| 1 | [Approval of a 90-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of an Ordinance Prohibiting Formula Retail in the Polk Street Neighborhood Commercial District (File No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | , , , , , , , |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning |
| 5 | Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 160102) amending the |
| 6 | Planning Code to prohibit formula retail in the Polk Street Neighborhood Commercial |
| 7 | District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California |
| 8 | Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of public necessity |
| 9 | convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of |
| 10 | consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, |
| 11 | Section 101.1. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | WHEREAS, On February 2, 2016, Supervisor Aaron Peskin introduced legislation |
| 14 | amending the Planning Code to prohibit formula retail in the Polk Street Neighborhood |
| 15 | Commercial District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California |
| 16 | Environmental Quality Act; and making findings, including findings of public necessity, |
| 17 | convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with |
| 18 | the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and |
| 19 | WHEREAS, On February 9, 2016, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred the |
| 20 | proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission; and |
| 21 | WHEREAS, The Planning Commission shall, in accordance with Planning Code, |
| 22 | Section 306.4(d), render a decision on the proposed Ordinance within 90 days from the date |
| 23 | of referral of the proposed amendment or modification by the Board to the Commission; and |
| 24 | WHEREAS, Failure of the Commission to act within 90 days shall be deemed to |
| 25 | constitute disapproval; and |

| 1 | WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code, Section 306.4(d), may, by |
|----|--|
| 2 | Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its |
| 3 | decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors |
| 4 | initiates; and |
| 5 | WHEREAS, Supervisor Aaron Peskin has requested additional time for community |
| 6 | members to offer input on the proposed legislation, for Planning Department staff to assess |
| 7 | the proposed Ordinance and for the Planning Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; |
| 8 | and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning |
| 10 | Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now, |
| 11 | therefore, be it |
| 12 | RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time |
| 13 | within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for |
| 14 | approximately 90 additional days, until July 30, 2016. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |