Carroll, John (BOS)

From: Sent:	Chung Hagen, Sheila (BOS) Monday, January 25, 2016 12:04 PM
To:	Carroll, John (BOS)
Cc:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject:	Continuance Request: 639 Peralta Board of Supervisors File No 141018

Categories: 141018

John –

I received the following correspondence from Mr. Weyand. Supervisor Campos will be requesting a continuance of the Tentative Map Appeal at 639 Peralta Ave. to May 24, 2016.

Sheila

Sheila Chung Hagen Legislative Aide Office of Supervisor David Campos 415-554-5144 | <u>sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org</u>

From: Alex Weyand [mailto:aweyand@wynlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2016 10:06 AM
To: Chung Hagen, Sheila (BOS) <sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Monik Bonilla <MBonilla@wynlaw.com>
Subject: RE: 639 Peralta Board of Supervisors File No 141018

Sheila,

Further to our call, our client, William Bradley, would request another continuance to tomorrow's hearing of the Board of Supervisors regarding his above-referenced appeal. This is because the parties were unable to settle at the mediation last November, which is not to say that they will not settle before the July 25, 2016 trial date. The mediator, a retired judge of the SF Superior Court, indicated that he believes that certain things likely need to happen first in the litigation and that thereafter an opportunity to settle may arise. For now, the best we can say is that we anticipate requesting the continuance of the hearing each month until at least May or June of this year. We would thus respectfully ask tomorrow's hearing be continued to a date no earlier than in February 2016.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

And we will re-calendar any later request for continuance for no later than 10 days before the next hearing. My apologies for any inconvenience relative to this one.

Regards,

Alex M. Weyand Weyand Law Firm, A Professional Corporation

T: 415-536-2800 | F: 415-358-4461

www.wynlaw.com

This message may be privileged and confidential. If it was inadvertently delivered to you, please delete it and tell me so that we can correct. Also, no agreement can be formed by this email under the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act or any similar law unless the email explicitly says so.