File No	151085	Committee Item No	3
_		Board Item No.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

	AGENDA PACKET CONTENT	S LIST
Committee:	Land Use and Transportation	Date _ April 4, 2016
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boa	rd Motion	
	Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and Memorandum of Understanding (MO) Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Vacancy Notice Information Sheet Public Correspondence	d/or Report
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is no		needed)
Completed	by: <u>Andrea Ausberry</u>	Date April 1, 2016

[Various Codes - Code Enforcement Procedures]

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide model forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.

Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.

Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

- Section 1. (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 151085 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.
- (b) On January 28, 2016, the Planning Department determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and

eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts this determination as its own. A copy of said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 151085, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Pursuant to Charter Section D3.750-5, the Building Inspection Commission considered this ordinance on March 16, 2016, at a duly-noticed public hearing.

Section 3. Findings under the California Health and Safety Code. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that this ordinance does not modify a State "building standard," as that term is defined in Section 18909 of the California Health and Safety Code. Therefore, the finding of local climatic, geological, or topographical conditions required by Sections 18941.5 and 17958.7 of the California Health and Safety Code is not required.

Section 4. The Building Code is hereby amended by revising Section 102A, to read as follows:

SECTION 102A – UNSAFE BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES OR PROPERTY

All buildings, structures, property, or parts thereof, regulated by this code that are structurally unsafe or not provided with adequate egress, or that constitute a fire hazard, or are otherwise dangerous to human life, safety or health of the occupants or the occupants of adjacent properties or the public by reason of inadequate maintenance, dilapidation, obsolescence or abandonment, or by reason of occupancy or use in violation of law or ordinance, or were erected, moved, altered, constructed or maintained in violation of law or ordinance are, for the purpose of this chapter, unsafe.

Whenever the Building Official determines by inspection that property or properties either improved or unimproved are unstable because of landslide, subsidence or inundation or

that such occurrences are deemed imminent as described above, the Building Official shall give written notice to the owner or owners that said property or properties are unsafe. The notice shall specify the conditions creating the unsafe classification.

All such unsafe buildings, structures, property, or portions thereof, are hereby declared to be public nuisances and shall be vacated, repaired, altered or demolished as hereinafter provided.

102A.3 Inspections.

The Building Official may inspect or cause the inspection of any building, structure or property for the purpose of determining whether it is unsafe whenever:

- (a) The Building Official, with reasonable discretion, determines that such inspection is necessary or desirable; or,
- (b) Any person or any agency or department of the City submits to the Building Official a complaint which, in the Building Official's opinion, establishes reasonable cause to believe that the building, structure or property or any portion thereof, is unsafe.

102A.4 Notice of Municipal Code Violation.

- (a) When the Building Official observes or otherwise determines any condition which renders the building, structure or property unsafe, the Building Official shall, within 15 days, serve a Notice of Municipal Code Violation ("NOV") upon the building owner. The Building Official shall not issue successive NOVs for the same violation or violations as a means of extending the filing time or the enforcement process or as a means of following up with the building owner.
- (b) The NOV shall identify each violation observed or otherwise established, including the violations which render the building, structure or property unsafe, and shall state a deadline for the building owner to abate the violations and a date for reinspection. The NOV shall also set forth the

penalties, fees and costs as prescribed in Sections 102A.7(d) and 103A of this code. The NOV shall be a public record subject to disclosure pursuant to Administrative Code Chapter 67.

(c) The Building Official shall mail a copy of the NOV to the building owner by first class mail at the address listed with the Assessor-Recorder's Office. The Building Official shall post the NOV in a conspicuous place on the subject property and make a copy of the NOV available to each tenant of the subject property.

102A.5 Notice of Administrative Hearing

- (a) If the Building Official determines that the building owner has not corrected the code violations by the deadline provided in the NOV, the Building Official shall schedule an administrative hearing on the violations, to be heard within 60 days of the deadline, unless the building owner demonstrates to the Building Official's satisfaction that the building owner has made substantial progress in abating the violations.
- (b) The Building Official shall issue a Notice of Administrative Hearing ("Hearing Notice") to the building owner. The Hearing Notice shall state the date, hour and place of the hearing and contain a conspicuous warning setting forth the penalties, fees and costs prescribed in Sections 102A.7(d0 and 103A of this Code. The Hearing Notice shall include a copy of the NOV. The Hearing Notice shall inform all interested parties who desire to be heard in the matter that they may appear to show cause why the property, building or structure, or portion thereof, should not be ordered repaired, altered, vacated and repaired or altered, or vacated and demolished.
- (c) The Building Official shall serve the Hearing Notice on the building owner by certified mail to the building owner at the address listed with the Assessor-Recorder's Office and shall post the NOV in a conspicuous place on the subject property. The Building Official shall mail the Hearing Notice on the building owner at least 10 days prior to the date set for the hearing.
- (d) In addition to serving the NOV on the building owner, the Building Official shall send a copy of the NOV by certified mail to:

16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23

24

25

- (1) The person, if any, in real or apparent charge and control of the premises involved;
- (2) The holder of any mortgage, deed of trust, lien or encumbrance of record:
- (3) The owner or holder of any lease of record; and,
- (4) The record holder of any other estate or interest in the building, structure or property, or the land upon which it is located.

The failure of any owner or other person to receive such notice shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceedings taken hereunder.

- (e) The person serving the Hearing Notice shall complete a declaration under penalty of perjury, certifying the date and manner in which such Hearing Notice was given, and the Building Official shall retain the certified mail receipt card, if any, for the Hearing Notice.
- (f) In addition to the Hearing Notice, the Building Official shall provide the building owner with an information sheet regarding the enforcement process, the building owner's rights and duties prior to the hearing, and the information the building owner must bring to the hearing.

102A.6 Conduct of Administrative Hearing.

- (a) The Building Official shall conduct the Administrative Hearing, or may designate a Hearing Officer who shall have the same authority as the Building Official to hear and decide the case and to make any orders consistent with this Code. For purposes of Sections 102A.6 through 102A.7, "Hearing Officer" shall include the Building Official if the Building Official conducts the Administrative Hearing.
- (b) The Hearing Officer shall hold the Administrative Hearing at the time and place designated in the Hearing Notice. The Hearing Officer may, in his or her discretion and for good cause shown, continue the hearing one time, for a period not to exceed 30 days. All persons having an interest in the building, structure or property or having knowledge of facts material to the allegations of the NOV, including the list of code violations, may present evidence for consideration by the Hearing Officer, subject to any rules adopted by the Hearing Officer for the orderly conduct of the hearing.

102A.7 Administrative Order.

- (a) Within 30 days following the conclusion of the Administrative Hearing, the Hearing Officer shall issue a written decision ("Administrative Order").
- (b) The Administrative Order shall state in reasonable detail which conditions render the building, structure, or property, or portion thereof, unsafe, and shall state the work required to be done to satisfy the Administrative Order. The Administrative Order shall include a copy of the NOV. The Administrative Order may direct the building owner to repair, alter, vacate, and/or demolish the subject property.
- (c) The Administrative Order shall specify the time within which the building owner shall repair, alter, vacate, and/or demolish the building, structure, or property, or portion thereof, or otherwise comply with the Order. The time for compliance with the Administrative Order shall not to exceed 180 days from permit issuance. The Hearing Officer may, in his or her discretion and for good cause shown, extend the time for compliance with the Administrative Order once, for a period limited to the minimum time necessary for completion and not to exceed 90 days, following a written request by the building owner.
- (d) In addition to any monetary penalties authorized in Section 103A, the Department shall be entitled to its costs of preparation for and appearance at the Administrative Hearing, and all prior and subsequent attendant and administrative costs, and the Department shall assess these costs upon the building owner monthly, at the rates set under Section 110A, Tables 1A-D (Standard Hourly Rates) and 1A-K (Penalties, Hearings, Code Enforcement Assessments), until the costs are paid in full. In addition, the Department shall be entitled to its attorneys fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred in bring the administrative enforcement action. The violations cited in the Administrative Order will not be deemed legally abated until the building owner makes full payment of the penalties and costs, and failure to pay the assessment of costs shall result in tax lien proceedings against the property.

(e) The Hearing Officer shall serve the Administrative Order on the building owner in the same manner as the Hearing Notice. The Building Official shall record a copy of the Administrative Order in the Assessor-Recorder's Office. When the Building Official determines that the work required under the Administrative Order has been completed and the building, structure, or property, or portion thereof, no longer is unsafe, the Building Official shall issue and record a rescission of the Administrative Order with the Assessor-Recorder's Office.

Officer shall inform the building owner, at the Administrative Order pursuant to Section 105A.2. The Hearing Officer shall inform the building owner, at the Administrative Hearing and in the Administrative Order, of the right of appeal to the Abatement Appeals Board, provided that the appeal is made in writing and filed with the Secretary of the Abatement Appeals Board within 15 days after the Order is posted and served. The 15-day limitation shall not apply when any type of a moratorium authorized in Section 105A.2 is sought. Where construction materials, methods, types of construction, or compliance with the time limits set forth in Table No. 16B-A are the bases, in whole or in part, of the Building Official's finding that an unreinforced masonry building, or portion thereof, is unsafe, the Abatement Appeals Board shall refer these matters to the Board of Examiners established in Section 105A.1 of this Code. Where construction materials, methods, and types of construction are the bases, in whole or in part, of the Building Official's finding that the building, or structure, or portion thereof, is unsafe, the Abatement Appeals Board shall refer these matters to the Board of Examiners for its examination and determination with respect to such materials, methods, and types of construction.

The Board of Examiners shall approve or disapprove such materials, methods, and types of construction, and may attach conditions to its approval, and shall forward a copy of its report to the Abatement Appeals Board. The Abatement Appeals Board shall include in its decision the findings of the Board of Examiners.

102A.8 Remedies are Non-Exclusive

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 102A.4 through 102A.7, the City Attorney may institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against a building owner for violations of the Municipal Code under any circumstances, without regard to whether a complaint has been filed or the Building Official has issued a NOV or an Administrative Order. In any civil action filed by the City Attorney under this Section 102.A.8, the City Attorney may seek recovery of and the court may award the City its attorneys fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred in bringing the proceedings.

- 102A.3 Inspections and Complaints. The Building Official is hereby authorized to inspect or cause the inspection of any building, structure or property for the purpose of determining whether or not it is unsafe in any of the following circumstances:
- 1. Whenever the Building Official, with reasonable discretion, determines that such inspection is necessary or desirable.
- 2. Whenever any person files with the Building Official a complaint from which there is, in the Building Official's opinion, probable cause to believe that the building, structure or property or any portion thereof, is unsafe.
- 3. Whenever an agency or department of the City and County of San Francisco transmits to the Building Official a written report from which there is, in the opinion of the Building Official, probable cause to believe that the building, structure or property, or any portion thereof, is unsafe.

Upon the completion of any such inspection and the finding by the Building Official of any condition which renders the building, structure or property unsafe, the Building Official shall, within 15 days thereafter, serve a written notice of violation upon the building owner which shall contain specific allegations, setting forth each condition the Building Official has found which renders the building, structure or property unsafe. The Building Official shall, within three days of mailing of such notice of violation, post a copy thereof in a conspicuous place in or upon such building, structure or property and make available a copy of the notice of violation to each tenant thereof. Such notice shall

also set forth the penalties for violation prescribed in Section 103A of this code. In addition to the civil penalties prescribed in Section 103A, the Department's cost of preparation for and appearance at the hearing required by Section 102A.4, and all prior and subsequent attendant and administrative costs, shall be assessed upon the property owner monthly, after failure to comply with a written notice of violation that has been served upon the property owner. Said violations will not be deemed legally abated until the property owner makes full payment of the assessment of costs to the Department of Building Inspection. See Section 110A, Table 1A-D—Standard Hourly Rates and Table 1A-K—Penalties, Hearings, Code Enforcement Assessments—for the applicable rate. Failure to pay the assessment of costs shall result in tax lien proceedings against the property per Section 102A.18.

If the unsafe conditions observed on the property have not been corrected within the time period provided, the matter shall be set for hearing within 60 days from the compliance date specified on the notice of violation, if not substantial progress in abating the Code violations has commenced.

102A.4 Notice of Hearing.

102A.4.1 General. Notice of hearing shall be given upon a form prescribed by the Building Official. It shall set forth the street address sufficient for identification of the property or premises upon which the building or structure is located. It shall contain or be attached to a copy of the notice of violation which includes a list of code violations. It shall state the date, hour and place of the hearing and shall order all interested parties who desire to be heard in the matter to appear before the Building Official to show cause why the property, building or structure, or portion thereof, should not be ordered repaired, altered, vacated and repaired or altered, or vacated and demolished.

One copy of the notice of hearing and notice of violation, including the list of code violations, shall be posted in a conspicuous place upon the building or property. The notice shall also include a conspicuous warning which sets forth the penalties for violation prescribed in Section 103A of this code.

One copy of the notice of hearing and notice of violation, including the list of code violations, shall be served upon each of the following:

- 1. The person, if any, in real or apparent charge and control of the premises involved.
- 2. The owner of record.
- 3. The holder of any mortgage, deed of trust, lien or encumbrance of record.
- 4. The owner or holder of any lease of record.
- 5. The record holder of any other estate or interest in the building, structure or property, or the land upon which it is located.

thereto, either personally or by certified or registered mail. Service by certified or registered mail shall be effective on the date of mailing if the certified or registered letter is mailed, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to each such person as their address appears on the last annual tax roll of the county or at the address to which the most recent real property tax bill for said building, structure or property was mailed by the Tax Collector. If no such address appears on the annual tax roll of the county or the records of the Tax Collector, then a copy of the notice shall be addressed to such person at the address of the building, structure or property involved in the proceedings. The failure of any owner or other person to receive such notice shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceedings taken hereunder.

102A.4.3 Proof of service. The person serving notice as provided herein shall file an affidavit or declaration thereof under penalty of perjury, certifying to the time and manner in which such notice was given. Such person shall also file therewith any receipt eard of such notice by certified or registered mail. The notice shall be posted and served at least 10 days prior to the date set for the hearing.

102A.5 Hearing. The public hearing shall be held at the time and place designated in the notice of hearing. For good cause shown, a hearing may be continued by the Building Official, except that any

such continuance shall not exceed 30 days and there shall be only one such continuance allowed. Subject to procedures prescribed by the Building Official for the orderly conduct of the hearing, all persons having an interest in the building, structure or property or having knowledge of facts material to the allegations of the notice of violation including the list of code violations, may present evidence for consideration by the Building Official.

The Building Official may designate a deputy who may act in place of the Building Official as the hearing officer. The deputy shall have the same authority as the Building Official to hear and decide the ease and to make any order hereinafter provided for.

102A.6 Decision. The Building Official, after a full and fair consideration of the evidence and testimony received at the hearing, shall render within 30 days following the conclusion of such hearing, a decision in writing either dismissing the proceedings, or, if finding that the building, structure or property, or portion thereof, is unsafe, ordering that it be repaired, altered, vacated and altered or repaired, or vacated and demolished.

102A.7 Contents of Order. The order shall contain a statement of the particulars which render the building, structure, or property unsafe and shall contain a statement of work required to be done and the time requirements for the execution of the order.

102A.7.1 Address. The order shall set forth the street address of the building or structure, sufficient for identification.

102A.7.2 Time. The order shall specify the time within which the premises or portion thereof shall be vacated, if ordered. The order shall further specify a reasonable time, not to exceed 360 days from permit issuance, within which the work shall be completed.

102A.7.3 Extension for completion. The time for completion may be extended by the Building Official for good cause shown, except that such extension shall not exceed 360 days. Such extension shall be in writing upon the request of the owner and shall be limited to the minimum time necessary for completion. Only one such extension may be allowed.

102A.8 Posting and Service of Order. A copy of the order shall be posted in a conspicuous place upon the building, structure or property and shall be served in the manner above prescribed in the case of the notice of hearing, upon all persons to whom the notice of hearing is required to be served, and a copy shall be recorded in the Assessor-Recorder's Office.

102A.9 Compliance, Rescinding Order. When the property, building or structure or portion thereof that was determined to be unsafe, has been found to comply with requirements of the Building Official as to rehabilitation, alteration, repair or demolition, the Building Official shall issue and record in the Assessor-Recorder's Office an order rescinding the original order.

102A.10 Appeal of Order. Any person may appeal from an order of the Building Official made pursuant to the provisions of Section 102A.7 and shall, at the hearing provided for in Section 102A.5 and in said order, be apprised of the right of appeal to the Abatement Appeals Board, provided that the appeal is made in writing and filed with the Secretary of the Abatement Appeals Board within 15 days after such order is posted and served. The 15 day limitation shall not apply when any type of a moratorium authorized in this section is sought. Where construction materials, methods, types of construction, or compliance with the time limits set forth in Table No. 16B-A are the bases, in whole or in part, of the Building Official's finding that an unreinforced masonry building, or portion thereof, is unsafe, the Abatement Appeals Board shall refer these matters to the Board of Examiners established in Section 105A.1 of this code. Where construction materials, methods, and types of construction are the bases, in whole or in part, of the Building Official's finding that the building, or structure, or portion thereof, is unsafe, the Abatement Appeals Board shall refer these matters to the Board of Examiners for its examination and determination with respect to such materials, methods, and types of construction.

The Board of Examiners shall approve or disapprove such materials, methods, and types of eonstruction, and may attach conditions to its approval, and shall forward a copy of its report to the Abatement Appeals Board. The Abatement Appeals Board shall include in its decision the findings of the Board of Examiners.

Section 5. The Housing Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 201 and 1001, to read as follows:

SEC. 201. GENERAL.

(a) Authority. The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall administer and enforce all of the provisions of this Code. The Director is hereby designated as the authorized representative of the Building Inspection Commission in such enforcement. The Director is hereby authorized to call upon the Director of City Planning, the Director of Public Health, the Chief of the Fire Department, the Chief of Police and all other City officers, employees, departments and bureaus to aid and assist him in such enforcement, and it shall then be their duty to enforce the provisions of this Code and to perform such duties as may come within their respective jurisdictions.

Upon completion of an inspection of a structure or portion of a structure, the owner or occupant thereof shall be furnished by the Department of Building Inspection with a standard form which clearly and simply indicates the violations found and the sections of this Code which are not complied with. Copies of all forms used to enforce this code shall be furnished the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, to be held on file with the Board of Supervisors.

(b) Right of Entry. Upon showing proper credentials, which shall include a statement apprising an owner or occupant of his rights and obligations, authorized employees of City departments, when necessary for the performance of their duties, shall have the right to enter at reasonable times any new or unoccupied building and any building under construction, repair, alteration or removal and any other building when there is reasonable ground for believing said building or any part thereof to be illegal, unsafe or a menace to life or limb; or in making their routine inspections as required under the License Code.

The procedures provided by the general laws of the State of California, presently codified as Sections 1822.50 to 1822.57, inclusive, of the Code of Civil Procedure, shall govern the issuance, execution and enforcement of an inspection warrant in the event the owner or occupant of a building refuses to permit an inspection thereof by an authorized employee of a City department.

