FILE NO. 160079

RESOLUTION NO.

[Urging California State Lawmakers to Authorize Automated Speed Enforcement]
Resolution urging the California State Legislature and Governor to pass legislation
authorizing the use of Automated Speed Enforcement technology in the City and
County of San Francisco.
WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco adopted Vision Zero as a policy in
2014, committing to build better and safer streets, educate the public on traffic safety, enforce
the most dangerous traffic violations, and adopt policy changes in order to eliminate traffic
deaths by 2024; and
WHEREAS, Speeding is the number one cause of fatal and severe injury collisions in
San Francisco, claiming ten times more lives than drunk driving; and
WHEREAS, The California Office of Traffic Safety consistently ranks San Francisco as
the number one city in the state for seniors to be injured and killed in traffic collisions while
walking; and
WHEREAS, In San Francisco, the annual medical costs for pedestrians injured in a
traffic collision is \$15,000,000 and the total annual economic costs of traffic injuries and
fatalities is \$564 million per year; and
WHEREAS, According to the Budget and Legislative Analyst, pedestrians and cyclists
are at a heightened risk of injury in speed-related crashes: studies show that if a pedestrian is
hit by a car traveling 40 mph there is an 85% chance the pedestrian will be killed, but if a
driver strikes a pedestrian while traveling 20 mph there is a 5% chance the pedestrian will be
killed; and

WHEREAS, Automated speed enforcement, or speeding cameras, when used in
 conjunction with traditional means of traffic enforcement and public education, complements
 law enforcement's traffic safety efforts and enforcement programs; and

WHEREAS, The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration reports that fixed
speed cameras reduce injury crashes by 20% to 25%, and mobile speed cameras reduce
injury collisions by 21% to 51%, and "automated enforcement systems can result in
measureable safety improvement at high crash locations;" and

8 WHEREAS, One hundred and forty one communities in the United States have 9 implemented automated speed enforcement camera programs; however, California law 10 prohibits the use of camera technology to enforce existing speed limits; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco County Transportation Authority supported state
legislation to authorize an Automated Speed Enforcement program San Francisco at their
December 15, 2016, meeting; and

WHEREAS, Numerous community leaders and groups support the use of Automated
 Speed Enforcement in San Francisco, including Walk San Francisco, The San Francisco
 Bicycle Coalition, California Walks, CC Puede, The Central City SRO Collective, The FDR
 Democratic Club of San Francisco, Livable City, The Traffic Safety Coalition, and Friends of
 Monterey Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, The revenue derived from an Automated Speed Enforcement program
 could be used to invest in pedestrian safety or other roadway traffic safety improvements;
 now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
 supports the use of Automated Speed Enforcement technology in San Francisco to reduce
 speeding and increase safety; and, be it

25

Supervisors Mar; Yee, Kim, Avalos **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, To protect the privacy interests of persons who are issued 2 citations under an Automated Speed Enforcement program, that the Board of Supervisors of 3 the City and County of San Francisco supports a program in which the photographic, video, or 4 other visual records generated by the program are confidential, and are made available only 5 to alleged violators, and to governmental and law enforcement agencies solely for the 6 purpose of enforcing these violations; and, be it 7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San 8 Francisco urges our local delegation who represent San Francisco in the State Legislature to 9 support legislation authorizing Automated Speed Enforcement Technology in the City and 10 County of San Francisco and further urges the California State Legislature and Governor 11 Jerry Brown to pass such legislation; and, be it 12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to send a copy of this 13 resolution to the office of Senator Mark Leno, and Assemblymembers David Chiu and Phil 14 Ting. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25