their carryover programming and any associated changes from the 2014 STIP to MTC.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this memorandum is to present our recommendation for reprogramming \$1.91 million in the Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds (the project-specific portion of the STIP funds) from the Broadway IV streetscape project to the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project and recommend adoption of San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP as shown in Attachment 2.

Need to Reprogram \$1.91 Million from Chinatown Broadway IV Streetscape Project: As part of the Cycle 1 OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) County Program, MTC had assigned \$1.91 million in STIP Transportation Enhancement funds to San Francisco Public Works' (SFPW's) Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project in Fiscal Year (FY) 2014/15, to be programmed through the 2014 STIP¹. However, due to the lack of funding capacity in earlier years of the 2014 STIP period, CTC delayed the programming year to FY 2016/17. In order to keep the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project on schedule, we worked with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and MTC to swap the STIP funds with SFMTA's revenue bonds, and committed to reprogram the STIP funds to another San Francisco project as part of the 2016 STIP.

Lombard Street US-101 Corridor Project: Per the fund swap explained above, we are proposing to reprogram \$1.91 million from the Chinatown Broadway IV streetscape project to a project identified by SFMTA and SFPW: the Lombard Street US-101 Corridor project. The proposed project supports the Vision Zero policy by improving safety of the 1.1 miles stretch of a high injury corridor along Lombard Street between Van Ness Avenue and Richardson Avenue. This project is also the Transportation Authority's Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) project for District 2. Proposed improvements include curb extensions (pedestrian and transit bulb-outs), daylighting at intersections, signal timing improvements, advance stop bars and high visibility curb crosswalks. SFMTA and SFPW are coordinating this project with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and plan to complete the project prior to a Caltrans paving project in 2018. SFPW is the city's project lead.

The estimated total cost of the project is \$7.7 million. The Transportation Authority Board has already allocated \$646,586 in Prop K sales tax funds for design and early implementation construction. SFPW submitted an application for \$3.8 million in Active Transportation Program (ATP) funds to the state and MTC. The state application was unsuccessful, but yesterday MTC's Programming and Allocations Committee recommended \$1.9 million (due to a very competitive call for projects) while placing the Lombard project first in line on the wait list to receive any freed-up funds should other projects drop

Page 2 of 4

¹ The State subsequently eliminated Transportation Enhancement funds from the STIP and reclassified the remaining Transportation Enhancements programming as Regional Improvement Program funds.

out or have cost savings. With the addition of the ATP funds and the proposed RTIP funds, the project will have a \$2 million funding gap. SFPW and SFMTA are currently considering other local funds, such as SFMTA's Prop A bond or the Transportation Authority's Prop AA vehicle registration fee funds, for which we plan to release a competitive call for projects later this month to reprogram over \$1.1 million in de-obligated funds.

The project is in the design phase, and needs to obtain both state and federal environmental clearance. The current project schedule calls for advertising the construction contract in fall 2016. This means that SFPW currently anticipates needing to allocate the STIP funds in FY 2016/17, the first year of the 2016 STIP cycle. Unfortunately, the CTC is expected to push projects out to the later years of the STIP (FY 2019/20 or FY 2020/21), since the earlier-year funds are already overcommitted. Therefore, we are working with SFPW, MTC, and CTC staff to identify alternatives that will still allow the project to move forward, such as getting CTC approval of an AB3090, which would allow the City to spend local funds on the project and get reimburse later when the STIP funds become available.

SFPW and SFMTA are committed to delivering the Lombard project prior to the planned Caltrans repaving project. Given all the uncertainties noted above and the tight timeline, we are working closely to support SFPW and SFMTA's efforts to develop an overall strategy for project delivery that includes a variety of contingency plans to mitigate some of the risks, such as identifying an alternative fund source.

Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds: State statutes allow regional transportation agencies (e.g. MTC) and CMAs to use up to 5% of the county's RTIP share for PPM activities such as project delivery oversight, development of RTIPs and project study reports, and providing assistance to project sponsors with timely use of funds deadlines. Planning, Programming, and Monitoring funds for both MTC and San Francisco, as shown on Attachment 2, are carryover from the 2014 STIP. We are asking the CTC to re-confirm the existing programming, as required.

Next Steps: We will submit to MTC the draft listing of 2016 RTIP priorities by MTC's October 14 deadline. Following approval by the Transportation Authority Board, we will work with SFPW to provide MTC with the required documentation to support the proposed programming by its November 4 deadline. MTC staff will work with CMAs, Caltrans and project sponsors to develop a RTIP submittal and forward it to the CTC by December 15. We will continue to work with MTC and SFPW to advocate for CTC's approval of our 2016 RTIP recommendations as proposed.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP, as requested.
- 2. Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP, with modifications.
- 3. Defer action, pending additional information or further staff analysis.

CAC POSITION

The CAC considered this item at its September 30 meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

Approval of San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP would not impact the Transportation Authority's adopted Fiscal Year 2015/16 budget. The proposed reconfirmation of existing Planning, Programming, and Monitoring fund programming in FY 2016/17 and FY 2017/18 would be

incorporated into future year budgets.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend adopting San Francisco's project priorities for the 2016 RTIP.

Attachments (2):

- 1. San Francisco's Remaining RIP Commitments
- 2. Proposed 2016 RTIP Programming Priorities

Attachment 1

Remaining Regional Improvement Program (RIP) Commitments (Resolution 14-25, Approved 10.22.13)

ing the selection of the control of the selection of the	Remaining RIP Comr	nitments		
Project	RIP Commitment	Allocated or Programmed RIP Funds	Remaining RIP Commitment	
Presidio Parkway ¹	\$84,101,000	\$84,101,000	\$0	
Central Subway ²	\$92,000,000	\$16,498,000	\$75,502,000	
MTC STP/CMAQ Advance for Presidio Parkway ³	\$34,000,000	\$0	\$34,000,000	
Caltrain Downtown Extension to a New Transbay Transit Center	\$28,000,000	\$10,153,000	\$17,847,000	
Caltrain Electrification	\$24,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$20,000,000	
Total	\$262,101,000	\$114,752,000	\$147,349,000	

¹The RIP commitment to Presidio Parkway, the highest RIP priority project, has been completed with adoption of the 2012 State Transportation Improvement Program.

²With completion of the RIP commitment to Presidio Parkway, Central Subway is now the highest priority for future RIP funds.

³ Acronyms include the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Surface Transportation Program (STP), and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ). Through Resolution 12-44, the Authority accepted MTC's proposed advance of \$34 million in STP/CMAQ funds for Presidio Parkway to be repaid with future county share RIP funds. Repayment of the advance, i.e. by programming \$34 million in RIP funds to a project or projects of MTC's choice, is a third priority after fulfilling Central Subway's remaining RIP commitment.

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised April 2015)

