BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the following Ordinance No. 27-16 was
Finally Passed by the Board of Supervisors on March 1, 2016, and signed by
the Mayor and enacted on March 11, 2016, Fills No. 151119 - Ordinance
establishing an Infrastructure Financing District (Including Sub-Project
AreaG-1, (Fird To — Historic Core)) and stopping an Infrastructure
Financing Plan (Including Appending-1) for City and County of San
Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No. 2 (Fort of San Francisco),
approving a Fax Administration Agreemed Leffming the Phanning Department's
determination under the Cellfornia Environmental Quality Act, and approving
other matters in connection therewish, Be it ordined by the Poople of the City
and County of San Francisco. (14) Findings. other matters in connection therewin, be it ordained by the Yeopic of the City and County of San Francisco; (a) Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds, determines, and doctares based on the record before it that; (1) California Statutes of 1958. Chapter 1933 (Burton Ad) and San Francisco Charter Section 4 114 and Apopendix B, beginning at Section 83.581, empower the City and County of San Francisco (City), acting through the Port Commission, with the power and duty to use, conduct, operate, maintain, manage, regular, and control the lands within Port Commission intradiction. (2) (Index California Government Code Sections 5335) as an United City of Law the Brancisco (City). Commission jurisdiction. (2) Under California Government Code Sections 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish an Infrastructure financing district and to act as the logislative body for such an infrastructure financing district. More specifically, the Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish "water/ord districts" under Sections 53395 8 of the IFD Law, including a waterfront district for approximately 65acres of waterfront land in the area known as Pier 70 (a "Pier 70 district), and approve "Pier 70 enhanced financing plans" pursuant to Section 53395.8 of the IFD Law, a waterfront district may be districted in the IFD Law, (in the IFD Law). divided into project areas, each with distinct limitations under IFD Law. (4) By Resolution No. 123-13, which the Board of Supervisors adopted on April 23, 2013 and the Mayor approved on April 30, 2013, the Chira adopted 'Guidelings for the Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financiaco Port Commission' (Port Areas on Land under Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission' (Port reas on Land under Junistiction of the Sant Praints Production of the City on waterfroot properly in San Francisco under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission. (3) By Resolution No. 110-12, which the Buard of Supervisors adapted on Merch27, 2012 gand the Mayor aproved on April, 2012 (Criginal Resolution of Intention to Establish IFD), the City declared its Intention to establish a waterfront district to be known as "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No.2 (Port of San Francisco)" (IFD), and designated initial project areas within the IFD (Project Areas) (6) By Resolution Mayor approved on June20, 2012 (First Amending Resolution), the City amended the Original Resolution of Intention to Establish (FID to propose, ramended the Uniqual Resolution by Internation to Selantist I to Poposes, among other things, an amended list of Project Areas, (7) By Resolution No. 421-15, which the Board of Supenfsors edopted on November 17, 2015 and the Mayor approved on November 25, 2015 (Second Amending Resolution, and logether with the Original Resolution of Internation to Establish IFD and the fitst Amending Resolution, the "Resolution of Intention to Establish IFD"), the risk Amending Accounting the Secondard of Indianation to Establish Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core) within the Pier70 district. (B) Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core) is within the Pier70 district and includes properly that the Cry, acting by and through the Port Commission, has leased to Historic Pier 70, LLC (an afficiale of Octon Development, Inc.) pursuant to Lease No. L-15814, dated as annate to Orton Development, Inc.) pursuant to tease to U. 194, dated as of July 29, 2015 (Lease), which properly will be rehabilitated portuent to a Lease Disposition and Development Agreement, dated as of September 16, 2014, by and between the City, acting by and through the Port Commission, and Historic Pier 70, LLC (LDDA). (9) Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core) is within the Enslem Neighborhoods Community Plan Area, for which the Planning Commission certified the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans Final EIR (EN FEIR) (Planning Department Case No. 2004 0160E). [10] The Planning Department reviewed the Crane Cove Park project (Crane Cove Project) and the project described in the LDDA (Mistoric Core Project) and determined that a community plan exemption (CPE) under CECA Guidelines Section 15183 would be appropriate because the Historic Core Project and the Section 15183 would be appropriate because the historic Core Project and the Crane Cove Project are within the scope of the EN FEIR and would not have any additional or significant adverse effects that were not examined in the EN FEIR, nor has any new or additional information come to light that will after the conclusions of the EN FEIR. Thus, the Historic Core Project and the Crane Cove Project will not have any new effects on the environment that were not previously Identified, nor will any environmental impacts be substantially greater than described in the EN FEIR. No mitigation measures previously found infeasible have been determined to be feasible, nor have any new mitigation measures or alternatives been identified but rejected by the Port. mingator measures or alternatives been locationed but rejected by the Port. (11) Based on those findings, the Planning Department prepared a CPE for the Historic Core Project (Historic Core CPE), which exemption was approved on May 7, 2014 (Planning Department Case No. 2013, 1168E) and the Planning Department Subsequently prepared a CPE for the Crane Cove Project (Grane Cove CPE) on October 5, 2015 (Planning Department Case No. 2015-001314ENV), copies of which are on the all File No. 151119 and also available of the Planning Department (12) The Broad of Ordine Interval the Planning Department (13) The Broad of Ordine Interval the Planning Department (13) The Broad of Ordine Interval the Planning Department (14) The Broad of Ordine Interval the Planning Department (15) The Broad of Ordine Interval the Interval the Int online through the Planning Department's web page. (12) The Board of Supervisors has seviewed the EN FEIR (a copy of which is on file at File Supervisors has reviewed the EN FEIR Ia copy of which is on file at File Motion 133, and also available online brough the Planning Department's web page), the Historic Core CPE, and the Crane Cove CPE. (13) All applicable mitigation measures from the EN FEIR have been incorporated into the Historic Core CPE and Crane Cove CPE, or have been required as conditions of approval through the Port Commission's adoption of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRF) attached to Port Commission Resolutions 14-33 and 15-38 and the Board of Supervisors adoption of the Historic Core Project MMRF attached to Resolution No. 273-14 in File No. 140728 on July 22, 2014. (14) The Resolution of Intention to Establish File Additional Core Executive Director of the Port (Executive Director) to preper an infrastructure financing plan for the IFD (Infrastructure Financing Plan) and Sub-Project Area G-1 consistent with the requirements of the IFD Law (15). As required by the IFD Law (15) as a whole, describing the procedures by which properly bax increment from project areas in the IFD with earliers that does not subtic facilities, which creaters a government kinding mechanism that does not properly as intermining in proper as a sum of a region of the property as intermining in public facilities, which creates a government funding mechanism that does not commit to any specific project that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the smirroriment and therefore is exempt from CEGA. (6) Prepared Appendix 1 to the Intrastructure Financing Plan, proposing an (c) respect Appendix As incoment from proposal Sub-Project Area G-1 (Per allocation of properly lax incoment from proposal Sub-Project Area G-1 (Per 70 - Historic Core) to finance the public facilities described in Appendix G-1 to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, which development and public facilities have been analyzed under CEQA in the EN FEIR. the Historic Core CPE, and Crane

Cove CPE; and, (C) Sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan, including AppendixG-1, along with the EN FEIR, the Historic Care CPE and Crare Cove CPE, to the Crty's Planning Department and the Board of Supervisors, (16) The Ciert of the Board of Supervisors made the Infrastructure Financing Plan, ine clerk of the egan of Supervision made the intrastructure Producting Producting Producting Producting Producting Producting Production of notice consistent with the requirements of the IFD Law, the Board of Supervisors opened a public hearing on January 26, 2016, and continued the public hearing on February 27, 2016, and aring to the proposed infrastructure Financing Plan, including Appendix G-1, (18) All the hearing any extension and obligation to the progression. persons having any objections to the proposed infrastructe Emanding Plan, including Appendix G-1, or the regularity of any of the prior proceedings, and all written and oral objections, and all written and oral objections, and all evidence and lestimony for and against the adoption of the Infrastructure Financing Plan, including Appendix 6-1, were heard and considered, and a luil and fair hearing was held. (19) There has been presented at this Board hearing a form of Tax Administration Agreement been presented at this Board hearing a form of Tax Administration Agreement, fixe Administration Agreement, by and between the City acting through the Port Commission, on its own behalf and as agent of the IFD with respect to Sub-Project Area C-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core), and a corporate insiste to be then the tuture by the Executive Director, thet provices, among other things, for the administration and disposition of tax increment revenues allocated to the IFD with respect to Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core). (20) Historic Pier 70, LLC , the Lease tenant, acknowledged in the Lease that Port stated its intention to cause the City to form (i) a community actificate direct (Faribles Collaborated Faribles Collaborated Farible Teagles with a first the terminal of author to Audis in the July to terrify a community facilities distinct (Facilities CFD) under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982 (California Government Code §§ 53311 - 53368), the San Francisco Special Tax Fringning Law (Admin, Code th. 43, art. X) or similar law (collectively, the CFD Law?) to finance Pier 70 wide Intrastructure, Shoreline Protection Facilities and Public Facilities (as such terms are defined in the Lease) and, (ii)a community facilities district (Services CFD) under the CFD. Law to finance the operation and maintenance of Pior 70 wide Infrastructure, Shoreline Protection Facilities and Public Facilities, such as the ongoing operating and maintenance costs for Crane Cove Park and 20 th Sizeet (21) operating and maintenance costs for Crane Cove Park and 20 th Sizeet [21] AppendixG-1 (i)contemplates the potential issuance of Facilities CFD bonds that are secured by lax increment from Sub-Project G-1 to help finance the public facilities described in Appendix G-1 and (ii)expects that 100% of the cost of mantiaring and operating Crane Cove Park and other spaces/golfiles within and around Sub-Project Area G-1 with be funded by a Services CFD, not the Cty's general fund. (b) CEQA Finding, The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that, pursuant to Title14, California Code of Regulations, Sections 15378 and 15660(c)(2), adoption of this ordinance, the establishment of the IPP (excluding Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier70-Historic Core)), and approval of IPP (excluding Appendix G-1) are not "projects" under the California Environmental Quality Act because they do not result in a physical change in Servicemental With respect to Appendix G-1 affirming to this reference the servicement. With respect to Appendix G-1 affirming to this reference the Environmental Quality Act Decause they do not result in a physical drange in the environment. With respect to Appendix G-1, affirming by this reterence the Historic Core CPE and the Crate-Cove CPE. (c) Formation of IFD and Approval of IFP. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors Apreval of IFP. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors Apreval of IFP. By the Project Area G-1 (Pler 70 - Historic Core), to be fully formed and established with full force and effect of law, (liperproves the Infrastructure Financing Plan, including Appendix G-1, subject to amendment as permitted by IFD Law, and (ilipestablishes the bases year for Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pler 70 - Historic Core) as set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, all as provided in the proceedings for the IFD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and in the RDT and It is the Properties for the IfD and It is the Properties of the IfD and It is the IfD and It is the Properties of the IfD and It is the IfD and It is the Properties of the IfD and It is the -- Historic Core) as set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, all as provided in the proceedings for the IPD and in the IPD Law. It is nereby found that all prior proceedings and actions taken by the Board of Supervisors with respect to the IPD, including Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier70 -- Historic Core), were valid and in conformity with the IPD Law and the Port IPD suddelines, (d) Port as Agent. The Board of Supervisors hereby appoints the Port Commission to act as the agent of the IPD with respect to Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Fistoric Core), which agency shall include the authority to: (1) disburse tax increment from Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Pistoric Core) as provided in Appendix G-1 (2) enter that one or my exemptification and provided the authority of the Commission to the Core of the Commission to the Core of th G-1; (2) enter into one or more acquisition agreements that would establish the terms and canditions under which the Port and other City agencies would acquire the public facilities described in Appendix G-1; (3) determine in collaboration with the Office of Public Finance whether and in what ancourse the IFO will issue or incur indebtedness for the purposes specified in Appendix G-1 and enter into agreements related to such indebtedness; (4) If the IFO G-1 and enter into agreements related to such indebtedness; (4)If the IFD issues or incurs indebtedness, direct the disbursement of the debt proceeds in conformance with Appendix G-1, Spincu Port Benefit Tasks and Port Benefit Costs (as defined in the LDDA); and (Spirepare the annual statement of indebtedness required by the IFD Law for Sub-Project Area G-1 (PlerTO – Mistoric Core), (e) Tax Administration Agreement, Task Administration Agreement, substantially in the form presented to the Board of Supervisors, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk, in File Nit, 1911 1511-19 is hereby approved The Port Commission, on its own behalf and as ament of the IFO with means the contractions. The Port Commission, on its own behalf and as agent of the IFD with respect to Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Historic Core), is hereby authorized to to Sub-Project Area G-1 (Pier 70 - Mistoric Core), is hereby authorized to esculle the Tax Administration Agreement with such changes, additions and modifications, as the Executive Director, upon consultation with the City Attorney, may make or approve. The approval by the Executive Director of such modifications, changes and additions shell be conductively evidenced by the execution and delivery of the Tax Administration Agreement. (I) Community Facilities District. Consistent with the provisions of the Leave, the Board of Supervisors hareby directs the Portis Executive Detector to Jurnay, when the Portis Executive Director of Supervisors to form a community facilities district to help finance the long and of Supervisors to form a community facilities district to help finance the long and maintenance of the public facilities described in Approximation. operation and maintenance of the public facilities described in AppendixG-1 operation and maintenance of me protic spotties described in Appendix—I, such as the origing operating and maintenance costs for Crane Cove Park and 20 in Steet, (g) Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, plusase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or orcumstance, is had to be invested or unconstitutional by a description of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining podions or applications of the ordinacce. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, physic, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional. (It) Publication. The Clark of the Board of Supervisors shall cause this Ordinance to be published within Sdays of its pessage and again within 15days after its passage, in each case at least once in a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City. (I) Effective Date. This ordinarus shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enachment doors when the hispor signs the ordinarus, the Mayor telums the ordinarus unsigned when the major signs the contained, are major requires we diginate a trisging or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of sectioning for the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's velo of the ordinance.

Additional information and the legislative file are available at www.stbos.org or in the Ciffice of the Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. - Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

CNS-28579448

DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION OF

SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE

Lori Gomez
Declares that: The annexed advertisement has been regularly published In the
SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE
Which is an was at all times herein mentioned established as newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as the term is defined by Section 6000 of the Government Code
SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE
(Name of Newspaper)
901 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
From 1/0/16
To
Namely on 3/16 3/26 2016
(Dates of Publication)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on 3281
At San Francisco, California
* A O O O O O 4 O 5 9 4 O 7 *
1 11 1 20 1

CNS # 2857 944

3/21/2016 2:58 PM