File No. <u>160205</u>

Committee Item No. _____ Board Item No. _____3

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date:	·	
Date:	March 8, 2016	

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Ana Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commis Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	Letter and/o	or Report
	(Click the text below for a c	lirect link to	o the document)
Prepared by Prepared by	:Brent Jalipa	Date: Date:	March 3, 2016

RESOLUTION NO.

[Opposing Golden Gate National Recreation Area's Proposed Rule Regulating Dog Walking]

Resolution opposing Golden Gate National Recreation Area's proposed rule regulating on- and off-leash dog walking in urban parklands throughout San Francisco, Marin, and San Mateo Counties.

WHEREAS, San Francisco is a densely populated urban environment where an estimated 120,000 dogs reside with their families; and

WHEREAS, Dogs require daily exercise to live healthy lives; and

WHEREAS, Many residents, including dog owners, live in homes with little to no outdoor space and often rely on public open space for recreation; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has more than 220 parks administered by the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, of which only 28 are designated dog play areas where dogs may play off-leash; and

WHEREAS, In addition to these dog play areas, many dog owners have also exercised their dogs for decades at popular locations under the jurisdiction of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) and the National Park Service (NPS), such as Ocean Beach, Baker Beach, Crissy Field, Ft. Funston, Ft. Mason, Lands End, and Sutro Heights Park; and

WHEREAS, The GGNRA was established in 1972 as an urban park with a goal of making open space more available to a broader segment of the public and so that urbandwelling families would not have to drive far to access open space; and

WHEREAS, In 1973, San Francisco voters turned over 500 additional acres of city parkland – including Ocean Beach, Ft. Funston and Lands End – to the GGNRA; and

Supervisors Tang; Wiener, Yee, Breed, Farrell, Campos, Avalos, Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, Upon the transfer of these GGNRA lands in San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the federal government, it was widely known that among other activities, dog walking, including off-leash dog walking, occurred at these sites; and

WHEREAS, In 1979, GGNRA created a Pet Policy after extensive public input that allowed dogs to be walked off-leash in areas including Ocean Beach, Ft. Funston, Crissy Field, Baker Beach, Lands End, Ft. Mason, and Ft. Miley in San Francisco; and Rodeo Beach, Muir Beach, and various trails in Marin, amounting to less than one percent of its land; and

WHEREAS, In 2002, GGNRA began conducting a planning process to determine the manner and extent of dog walking on their lands; and

WHEREAS, In 2011, GGNRA released its Draft Dog Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), which severely restricted off-leash, voice-controlled dog walking and created large areas where dogs would not be allowed; and

WHEREAS, The DEIS received 4,700 responses and 8,000 substantive comments which overwhelmingly opposed the GGNRA plan; and

WHEREAS, In 2013, GGNRA released its Draft Dog Management Plan/Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), a second draft of the DEIS which included only minor changes to the original plan, and that still severely restricted off-leash, voice-controlled dog walking and created large areas where dogs would not be allowed at all; and

WHEREAS, In 2011 the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 183-11, and in 2013 adopted Resolution No. 386-13, both opposing GGNRA's proposed off-leash policy outlined in the preferred alternative of the DEIS and SEIS and supporting the ongoing dialogue between GGNRA and San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Both the San Mateo and Marin County Board of Supervisors have also unanimously opposed these proposed regulations; and

Supervisors Tang; Wiener, Yee, Breed, Farrell, Campos, Avalos, Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHEREAS, In 2014, GGNRA began implementing modifications to its dog regulations when it created interim public use restrictions and permit requirements for commercial dog walkers, limiting them to no more than 6 dogs at any time, including a requirement that they must obtain a permit from NPS when walking four to six dogs at any one time; and

WHEREAS, These restrictions are in direct conflict with San Francisco's commercial dog walking policy that allows up to eight dogs at one time, and puts further pressure on our city parklands; and

WHEREAS, On February 24, 2016, GGNRA released its Proposed Rule for Dog Management in the GGNRA, which is based on the Preferred Alternative described in the SEIS; and

WHEREAS, Despite overwhelming opposition to the Preferred Alternative from residents, community groups and elected representatives across several counties, the Proposed Rule contains only minor adjustments based on public feedback; and

WHEREAS, The Proposed Rule states that many Bay Area residents "view GGNRA lands as their backyards" and that dog walking is in direct conflict with those that expect a visitor experience "free from dogs;" and

WHEREAS, The Proposed Rule would designate specific areas where dogs would be required to stay on leash, areas were dogs may be off-leash but under voice and sight control, and areas where dog walking would be prohibited; and

WHEREAS, Under the Proposed Rule, only 2.7 of the 8.7 miles of beaches (31%) would be available for dog walkers, and only 2.3 miles (26%) would be available for off-leash use; and

WHEREAS, Large portions of Ocean Beach, Crissy Field, Baker Beach, Lands End, Sutro Heights Park, and Ft. Funston will now have restrictions that limit access for both on

Supervisors Tang; Wiener, Yee, Breed, Farrell, Campos, Avalos, Cohen **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

and off-leash dog use, and will also have large areas where dogs are no longer allowed at all; and

WHEREAS, The Proposed Rule also allows for additional closures or restrictions to be placed on areas or portions thereof which are open to on-leash or off-leash dog walking on a temporary or permanent basis; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco opposes GGNRA's Proposed Rule for Dog Management and urges GGNRA to modify these regulations to allow for greater access to recreational opportunities such as dog walking; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco reiterates its belief that the GGNRA is an urban recreation area and not a remote national park and that the GGNRA should be managed to best serve residents and visitors; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this legislation be sent to GGNRA Superintendent Christine Lehnertz, National Park Service Director Jon Jarvis, San Francisco Recreation and Park Director Phil Ginsburg, the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commission, San Francisco Animal Care and Control Director Virginia Donohue, U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, U.S. House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, Congresswoman Jackie Speier, Secretary of the Interior Sally Jewell, Chairman of the U.S. House Subcomittee on Federal Lands, Tom McClintock, Ranking Minority Member of the U.S. House Subcommittee on Federal Lands, Niki Tsongas, Chairman of the U.S. House Natural Resources Committee Rob Bishop, and Ranking Minority Member of the U.S. House Natural Resources Committee Raul Grijalva.

Supervisors Tang; Wiener, Yee, Breed, Farrell, Campos, Avalos, Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Print Form	
Introduction Form	
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor	•
	e stamp neeting date
1. For reference to Committee.	
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.	
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor in in in the second s	nquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).	
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.	
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission	on
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative	
Sponsor(s):	
Supervisors Tang, Wiener, Yee, Breed, Farrell, Campos and Avalos, Cohen	
Subject:	
Opposing Golden Gate National Recreation Area's Proposed Rule Regulating Dog Walking	
The text is listed below or attached:	
Please see attached.	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	