General Instructions

☑ New Project Date: 11/5/15										
District	EA	Project II	D.	PPNO	MPOID	Nation.	T	CRP No.		
04										
County	Route/Corrido	r PM Bk F	M Ahd		Project Sponso	r/Lead	Agenc	У		
SF	101				San Francisco Pub					
			AND DOMESTIC OF THE PROPERTY O	MPO		Elem				
		+			2.00 to 100 to 1					
	sign (Committee a grant State Castles Committee	d. Suggestion 200	National Association	Danielistajia spinista ir iliainia	/ITC	de la cristian la electr	enter o generalista en			
Project Manager/Contact Phone				E-mail A	ASSESSMENT WITH					
Rachel Alonso		(415) 558	(415) 558-4034		rachel.alonso@sfdpw.org					
Project Title							The Control of the Control			
Lombard Stree	t Vision Zero Pr	oject								
Location, Proj	ect Limits, Des	scription, Sc	ope of	Work		11500		See page 2		
					nd Franklin St; Inst	all cur	b extens			
					ersections (dayligh					
					and high visibility			oignai		
mining miprove	monto, and put	many motan	auvant	sed atoh nata	and mgn visibility	U000V	vains.			
✓ Includes A	DA Improveme	nts	✓ Incl	udes Bike/Pe	d Improvements					
Component	4.				ting Agency					
PA&ED	SFPW									
PS&E										
Right of Way	SFPW									
Construction	SFPW									
Purpose and i	Need						1000	See page 2		
Every day, ove	r 40,000 vehicle	es travel in ea	ach dire	ction and ove	er 80,000 pedestria	ns tra	vel alon	g or across the		
					use, as Muni has f					
intersecting, or adjacent to the corridor. Construction of curb extensions will improve safety of users on a high										
	-	-		ncouraging re	esidents and visitor	rs to cl	hoose th	nese		
alternative mod	des of transport	rather than d	Irive.							
	■ Characteristic = 200 to 300 to 100	and the late of the same of	Singleton 42 or of the	van andrie-tant - * * * * * * *	open becaming a likeway	and all the second	>0eanage + 12 mm			
Project Benefits See page 2										
Improvement in transit reliability and safety; increase in alternative modes of transport; reduction in emissions that contribute to respiratory ailments and global warming; reduction in volume and severity of collisions										
triat contribute	to respiratory a	ilments and g	Jiodai W	arming; reduc	ction in volume and	ı seve	rity of co	oilisions		
/ Supporte	Sustainable Cor	nmunities St	rategy /	SCS) Goale	V Reduces Gr	eenho	use God	Emiesione		
✓ Supports Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Goals ✓ Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions Project Milestone Proposed										
Project Study F	Report Approve	d					general and Thirt state	07/31/16		
Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase						10/01/15				
Circulate Draft	Environmental	Document		*************************************	Document Type	Ž.		1		
Draft Project Report										
End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone)						06/30/16				
Begin Design (PS&E) Phase						05/01/15				
End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone)						08/31/16				
Begin Right of Way Phase						08/01/16				
End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone)						08/31/16				
Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Milestone)						01/01/17				
End Construction Phase (Construction Contract Acceptance Milestone)					03/30/18					
					04/01/18					
End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report)						09/30/18				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised April 2015)

Date: 11/5/15

District	County	Route	EA .	Project ID	PPNO	TCRP	No.
04	SF	101					
Project Title:	Lombard Street Vision	Zero Project	,				

	Proposed Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total		
E&P (PA&ED)	224				1000	100	1	224		
PS&E	1,608			100	100			1,608		
R/W SUP (CT)			100		1.		1 1 1			
CON SUP (CT)		745				3.5		745		
R/W				Secret Care			100			
CON	43	14,845				100		14,888		
TOTAL	1,875	15,590	. The second				3. A. S.	17,465		

Fund No. 1:	RIP								Program Code
	Proposed Funding (\$1,000s)								
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)								4.44	MTC
PS&E								-1-1	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)		249						249	
R/W	· .							1	
CON		1,661						1,661	
TOTAL		1,910			21.00			.1,910	

Fund No. 2:	ATP - Region	onal	_						Program Code
	Proposed Funding (\$1,000s)								
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)		242						242	
R/W								1	
CON		1,613						1,613	
TOTAL		1,855			10.00			1,855	

Fund No. 3:	and No. 3: ATP - Regional Contingency								
			Proposed F	unding (\$1	,000s)				
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)		254						254	
R/W									
CON		1,692						1,692	
TOTAL		1,946			49.7			1,946	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised April 2015)

Date:	11/5/15

District	County	Route	EA	Project	D .	PPNO	TCRP	No.
04	SF	101						
Project Title:	Lombard Street Vision	Zero Project						

Fund No. 4:	Proposition	K Sales Ta	X						Program Code
	Proposed Funding (\$1,000s)								
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									SFCTA
PS&E	613							613	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)								1 - 2	
R/W									
CON	33	979						1,012	
TOTAL	646	979				10 May 174		1,625	-

Fund No. 5:	SFPUC							**	Program Code
			Proposed I	Funding (\$1	,000s)				
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	114							- 114	SFPUC
PS&E	678							- 678	water and sewer
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		8,900						8,900	
TOTAL	792	8,900					g.v	9,692	

Fund No. 6:	General Fur	nd							Program Code				
		Proposed Funding (\$1,000s)											
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency				
E&P (PA&ED)	50							50	City & County of SF				
PS&E	300							300					
R/W SUP (CT)													
CON SUP (CT)													
R/W								194					
CON													
TOTAL	350	100	40.00			1.00		350					

Fund No. 7:	Operating a	nd WalkFir	st Funds				*.		Program Code
			Proposed I	Funding (\$1	,000s)	,			
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	. 60							60	SFMTA
PS&E	17							17	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W								9-16-17 18-2	
CON	10							10	
TOTAL	87							87	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised April 2015)

Date: 11	l	/5,	/7	b
----------	---	-----	----	---

District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	TCRP No.
04	SF	101				
Project Title:	Lombard Street Vision 2	ero Project				

Fund No. 8:									Program Code
			Proposed I	Funding (\$1	,000s)				
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E	,								
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									•
TOTAL	1.0 1.0								

Fund No. 9:									Program Code
			Proposed I	unding (\$1	,000s)		-		
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E								100	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									

Fund No. 10:									Program Code
			Proposed I	unding (\$1	,000s)				
Component	Prior	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)								1. 11.	
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)								1.4	
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL					22				

Universal Application

TIP ID		Project Version number	0			FMS ID					
County	San Francisco	Implementing agency	SF DPW		e - e milione :	Submode	•	seedle mint ha na ha			
Project name	Lombard Street Vision Ze	ero Project					The state of the second Constitution on Constitution and Constitution of the State of State o				
Project S	Submitted					Glossary	Application Assistant	Contact Us			
		441044004	entropy of the second second of the second s	- 11 mm -			Commence of the control of the contr	Charles African Sant Live Co.			
	ect was submitted to MTC or	n 11/04/2015	Mary Control of the C					or and the second of the second			
<u>Go to bot</u>	tom of page		Back to Project L	sting	Print			exists terms and the con-			
General	Information - Step 1:	And the state of t	And the state of t				a committee of the control of the co	The sould refusion about the formation and a			
TIP ID	Annual Control of the state of	The state of the s	The second secon		The state of the s			The second secon			
Version	and the second s	THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON O	0		C 20 (4) TO 20 W Solution	************************	n sammanya, a managa a jaya ya nya 🚊 🚊 ya kupa ayanin saka a sa	· No Straighton or a second			
Project r	name	о свери поступно на населения с на начина в подрежения до учество надражения на выполнения на под	Lom	ard S	treet Visi	ion Zero Proj	ect	and the manner of the second o			
Primary	Program Being Modified	entre de la companya	Reg	onal Ir	nprovem	ent Program	and Marketine Control of the Control	water and the second			
County	 - Continue (2) — Life to China et deligno, contra del traction del 200 de 200 de 200. 	nasten and in the second of th	SF	J. P. Parager all terrup. F (1) and	er geggy ang er a men er hæremende en en	mental and the second s	e de la serie de l'articularisment, l'ammendification de la laterative de la company de l'imperior de l'imperior	etad detad terminaria ilianda algun			
Sponsor	 On a block of the second of a second procedure is billion from a West Community Community Community 	THE STATE OF THE S	SF [PW	gargada i jaka ini open oga i ni nom	manusco sucre_ e e un	t The Control de North and American State of the Control of the Co	The algorithm is a speciment of the second			
Impleme	enting Agency	endered in Berne Stemmerschaft dem en Belonge in selfen in generally generally in description and an extra security of the sec	SF [SF DPW Add new project							
Reason	for Revision	to TPE in complete Surviva (Section 2) in the second section (section 2) in the sec	Add								
Descript	ion of Change	Prog	Program \$1.9m in STIP funds and \$1.854M in ATP Cycle 2 funds.								
ls projec	t completed/open for traffic	No		non lauge III e De le R. III Mill	Milde TE Milde The Alexander or you have consensed.	i de la composition della comp	to the second se				
RTP Cyc	cle	Challet of Article section in the highest process on the company and the section of the process of the section	PLA	NBAYA	REA		- The second	V terminal and terminal termin			
RTP ID	mpart mil 2 m. m. n. n. 1994 – 31 mmgagiti 1999 (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996)	The Committee of the Co	240	43		And the State of the second second	annual management of the same \$100 at \$100 Persons and assessment				
RTP Title	9	And the control of th		Modify local road intersections (includes safety upgrades, signalization, and realignment)							
RTP Pro	ject Cost	a selleridd i reennau eildiad - Erisgir y spekern, a'r 1919 agus Medfer (C. 19, e Euro	\$10	\$100.58							
RTP Pag	ge Number	The second of th									
Descript	ion - Step 2:	PAINTEN OF THE CONTROL AND	and the state of t				THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF				
Primary	Submode	an balan da kanan samanan samanan samanan kanan saman an ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ar	PEC	ESTRI	AN - 60%	6	anka a kali a sa dina kari di kisa angan a kana yan Nahamari yang a man Pila a Maka a sanak Naha. Angan Samuri mengalagan mang pengganggangga aliku angan kali angan dinahangan a makanggan penggal	Medican mengelebenan dinggi keramanan persengan salah dan sebagai berangan berangan sebagai sebagai sebagai berangan berangan sebagai			
	ary Submode	a da		BUS - 40%							
	Submode	- Charlestony glights are enjoying that the Charleston of the Char				The second secon	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T				
I	arv Submode	no esta la compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la	no manage estate meneral resource.	ne experience on a fin	personal graph control allow control or		en la entra de la proposación dela proposación de la proposación de la proposación de la proposación dela proposación de la proposación de la proposación de la proposación dela proposación de la proposación de la proposación del	e a seria dila tertama comprese tra a seria de			
CONTRACTOR	Submode	According to common the control of property of the control of the	The state of the s	31			. I the feet consistence and the gravities to the Physic Section				
ļ	Submode		1								
Туре	ent etter ette sette sette (p. 1550) i i i 1560 van 1560										
Purpose		erica esta como como como como como como como com						are an experience of the entire of the entir			
Project [Description		Fran		Install c		JS-101 between Broderi ns and other pedestrian				
Expande	ed Project Description		Frar impl sign	klin St; ement al timin	Install c parking i g improv	urb extensio removal at in	JS-101 between Broderi ns (pedestrian and trans tersections (daylighting) d potentially install advar	it bulbs), , implement			
Transpo	rtation Problem to be addre	ssed	inju	corric	or and i	mprove trans	will improve safety of us sit reliability, thus encour these alternative modes	aging			

Location - Step 3:

Location San Francisco

rather than drive, reducing emissions that contribute to respiratory ailments and global warming.

Prop K 5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 - 2018/19)

Pedestrian Circulation/Safety (EP 40) Programming and Allocations to Date

Updated 05.22.15, pending 06.23.15 Board

and the second second		val tall tall tall tall	Zaves ale ver	occasion and a		Fiscal Year	(Lecial Application of the		
Agency	Project Name	Phase	Status Hamada (1911)	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Total
Corridor Proje	cts participal for the control of th		nderficktlich neige köldt	Carlo Constitution				2012/05/1991	ere en
SFMTA	6th Street Improvements (NTIP) ⁶	PS&E	Programmed	\$0					\$0
SFMTA	6th Street Improvements (NTIP) 5,6	CON	Programmed	\$972,100					\$972,100
SFMTA	6th Street Pedestrian Safety Improvement 6	PA&ED	Pending		\$2,012,000	-			\$2,012,000
SFMTA	7th Street Streetscape	PS&E	Programmed	\$ 174,000					\$174,000
Follow-the-Pa	ving	Committee Landson	Sections of a solution			PARTITION STATE	Charles Print		
SFMTA	Follow-the-Paving (Spot Improvements)	PS&E, CON	Programmed		\$50,000				\$50,000
Citywide Pede	strian Safety & Circulation Improvements						Manatus :		75-07-05-108-
SFMTA	Active Transportation Program Local Match	PLAN/CER	Programmed	\$10,000					\$10,000
SFMTA	Active Transportation Program Local Match	PS&E	Programmed	\$80,000				:	\$80,000
SFMTA	Active Transportation Program Local Match 1	CON	Programmed	\$523,740					\$523,740
SFPW	ER Taylor Elementary School Safe Routes to School ¹	CON	Allocated	\$6,775					\$6,575
SFPW	Longfellow Elementary School Safe Routes to School ¹	CON	Allocated	₹64£578					\$64,578
SFMTA	Active Transportation Program Local Match	PS&E	Programmed	٠.			\$300,000		\$300,000
SFMTA	Active Transportation Program Local Match	CON	Programmed					\$300,000	\$300,000
SFMTA	WalkFirst ^{3, 5}	PLAN/CER	Programmed	\$0					\$0
SFMTA	WalkFirst ^{3, 4, 5}	PS&E	Programmed	\$0					\$0
SFMTA	WalkFirst ^{2, 3, 4}	CON	Programmed	\$0					\$0
SFMTA	WalkFirst Continental Crosswalks ²	CON	Allocated	6423,000					\$423,000
SFMTA	WalkFirst Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons ³	PLAN/CER, PS&E, CON	Allocated.	9392900				•	\$222,900
SFMTA	Golden Gate Road Diet [Vision Zero]	CON	Allocated	* \$120/000					\$120,000
SFMTA	WalkFirst Phase 1 Pedestrian Safety Implementation [Vision Zero] ⁵	PS&E, CON	Allocated	90,000,000					\$1,000,000
SFMTA	WalkFirst	PLAN/CER	Programmed			\$53,996			\$53,996
SFMTA	WalkFirst	PS&E	Programmed			\$110,000			\$110,000
SFMTA	WalkFirst	CON	Programmed			\$65,000			\$65,000

Agency	Project Name	Phase:	Status	2014/15	2015/16	Fiscal Year	2017/18	2018/19	Total
	Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) placeholder	PS&E, CON	Programmed	\$750,000					\$750,000
SFMTA	Cesar Chavez/Bayshore/Potrero Intersection Improvements [NTIP Capital]	PLAN	Allocated	350,000					\$50,000
	SFMTA, Any Neighborhood Transportation Improvement PS&E, CON Programmed							·	\$800,000
politica palitica, a sen	ngana sa ini kanan ini panisa ka Kisina manan manal ka Ariyan panjangan pangal bangga	To the graph had subsected	and security	The Republication of the	ja og at fra skjedet og d		gagaga seria sa keta	ar in plant of	
		Total Pro	grammed in 5YPP	\$4,396,893	\$2,862,000	\$228,996	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$8,087,889
			:						
		otal Allocated and	Pending in 5YPP	\$1,887,053	\$2,012,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,899,053
		Total De	obligated in 5YPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Total Un	allocated in 5YPP	\$2,509,840	\$850,000	\$228,996	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$4,188,836
								<u></u>	
	Tota	l Programmed in 2	2014 Strategic Plan	\$6,408,893	\$850,000	\$228,996	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$8,087,889
	De	obligated from Pri	or 5YPP Cycles **		CTCCCCA respondentiable assessment about				\$107
	Cumulativ	e Remaining Progr	ramming Capacity	\$2,012,107	\$107	\$107	\$107	\$107	\$107

Progra				~									_
Pendir	ıg All	ocatio	n/Ap	prop	oriati	on.			No.	jila	g254	ajiri)	
B(epins)	April 6	3775E	Willey:	ale (o)	100	(5)(6)	vii S	on.					

Footnotes

¹ 5YPP amendment to add ER Taylor and Longfellow Safe Routes to School projects (Resolution 15-28, 12.16.2014)

Active Transportation Program Local Match: Reduced by \$71,153 in Fiscal Year 2014/15.

ER Taylor Safe Routes to School: Added project with \$6,575 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 funds for construction.

Longfellow Safe Routes to School: Added project with \$64,578 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 funds for construction.

- ² WalkFirst funds from Fiscal Year 2014/15 (\$423,000) were allocated to WalkFirst Continental Crosswalks.
- WalkFirst funds from Fiscal Year 2014/15 (\$222,900) were allocated to WalkFirst Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon Installation and Construction Coordination.
- ⁴ WalkFirst funds from Fiscal Year 2014/15 (\$120,000) were allocated to Golden Gate Road Diet [Vision Zeto].
- ⁵ 5YPP amendment to fully fund WalkFirst Phase 1 Pedestrian Safety Implementation [Vision Zero] (Resolution 15-46, 03.24.2015)

6th Street Improvements (NTIP): Reduced by \$715,900 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 construction funds. SFMTA is planning on requesting \$1 million in Prop K funds for the environmental phase, through which it will determine costs and a funding plan for design and construction.

WalkFirst Phase 1 Pedestrian Safety Implementation [Vision Zero]: Added \$715,900 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 funds for design and construction. Project is fully funded with \$284,100 from the WalkFirst placeholders in Fiscal Year 2014/15.

- ⁶ 5YPP amendment to add 6th Street Pedestrian Improvements project environmental phase (Resolution 15-XX, MO.DA.YEAR)
 - 6th Street Improvements (NTIP): Reduced by \$700,000 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 for design.
 - 6th Street Improvements (NTIP): Reduced by \$1,312,000 in Fiscal Year 2014/15 for construction.
 - 6th Street Pedestrian Safety Improvement: Added project with \$2,012,000 in Fiscal Year 2015/16 funds for the environmental phase.

Prop K 5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 - 2018/19)

Pedestrian Circulation/Safety (EP 40)

Cash Flow (\$) Maximum Annual Reimbursement

Project Name	Phase	3723 C. C.	4/15	2015/16	Fiscal 2016/17	Year	- 2018/19	2019/20	Total
Corridor Projects	Prince Charles and Prince Charles								
6th Street Improvements (NTIP)6	PS&E		\$0						\$0
6th Street Improvements (NTIP) 5,6	CON		\$0	\$514,100	\$458,000				\$972,100
6th Street Pedestrian Safety Improvement 6	PA&ED		\$0	\$1,200,000	\$812,000				\$2,012,000
7th Street Streetscape	PS&E			\$174,000					\$174,000
Follow-the-Paving (Pedestrian Improvements)	nish bahon da kamas ya sa ya				Wenglas Mily and Comment of the Comm		erita Garderen	(86) (5) (7) (1)	
Follow-the-Paving (Spot Improvements)	PS&E, CON			\$50,000					\$50,000
Citywide Pedestrian Safety & Circulation Improvement	ents	10.40	Fig. 4						
Active Transportation Program Local Match	PLAN/CER.		\$10,000						\$10,000
Active Transportation Program Local Match	PS&E		\$30,000	\$50,000					\$80,000
Active Transportation Program Local Match 1	CON		\$35,107	\$212,028	\$276,605				\$523,740
ER Taylor Elementary School Safe Routes to School 1	ĊОИ		\$6,975						\$6,575
Longfellow Elementary School Safe Routes to School 1	CON		\$1 <u>2</u> ,865	\$51,915					\$64,578
Active Transportation Program Local Match	PS&E					\$150,000	\$150,000		\$300,000
Active Transportation Program Local Match	CON						\$150,000	\$150,000	\$300,000
WalkFirst 3, 5	PLAN/CER		\$0						\$ O
WalkFirst 3, 4, 5	PS&E		\$0	\$0			•		\$0
WalkFirst 2, 3, 4	CON		\$0	\$0					\$0
WalkFirst Continental Crosswalks 2	CON		2214,500	5214.5 00	· .				\$423,000
WalkFirst Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons3	PLAN/CER, PS&E, CON	10 E. 10	364,500	772,270	370,200				\$222,900
Golden Gate Road Diet [Vision Zero]	CON		240,000	\$80,000					\$120,000
WalkFirst Phase 1 Pedestrian Safety Implementation [Vision Zero] 5	PS&E, CON		<u>M</u> 16071000	\$700(600)	3200,000				\$1,000,000
WalkFirst	PLAN/CER				\$53,996				\$53,996
WalkFirst	PS&E				\$55,000	\$55,000			\$110,000
WalkFirst	CON				\$32,500	\$32,500			\$65,000