- (c) [Reserved.]
- (d) Order of Vacation. The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall give written notification of any order to vacate to the Chief of Police who shall thereupon cause the same to be executed and enforced.
- (e) Abatement. For abatement procedures, see Chapter 1A of the Building Code, including, but not limited to, Sections 102A.3 through 102A.8.

SEC. 1001. GENERAL.

(a) Any residential building or portion thereof, as defined by California Health and Safety Code, Division 13, Part 1.5, State Housing Law, Sections 17920.3 et seq., including any dwelling units, guest room or suite of rooms, or the premises on which the same is located, in which there exists any of the conditions enumerated in this chapter to an extent that endangers the life, limb, health, property, safety or welfare of the public or the occupants thereof shall be deemed and hereby is declared to be a substandard building. *Any building or portion thereof, determined to be a substandard building under this Section 1001 is also declared to be a nuisance as provided in Section 401.*

Section 6. The Electrical Code is hereby amended by revising Section 89.126, to read as follows:

89.126 Unsafe Buildings or Structures.

Any buildings, structures, or parts thereof, shall be considered unsafe when any of the following conditions are present:

- (A) Electrical equipment, wiring and systems deemed hazardous to human life or structure safety;
- (B) Electrical equipment, wiring and systems that are in violation of the code that was in effect at the time of construction or installation or such work was performed without permit or approval;
- (C) Change in occupancy without complying with the provision of Section 89.118 of this code.

Such unsafe building, structure, property or portion shall be vacated, repaired, altered or demolished, *and violations of this Code abated*, in accordance with Section 102A of the Building Code, *including, but not limited to, Sections 102A.3 through 102A.8*.

Section 7. The Plumbing Code is hereby amended by revising Section 104.0, to read as follows:

SECTION 104.0 - ABATEMENT OF PUBLIC NUISANCE

104.0 Abatement of Public Nuisance. In order to abate a nuisance as defined in Section 216.0 of this code, the inspection and abatement procedures as set forth in Section 102A of the Building Code, *including, but not limited to, Sections 102A.3 through 102A.8*, shall apply.

Section 8. The Fire Code is hereby amended by revising Section 109, to read as follows:

SECTION 109 – VIOLATIONS

109.1. [For SF] Unlawful Acts.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for a person to erect, construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, improve, remove, convert, demolish, equip, use, occupy, or maintain a building, occupancy, premises, system, or vehicle, or any portion thereof: or cause the same to be done, in violation of any of the provisions of this code.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for a person to engage in any activity for which a permit is required under this code without the required permit, or to engage in any activity in violation of conditions set in a permit issued under this code.

109.4. [For SF] Notice of Violation.

- (a) When the fire code official finds a building, occupancy, premises, system, or vehicle, or any portion thereof, that is in violation of this code, the fire code official <u>shall</u>, <u>within</u> <u>15 days</u>, <u>may</u> prepare a written notice of violation, which shall identify the code sections violated, describe the violation, and, where applicable, require correction of the violation. <u>The notice of violation shall also set forth the penalties, fees, and costs for the violation. <u>The notice of violation shall also identify the violation as a priority complaint, for violations presenting immediate <u>life safety issues</u>, or a standard complaint, for all other violations. When correction is not immediate, the notice of violation shall specify a time for compliance and re-inspection.</u></u>
- (b) When the fire code official finds a person performing any activity requiring a permit under this code without the required permit, or conducting an activity in violation of conditions set in a permit issued under this code, the fire code official may prepare a written notice of violation, which shall identify the code sections violated and describe the violation. *The notice*

of violation shall also set forth the penalties, fees, and costs for the violation. The notice of violation shall also identify the violation as a priority complaint, for violations presenting immediate life safety issues, or a standard complaint, for all other violations. In addition, the fire code official may issue a stop work order under Section 111, requiring the person to immediately cease performing the activity.

109.4.1. [For SF] Service of Notice of Violation.

- (a) When a notice of violation pertains to a specific building, occupancy, premises, system, or vehicle, the fire code official shall <u>mail a copy of serve</u> the notice of violation <u>to upon</u> the owner of the building, occupancy, premises, system, or vehicle <u>as follows: by personal</u> service, by regular U.S. mail <u>and certified or registered mail, or by leaving it with a person of responsibility at the building or premises. The fire code official shall post the notice of violation in a conspicuous place on the subject property.</u>
- (b) When a notice of violation pertains to a person engaged in an activity for which a permit is required without the required permit, or in violation of a permit issued under this code is the person engaging in that activity, the fire code official shall serve the notice of violation upon the person responsible for the activity as follows: by personal service, by regular U.S. mail and certified mail, or by leaving it with a person of responsibility at site of the activity. *The fire code official shall post the notice of violation in a conspicuous place on the subject property.*
- (c) Service by certified or registered mail is effective on the date of mailing if the certified or registered letter is mailed, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to the person responsible at that person's current address as listed with the Assessor's Office. If the Assessor's Office records do not include an address for a person entitled to notice, then the fire code official shall serve that person by mailing the letter to the address of the building, occupancy, premises, or system involved in the proceedings.

109.4.2. [For SF] Re-Inspection Fee.

المنف بالمعادية المعادية

When the fire code official issues a notice of violation and sets a date for compliance and re-inspection to certify compliance with code requirements, the fire code official shall charge a fee for the re-inspection and the person responsible shall pay that fee.

109.4.3. [For SF] Hearing on Notice of Violation.

- (a) If the person responsible to correct a violation <u>identified as a priority complaint</u> fails to do so within the time period specified in the notice of violation, the fire code official <u>shall may</u> set the matter for hearing, <u>to be heard within 60 days of the deadline</u>. <u>If the person responsible to correct any other violation fails to do so within the time period specified in the notice of violation, the fire code official shall set the matter for hearing, to be heard within 180 days of the deadline</u>.
- (b) Notice of hearing. If the fire code official determines to set the matter for hearing, the fire code official shall serve a notice of hearing that provides at least 10 days 30 day notice of the hearing. The notice shall include the following information: (1) the street address of the building, occupancy, premises, or system that is in violation of the code, or the date and location of any activity conducted without a required permit or in violation of permit conditions; (2) the date, hour and place of the hearing; (3) a statement that the hearing is an opportunity for all interested parties to appear before the fire code official to show cause why the fire code official should not order the building, occupancy, premises, or system repaired or altered to be brought into compliance with code, or vacated or demolished, or require a permit or compliance with permit requirements; (4) a warning that describes the penalties for violation as set forth in subsection (k) below and Section 109.4.4; and (5) a copy of the notice of violation.
- (c) Service of hearing notice. The fire code official shall serve the notice of hearing on each of the following persons: (1) the person, if any, in real or apparent charge and control of the building, occupancy, premises, or system, or responsible for any activity; (2) the owner of record of any building, occupancy, premises, or system, or where an activity occurred; (3) the

1

holder of any mortgage, deed of trust, lien or encumbrance of record; (4) the owner or holder of any recorded lease; and (5) the holder of any other recorded estate or interest in the building, occupancy, premises, or system, or the land upon which it is located. The fire code official shall include an affidavit or declaration under penalty of periury, certifying to the time and manner in which the notice was served. The fire code official shall serve the notice of hearing as follows: by personal service; or by regular U.S. Mail and certified or registered mail. Service by certified or registered mail is effective on the date of mailing if the certified or registered letter is mailed, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to each person entitled to notice as that person's address appears on the last annual tax roll of the county or at the address to which the Tax Collector mailed the most recent real property tax bill for the building, occupancy, premises, or system. If the annual tax roll or the Tax Collector records do not include an address for a particular person entitled to notice, then the fire code official shall serve the notice to that person at the address of the building, occupancy, premises, or system involved in the proceedings. The failure of any owner or other person to receive a notice of hearing shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceeding taken or order issued under this section.

I for a state of the later of

(d) Posting of notice. The fire code official shall ensure that a copy of the notice of hearing and notice of violation is posted in a conspicuous place on the building or property, and at the location of the hearing. The notice shall be posted at both locations at least 10 days before the date set for the hearing.

(e) [Reserved]

(f) Hearing. The fire code official or designee shall conduct a public hearing on the matter, at the date, time and location specified in the notice of hearing. The fire code official or designee may continue the hearing for good cause, except that any continuance shall not exceed 30 days, and only one continuance is allowed. Subject to any procedures prescribed

25

by the fire code official for the orderly conduct of the hearing, the fire code official may permit persons with an interest in the building, occupancy, premises, or system, or with knowledge of facts material to the allegations of the notice of violation, to present evidence for the fire code official to consider. The fire code official shall promulgate procedures for implementation of the hearing.

- (g) Decision and order. The fire code official shall give full and fair consideration to the evidence received at the hearing, and within 30 days of the conclusion of the hearing, shall issue a written decision either: (1) finding no violation and issuing an Order of Rescission that withdraws the notice of violation and dismisses the proceedings; or (2) finding that the building, occupancy, premises, or system, or any portion thereof, is in violation of this code and ordering that the person responsible take action as ordered by the fire code official to bring the building, occupancy, premises, or system into compliance with this code. The order may also direct that the building, occupancy, or premises be vacated pending compliance with the requirements of this code. Any order to correct a violation of this code or to vacate a building, occupancy or premises shall include the following: the street address of the building. occupancy, premises, or system; findings and conclusions about the specifics of the violations and the code section violated; a statement of work the person responsible must perform to remedy the violation and, if applicable, an order to vacate; and time requirements for compliance with the order. The fire code official shall require the person responsible to commence work required under the order within not more than 30 days from the date of the decision, and shall set a reasonable period of time, not to exceed six months from commencement, for the person responsible to complete the required work.
- (h) Service of, posting, and recording decision. The fire code official shall serve the decision and order on the persons and in the manner specified in subsection (c) above. The fire code official shall post the decision and order in the manner specified in subsection (d)

above. The fire code official shall record the decision and order in the Assessor-Recorder's Office.

