| File No. | 160023 | _Committee Item N | | 4 | | | |
|--|--|--|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| · | | Board Item No | | 14 | | | |
| COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST | | | | | | | |
| | AGENDA PAC | | 101 | | | | |
| Committee: | Government Audit and Ov | | | $\frac{10,2016}{200}$ | | | |
| Board of Su Cmte Board | pervisors Meeting Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative A Youth Commission Repo Introduction Form Department/Agency Cov MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Comm Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | Analyst Report ort er Letter and/or Re | | <u>H 72, Julo</u> | | | |
| OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed) | | | | | | | |
| | Referral FYI - 01.20.16 | | | | | | |
| Completed by: Erica Major Date March 4, 2016 Completed by: | | | | | | | |

| | AMENDED IN COMMITTEE | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 3/10/2016 FILE NO. 160023 ORDINANCE NO. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | [Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund] | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the San Francisco Homicide | | | | |
| 3 | Reward Fund. | | | | |
| 4 | NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. | | | | |
| 5 | Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italies Times New Roman font</u> . | | | | |
| 6 | Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> . Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. | | | | |
| 7 | Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables. | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | Section 1. Findings. | | | | |
| 12 | (a) Since 2009, San Francisco has averaged approximately 50 homicides per year. | | | | |
| 13 | (b) Although the City has a general reward process that applies, in part, to cases of | | | | |
| 14 | willful misconduct resulting in someone's death, and periodically offers rewards in homicide | | | | |
| 15 | cases, it does not have a reward fund specifically for unsolved homicides. | | | | |
| 16 . | | | | | |
| 17 | Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.100-306 | | | | |
| 18 | to read as follows: | | | | |
| 19 | SEC. 10.100-306. SAN FRANCISCO HOMICIDE REWARD FUND. | | | | |
| 20 | (a) Establishment of Fund. The San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund (the "Fund") is | | | | |
| 21 | established as a category six fund to receive any monies appropriated or donated for the purpose of | | | | |
| 22 | providing rewards as set forth in this Section 10.100-306. Donations to the Fund are deemed approved | | | | |
| 23 | for acceptance and expenditure. | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |

Supervisors Breed, Cohen, Yee, and Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(b) Use of Fund. Monies in the fund shall be used exclusively by the Chief of Police in the following manner:

(1) The Chief of Police may pay a reward to any person or persons providing information that leads, in the judgment of the Chief after consultation with the District Attorney, to the arrest and conviction of a person for the crimes of murder (Cal. Penal Code Sec. 187, as amended, or any successor legislation) or manslaughter (Cal. Penal Code Sec. 192, as amended, or any successor legislation) committed within the City. If more than one claimant is eligible for a reward under this Section, the Chief of Police may divide the reward in a manner the Chief deems appropriate, but the total reward in connection with a single incident, whether made to one person or divided among several persons, may not exceed \$250,000.

(2) A person claiming a reward from the Fund may not have participated in the planning, commission, or concealment of the crime, and the information provided by the person must have been unknown to the Police Department or the District Attorney at the time it was provided.

(3) The Chief of Police shall pay a reward from the Fund only where the Chief determines, in his or her judgment, that: (A) no one has been charged with the crime for more than one year from the date of the incident; (B) the Police Department has exhausted all investigative leads; and (BC) assistance from the public and a reward are necessary to achieve an arrest and conviction for the crime.

(4) Rewards are entirely in the Chief of Police's discretion and there is in no circumstance a right to an award! The amount of the reward rests in the discretion of the Chief and shall be based on, among other considerations, the nature of the crime, the length and difficulty of the investigation and prosecution, and the usefulness of the information furnished by the person claiming the reward.

(5) For the purposes of this Section 10.100-306, "conviction" shall mean a finding of guilty following a trial, a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, or a plea of guilty or nolo contendere by

Supervisors Breed, Cohen, Yee, and Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

plea bargaining whether or not the guilty plea or nolo contendere plea is entered for violation of Penal Code Sections 187 or 192.

(c) Administration of Fund.

(1) Any reference to the Chief of Police in this Section 10.100-306 shall include the Chief's designee.

(2) Any individual reward of \$100,000 or more shall require Board of Supervisors approval by resolution.

(3) The Chief of Police shall submit an annual written report to the Board of Supervisors and the Controller within the first two weeks of July showing donations received, the nature and amount of such donations, and the disposition thereof, together with a description of the individual rewards paid from the Fund.

(4) To the extent permitted by law, the Chief of Police may keep confidential information identifying individual reward recipients. This provision shall not be construed to require the Chief to disclose other information that by law may be kept confidential.

Section 3. Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

Supervisors Breed, Cohen, Yee, and Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund.

Existing Law

Administrative Code Section 10.177-1 authorizes the Mayor to offer a reward, not to exceed \$100,000, for information leading to the identification, arrest, and conviction of any person whose willful misconduct results in injury or death to any person or who willfully damages, destroys or appropriates any property. The reward must be recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 10.177-1 covers, but is not specific to, unsolved homicides, and does not establish a specific fund for this purpose.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposal is an ordinance that would amend the Administrative Code to establish the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund (the "Fund") to receive donations or appropriations for the purpose of providing rewards as set forth in the ordinance. The Fund would be a category six fund, which means that the monies in the Fund would be deemed automatically appropriated for these purposes and the fund balance would be carried forward at the end of the budgetary cycle, but interest on the monies would go to the General Fund. Donations to the Fund would be deemed approved for acceptance and expenditure and would not require Board approval, even if in excess of \$10,000.

The proposal would authorize the Chief of Police to pay a reward to any person or persons providing information that lead, in the judgment of the Chief after consultation with the District Attorney, to the arrest and conviction of a person for the crimes of murder or manslaughter committed within the City, where the Chief determined that the Police Department had exhausted all investigative leads and a reward was necessary to achieve an arrest and conviction for the crime.

If more than one claimant was eligible for a reward, the Chief could divide the reward in a manner he or she deemed appropriate, but the total reward in connection with a single incident could not exceed \$250,000. A person claiming a reward from the Fund could not have participated in the planning, commission, or concealment of the crime, and the

information provided by the person must have been unknown to the Police Department or the District Attorney at the time it was provided.

Any individual reward of \$100,000 or more would require Board of Supervisors approval by resolution.

To the extent permitted by law, the Chief of Police could keep confidential information identifying individual reward recipients.

Background Information

This legislative digest reflects amendments adopted by the Budget and Finance Committee on March 10, 2016 to remove the proposed requirement that no one had been charged with the crime for more than one year from the date of the incident.

n:\legana\as2016\1600181\01074662.docx

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Vicki Hennessy, Sheriff, Sheriff's Office Greg Suhr, Chief, Police Department George Gascon, District Attorney, Office of the District Attorney Dennis Herrera, City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney Jeff Adachi, Public Defender, Office of the Public Defender Karen L. Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer, Adult Probation Department Allen Nance, Chief Probation Officer, Juvenile Probation Department Nicole Elliott, Liaison to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Ben Rosenfield, City Controller, Office of the Controller

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Committee Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, Board of Supervisors

DATE: January 20, 2016

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Breed on January 12, 2016:

File No. 160023

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

C:

Kathy Gorwood, Sheriff's Office Christine Fountain, Police Department Sergeant Rachael Kilshaw, Police Department Cristine Soto DeBerry, Office of the District Attorney Maxwell Szabo, Office of the District Attorney Jon Givner, Office of the City Attorney LaShaun Williams, Adult Probation Department Sheryl Cowan, Juvenile Probation Department Todd Rydstrom, Office of the Controller 1667 Peg Stevenson, Office of the Controller

| Print Form | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Introduction Form | |
| By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor | |
| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | Time stamp or meeting date |
| 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amend | lment) |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee. | |
| 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. | |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | inquires" |
| 5. City Attorney request. | |
| 6. Call File No. from Committee. | |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File No. | |
| 9. Reactivate File No. | |
| 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on | |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the foll Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Co | |
| Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission | ssion |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperat | ive Form. |
| Sponsor(s): | |
| Breed; Cohen | |
| Subject: | |
| Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund | |
| The text is listed below or attached: | |
| Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the San Francisco-Homicide Rewar | d Fund. |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: | Bread |
| For Clerk's Use Only: | |