Project Name	Phase	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Year 2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Total
Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) placeholder	PS&E, CON	\$375,000	\$375,000					\$750,000
Cesar Chavez/Bayshore/Potrero Intersection Improvements [NTIP Capital]	PLAN	325,000	32 525,0 00					\$50,000
Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) placeholder	PS&E, CON	•	\$400,000	\$400,000 ·				\$800,000
Marketing to the second of the	40.345.258.000.00000000		Kilon Kastal Jail Visited		98(64) (24) (24)			A Albert Strate Colored Colored
	Cash Flow in 5YPP	\$910,345	\$4,122,743	\$2,367,301	\$237,500	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$8,087,889
Total Ca	sh Flow Allocated	\$460,238	\$2,347,615	\$1,091,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,899,053
Total Cash	Flow Deobligated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Cash	Flow Unallocated	\$450,107	\$1,775,128	\$1,276,101	\$237,500	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$4,188,836
				· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Total Programmed in 2			\$3,300,443	· \$2,288,101	\$237,500	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$8,087,889
Deobligated from Pri	or 5YPP Cycles **	\$107						\$107
Cumulative Remaining C	ash Flow Capacity	\$901,607	\$79,307	\$107	\$107	\$107	\$107	\$107

Programmed
Pending Allocation/Appropriation
Bessi Approved Allegation Appropriation

\$1,312,000

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE Mayor

TO:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: \

Mayor Edwin M. Lee

RE:

Apply for, Accept, and Expend Grant – State Transportation Improvement

Program - \$1,910,000

DATE:

November 17, 2015

Attached for introduction to the Board of Supervisors is a resolution authorizing the filing of an application for funding assigned to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC); committing any necessary matching funds; stating assurance to complete the projects; and authorizing the Department of Public Works (DPW) to accept and expend \$1,910,000 in State Transportation Improvement Program grant funds awarded through MTC.

Should you have any questions, please contact Nicole Elliott (415) 554-7940.

,



Edwin M. Lee Mayor

Mohammed Nuru Director

San Francisco Public Works 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl. Room 348 San Francisco, CA 94102 tel 415-554-6920

sfpublicworks.org facebook.com/sfpublicworks twitter.com/sfpublicworks twitter.com/mrcleansf

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Mohammed Nuru, Director of SF Public Works

FROM:

November.5, 2015 DATE:

Apply for, Accept and Expend State & Federal Grant SUBJECT:

GRANT TITLE: State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

Attached please find the original and 2 copies of each of the following:

☑ Proposed grant resolution; original signed by Public Works

☑ Grant information form, including disability checklist

☑ Grant budget

☑ Grant application

☑ San Francisco County Transportation Authority resolution adopting project priorities for the 2016 RTIP/STIP

Special Timeline Requirements:

MTC has requested the resolution be approved December 2015.

Departmental representative to receive a copy of the adopted resolution:

Name: Rachel Alonso (rachel.alonso@sfdpw.org) Phone: 415.558.4034

Interoffice Mail Address: Public Works, 30 Van Ness – 5th floor

Certified copy required ☑ No ☐ Yes