- (i) The person responsible may submit a written application to extend the date to commence work required under the decision and order or to extend the date to complete required work. The fire code official may grant a request to extend the time to commence or to complete work, for good cause shown, only where there is no imminent risk to life or property, and for a time not to exceed 90 days.
- (j) Compliance, Order of Compliance. When the fire code official determines that the person responsible has completed all work required under the order, and that the building, occupancy, premises, or system complies with the requirements of this code, the fire code official shall issue an Order of Compliance, acknowledging that the person responsible has complied with the original order. The fire code official shall serve and post the Order of Compliance, and file it in the Assessor-Recorder's Office.
- (k) Penalties for disregarding order. Any person responsible who fails to comply with an order under this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor as set forth in Section 109.6. Any person in possession who fails to comply with an order to vacate shall be guilty of a misdemeanor as provided in Section 109.6. Any person who removes any notice or order posted as required in this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor as provide in Section 109.6.

109.9. [For SF] Remedies are Non-Exclusive.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 109.1 through 109.8, the City Attorney may institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Fire Code under any circumstances, without regard to whether a complaint has been

filed or the fire official has issued a notice of violation under Section 109.4 or an order to correct under Section 109.4.3(g).

Section 9. The Health Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 596 and 600, to read as follows:

SEC. 596. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES INITIATED WITH NOTICE OF VIOLATION.

- (a) Complaints. Whenever a written or oral complaint is made to the Department that a nuisance as defined by Section 581 exists in a building or structure or on a property, <u>or the Director otherwise has reasonable cause to believe that such a nuisance exists</u>, the Director shall inspect the building, structure or property to verify the existence of a nuisance thereon.
- (b) Notice of Violation. Whenever the Director determines that a nuisance, as defined by Section 581 of this Article, exists in a building or structure or on a property, the Director shall within 15 days of that determination cause a Notice of Violation to be served either personally or by first class mailing to the Responsible Parties. The Notice of Violation shall be served on the Owner by mail to the address that appears on the last assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco. If the Notice of Violation is served on the Manager by mail, it shall be mailed to the Manager's principal place of business or to the address of the building, structure or property. If the Notice of Violation is served on any other Person who created a condition that constitutes a nuisance, it shall be mailed to the Person's last known address at which such Person receives mail if ascertainable. Thereafter, the Director may cause a copy thereof to be posted in a conspicuous place on the building, structure or property. The failure of the Responsible Parties to receive such notice when sent in the manner set forth in this Subsection shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceeding against that party under this Article. The Notice of Violation shall be a public record subject to disclosure pursuant to Administrative Code Chapter 67.

- (c) Order to Vacate. The Director may order a premises vacated if she or he determines that relocation is warranted upon discovery of a nuisance, as defined by Section 581(b)(10) of the Health Code, or at the discretion of the Director, to protect the health of occupants. A copy of the order shall be served upon the Owner and the affected tenant(s) and posted in conspicuous places at the affected premises. The order shall specify the time within which the premises is to be vacated and advise the tenants that they may be eligible for assistance pursuant to Chapter 72 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The order shall further advise that the premise vacated hereunder shall not be reoccupied without written permission of the Director. Such permission shall be granted when the nuisance is abated.
- (d) Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits. Whenever the Director determines that a nuisance, as defined by Section 581(b)(10) of this Article, exists in a building or structure or on a property, and issues a Notice of Violation, pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, and an Order to Vacate, pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section, the Director shall issue to the Responsible Party a Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits to the affected tenant(s) pursuant to Chapter 72 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Director shall cause a Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits to be served either on the Responsible Party or sent by first class mailing to the Responsible Parties. The Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits shall be served on the Owner by mail at the address that appears on the last assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco. If the Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits is served on the Manager by mail, it shall be mailed to the Manager's principal place of business or to the address of the building, structure or property. Thereafter, the Director may cause a copy thereof to be posted in a conspicuous place on the building, structure or property. The failure of Responsible Parties to receive such notice when sent in the manner set forth in this Subsection shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceeding against that party under this Article.

(e) Contents of Notices.

- (1) The Notice of Violation shall state with reasonable specificity a description of the nuisance such that the Responsible Parties can reasonably understand the nature of the nuisance to be abated. The Notice of Violation shall direct the Responsible Parties to abolish, abate, and remove the nuisance within a reasonable period of time set by the Director given the nature and severity of the nuisance and any other circumstances of which the Director is aware. The Director shall specify in the Notice of Violation the time period within which the Responsible Party must abate the nuisance. Such time period shall not exceed 30 days, unless extended by the Director if reasonably necessary to abate the nuisance.
- (2) The Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits shall state the Director has determined that the affected tenant(s) are eligible for relocation benefits as described in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 72 such that the Responsible Parties can reasonably understand the nature of their obligations under Chapter 72. The Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits shall direct the Responsible Parties to commence making the required relocation payments to the affected tenant(s) at least 12 hours prior to the date that the affected tenant(s) must vacate the unit.
- (3) The notices shall further advise the Responsible Parties that if they fail to comply with the notice, the Director may: (A) hold a Director's Hearing to be held to consider whether it would be appropriate to issue a Director's Order to abate the nuisance and other appropriate orders as provided for in this Article or (B) cause the abatement and removal of the nuisance and the Owner shall be indebted to the City and County of San Francisco for the costs, charges, and fees incurred by the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the abatement and removal of such nuisance or (C) offer relocation services to the affected tenant(s) and the Owner shall be indebted to the City and County of San Francisco for the

10.65 (1.4) Martine 1, 1977.

costs, charges, and fees incurred by the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the provision of the relocation services.

- (4) The notices shall inform the Responsible Party that they may be liable for other charges, costs, including administrative costs, expenses incurred by the Department, fines, attorneys' fees, and penalties as provided for in this Article.
- (5) The notices shall state the name, business address and telephone number of the Department staff who may be contacted regarding the building, structure or property in question.
- (6) At the discretion of the Director and to ensure lawful handling and disposal of any items constituting a nuisance in whole or in part, the notice may contain a requirement that the Responsible Party abating the nuisance or making the relocation payments submit reports of testing and inspections by an appropriate licensed professional and provide to the Director proof of lawful handling and disposal of such items or the payment of such relocations benefits, and the form of such proof acceptable to the Director.
- (f) Action by the Director. If the nuisance is not abated and removed within the time period set forth in the notice, or the relocation benefits are not made within the time period set forth in the notice, the Director shall either: (1) hold a Director's Hearing in accordance with this Section or (2) abate and remove the nuisance as soon as practicable or (3) offer relocation services to the affected tenant(s). The Owner shall be assessed reinspection fees as provided in Section 609.1 of this Code to cover the Department's costs incurred to verify the abatement of the nuisance. Said violations shall not be deemed legally abated until the property owner makes full payment of the assessment of reinspection fees and late payment penalties to the Director.
 - (g) Notice of Hearing.

1

- (1) If the Responsible Parties failed to comply with the Notice of Violation or the Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits, the Director may hold a hearing by serving a copy of the Notice of Violation or the Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits, together with a notice of the time and place set for the hearing thereof, by personal service or by certified mail upon the Responsible Parties. The Director shall post a copy of the Notice of Violation or the Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits, together with the Notice of Hearing in conspicuous places throughout the building, structure or property. The time fixed for the hearing shall be no more not be less than 60 30 days after the deadline for abatement of the nuisance set in the Notice of Violation. service and posting of the copy of the Notice of Hearing; except in In those circumstances where the Director has issued a written determination that the nuisance constitutes a severe and immediate hazard to life, health or safety, in which case the time fixed for the hearing shall not be less than 12 hours after personal service and posting the Notice of Hearing. The Notice of Hearing shall inform all persons interested to appear at the hearing to show cause, if any, why the building, structure, or property should not be declared a nuisance or in the case where the Department has abated and removed the nuisance, why a lien should not be placed against the property for the costs incurred by the Department. The Notice of Hearing shall also state whether the Department will seek recovery of attorneys' fees for the hearing. In the case of unsanitary buildings, said notice shall also state that the hearing may result in the revocation of the certificate of sanitation, if any, and the mandatory vacation of occupants from the building.
- (2) The Notice of Hearing shall be served <u>by personal service or</u> by certified mail on the Owner at the address as it appears on the last assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco. If the Notice is served by certified mail on the Manager, the Director shall mail the Notice of Hearing to the Manager's principal place of business, if any, or to the address of the building, structure or property in question. If the Notice of Hearing is served by

certified mail on any Person who created the condition that constitutes a nuisance, the Director shall mail the Notice of Hearing to the last known address of such Person at which it receives mail, if ascertainable. The person serving the Notice of Hearing shall complete a declaration under penalty of perjury, certifying the date and manner in which such Notice of Hearing was given, and the Director shall retain the certified mail receipt card, if any, for the Notice of Hearing. The failure of the Responsible Parties or Owner to receive such notice when sent in the manner set forth in this Subsection shall not affect in any manner the validity of any proceeding under this Article.

