PREPARED IN COMMITTEE 1/14/2016

FILE NO. 150604 MOTION NO.

1	[Follow-Up Board Response - 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report - CleanPowerSF At Long
2	Last]
3	Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the
4	Board of Supervisors' response to Recommendation No. 4 contained in the 2014-2015
5	Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "CleanPowerSF At Long Last."
6	
7	WHEREAS, The 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
8	"CleanPowerSF At Long Last" (Report) on July 16, 2015; and
9	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee
10	(GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on October 1, 2015; and
11	WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 384-15 reflecting the
12	GAO responses to the Report on October 6, 2015, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of
13	the Board of Supervisors in File No. 150605; and
14	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4 states: "That San Francisco Public Utilities
15	Commission (SFPUC) integrate the GoSolarSF program into CleanPowerSF to take
16	advantage of their complementary relationship"; and
17	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on October 6, 2015, responded in Resolution
18	No. 384-15 that Recommendation No. 4 "will be implemented for reasons as follows: As the
19	SFPUC's response indicates, CleanPowerSF is designed so that "its customers will be able to
20	access GoSolarSF incentives. [But] the amount of funding CleanPowerSF will contribute to
21	GoSolarSF has not yet been determined." The Board of Supervisors enthusiastically supports
22	this integration and though it cannot predict exactly when the effort will be completed, the
23	Board anticipates it to be one year after the CleanPowerSF rollout in the Spring of 2016, or by
24	May 2017"; and
25	

1	WHEREAS, the GAO conducted an additional hearing on January 14, 2016, to receive
2	an update from City departments on Recommendation No. 4; now, therefore, be it
3	MOVED, That Recommendation No. 4 will not be implemented for reasons as follows:
4	Though the Board of Supervisors enthusiastically supports this effort, and though the relevant
5	department, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, is actively working to implement it
6	the restrictive response options imposed by the Civil Grand Jury process prevent the Board
7	from offering an accurate response. The recommendation involves a multi-year effort being
8	conducted outside of the Board's direct authority, and there is no response option for that
9	situation; and, be it
10	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
11	implementation of accepted recommendation through his/her department heads and through
12	the development of the annual budget.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	