- (h) Director's Hearing. A public hearing shall be held at the time and place designated in the Notice of Hearing. Subject to the procedures prescribed by the Director for the orderly conduct of the hearing, all persons having an interest in the building, structure or property in question or having knowledge of facts material to the Notice of Violation or the Notice to Pay Relocation Benefits may present evidence for consideration by the Director. Any hearing conducted pursuant to this Section shall be electronically recorded. The Director may elect to seek recovery of attorneys' fees by stating this intent in the Notice of Hearing. In a case where the Director makes this election, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees.
 - (i) Director's Order.
- (1) Within 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing, the Director shall issue a written order setting forth finding of facts and a determination based upon the facts found in the record whether or not a nuisance, as defined by Section 581, exists or had existed in the building or structure or on the property and if the Department abated and removed the nuisance, the costs of abatement and removal of the nuisance by the Department, or a written order setting forth finding of facts and determination based upon the facts found in the record whether or not the relocation benefits have been paid and if the Department arranged for the

25

relocation of the affected tenant(s), the costs of that relocation to the Department. The order shall be served on the Responsible Parties, including but not limited to the Owner, in the same manner as set forth in Subsection (b) of this Section and shall be served on all other parties who provided testimony at the hearing by first class mail if such parties request at or before the hearing that the order be sent to them.

(2) Upon a finding that a nuisance exists in the building or structure or on the property, or a finding that appropriate relocation benefits have not been paid, the Director shall require in the order the abatement of the nuisance or the payment of the benefits within a specified time period not to exceed 30 days, unless extended by the Director if reasonably necessary to abate the nuisance. The time period shall be determined based on the nature and severity of the nuisance and any other circumstances of which the Director is aware. The order shall state either that, failure to abate and remove the nuisance will result in the abatement of the nuisance by the Department and that the Owner shall become indebted to the City and County of San Francisco for the costs, charges, and fees incurred by reason of the abatement and removal of such nuisance upon demand, or that failure to make the relocation benefit payments will result in the offering of relocation services to the affected tenant(s) by the Department and that the Owner shall become indebted to the City and County of San Francisco for the costs, charges, and fees incurred by reason of the making such relocation services available upon demand. The order shall inform the Responsible Parties that it shall be indebted to the City and County of San Francisco for all administrative costs, including attorneys' fees if sought by the Director in the Notice of Hearing, incurred by the Department in the prosecution of the abatement action or the prosecution of the relocation benefit payment action and that such costs are due upon demand. The order shall further state that failure to pay such costs, charges, and fees may result in a lien against the property. The order shall require the Responsible Parties to abate and remove the nuisance in

والمراجع المنافية المنافقة الم

compliance with all applicable laws and regulations or shall require the Responsible Parties to make the relocation benefit payments in compliance with all applicable laws.

- (3) In the case where Director determines that a nuisance had existed and that the Department had abated and removed the nuisance, or where the Director determines that the relocation benefits were owed to the affected tenant(s) and the Director provided relocation services to the affected tenant(s), the order shall itemize the costs of abatement and removal or provision of relocation services and all administrative costs incurred by the Department. The order shall notify the Owner that a lien will be assessed against the property for any outstanding costs if the Owner fails to reimburse the Department for the costs incurred by the Department as a result of the abatement and removal of the nuisance or the provision of relocation services within ten (10) days of the service of the order and that the lien shall also include additional charges for administrative expenses of \$1,000 or 10 percent of the costs of abatement and removal, whichever is higher, and interest at a rate of 1½ percent per month, or fraction thereof, compounded monthly from the date of recordation of the lien on all fees and charges due as aforesaid.
- (4) The order shall advise the Responsible Parties that the order issued is final and of their right to petition the Superior Court of San Francisco for appropriate relief pursuant to Section 1094.6 of the California Code of Civil Procedures. The order shall notify the Owner that the filing of a petition with the Superior Court shall not automatically stay the effectiveness of the order or extend the time period in which the Responsible Parties have to abate the nuisance.
- (5) The order shall provide for the recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees for the prevailing party for those proceedings in which the Director has sought to recover attorneys' fees.

- (6) In case of an unsanitary building, the Director shall revoke the certification of sanitation if the building is a hotel and may order the vacation of any unsanitary building for all purposes, and shall cause a copy of said order to be posted in conspicuous places throughout the aforesaid structure, building or part thereof determined by the Director to be a nuisance, and a copy thereof is to be personally served upon the Owner thereof or his agent, or the lessee or the occupant thereof. The order shall specify the time within which said structure, building or part thereof determined by the Director to be a nuisance shall be vacated. The order shall further advise that structure, building or part thereof vacated hereunder shall not be reoccupied without the written permission of the Director. Such permission shall be granted when the nuisance cited is abated within the time set forth in the order.
- (j) Regulations. The Director is hereby empowered to promulgate administrative regulations, after a noticed public hearing, to implement the provisions of this Article and applicable provisions of State law. Such rules and regulations shall take effect 30 days after the public hearing. Violation of any such rule or regulation and any existing rules or regulations promulgated pursuant to this Article constitute a nuisance and may be grounds for administrative, civil, or criminal action against the Responsible Party. The Department shall base its forms for a Notice of Violation and a Notice of Hearing on the model forms developed by the City Administrator, although the Department may modify the model forms to meet its own operational needs.

SEC. 600. PENALTIES.

(a) Criminal Penalty. In addition to any other penalties provided in this Article, any person, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this Article, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director given pursuant to the provisions of this Article, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less

than \$100 and not more than \$1000, or by imprisonment if the County Jail for a period of not less than 10 days nor more than three months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(b) Civil Penalty.

- (1) City Attorney Referral. The Director may refer a case to the City Attorney's Office for civil enforcement <u>at any time.</u> <u>only after a Responsible Party has failed to comply with (i) a Director's Order under Section 596, or (ii) an administrative citation that is final for failure to exhaust administrative remedies under Administrative Code Section 100.12 or following appeal to a Hearing Office or to Superior Court. This limitation shall not apply to conditions that, in the opinion of the Director, constitute an immediate threat to public health and safety.</u>
- (2) Presumption of Noncompliance with Order. In addition to any other penalties provided in this Article, any person or entity served with a notice or order by the Director setting forth the nature of the violation of this Article, demanding correction of such violation, and specifying the time within which such violation must be corrected, shall be presumed, in subsequent civil proceedings, to have failed to comply with that notice or order at and after the time given in that notice or order for correction of such violation, after the time period specified in the notice or order has expired without correction of that violation.
- (3) Penalty Amounts. Any person or entity violating this Article shall be liable for a civil penalty of up to \$1,000 per violation for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue, which penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and County of San Francisco by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction. If a Responsible Party corrects a violation within the period specified in the Notice of Violation under Section 596(e)(1), the Court shall not award civil penalties for that violation under this Section provided that the Director has not cited the Responsible Party for the same type of violation at the same property more than twice in a 12-month period.

- (4) Setting Civil Penalty. In assessing the amount of the civil penalty, the Court shall consider any one or more of the relevant circumstances presented by any of the parties to the case, including but not limited to the following: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the defendant's misconduct, and the defendant's assets, liabilities, and net worth. Any penalty assessed and recovered in an action brought pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the City and credited to the Public Health Environmental Health Code Compliance Fund for use in enforcement and prevention of violations of this Article. In addition, such violations will not be deemed legally abated until the property owner makes full payment of the assessment of costs and fees awarded to the City under this Article or any applicable State law.
- (5) Cost Recovery. In any civil proceeding filed by the City Attorney to collect civil penalties, the Court may award the Department the costs and fees, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, authorized under this Article.
 - (c) Administrative Penalty.

المنافية المنافية المستقلمات

(1) Penalty Amounts. As an alternative to any other fines and penalties applicable to a violation of Section 581(b), any person who violates one or more of those subparagraphs shall be subject to an administrative penalty not to exceed \$1,000 for each violation, for each day such violation occurs. The administrative penalty shall be assessed, enforced and collected under Section 596 of this Article. If a Responsible Party corrects a violation within the period specified in the Notice of Violation under Section 596(e)(1), the Hearing Officer shall not award administrative penalties for that violation under this Section provided that the Director has not cited the Responsible Party for the same type of violation at the same property more than twice in a 12-month period.

- (2) Setting Administrative Penalty. In setting the amount of the administrative penalty, the hearing officer shall consider any one or more of the relevant circumstances presented by any of the parties to the case, including but not limited to the following: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the defendant's misconduct, and the defendant's assets, liabilities, and net worth. Any penalty assessed and recovered in an action brought pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the City and credited to the Public Health Environmental Health Code Compliance Fund for use in enforcement and prevention of violations of this Article. In addition, such violations will not be deemed legally abated until the property owner makes full payment of the assessment of costs and fees awarded to the City under this Article or any applicable State law.
- (3) Cost Recovery. In any civil proceeding filed by the City Attorney to collect administrative penalties, the Court may award the Department the costs and fees, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, authorized under this Article. Any administrative penalty assessed and recovered pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the City Treasurer and credited to the Public Health Environmental Health Code Compliance Fund for use in enforcement and prevention of violations of this Article.
- (d) Remedies under this Article 11 are non-exclusive, and the City Attorney may institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Health Code under any circumstances, without regard to whether a complaint has been filed or the Director has issued a Notice of Violation or an order to abate a nuisance under Section 596(i).

Section 10. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 176 and 176.1, to read as follows:

SEC. 176. ENFORCEMENT AGAINST VIOLATIONS.

- (a) Violations Unlawful. Any use, structure, lot, feature or condition in violation of this Code is hereby found and declared to be unlawful and a public nuisance. Should any permit or license have been issued that was not then in conformity with the provisions of this Code, such permit or license shall be null and void.
- (b) Methods of Enforcement. The Zoning Administrator shall have authority to enforce this Code against violations thereof by any of the following actions:
- (1) Serving notice requiring the cessation, removal or correction of any violation of this Code upon the owner, agent or tenant of the property that is the subject of the violation, or upon the architect, builder, contractor or other person who commits or assists in such violation;
- (2) Calling upon the City Attorney to maintain an action for injunction to restrain or abatement to cause the correction or removal of any such violation, and for assessment and recovery of a civil penalty for such violation as well as any attorneys' fees or costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred in maintaining such an action;
- (3) Calling upon the District Attorney to institute criminal proceedings in enforcement of this Code against any such violation; and
- (4) Calling upon the Chief of Police and authorized agents to assist in the enforcement of this Code.
 - (c) Penalties.
- (1) Administrative Penalties. In the notice requiring the cessation, removal or correction of any violation of this Code, the Zoning Administrator may assess upon the responsible party an administrative penalty for each violation in an amount up to \$250.00 for each day the violation continues unabated. The "responsible party" is the owner(s) of the real property on which the code violation is located, as listed in the records of the San Francisco

Assessor, and the current leaseholder if different from the current owner(s) of the real property.

The responsible party may request a Zoning Administrator's hearing in order to show cause why the notice requiring the cessation, removal or correction of the violation and any assessment of administrative penalties is in error and should be rescinded. The Zoning Administrator may designate a member of Department staff to act as the hearing officer in his or her place. The Department shall send a notice of the date, hour, and place of the hearing to the responsible party at the address specified in the request for hearing and to any member of the public who has expressed an interest in the matter.

The responsible party may also request that the Zoning Administrator terminate abatement proceedings under Section 176 and refer the matter to the Director for enforcement action under the process set forth in Section 176.1 of this Code. If the Zoning Administrator determines that the enforcement case will proceed under Section 176, that determination shall be made as part of the final written decision and is not appealable separately from the decision on the merits.

The responsible party may waive the right to a Zoning Administrator's hearing and proceed directly to an appeal to the Board of Appeals under Section 308.2 of this Code. Administrative penalties shall not accrue during the period of time that the matter is pending before the Zoning Administrator on a request for hearing or before the Board of Appeals on appeal. If the responsible party elects to request a Zoning Administrator's hearing, the request for hearing must be in writing and submitted to the Zoning Administrator prior to the expiration date of the Notice of Violation and Penalty. If a request for a Zoning Administrator's hearing is timely filed, any appeal to the Board of Appeals shall be from the decision of the Zoning Administrator rendered after the hearing.

1

The Zoning Administrator or the Zoning Administrator's designee, after a full and fair consideration of the evidence and testimony received at the hearing, shall render within thirty days following the conclusion of the hearing a written decision that either rescinds the notice of violation and dismisses the proceedings, upholds the original decision, or modifies the original decision. In rendering a decision, the Zoning Administrator or the Zoning Administrator's designee shall consider:

William Bosses and the last fill

- (A) whether the responsible party was properly identified;
- (B) whether the accrual dates for the administrative penalties are accurate;
- (C) the amount of documented staff time spent in order to secure abatement of the violation;
 - (D) the nature of the violation;
 - (E) the duration of the violation;
 - (F) efforts made by the responsible party to correct the violation;
 - (G) the impact of the violation upon the community;
- (H) any instance in which the responsible party has been in violation of the same or similar laws at the same or other locations in the City and County of San Francisco;
 - (I) the responsible party's good faith efforts to comply;
 - (J) whether the violation is easy to correct; and
- (K) such other factors as the Zoning Administrator or his or her designee may consider relevant.

In hearing any appeal of the Zoning Administrator's determination, the Board of Appeals shall consider the above factors. If the Board upholds the Zoning Administrator's decision in whole or in part but reduces the amount of the penalty, it may not reduce the

amount of the penalty below \$100.00 for each day that the violation exists, excluding the period of time that the matter has been pending either before the Zoning Administrator on a request for hearing or before the Board of Appeals on appeal.

1. 2. 2. 1. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 1. 1. 1. 3.

In addition to any administrative penalties imposed under this subsection (c)(1), the

Zoning Administrator may recover any attorneys fees and costs, including but not limited to expert

witness fees, incurred by the City in pursuing administrative remedies. The provision of
administrative penalties is not intended to be punitive in nature but is intended to secure

compliance with the Planning Code and to compensate the City for its costs of enforcement.

- (2) Civil Penalties. Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, group or other person or legal entity that violates any provision of this Code shall be liable for the City's costs of enforcement and a civil penalty, of not less than \$200.00 for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue, which penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and County of San Francisco by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction. The City Attorney may seek recovery of any attorneys' fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred by the City in bringing such civil action. For civil actions to enforce Municipal Code provisions related to general advertising signs, the penalties, attorneys' fees and costs set forth in this Section 176 shall be in addition to those authorized by Section 610 of this Code.
- (3) Criminal Penalties. Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, group or other person or legal entity that violates any provision of this Code shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined in an amount not less than \$200.00 or be imprisoned for a period not exceeding six months or be both so fined and imprisoned. Each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue shall constitute a separate offense and shall be punishable as such hereunder.

- (4) Planning Code Enforcement Fund. Any fees and penalties collected pursuant to this Section 176 shall be deposited in the Planning Code Enforcement Fund established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-166. The Planning Department, through the Planning Code Enforcement Fund, shall reimburse City departments and agencies, including the City Attorney's Office, for all costs and fees incurred in the enforcement of this Section 176.
- (d) Additional Methods of Enforcement and Penalties for Violation of Sign Regulations. Violation of the general advertising sign regulations set forth in Article 6 are subject to the administrative penalties and enforcement procedures set forth in Section 610 of this Code, in addition to those set forth in this Section 176.
- (e) Use of Penalties Collected. All penalties collected under this Section 176 shall be deposited in the Planning Code Enforcement Fund established in Administrative Code Section 10.100.166 and shall be used for the purposes specified in that section.
- (f) Remedies under this Section 176 are non-exclusive, and, notwithstanding subsection (b)(2), the City Attorney may at any time institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Planning Code, without regard to whether the Zoning Administrator has issued a notice of violation, instituted abatement proceedings, scheduled or held a hearing on a notice of violation, or issued a final decision. For proceedings instituted under this subsection (f), the City Attorney shall notify the Zoning Administrator or the Planning Director, as appropriate, and collaborate, where mutually desired, on the prosecution of the action. The City Attorney may seek recovery of any attorneys fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred by the City in bringing a proceedings under this subsection (f).

SEC. 176.1. ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES.

(a) Purpose and Intent.

- (1) The Board of Supervisors finds that enforcement of the Planning Code is vital to ensuring the quality of life in San Francisco's neighborhoods and in the City as a whole. A comprehensive code enforcement program using a combination of judicial and administrative remedies is likely to be the most successful approach to secure compliance with Planning Code requirements. Therefore, it is in the best interests of the City and its citizens to provide an alternative method of administrative enforcement that is designed to induce compliance with the Planning Code through action by the Director to issue and record orders of abatement and assess administrative penalties.
- (2) The alternative methods of administrative enforcement established by this Section do not replace but rather are intended to supplement the enforcement remedies established in Section 176 and other penalties or methods of enforcement, both civil and criminal, that are authorized by law. The provision for administrative penalties is not intended to be punitive in nature but is intended to secure compliance with the Planning Code and to compensate the City for its costs of enforcement.
- (3) By establishing multiple enforcement mechanisms, it is intended that the Department will elect to use the mechanism most likely to achieve an expeditious and effective resolution of the violation in a particular case with the best use of the City's resources. In exercising this discretion, the Department should usually elect to use the Director's authority under this Section 176.1 in those cases where the legal or factual issues are not complex and where an interpretation of the Planning Code is not at issue, and reserve the enforcement mechanisms in Section 176 for those cases that are more complex or where interpretations of the Planning Code are at issue.
- (b) Authority of the Director. The Director may enforce against violations of the Planning Code through the alternative administrative remedies of this Section 176.1. The

Fire the second of the second

Director may designate a member of Department staff to act under his or her authority with respect to any action the Director is authorized to take in this Section 176.1.

If the Department elects to use the administrative remedies of this Section, the Department must use the abatement process set forth in this Section. However, as provided in Section (d)(3) below, the Department is not precluded from pursing the alternative remedies of Section 176 if abatement of the violation has not been achieved under this Section 176.1. In addition, the Department's election of this process shall not affect the City Attorney's Charter authority to pursue a civil action. If the City Attorney filed a civil action against the property prior to the Director's issuance of the notice of violation under this Section 176.1, at the City Attorney's election the process under this Section 176.1 shall be terminated and abatement of the alleged violations shall be pursued by the City Attorney in the ongoing civil action.

(j) Remedies under this Section 176.1 are non-exclusive, and the City Attorney may at any time institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Planning Code, without regard to whether the Planning Director has issued a notice of violation, scheduled or held a hearing on a notice of violation, issued an order of abatement and/or an assessment of administrative penalties, or whether an appeal has been filed or decided.

Section 11. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 2A, Article XXIV, Sections 2A.320 and 2A.321, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XXIV: CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES SEC. 2A.320. REPORTING OF CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES.

(a) This Section 2A.320 shall apply to the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Department, the Fire Department, and the Planning Department.

- (b) All departments made subject to this Section by subsection (a) shall submit a quarterly report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors regarding the department's code enforcement activities, in a format to be developed by City Administrator, in consultation with the Director of the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Director, and the Fire Chief. Nothing in this Section 2A.320 shall be construed to require the City or any department to waive any applicable attorney-client communication or attorney work product privilege.
- (c) For every case referred to a hearing by the department pursuant to the procedures set forth in Building Code Section 102A, or similar hearing under the Health, Fire, or Planning Codes, the report shall disclose:
- (1) Whether the matter has been resolved, the violations abated, and the penalties. along with any fees and costs, paid;
- (2) Whether the matter has been or will be referred to the City Attorney for review and possible litigation; and, if appropriate,
 - (3) Other detailed explanation of how the matter is being handled.

SEC. 2A.321. MODEL CODE ENFORCEMENT FORMS.

No later than July 1, 2016, the City Administrator, in consultation with the Director of the

Department of Building Inspection, the Health Director, and the Fire Chief, and the heads of other
interested City departments and agencies, shall prepare model forms for the Notice of Violation,

Hearing Notice, and Administrative Order referenced in Building Code Section 102A. All City
departments and agencies following the procedures of Building Code Section 102A, and the Fire

Department and the Health Department, thereafter shall base their Notice of Violation, Hearing

Notice, and Administrative Order on the model forms prepared by the City Administrator, although the departments may modify the model forms to meet their own operational needs.

Section 12. Effective and Operative Dates. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

This ordinance shall become operative on June 1, 2016.

Section 13. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 14. Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

Section 15. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

THOMAS J. OWEN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2015\1500605\01085699.docx

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(3/22/2016, Substituted)

[Various Codes - Code Enforcement Procedures]

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of model Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

The City has a number of Municipal Codes that apply to buildings and property, including the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes. These Codes include enforcement procedures that may differ from Code to Code.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposal is an ordinance that would amend the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of these Codes as they relate to buildings and property.

The proposal would re-state and clarify the enforcement provisions of the Building Code. [Section 4] It would require the building official to hold a hearing on a notice of violation within 60 days of the deadline for correction of the violations, unless the building owner had made substantial progress in correcting the violations. It would reduce the period of time allowed for correction of violations following an administrative order from 360 days to 180 days from permit issuance. It would reduce the amount of time allowed as an extension of that deadline from 360 days to 90 days. And it would add a provision stating that the City Attorney may go to court for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, at any time for violations of the Code, without regard to whether the department has instituted administrative proceedings for those violations or the status of any such proceedings.

The Housing, Electrical, and Plumbing Codes adopt the enforcement provisions of the Building Code. All of these codes are enforced by the Department of Building Inspection. The proposal would amend the Housing, Electrical, and Plumbing Codes to make clear that

they incorporate the revised procedures in the Building Code. [Sections 5, 6. and 7, respectively] It would revise the Housing Code to provide that any building determined to be substandard under the Code is a nuisance. [Section 5]

The proposal would make changes to the enforcement provisions of the Fire Code and the Health Code similar to those made to the Building Code. [Sections 8 and 9, respectively] The Fire Code would distinguish between priority complaints, for violations presenting immediate life safety issues, and standard complaints, for all other Fire Code violations. [Section 8]

The proposal would amend the Planning Code to make explicit that the City Attorney may go to court for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, at any time for violations of the Planning Code, without regard to whether Planning has instituted administrative proceedings for those violations or the status of any such proceedings. [Section 10]

The proposal would amend the Administrative Code to add two new requirements related to code enforcement activities by the City. [Section 11] First, the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Department, the Fire Department, and the Planning Department would be required to submit a quarterly report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors regarding the department's code enforcement activities, including information specified in the ordinance for each case referred to a director's hearing. Second, the proposal would direct the City Administrator, in consultation with the Director of the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Director, and the Fire Chief, to develop model forms to be used by City departments as the basis for their own code enforcement forms.

If adopted, the proposal would become operative on June 1, 2016.

Background Information

The proposed enforcement procedures are consistent with those already used by the Department of Building Inspection under the Housing Code.

n:\legana\as2015\1500605\01091616.docx



BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC)

Department of Building Inspection Voice (415) 558-6164 - Fax (415) 558-6509 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94103-2414

March 22, 2016

Edwin M. Lee Mayor (REVISED LETTER - 03/22/16)

COMMISSION

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Angus McCarthy President Board of Supervisors, City Hall

.

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Myrna Melgar Vice-President San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Kevin Clinch Gail Gilman John Konstin Frank Lee Debra Walker

RE: File No. 151085-3

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Sonya Harris Secretary

Tom C. Hui Director RE: Ordinance (File No. 151085-3) amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The Building Inspection Commission met and held a public hearing on March 16, 2016 regarding File No. 151085-3 on the proposed amendment to the San Francisco Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes referenced above. The Commissioners voted unanimously to support this proposed amendment.

The Commissioners voted as follows:

President McCarthy Yes Vice-President Melgar Yes
Commissioner Clinch Yes Commissioner Gilman Yes
Commissioner Konstin Yes Commissioner Lee Yes
Commissioner Walker Yes

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 558-6164.

Sincerely,

Sonya Harris

Commission Secretary

CC:

Tom C. Hui, S.E., Director Supervisor Scott Wiener Board of Supervisors



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

February 1, 2016

File No. 151085-2

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On January 26, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 151085-2

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy Navarrete Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning, ou=Environmental Planning, email=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=US

Date: 2016.02.08 13:58:30 -08'00'



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

October 27, 2015

File No. 151085

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On October 20, 2015, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Oisa ome ta

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy Navarrete

Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete
DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning,
ou=Environmental Planning,
email=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=US
Date: 2015.11.23 11:59:28 -08'00'



February 4, 2016

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor Wiener Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2015-014588PCA:

1650 Mission St. Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

415.558.6378

415.558.6409

415.558.6377

Reception:

Fax:

Planning Information:

Code Enforcement Procedures

Board File No. 151085

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Wiener,

On January 28, 2016 the Planning Commission conducted duly noticed public hearings at regularly scheduled meetings to consider the proposed Ordinance that would amend Planning Code Sections 176 and 176.1, introduced by Supervisor Wiener. At the hearing the Planning Commission recommended approval of the Ordinance.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manage of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Thomas J. Owen, Deputy City Attorney
Jeff Cretan, Aide to Supervisor Wiener
Andrea Ausberry, Office of the Clerk of the Board

Transmital Materials

CASE NO. 2015-014588 PCA Code Enforcement Procedures

Attachments:

Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary

Planning Commission Resolution No. 19554

HEARING DATE JANUARY 28, 2016

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558:6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name:

Code Enforcement Procedures

Case Number:

2015-014588PCA [Board File No. 151085]

Initiated by: Staff Contact: Supervisor Wiener / Introduced October 20, 2015

Diego R Sánchez, Legislative Affairs diego.sanchez@sfgov.org, 415-575-9082

Reviewed by:

Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE BUILDING, HOUSING, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING, FIRE, HEALTH, PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATIVE CODES TO CLARIFY AND STANDARDIZE ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES FOR VIOLATIONS OF MUNICIPAL CODES RELATING TO BUILDINGS AND PROPERTY, TO REQUIRES DEPARTMENTS TO REPORT ON CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES, AND TO DIRECT ADMINISTRATOR TO CCORDINATE THE PREPARATION OF STANDARD CITYWIDE FORMS FOR CODE ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEAPRTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on October 20, 2015 Supervisor Wiener introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 151085, which would amend the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings;

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on January 28, 2016; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Sections 15060(c)(2) and 15378; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The efficient use of public resources for Planning Code enforcement activities requires coordination between all City departments and agencies tasked with that duty.
- Periodic reporting on those enforcement efforts will help interested parties track trends in enforcement activities. It may also inform future decisions on resource allocation to particular enforcement activities.
- Amendments to the Planning Code that facilitate coordinated Planning Code enforcement efforts
 and require periodic reporting on those efforts should be adopted as a measure of good
 governance.
- 4. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The proposed Ordinance can assist coordinate the Planning Code enforcement activities of the Planning Department and the City Attorney's Office as they relate to commercial and industrial uses complying with required performance standards.

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

RETAIN EXISTING HOUSING UNITS, AND PROMOTE SAFETY AND MAINTENANCE STANDARDS, WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING AFFORDABILITY.

Policy 2.6

Ensure housing supply is not converted to de facto commercial use through short-term rentals.

The proposed Ordinance can assist in the coordination of the City Attorney's Office Planning Code enforcement activities as they relate to compliance with regulations on the short-term rental of housing units.

- 5. Planning Code Section 101 Findings. The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.
 - 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.
 - That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.
 - 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.
 - 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.
 - 6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas because it concerns the code enforcement abilities of the City Attorney's office.

8. Planning Code Section 302 Findings. The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on January 28, 2016.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES:

Commissioners Antonini, Fong, Hillis, Moore, Richards and Wu

NOES:

None

ABSENT:

Commissioner Johnson

ADOPTED:

January 28, 2016



Executive Summary

Planning Code Text Amendment

HEARING DATE: JANUARY 28, 2016

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name:

Code Enforcement Procedures

Case Number:

2015-014588PCA [Board File No. 151085]

Initiated by:

Reviewed by:

Supervisor Wiener / Introduced October 20, 2015

Staff Contact:

Diego R Sánchez, Legislative Affairs

diego.sanchez@sfgov.org, 415-575-9082 Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

Recommendation:

Recommend Approval

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings.

The Way It Is Now:

- 1. The Planning Code is silent on the City Attorney's ability to begin civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief against any person for violations of the Planning Code. This is irrespective of whether the Zoning Administrator or the Planning Director has begun the enforcement process or not.
- 2. The Planning Department is not required to submit periodic reports to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors regarding its code enforcement activities.

The Way It Would Be:

- The Planning Code would be amended to state that the City Attorney may begin civil proceedings for
 injunctive and monetary relief against any person for violations of the Planning Code. This would be
 without regard to whether the Zoning Administrator or the Planning Director has begun the
 enforcement process. However, the City Attorney would be required to notify the Zoning
 Administrator or Planning Director of its enforcement actions and to collaborate, where mutually
 desired, on those actions.
- 2. The Planning Department would be required to submit quarterly reports to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors regarding its code enforcement activities.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Code Enforcement Practices

Planning Department

The Planning Department's Code Enforcement team seeks to maintain and improve the quality of life in San Francisco by ensuring compliance with the City's Planning Code. It does this through responding to complaints of potential Planning Code violations.

When a complaint is received it is assigned to an Enforcement Planner. If there is evidence that a Planning Code violation may have occurred, the Enforcement Planner will notify the responsible party. Should the Enforcement Planner confirm that a violation has occurred, subsequent notice will be sent to the responsible parties. If the notice of violation is not appealed or the violation continues, administrative penalties may be assessed and further action will be taken.

During each step of the enforcement process, the Enforcement Planner attempts to have the responsible party correct the violation and come into compliance with the Planning Code. The overriding motivation is not punitive but corrective. While this is a preferred outlook to enforcement activities, at times it results in a lengthy process. It is therefore important for the Planning Department to track and report on its code enforcement efforts, especially for protracted cases.

City Attorney's Office

The San Francisco City Attorney's Office may also assume enforcement duties as they relate to violations of the City's codes. In particular the City Attorney's Office employs staff that investigates and pursues violations of the City's building, fire, health, safety and planning codes. Typically the City Attorney's Office will await a referral from the Planning Department on an enforcement case before it begins its investigations. However, in certain cases the City Attorney's Office may begin investigations and actions without this referral.

Given this overlap in duties, it is important that the Planning Department and the City Attorney's office coordinate any enforcement efforts. This is especially true given that the Planning Code requires the Planning Department to reimburse City departments and agencies, including the City Attorney's Office, for costs incurred with enforcement of the Planning Code.¹ Careful coordination and open communication will avoid duplicative efforts and promote the efficient expenditure of public resources.

Planning Department Reporting

The Planning Department's Code Enforcement team currently provides the Office of the Controller with quarterly reports. These reports provide information on the number of code enforcement cases the Department is reviewing as well as the number of cases closed during the quarter. When an enforcement case is successfully abatement or resolved, the case is closed and recorded as such. In this way, the existing reporting tracks the caseload of the Department and how quickly cases are resolved. Information such as the number and frequency of a particular type of complaint or the date enforcement actions

SAN FRANCISCO 2

¹ Planning Code Section 176(c)(4).

Executive Summary Hearing Date: January 28, 2016

commenced is not currently reported. This type of information could be included in any new reporting requirements.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Department supports the proposed Ordinance because it is a minor amendment that clarifies and improves the enforcement activities of the Department and the City Attorney's Office. The proposed Ordinance will make explicit the City Attorney's existing ability to enforce on violations of the Planning Code. This provision further empowers the City, allowing immediate action against repeat offenders and accelerating the resolution of the most egregious cases. The proposed Ordinance also contains language that reinforces the need to coordinate enforcement efforts between the two city agencies. This can help the Planning Department manage its resources and expenditures on code enforcement activities. The quarterly reporting requirement will help track trends in enforcement activities. This will aid in the allocation of resources for those activities and inform future enforcement policy direction and code amendments. In all, the proposed Ordinance represents a move toward effective enforcement of the Planning Code.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment regarding the proposed Ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation of Approval

Attachments:

Exhibit A:

Draft Planning Commission Resolution

Exhibit B:

Board of Supervisors File No. 151085



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 29, 2016

File No. 151085

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On March 22, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following **substitute** legislation:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide model forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A. Auberry

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

March 29, 2016

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on March 22, 2016:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide model forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: andrea.ausberry@sfgov.org

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Naomi Kelly, City Administrator, Office of the City Administrator

Joanne Hayes-White, Chief, Fire Department

Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder, Office of the Assessor-Recorder

Barbara A. Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

March 29, 2016

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on March 22, 2016:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide model forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: andrea.ausberry@sfgov.org

c: Kelly Alves, Fire Department Edward McCaffrey, Office of the Assessor-Recorder Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health Colleen Chawla, Department of Public Health



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

February 1, 2016

File No. 151085-2

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On January 26, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following **substitute** legislation:

File No. 151085-2

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 28, 2016

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On March 22, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 151085-4

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide model forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting an operative date of June 1, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 4, 2016

File No. 151085-3

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On March 1, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following **substitute** legislation:

File No. 151085-3

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

March 4, 2016

SUBJECT:

SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on March 1, 2016:

File No. 151085-3

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Naomi Kelly, City Administrator

Joanne Hayes-White, Chief, Fire Department

Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder

Barbara A. Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

FROM:

6

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

March 4, 2016

SUBJECT:

SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on March 1, 2016:

File No. 151085-3

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: Kelly Alves, Fire Department Edward McCaffrey, Office of the Assesor-Recorder Greg Wagner, CEO, Department of Public Health Colleen Chawla, Department of Public Health



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 4, 2016

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On March 1, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following **substitute** legislation:

File No. 151085-3

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for enforcement proceedings: affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

John Rahaim, Director of Planning C: Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

February 1, 2016

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on January 26, 2016:

File No. 151085-2

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

February 1, 2016

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On January 26, 2016, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following **substitute** legislation:

File No. 151085-2

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Naomi Kelly, City Administrator

Joanne Hayes-White, Chief, Fire Department

Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder

Barbara A. Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

FROM:

DATE:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

February 1, 2016

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following **substitute** legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on January 26, 2016:

File No. 151085-2

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: Kelly Alves, Fire Department Edward McCaffrey, Office of the Assesor-Recorder Greg Wagner, CEO, Department of Public Health Colleen Chawla, Department of Public Health



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

October 27, 2015

File No. 151085

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On October 20, 2015, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

October 27, 2015

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On October 20, 2015, Supervisor Wiener introduced the following legislation:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

October 27, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on October 20, 2015:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Joanne Hayes-White, Chief, Fire Department

Barbara A. Garcia, Director, Departmeent of Public Health

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

October 27, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Wiener on October 27, 2015:

File No. 151085

Ordinance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Administrative Codes to clarify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to buildings and property, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City Administrator to coordinate the preparation of standard Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

C: Kelly Alves, Secretary, Fire Department Greg Wagner, CEO, Public Health Colleen Chawla, Deputy Director of Policy and Planning

Somera, Alisa (BOS)

From:

Thomas.Owen@sfgov.org

Sent:

Monday, February 01, 2016 1:59 PM

To:

Somera, Alisa (BOS) Givner, Jon (CAT)

Cc: Subject:

Re: 151085: Confirm No Fee Ad Required

The legislation does not add or increase any fees so as to require a fee notice. It does refer to recovery of attorneys fees, costs of enforcement, etc., as additional penalties.

From:

"Somera, Alisa (BOS)" <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

To: "Givi

"Givner, Jon (CAT)" <jon.givner@sfgov.org>, "Owen, Thomas (CAT)" <thomas.owen@sfgov.org>,

Date: \ 02/01/2016 12:10 PM

Subject:

151085: Confirm No Fee Ad Required

Hi Jon, Tom...

I would just like your confirmation that No Fee Ad is required for File No. 151085 (Various Codes - Code Enforcement Procedures) since the "fees" referenced throughout the legislation are in fact "penalties" and "recovery costs" for code enforcement violations.

Please confirm.

Alisa Somera

Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.4447 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org



Click HERE to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy. [attachment "151085-2.docx" deleted by Thomas Owen/CTYATT]

#### Somera, Alisa (BOS)

From:

Thomas.Owen@sfgov.org

Sent:

Monday, October 26, 2015 5:29 PM

To: Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) Givner, Jon (CAT)

Subject:

Re: 151085: No Fee Ad

You are correct--File No. 151085 does not require a fee ad under Government Code sec. 54986.

From:

"Somera, Alisa (BOS)" <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

To: "O

"Owen, Thomas (CAT)" <thomas.owen@sfgov.org>, "Givner, Jon (CAT)" <jon.givner@sfgov.org>,

Date: Subject: 10/26/2015 04:09 PM 151085: No Fee Ad

This is just to confirm that File No. 151085 (Code Enforcement Procedures) will not require a Fee Ad be published since the Administrative monies to be collected are "penalties" and not "fees." Please confirm or advise.

### Alisa Somera

Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.4447 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org



Click HERE to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy. [attachment "151085.docx" deleted by Thomas Owen/CTYATT]



Section 101.1.

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp

| I here | by submit the following item for introduction (select only one): | or meeting date |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendme | nt) |
| | 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee. | |
| | 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. | |
| | 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor | inquires" |
| | 5. City Attorney request. | - . |
| | 6. Call File No. from Committee. | |
| | 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). | |
| \boxtimes | 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 151085 | |
| | 9. Reactivate File No. | A design of |
| | 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on | |
| | e check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the follow. Small Business Commission Youth Commission Building Inspection Commission For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative | nission
on |
| Sponso | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s})$: | |
| Wiene | r | |
| Subjec | et: | |
| Variou | s Codes - Code Enforcement Procedures | |
| The te | xt is listed below or attached: | *************************************** |
| to clar
proper
coordi
June 1 | ance amending the Building, Housing, Electrical, Plumbing, Fire, Health, Planning, and Adify and standardize enforcement procedures for violations of Municipal Codes relating to be ty, to require departments to report on code enforcement activities, and to direct the City A nate the preparation of model Citywide forms for code enforcement proceedings; setting are, 2016; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environment and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Consistency with the Con | uildings and dministrator to n operative date of onmental Quality |

Signature Sponsoring Supervisor:

Sr. HWeren

For Clerk's Use Only:

| • | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |