File No. <u>160400</u>

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_ Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_み5\_\_\_\_\_

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_ Board of Supervisors Meeting

# **Cmte Board**

|             | Motion                                       |
|-------------|--|
|             | Resolution                                   |
|             | Ordinance                                    |
|             | Legislative Digest                           |
|             | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report        |
|             | Youth Commission Report                      |
| $\boxtimes$ | Introduction Form                            |
|             | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
|             | MOU  |
|             | Grant Information Form                       |
|             | Grant Budget                                 |
|             | Subcontract Budget                           |
|             | Contract/Agreement                           |
|             | Form 126 – Ethics Commission                 |
|             | Award Letter                                 |
|             | Application                                  |
|             | Public Correspondence                        |

# OTHER



Appellant Withdrawal Letter - June 23, 2016 Appeal Letter - April 18, 2016 Planning Appeal Response Memo - May 9, 2016 Hearing Notice and Clerical Documents

| Prepared by: | John Carroll | Date: | June 23, 2016 |
|--------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| Prepared by: |              | Date: | · · · ·       |



June 23, 2016

London Breed, President Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

# RE: 1006 Market Street CUA Appeal

**Dear President Breed and Supervisors:** 

On behalf of Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC), I am writing to express our intent to withdraw the Conditional Use Authorization Appeal we filed on April 18 of this year. This appeal is no longer relevant in light of the Board's decision on the project on Tuesday, June 21. However, since the Appeal was noticed, it must be heard at the full board next week, on June 28, and we cannot officially withdraw the appeal. Please consider this letter our intention to no longer pursue the appeal.

Please feel free to contact me at <u>dfalk@tndc.org</u> or (415) 264-7949 with any questions or concerns. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Donald S. Talle

Donald S. Falk Chief Executive Officer

TENDERLOIN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

201 Eddy Street San Francisco CA 94102

PH: 415.776.2151 FAX: 415.776.3952 INFO@TNDC.ORG WWW.TNDC.ORG

**NeighborWorks**® CHARTERED MEMBER

o:\general\community issues\land use & development\1066 market\1066 market street intent to withdraw appeal.docx

# RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

#### NOTICE TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF APPEADIN APR 18 PH 4:58 FROM ACTION OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION BT Β¥.

Notice is hereby given of an appeal to the Board of Supervisors from the following action of the City Planning Commission.

The property is located at

1066 Market Street

March 17,2016 Date of City Planning Commission Action (Attach a Copy of Planning Commission's Decision)

Appeal Filing Date

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for reclassification of property, Case No.

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for establishment, abolition or modification of a set-back line, Case No.

The Planning Commission approved in whole or in part an application for conditional use authorization, Case No. 2013. 1753 CXV

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for conditional use authorization, Case No.

V:\Clerk's Office\Appeals Information\Condition Use Appeal Process5 August 2011

Statement of Appeal:

a) Set forth the part(s) of the decision the appeal is taken from:

Please see altached

b) Set forth the reasons in support of your appeal:

Please See attached

Person to Whom Notices Shall Be Mailed

Name and Address of Person Filing Appeal:

Donald Falk Name

Donald Fallc Name

215 Taylor St, San Franc: So, CA 94102 215 Taylor St, San Franciso, CA 94102 Address Address

(415)358 - 3923 Telephone Number

(415) 358 - 3923 Telephone Number

Signature of Appéllant or Authorized Agent

V:\Clerk's Office\Appeals Information\Condition Use Appeal Process6 August 2011

Irsuant to Planning Code Section 308.1(b), the undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors welieve that there is sufficient public interest and concern to warrant an appeal of the Planning Commission on Case No. 2013.1753CM, a conditional use authorization regarding (address) <u>1066</u> <u>Market St.</u>  $\overline{SFCA}$ , District <u>6</u>. The undersigned members respectfully request the Clerk

DATE

of the Board to calendar this item at the soonest possible date.

SIGNATURE en

-14-16 4-15-16

Сў — 1 <u>1</u>53 2010 APR  $\infty$ ហ្គ

# (Attach copy of Planning Commission's Decision)

V:\Clerk's Office\Appeals Information\Condition Use Appeal Process8 August 2011

1569

Conditional Use Appeal Case no.: 2013.1753CXV 4/14/2016

1066 Market Street is a 304 unit project, intended as rental units, including 36 on-site Below Market Rate units and ground floor retail. If the units rent at prevailing market rates, they will average around \$2,300-\$3,500/month.

We are appealing this project because of an insufficient acknowledgement of the community in which the proposed project is located, both in the design of 1066 Market and in the Conditional Use Authorization. Additionally, the project is not "necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community" as per Planning Code section 303 (c), nor is it consistent with the City's General Plan or Downtown Area Plan. We request that project be modified better fit the Tenderloin community.

Although the project's primary address is on Market Street, the vast majority of the project can be found on the corner of Jones and Golden Gate Street, that is, in the Tenderloin. The Tenderloin is the lowest income and most racially diverse neighborhood in San Francisco, although that status is in peril. The median income for a Tenderloin resident is approximately \$23,000/year, equating to an "affordable" rent of \$575/month. By contrast, if the units in 1066 Market St rent at current market rates, rents will be approximately four times the amount affordable to most Tenderloin residents. Most Tenderloin residents will not be eligible to live in the 36 BMR units, which are targeted towards households earning no more than approximately \$40,000 annually, an amount few Tenderloin residents receive. San Francisco's affordable housing crisis is particularly acute for the Tenderloin's extremely low income households. This dynamic is not acknowledged in the project or in the Conditional Use Authorization.

The Tenderloin is also witnessing displacement of its lower income residents, both through evictions and through natural turnover. The neighborhood has seen a steeper increase in breach-of-lease evictions than in other neighborhoods across the City. Additionally, when residents voluntarily leave rent-controlled units --approximately half the Tenderloin's housing stock-, the rents climb so high that lower-income residents cannot afford to occupy them as the next tenants. The demographics of people moving into the Tenderloin do not match the demographics moving out, and the predominantly low income nature of the Tenderloin is being lost.

The growing presence of more wealthy residents in the Tenderloin threatens to lead to a number of negative outcomes, including a shift away from \businesses who serve the existing community towards enterprises which do not serve the Tenderloin's low-income residents. Nonprofit agencies who serve the neighborhood's existing residents are threatened as well. In the past few months, the area surrounding 1066 Market Street has seen a group of nuns running a free food service threatened with eviction, as well as the eviction of several low-income tenant serving non-profits including the Eviction Defense Collaborative and Tenants Together. The neighborhood will soon be gaining a high-end cocktail bar and chocolatier; neither business serves low-income residents. The Conditional Use Authorization, and the design of the project, do not acknowledge this important community dynamic.

As currently configured the project does not acknowledge the surrounding Tenderloin community, and so the project will not be "compatible" with the neighborhood, and thus not eligible for the CU Authorization.



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

- ☑ Affordable Housing (Sec, 415)
- ⊠. Transit Impact Dev't Fee (Sec. 411)

Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)

☑ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)☑ Street Tree (Sec. 138.1)

Public Art (Sec. 429)

# Planning Commission Motion No. 19594 HEARING DATE: MARCH 17, 2016

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558,6377

| Case No.:        | 2013.1753 <u>C</u> XV             |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Project Address: | 1066 Market Street                |
| Zoning:          | C-3-G (Downtown General)          |
|                  | 120-X Height and Bulk District    |
| Block/Lot:       | 0350/003                          |
| Project Sponsor: | Julie Burdick- (415) 772.7142     |
|                  | Multifamily Investments           |
|                  | Shorenstein Properties            |
|                  | 235 Montgomery Street, 16th Floor |
|                  | San Francisco, CA 94104           |
|                  | jburdick@shorentstein.com         |
| Staff Contact:   | Tina Chang – (415) 575-9197       |
|                  | Tina.Chang@sfgov.org              |

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATED TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTION 124(F) AND 303, TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL SQUARE FOOTAGE ABOVE THE BASE FLOOR AREA RATIO, FOR DWELLING UNITS THAT WILL BE AFFORDABLE FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT TO HOUSEHOLDS WHOSE INCOMES ARE WITHIN 90 PERCENT OF THE AREA MEDIAN INCOME AT 1066 MARKET STREET WITHIN THE C-3-G (DOWNTOWN GENERAL) ZONING AND THE 120-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

# PREAMBLE

On February 12, 2014, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of 1066 Market LLC (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed an application with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for Environmental Review, to allow the demolition of an existing two-story, 5,066 gross square foot (gsf) vacant commercial building and adjoining 23,419 gsf surface parking lot and the new construction of a 14-level, 12-story mixed-use building containing approximately 304 dwelling units, with ground floor retail, bicycle parking and two levels of subterranean parking with 102 spaces (hereinafter "the Project") at 1066 Market Street (hereinafter "Project Site").

www.sfplanning.org

On June 18, 2014, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of the Project Sponsor filed 1) an application with the Department for Compliance with Planning Code Section 309 with exceptions for Rear Year requirements (Section 134), parking requirements, to provide parking at a ratio exceeding 0.25 to 1 (Section 151.1) and freight loading, to provide one off-street freight loading space instead of two (Sections 161), and exception from ground level wind current (Section 148) within the C-3-G (Downtown General) Zoning and 120-X Height and Bulk District to demolish the existing structure and surface parking lot, and construction of the Project; 2) an application with the Department for Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) to a) allow a higher density of residential units, at one unit per 90 square feet instead of one unit per 125 square feet as permitted by Planning Code Section 215(a), and b) to exempt on-site inclusionary units from FAR calculations per Section 124(f); 3) an application for Variance from Section 135(G)(2), use of inner as usable open space.

On December 26, 2014, the "Uses, Conformity of Uses, Parking Requirements for Uses, and Special Use Districts" Ordinance became effective, amending Section 309 such that parking requirements were no longer modifiable per Section 309. Additionally, Section 151.1 was amended by the same Ordinance to permit up to one parking space for each two dwelling units. Therefore, the requested amount of parking no longer required an exception per Section 309. Accordingly, On November 19, 2015, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of the Project Sponsor submitted an amended application to the Department for Compliance with Planning Code Section 309 removing the request for exception to parking requirements. Updated applications for Conditional Use Authorization and a Variance was also submitted to the Department, however, there were no changes to the authorization and variance requests.

On January 13, 2016 Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for the Project was prepared and published for public review; and

The Draft IS/MND was available for public comment until February 2, 2016; and

On February 2, 2016, an appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration was filed with the Department.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2013.1753XCV and the Appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration, 2013.1753E.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission upheld the PMND and approved the issuance of the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) as prepared by the Planning Department in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31.

On March 17, 2016, the Planning Department/Planning Commission reviewed and considered the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) and found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FMND was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) (CEQA), Title 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 15000 et seq. (the "CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"): and

2

The Planning Department/Planning Commission found the FMND was adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent analysis and judgment of the Department of City Planning and the Planning Commission, and that the summary of comments and responses contained no significant revisions to the Draft IS/MND, and approved the FMND for the Project in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31.

The Planning Department, Jonas P. Ionin, is the custodian of records; all pertinent documents are located in the File for Case No. 2013.1753CXV, at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California.

Planning Department staff prepared a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting program (MMRP), which material was made available to the public and this Commission for this Commission's review, consideration and action.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby approves the Conditional Use Authorization requested in Application No. 2013.1753XCV, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

### FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. Site Description and Present Use. The "L" shaped, 27,310 square foot (sf) project site has approximately 55-feet of frontage on Market Street, where it is sandwiched by a three-story commercial building to the west and two-story commercial building to the east. The Project also has frontage on Jones Street to the west, north of the aforementioned three-story commercial building, and Golden Gate Avenue to the north of the project site. A 5,066 gross square foot (gsf) vacant commercial building and adjoining 23,419 gsf surface parking lot currently occupies the project site. The commercial building, which was constructed in 1966, fronts Market Street on the south side of the property. The privately owned parking lot holds approximately 102 vehicles. Existing vehicle and pedestrian access to the surface parking lot is provided on Golden Gate Avenue and one on Jones Street. Pedestrian access to the commercial building is provided on Market Street. There are no trees on the project site; five street trees are located along the sidewalks surrounding the site. The site slopes down gradually from the northwest to the southeast, with an elevation change of approximately 10 feet. The project site is located in C-3-G (Downtown General) Zoning District and a 120-X Height and Bulk District.

- 3. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The project site is located within the Downtown Plan Area at 1066 Market Street in the Downtown/ Civic Center neighborhood of San Francisco. Within the Downtown / Civic Center neighborhood are smaller districts and microneighborhoods, such as the Market Street Theater and Loft Historic District, of which this Project is a part. Land uses in the surrounding area include a mix of low- and mid-rise mixed-use commercial buildings, tourist and residential hotels, multifamily housing, entertainment uses and government institutions. Properties in the immediate vicinity of the project site include a three-story commercial/ retail building to the south at 1072-1098 Market Street; a 10-story, lowincome and senior housing development to the west at 129 Golden Gate Avenue; a seven-story, 82 unit homeless housing facility at 41 Jones Street; a nine-story, 108-unit apartment a two-story commercial/ retail building at 1028-1056 Market to the east, which is currently occupied by a "pop-up" food and beverage court ("The Hall"). The Hall is temporarily occupying the space while the property's project sponsor finalizes the entitlement of the proposed project which includes the demolition of the existing building and the new construction of a 12-story, mixeduse residential-over-ground-floor retail development.
- 4. Project Description. The Project includes the demolition of an existing two-story, vacant, commercial building and adjoining 23,419 square foot surface parking lot, and the new construction of a 12-story, 14-level, mixed-used building containing approximately 304 dwelling units, with commercial retail on the ground floor, bicycle parking and two levels of subterranean parking with 102 parking spaces. The building's height ranges from approximately 113 to 120 feet tall. The proposed dwelling unit mix consists of approximately 61 studios (approximately 20 percent of total units), 76 junior one-bedroom units (approximately 25 percent of total units), 56 one-bedroom units (about 18 percent of total units) and 111 two-bedroom units (about 37 percent). Residential amenities include a lounge, lobby, fitness center, leasing office and bicycle parking. The Project will provide 304 Class 1 and 18 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces.

Approximately 4,540 square feet of commercial retail space provides active street frontage along Market Street, Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street. Due to a grade change of approximately 13 feet between the northwest corner and southeast corner of the project site, street access to the building occurs from two separate ground floors: one with access from Market Street (Level 1) and another from Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue (Level 2). A 6,333 square-foot open-air courtyard is located in the center of the proposed Project at Level 1, with an elevated walkway through the courtyard providing access to the at-grade lobby amenity and lounge area on Level 2. A patio intended for the 1,684 square foot commercial space fronting Market Street is located at the southeast corner of the open-air courtyard. The roof includes two terraces totaling approximately 6,000 square feet.

The Market Street façade has been designed with a tripartite vertical expression of a base, shaft and capital – typical of buildings within the Market Street Theater and Loft Historic District. The three sections of the building are delineated by a horizontal reveal. The height of the tall base references similar features on adjacent buildings that are also characterized by tall bases, and will be defined by use of a textured masonry cladding at the piers, multi-level recessed window wall and horizontal reveal. The top of the building on the Market Street façade rests above a horizontal reveal and is characterized by stucco-clad vertical piers that align with piers at the base of the building as well as double-height recessed window groupings. The middle of the building is designed with punched openings in a "basket weave" composition that suggests both the vertically expressed double-hung windows and the slightly more horizontally expressed Chicago-style windows found in the district, which are three-part windows with a large fixed center panel flanked by two smaller windows.

The Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street facades have also been designed with the basket weave composition found on the Market Street facade. The upper stories of the building would be clad in a panelized system of integrally colored pre-cast concrete units in graded color variations with a light, intermediate and dark shade, as well as an accent color. The base of these facades is characterized by double-height storefront windows, except for a small portion on Golden Gate Avenue, where the double-height windows could not be achieved due to on-site elevation changes. While a tripartite pattern can be found on these frontages, the expression of the three-part facade is more loosely implemented on the designs of the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street facades.

Community Outreach and Public Comment. As summarized in the enclosed letter from the 5. Project Sponsor, community outreach has included meetings with the Project's neighbors, local businesses, community groups, individual residents, schools, religious organizations, and nonprofits, including the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, Tenderloin Housing Clinic, Tenderloin Economic Development Project, UC Hastings, Community Housing Partnerships, Urban Solutions, SF Bike Coalition, CounterPulse, St. Francis Foundation / Tenderloin Help Improvement Project, Central Market Community Benefit District, Housing Action Coalition, SPUR Design Review Committee, Golden Gate Theatre, Building Trades Commission, Draussalem Mosque, Market Street for the Masses, Mid-Market Business Association, as well as project sponsors, property owners, resident coordinators and tenants of neighboring properties, including but not limited to those at 950 Market Street, 1007 Market Street, 1019 Market Street, 1072 Market Street, 1029 Market Street, 1075 Market, 111 Jones Street, 205 Jones Street, 50 Golden Gate, 129 Golden Gate Avenue, 180 Golden Gate Avenue, 25 Taylor, and 55 Taylor. At times, the Project Sponsor has met with the aforementioned stakeholders multiple times throughout the past 2.5 years.

To date, the Department has not received any correspondence expressing opposition to the Project; however, an appeal was filed on the Preliminary Negative Declaration. Twenty letters of support from the following organizations, business owners, residents and tenants have been received:

- Draussalem Mosque Mosque at 20 Jones Street
- Encore Capital property owner in Tenderloin / Mid-Market neighborhood and neighbor
- Group I owner of 950-974 Market Street
- The Housing Action Coalition
- Hibernia Bank Owner at 1 Jones Street
- International Art Museum of America tenant at 1025 Market Street
  - Marinello Schools of Beauty tenant at 1035 Market Street
- Molly Jans Tenderloin Resident

- Khadija Eddkhissi Resident at 317 Leavenworth Street
- Zohra Araq Resident at 55 9th Street
- Khadija Arif Resident at 940 Washington
- Zainaba Boudlim Resident at 141 Eddy Street
- Mosser Companies Property Owner of 50 Golden Gate Avenue
- Pam Coates Resident at 41 Jones Street
- PianoFight- Business owner at 144 Taylor Street
- Thanh Nguyen Resident at 145 Taylor Street
- Tidewater Capital Property owner at 1028 Market
- UC Hastings
- Warm Planet Bikes tenant at 1098a Market Street
- WeWork Tenderloin business
- 6. **Planning Code Compliance:** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
  - A. Maximum Floor Area Ratio (Section 124). The floor area ratio (FAR) limit as defined by Planning Code Section 124 for the C-3-G District is 6.0:1. Under Sections 123 and 128 of the Planning Code, the FAR can be increased to 9.0 to 1 with the purchase of transferable development rights ("TDR").

The Project Site has a lot area of approximately 27,312 square feet. Therefore, up to 163,872 square feet of Gross Floor Area ("GFA") is allowed under the basic FAR limit, and up to 245,808 square feet of GFA is permitted with the purchase of TDR. As shown in the conceptual plans for the Project, the building would include 298,278 square feet of GFA, of which 227,956 would count towards FAR. Conditions of approval are included to require the Project Sponsor to purchase TDR for the increment of development between 6.0 to 1 FAR and 9.0 to 1 FAR, for approximately 64,084 (227,956-163,872=64,084) square feet of floor area.

Additionally, the Project Sponsor seeks approval of Conditional Use Authorization to exempt floor area dedicated to the 36 below market rate units, or approximately 21,422 square feet, per Section 124(f). Therefore the Project complies with Section 124.

B. Rear Yard Requirement. Planning Code Section 134 requires that any building containing a dwelling unit in a Downtown Commercial District must provide a rear yard equal to 25 percent of the total lot depth at all residential levels.

The Project does not provide a rear yard that complies with this Code requirement, and as such, requires a rear yard exception under Planning Code Section 309. A 309 exception may be granted so long as the "building location and configuration assure adequate light and air to windows within the residential units and to the usable open space provided." See Section 7, below, for 309 findings.

C. Residential Open Space (Section 135). Planning Code Section 135 requires that private usable open space be provided at a ratio of 36 square feet per dwelling unit or that 48 square feet of common usable open be provided per dwelling unit.

The Project includes 304 units. The Project would provide at least 36 square feet of private open space for 50 of the dwelling units through private balconies or terraces. A total of 12,192 square feet of commonly accessible open space would be required for the remaining 254 units without balconies or terraces, which would be provided in the form of a 6,333 square-foot inner courtyard at grade and two roof decks amounting to 6,000 square feet. In all, 12,333 square feet of common open space would be provided, exceeding the common open space requirement.

Section 135(g)(2) allows the use of inner courts to be counted as usable open space, provided that the enclosed space is (1) at least 20 feet in every horizontal dimension, (2) at least 400 square feet in area, and (3) the height of the walls above the court on at least three sides is such that no point on any wall is higher than one foot for each foot that such point is horizontally distant from the opposite side of the court. The proposed inner court meets two of the three criteria; the dimensions of the inner court are approximately 65 feet wide by 100 feet long amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet. However, the height of the walls surrounding the inner court exceeds the permitted dimension. Therefore, a variance from Section 135 is required.

D. Public Open Space (Section 138). New buildings in the C-3 Zoning District must provide public open space at a ratio of one square feet per 50 gross square feet of all uses, except residential uses, institutional uses, and uses in a predominantly retail/personal services building. This public open space must be located on the same site as the building or within 900 feet of it within a C-3 district.

Ground floor retail space in the C-3 Districts that is less than 5,000 square feet and less than 75 percent of the ground floor area is excluded from gross floor area and is therefore not required to provide the associated publically accessible open space. The Project includes approximately 4,578 square feet of ground floor retail space, which is exempt from the requirement. However, 315 square feet of the inner courtyard would be available to tenants of the proposed retail space fronting Market Street. The patio space adjacent to the Market Street-fronting retail space would be available for residents to use at any time.

E. Street Trees (Sections 138.1). Section 138.1 and Section 806 of the Public Works Code requires the installation of street trees in the case of the construction of a new building. One 24-inch box tree is required for every 20 feet of property frontage along each street or alley, with any remaining fraction of ten feet or more of frontage requiring an additional tree. The species and locations of trees installed in the public right-of-way shall be subject to approval by the Department of Public Works (DPW). The requirements of Section 806 may be waived or modified by the Director of Public Works, pursuant to Section 806, where DPW cannot grant approval due to practical difficulties.

The Project includes a total of approximately 370 feet of street frontage along Market Street (approximately 55 feet), Jones Street (approximately 152 feet) and Golden Gate Avenue (163 feet), resulting in a requirement of nineteen street trees. Thirteen (13) new street trees are proposed and five exist, resulting in a total of 18 trees. Conditions of approval have to been added to require the Project

to plant (13) street trees and pay an in-lieu fee for the remaining one (1) tree, thereby complying with Section 138.1.

Per Public Works Code, Article 16, Section 806, all street trees would be planted within the public right-of-way adjacent to the subject property, be of a species suitable for the site conditions; be a minimum of 24-inch box size; have a minimum 1 ¼ inch caliper, measured 6-inches above ground; be planted no higher than the adjacent sidewalk and provide a below-grade environment with nutrient-rich soils, free from overly-compacted soils and generally conducive to tree root development and be watered, maintained and replaced if necessary by the property owner in accordance with Article 16 of the Public Works Code and be in compliance with applicable water use requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 63.

F. Streetscape Improvements (Section 138.1). Planning Code Section 138.1 requires that when a new building is constructed in the C-3 District, street trees and sidewalk paving must be provided. Under Section 138.1(c), the Commission may also require the Project Sponsor to install additional sidewalk improvements such as lighting, special paving, seating and landscaping in accordance with the guidelines of the Downtown Streetscape Plan if it finds that these improvements are necessary to meet the goals and objectives of the General Plan.

In addition to the street trees mentioned above, the Project proposes sidewalk widening along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage, from 12-feet to 16-feet and a 6-foot bulb-out at the southeast corner of the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street intersection. These streetscape improvements have been vetted by the Street Design Action Team, an interagency body including the Planning Department, Municipal Transportation Authority and the Department of Public Works.

G. Exposure (Section 140). Planning Code Section 140 requires all dwelling units in al use districts to face onto a public street at least 20 feet in width, side yard at least 25 feet in width or open area which is unobstructed and is no less than 25 feet in every horizontal dimension for the floor at which the dwelling unit is located and the floor immediately above it, with an increase of five feet in every horizontal dimension at each subsequent floor.

The Project complies with Section 140. All units facing public rights-of-way open onto streets greater than 20-feet wide. Units at the upper most levels of the building along Market Street and Golden Gate Avenue must expose onto an inner courtyard at least 75 feet in length. Since the north-south length of the courtyard is 100-feet wide, the Project's courtyard meets exposure requirements. Units along the Jones Street Frontage facing east meet exposure requirements, as the east-west width of the courtyard is 65 feet, and the units at the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> floors are setback 10 feet from the edge closest to the inner court, for a total of 75 feet of open area at the upper most levels.

H. Active Frontages – Loading and Driveway Entry Width (Section 145.1(c)(2)). Section 145.1(c)(2) limits the width of parking and loading entrances to no more than one-third the width of the street frontage of a structure, or 20 feet, whichever is less.

The Project includes 20-foot entry for parking along Jones Street and one off-street loading with immediately adjacent elevator access along Golden Gate Avenue. The Project complies with Section 145.1(c)(2).

I. Street Frontage in Commercial Districts: Active Uses (145.1(c)(3)). Planning Code Section 145.1(c)(3) requires that within Downtown Commercial Districts, space for "active uses" shall be provided within the first 25 feet of building depth on the ground floor.

The ground floor space along Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue have active uses with direct access to the sidewalk within the first 25 feet of building depth and are thus compliant with this Code Section. The only non-active uses along public frontages are the parking access and off-street loading space, and mechanical spaces, and building ingress and egress which are specifically exempt from the active uses requirement. The building lobby is considered an active use because it does not exceed 40 feet per 145.1(b)(2)(C).

J. Street Frontage in Commercial Districts: Ground Floor Transparency (Section 145.1(c)(6)). Planning Code Section 145.1(c)(6) requires that within Downtown Commercial Districts, frontages with active uses that are not residential or PDR must be fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways for no less than 60 percent of the street frontage at the ground level and allow visibility to the inside of the building.

The Project complies with the Ground Floor Transparency requirements of the Planning Code. Approximately 66 percent of the Project's Golden Gate Avenue non-residential façade is fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways, approximately 64 percent of the Jones Street non-residential façade contains transparent windows and doorways and approximately 83 percent of the Project's Market Street frontage meets transparency requirements with fenestration of windows and doorways.

K. Shadows on Public Sidewalks (Section 146). Planning Code Section 146(a) establishes design requirements for buildings on certain streets in order to maintain direct sunlight on public sidewalks in certain downtown areas during critical use periods. Section 146(c) requires that other buildings, not located on the specific streets identified in Section 146(a), shall be shaped to reduce substantial shadow impacts on public sidewalks, if it can be done without unduly creating an unattractive design and without unduly restricting development potential.

Section 146(a) does not apply to construction on the north side of Market Street, Jones Street or Golden Gate Avenue, and therefore does not apply to the Project. With respect to Section 146(c), the Project would replace an underutilized, vacant, 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot with a 12-story, 14-level residential over ground-floor retail structure. Although the Project would create new shadows on sidewalks and pedestrian areas adjacent to the site, the Project's shadows would not increase the total amount of shading above levels that are commonly accepted in urban areas. The Project is proposed at a height that is consistent with the zoned height for the property and could not be further shaped to reduce substantial shadow effects on public sidewalks without creating an unattractive design and without unduly restricting development potential. Therefore, the Project complies with Section 146.

9

L. Shadows on Public Open Spaces (Section 147). Planning Code Section 147 seeks to reduce substantial shadow impacts on public plazas and other publicly accessible open spaces other than those protected under Section 295. Consistent with the dictates of good design and without unduly restricting development potential, buildings taller than 50 feet should be shaped to reduce substantial shadow impacts on open spaces subject to Section 147. In determining whether a shadow is substantial, the following factors shall be taken into account: the area shaded, the shadow's duration, and the importance of sunlight to the area in question.

A shadow analysis determined that the Project would not cast shadow on the nearest public open spaces including United Nations Plaza located 500 feet southwest of the project site, which does not fall under the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) jurisdiction, or Boeddeker Park located approximately 800 feet north, which does fall under RPD jurisdiction.

Therefore, the Project complies with Section 147.

M. Ground Level Wind (Section 148). Planning Code Section 148 requires that new construction in Downtown Commercial Districts will not cause ground-level wind currents to exceed pedestrian comfort levels. This standard requires that wind speeds not exceed 11 miles per hour in areas of substantial pedestrian use for more than 10 percent of the time year round, between 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM. The requirements of this Section apply either when preexisting ambient wind speeds at a site exceed the comfort level and are not being eliminated as a result of the project, or when the project may result in wind conditions exceeding the comfort criterion.

The existing conditions at the Project Site indicate that 12 of the 55 test points exceed the Planning Code's comfort criterion at grade level. With the Project, an additional 5 comfort exceedances are created at grade level for a total of 17. At above grade levels, 4 comfort exceedances would exist. According to the wind tunnel text reports, any trees at grade would help improve localized wind speeds around public areas and building entrances. To improve comfort at above grade levels, porous wind screens and furniture are recommended and will be provided. The Project includes eight new street trees along Golden Gate Avenue and five new street trees along Jones Street for a total of 15 new streets (there are two existing streets on Jones which will be removed and replaced). A Section 309 exception is being sought because the Project would not eliminate the existing locations meeting or exceeding the Planning Code's comfort criterion. Exceptions from the comfort criterion may be granted through the 309 process, but no exception may be granted where a project would cause wind speeds at the site to reach or exceed the hazard level of 26 mph for a single hour of the year. There are no hazardous wind speeds caused by the Project.

N. Parking (Sec. 151.1). Planning Section 151.1 allows up to one car for each two dwelling units as of-right, and up to three cars for each four dwelling units as a conditional use. For non-residential uses, the Code does not provide a total number of permitted spaces, but instead limits parking to an area equivalent to 7% of the total gross floor area of such uses.

The Project contains 304 dwelling units. Per Planning Section 151.1, 152 parking spaces are principally permitted (304/2 = 152) for residential uses. The Project proposes, as a total of 102 parked cars, which is less than the principally permitted amount and thus complies with this requirement. The Project does not propose any parking for the retail uses.

O. Off-Street Freight Loading (Sec. 152.1). Planning Code Section 152.1 requires that projects in the C-3 District that include the addition of 200,001-500,000 sq. ft. of residential space must provide two off-street freight loading spaces within the project.

The Project includes 298,278 gross square feet of development (227,956 square feet that counts towards Floor Area Ratio), requiring two off-street loading spaces. One off-street loading space is provided, therefore a Section 309 exception is being sought.

P. Bicycle Parking (Section 155.2). For buildings with more than 100 dwelling units, Planning Code Section 155.2 requires 100 Class 1 spaces plus one Class 1 space for every four dwelling units over 100, and one Class 2 space per 20 units. For the retail space, Section 155.2 requires a minimum of two spaces.

The Project complies with Section 155.2 because it provides 304 Class 1 parking spaces, exceeding the Planning Code requirement to provide 152 Class 1 spaces (100 + 204/4 = 51(for residential uses) + 1 (for commercial use) = 152 spaces required) and 17 Class 2 spaces (304 units/20= 15.2 (for residential uses) + 2 (for commercial uses) = 17 spaces required) for the residential units. The project will provide 304 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces and 18 Class 2 spaces. All Class 1 spaces are located at the first level, accessible by elevator from the Jones Street entrance, and Class 2 spaces are located on each of the Projects street frontages; Market Street includes 10 Class 2 spaces, Jones Street provides 4 spaces and Golden Gate Avenue provides another 4 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces for a total of 18.

Q. Car Share (Section 166). Planning Code Section 166 requires two car share parking spaces for residential projects with between 201 or more dwelling units plus an additional parking space for every 200 dwelling units over 200.

The Project complies with Section 166 because it provides two off-street car share parking space within the below-grade garage.

R. Density (Section 210.2). Planning Code Sections 210.2 establishes no density limit in the C-3 Districts. Density is regulated by the permitted height and bulk, and required setbacks, exposure, and open space of each development lot.

The Project contains 304 dwelling units, which is allowed in the C-3-G District. The elimination of density controls in the C-3 Districts was recently approved through Ordinance No. 22-15 (Board File No. 141253); previously, density was principally permitted at a ratio of 1 unit per 125 sf of lot area and conditionally permitted above that amount.

S. Height (Section 260). The property is located in a 120-X Height and Bulk District, thus permitting structures up to a height of 120 feet.

The Project would reach a height of approximately 120 feet to the roof of the building, with various features such as elevator/stair penthouses, mechanical structures, an enclosed recreation space, and parapets extending above the 220-foot height limit in accordance with the height exemptions allowed through Planning Code Section 260(b). The Project's Market Street façade reaches a height of 113-feet, 119-feet - 11-inches along Jones Street, and 120-feet on Golden Gate Avenue.

T. Shadows on Parks (Section 295). Section 295 requires any project proposing a structure exceeding a height of 40 feet to undergo a shadow analysis in order to determine if the project would result in the net addition of shadow to properties under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Department.

A shadow analysis was conducted and determined that the Project would not shade any properties under the jurisdiction of, or designated for acquisition by, the Recreation and Park Department.

U. Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program (Section 415). Planning Code Section 415 sets forth the requirements and procedures for the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program. Under Planning Code Section 415.3, the current percentage requirements apply to projects that consist of ten or more units, where the first application (EE or BPA) was applied for on or after July 18, 2006. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.5 and 415.6, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requirement for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative is to provide 12% of the proposed dwelling units as affordable.

The Project Sponsor has demonstrated that it is eligible for the On-Site Affordable Housing Alternative under Planning Code Section 415.5 and 415.6, and has submitted a 'Affidavit of Compliance with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Planning Code Section 415,' to satisfy the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program by providing the affordable housing on-site instead of through payment of the Affordable Housing Fee.

In order for the Project Sponsor to be eligible for the On-Site Affordable Housing Alternative, the Project Sponsor must submit a contract, in addition to the affordable housing affidavit, demonstrating that the project's on- or off-site units are not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act, California Civil Code Section 1954.50 because, under Section 1954.52(b), the Project Sponsor has entered into an agreement with a public entity in consideration for a direct financial contribution or any other form of assistance specified in California Government Code Sections 65915 et seq. and submits an Affidavit of such to the Department. All such contracts entered into with the City and County of San Francisco must be reviewed and approved by the Mayor's Office Housing and the City Attorney's Office.

The Project Sponsor has indicated the intention to enter into an agreement with the City to qualify for a waiver from the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act based upon the proposed density bonus and concessions provided by the City and approved herein. The Project Sponsor submitted such Affidavit on December 12, 2014 and a draft of the Costa Hawkins agreement on March 23, 2015. The EE application was submitted on February 12, 2014. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.3 and 415.6 the on-site requirement is 12 percent. Thirty six units (13 two-bedroom, 16 one-bedroom, and 7 studio units) of the 304 units provided will be affordable rental units. If the Project becomes ineligible to meet its Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program obligation through the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative, it must pay the Affordable Housing Fee with interest, if applicable. The Project must execute the Costa Hawkins agreement prior to Planning Commission approval or must revert to payment of the Affordable Housing Fee.

V. Public Art (Section 429). In the case of construction of a new building or addition of floor area in excess of 25,000 sf to an existing building in a C-3 District, Section 429 requires a project to include works of art costing an amount equal to one percent of the construction cost of the building.

The Project would comply with this Section by dedicating one percent of the Project's construction cost to works of art. The public art concept and location will be subsequently presented to the Planning Commission at an informational presentation.

- W. Signage (Section 607). Currently, there is not a proposed sign program on file with the Planning Department. Any proposed signage will be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department pursuant to the provisions of Article 6 of the Planning Code.
- 7. Planning Code Section 303(c) establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
  - A. The Proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The Conditional Use Authorization to exempt floor area dedicated to below market rate units would be desirable and compatible with the neighborhood for several reasons. The Project proposes a total of 304 dwelling units, of which 36 will be designated as below market rate units. The Project not only increasing the City's housing stock, but also provides affordable units for households whose income are within 120 percent Area Median Income, for the life of the project.

The Project is desirable because it would replace an underutilized commercial building and surface parking lot with a 12-story, 14-level mixed use, residential above ground floor retail building. The Project includes approximately 4,540 square feet of ground floor retail and approximately 12,300 square feet of common open space.

- **B.** The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working in the area in that:
  - a. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

13

#### CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV 1066 Market Street

The Project would be constructed within existing height and bulk limits. The structure has been arranged on the site to be consistent with the surrounding streetscape and compatible with the surrounding buildings. Along Golden Gate Avenue frontage, the Project would be sandwiched by two structures of similar heights and bulks: the recently constructed building at 121 Golden Gate Avenue, which is 10 stories and the proposed structure at 1028 Market Street, which will be approximately 12 stories. The Project provides active uses along every street frontage and includes an inner court amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet at the ground floor.

The Project includes approximately 227,956 floor area square feet of residential uses, of which, approximately 178,515 is rentable. The Project seeks to exempt 21,422 square feet (12 percent of 178,515), which would be dedicated to below market rate units, pursuant to Planning Code Section 124(f), which allows the floor area devoted to affordable housing constructed on-site in a C-3 District to exceed base floor area permissions. The units must be made affordable to households whose incomes are within 90% AMI for ownership units and 55% AMI for rental units. Since the Project would contain rental units, the units must be made affordable to households within 55% AMI.

b. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The proposed project has been designed to minimize curb cuts along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue. These curb cuts for off-street parking and loading have been separated to allow for maximum active use exposure along each street, especially at the corner of Jones and Golden Gate. Pedestrian access to the building is located on Market Street and Jones Street and pedestrian commercial access is provided on all three bounding streets.

c. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The residential and ground floor commercial uses will not produce noxious or offensive dust or odor. Ventilation considerations comply with current California Mechanical Codes and have been taken to reduce adverse effects to the neighboring community, such as minimal interior court garage exhaust and use of merv-13 filters for the building inhabitants. The interior court and amenity spaces on the 13<sup>th</sup> level include landscaping to reduce noise.

d. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

Parking and loading areas are enclosed and will include signage to safeguard pedestrians and / or cyclists from approaching vehicles. The landscaped interior courtyard and amenity spaces at the 13<sup>th</sup> level will include egress lighting and visual screening to create privacy while providing interaction with other residents and users. Street improvement will include street trees as required by the City and County of San Francisco. Lighting and signage will be per governing codes for this location.

C. Such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The square footage to be dedicated to Below Market Rate units complies with applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan. The residential and retail uses contemplated for the Project are permitted within the C-3-G District. The Project complies with use and density requirements and is well served by transit and commercial services, allowing residents to commute, shop and reach amenities by walking, taking transit and bicycling. The Project conforms to multiple goals and policies of the General Plan, as described in further detail in Item #8.

8. General Plan Compliance. The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

#### HOUSING ELEMENT

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY'S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

#### Policy 1.8

Promote mixed use development, and include housing, particularly permanently affordable housing, in new commercial, institutional or other single use development projects.

The Project supports this Policy. The proposed Project would construct a significant amount of new housing units within an existing urban environment that is in need of more access to housing. The Project proposes to demolish an underutilized three-story commercial garage and surface parking lot and construct a mixed-use residential building above ground floor retail that contains 268 market rate units, 36 on-site affordable units compliant with Section 415 of the Planning Code, and approximately 4,578 gsf of retail use. The Property is an ideal site for new housing due to its central, downtown location, and proximity to public transportation. The current development of this location, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an under-utilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the Project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

#### Policy 1.10

Support new housing projects, especially affordable housing, where households can easily rely on public transportation, walking and bicycling for the majority of daily trips.

The Project supports this Policy. It is anticipated that because of the central downtown location of the Project, most residents would either walk, bike, or use public transportation for daily travel. The Project is two blocks from Market Street, a major rail and bus-transit corridor that provides convenient access from the Property to neighborhoods throughout the City, the East Bay, and the Peninsula. It is also 1.5 blocks from the Civic Center BART and MUNI stations, and is within one block of at least 6 MUNI bus lines.

The Project provides 304 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces with a convenient and separate entrance designated for bicyclists, encouraging the use of bikes as a mode of transportation.

#### **OBJECTIVE 5:**

#### ENSURE THAT ALL RESIDENTS HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO AVAILABLE UNITS.

#### Policy 5.4

Provide a range of unit types for all segments of need, and work to move residents between unit types as their needs change.

The Project supports this Policy. The Project would create 304 dwelling units, of which 61 (20%) are studios, 132 (43%) are one-bedroom units and 111 (37%) are two-bedroom units. The Project provides a range of unit types to serve a variety of needs, and will provide 12 percent on-site affordable units comprising of the similar dwelling unit mix, namely 22% studies, 43% one-bedrooms and 35% two-bedroom units.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

In compliance with this policy, the Project would provide permanently affordable on-site housing by allocating 12% of units as affordable, in accordance with the City's Affordable Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, thereby enhancing the City's affordable housing.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

SUPPORT AND RESPECT THE DIVERSE AND DISTRINT CHARACTER OF SAN FRANCISCO'S NEIGHBORHOODS.

#### Policy 11.1

Promote the construction and rehabilitation of well-designed housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.2

Ensure implementation of accepted design standards in project approvals

#### Policy 11.3

Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely impacting existing residential neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.4

Continue to utilize zoning districts which conform to a generalized residential land use and density plan and the General Plan.

#### Policy 11.6

Foster a sense of community through architectural design, using features that promote community interaction.

#### Policy 11.7

Respect San Francisco's historic fabric, by preserving landmark buildings and ensuring consistency with historic districts.

The Project supports these Policies. The Project would create 304 dwelling units in the immediate vicinity of existing residential and office buildings, and complies with the existing zoning in terms of land use, height, and density. The Project's design respect's the architectural design of adjacent historic resources, with a traditional tripartite façade containing defined base, shaft and top, while remaining modern in expression. This new development will greatly enhance the character of the existing neighborhood and is an ideal site for new housing due to its central, downtown location, and proximity to public transportation. The current development of this location, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an under-utilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the Project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

# **URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT**

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 3:**

MODERATION OF MAJOR NEW DEVELOPMENT TO COMPLEMENT THE CITY PATTERN, THE RESORUCES TO BE CONSERVED, AND THE NEIGHOBRHOOD ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 3.1

Promote harmony in the visual relationships and transitions between new and older buildings.

#### Policy 3.2

Avoid extreme contrasts in color, shape and other characteristics which will cause new buildings to stand out in excess of their public importance.

#### Policy 3.6

Relate the bulk of buildings to the prevailing scale of development to avoid an overwhelming or dominating appearance in new construction.

The Project employs design to relate to existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by mid- to high-rise, mixed-use buildings that help compose a district of Renaissance Revival-style buildings. Renaissance Revival-style buildings are typically expressed by tripartite design, vertical expression, punched windows, decorative brickwork and modillion cornices. The proposed project would replace an underutilized 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot that respects its context by providing a high-rise, mixed-used building of tripartite design, separated with horizontal reveals and punched

openings. The proposed structure complies with land use and development controls of the Planning Code and the surrounding development.

# COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

## Policy 1.1

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development that has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

#### Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

#### Policy 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

The Project would add approximately 4,578 square feet of new commercial space – divided between two tenant spaces – that is intended to serve residents in the building and surrounding neighborhood. Retail is encouraged and principally permitted on the ground floor of buildings in the Downtown General District, and is thus consistent with activities in the commercial land use plan.

#### TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS FOR SAFE, CONVENIENT, AND INEXPENSIVE TRAVEL WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION WHILE MAINTAINING THE HIGH QUALITY LIVING ENVIRONMENT OF THE BAY AREA.

#### Policy 1.2:

Ensure the safety and comfort of pedestrians throughout the city.

A primary objective of the proposed Project is to create a pedestrian-oriented environment at the Project Site that encourages walking as a principal means of transportation. Proposed improvements to the sidewalks would improve pedestrian safety, including the widening of the sidewalk along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage and a 6-foot bulb-out at the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street intersection, intended to reduce vehicular speed and provide greater refuge for pedestrians. The Project would also plant a consistent row of street trees along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue, along with bicycle racks enhance the pedestrian experience and provide convenience to bicyclists.

#### Policy 1.3:

Give priority to public transit and other alternatives to the private automobile as the means of meeting San Francisco's transportation needs particularly those of commuters.

#### Policy 1.6:

Ensure choices among modes of travel and accommodate each mode when and where it is most appropriate.

The Project would promote Objective 1 and its associated policies by providing for an amount of parking which is sufficient to meet the needs of the future residents so as to not overburden the surrounding neighborhood parking. However, the parking that is being provided is not expected to generate substantial traffic that would adversely impact pedestrian, transit, or bicycle movement. Given the proximity of the Project site to the employment opportunities and retail services of the Downtown Core, it is expected that residents will opt to prioritize walking, bicycle travel, or transit use over private automobile travel. In addition, the placement of parking in stacker configurations will discourage frequent use of vehicles for shorter trips and increase the use of public transit. Thus, the Project would provide a merely sufficient rather than excessive amount of parking in order to accommodate the parking needs of the future residents of the Project and the neighborhood, while still supporting and encouraging walking, bicycle travel and public transit use.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2:**

USE THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AS A MEANS FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 2.1:

Use rapid transit and other transportation improvements in the city and region as the catalyst for desirable development, and coordinate new facilities with public and private development.

The Project would promote Objective 2 and its associated policies by constructing a residential building with ground floor retail in the Downtown Core, which is the most transit rich area of the City. The Project would provide only 0.5 parking spaces per dwelling and will not provide any parking for the proposed retail uses. All of these parking spaces would be located underground, and thus be less intrusive from an urban design standpoint.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

ESTABLISH PUBLIC TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION IN SAN FRANCISCO AND AS A MEANS THROUGH WHICH TO GUIDE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVE REGIONAL MOBILITY AND AIR QUALITY.

#### **Policy 11.3:**

Encourage development that efficiently coordinates land use with transit service, requiring that developers address transit concerns as well as mitigate traffic problems.

The Project is located within a neighborhood rich with public transportation and the people occupying the building are expected to rely heavily on public transit, bicycling, or walking for the majority of their daily trips. The project includes bicycle parking for 322 bicycles (304 Class 1, 18 Class 2). Within a few blocks of the Project Site, there is an abundance of local and regional transit lines, including MUNI bus lines, MUNI Metro rail lines and BART. Additionally such transit lines also provide access to AC Transit (Transbay Terminal) and CalTrain.

# DOWNTOWN AREA PLAN

## **Objectives and Policies**

## **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1.1

Encourage development which produces substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development which has substantial undesirable consequences which cannot be mitigated.

The Project would bring additional housing into a neighborhood that is well served by public transit on the edge of Downtown. The Project would not displace any housing because the existing structure at 1066 Market Street is a vacant 2-story commercial space and surface parking lot. The Project would improve the existing character of the neighborhood by removing the surface parking lot and vacant structure. The proposed retail space, which includes ground floor retail space, is consistent and compatible with the existing retail uses in the neighborhood and is also consistent with the pedestrian-friendly uses in the immediate neighborhood and the downtown core.

The Project therefore creates substantial net benefits for the City with minimal undesirable consequences.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

EXPAND THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING IN AND ADJACENT TO DOWNTOWN.

#### Policy 7.1.1

Promote the inclusion of housing in downtown commercial developments.

#### Policy 7.2

Facilitate conversion of underused industrial and commercial areas to residential use.

The Project would demolish an underutilized commercial space and surface parking lot, constructing a 120-foot tall, 12-story-over-basement (14 level), 304-unit residential building over ground floor retail, within easy commuting distance of downtown jobs.

The Project includes approximately 4,578 square feet of ground floor commercial space, with tenant spaces on Market Street and the corner of Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue; these spaces would provide services to the immediate neighborhood, and would create pedestrian-oriented, active uses on each of the three frontages.

#### **OBJECTIVE 16:**

CREATE AND MAINTAIN ATTRACTIVE, INTERESTING URBAN STREETSCAPES.

#### Policy 16.4

Use designs and materials and include amenities at the ground floor to create pedestrian interest.

The Project would promote Objective 16 by including a ground floor retail use which would promote pedestrian traffic in the vicinity. The Project would provide floor-to-ceiling, transparent windows in retail spaces, inviting pedestrians, and landscape the sidewalk area surrounding the Project Site with street trees and bike racks. This space would increase the usefulness of the vicinity surrounding the Project Site to pedestrians and serve to calm the speed of traffic on the street.

- Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review
  of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Project complies with said policies
  in that:
  - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The Project would not displace existing neighborhood-serving retail uses because no retail uses currently exist at the Project Site. In addition to 304 residential units, the Project would include approximately 4,578 square feet of retail space in two separate commercial spaces. The Project would have a positive effect on existing neighborhood-serving retail uses because it would bring additional residents to the neighborhood, thus increasing the customer base of existing neighborhood-serving retail uses. Instead, the Project would enhance neighborhood-serving retail by adding new retail space, which could strengthen nearby neighborhood retail uses by attracting pedestrians and passersby and broadening the consumer base and demand for existing neighborhood-serving retail services. The addition of this new space would also complement the pedestrian-friendly Downtown core and would continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The Project would not negatively affect the existing housing and neighborhood character. The Project would not displace any housing because the existing structure at 1066 Market Street is an underutilized, vacant commercial building and surface parking lot. The Project would improve the existing character of the neighborhood by removing the vacant structure and surface parking lot. The

21

proposed retail space is consistent and compatible with the existing retail uses in the neighborhood and is also consistent with the pedestrian-friendly uses in the immediate neighborhood and the downtown core.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

There is currently no housing on the site; therefore, no affordable housing will be lost as part of this Project. The Project would enhance the City's supply of affordable housing by providing 36 on-site affordable dwelling units, in compliance with the affordable housing requirements of Planning Code Section 415.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project would not impede MUNI transit service or overburden local streets or parking. The Project is at a location well-served by transit as it is located in a major transit corridor and would promote rather than impede the use of MUNI transit service. Future residents and employees of the Project could access both the existing MUNI rail and bus services and the BART system. The Project also provides a sufficient amount of off-street parking for future residents so that neighborhood parking will not be overburdened by the addition of new residents.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not negatively affect the industrial and service sectors because it is largely residential in nature and would not displace any existing industrial uses. The Project would also be consistent with the character of existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by commercial office buildings and residential high-rise buildings.

F. That the City achieves the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project will be consistent with the City's goal to achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. The building will be constructed in compliance with all current building codes to ensure a high level of seismic safety.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The Planning Department has determined that the 1066 Market Street a three-story, vacant commercial space and surface parking lot, is not individually eligible for listing on the California Register, but is located in an historic district. Accordingly, the building has been designed to respect the character defining features of the district. As such, the Project would not have an indirect impact on historic resources by negatively altering the existing visual setting of these resources.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would not cast any new shadows on parks under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Parks and Recreation Department.

- 10. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 11. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

24

# DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby APPROVES Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2013.1753CXV subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated February 23, 2016 and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth and includes that portion of the Project described on the plans attached hereto as Exhibit B that is located within the Rincon Point South Beach Redevelopment Plan Area.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion. The effective date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30- day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554- 5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

**Protest of Fee or Exaction:** You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion constitutes conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on March 17, 2016,

10 Ionas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES:Fong, Richards, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson,NAYS:Moore, WuABSENT:NoneADOPTED:March 17, 2016

# **EXHIBIT A**

# AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a Conditional Use Authorization r elating to a Project that would demolish an existing three-story, vacant commercial structure and surface parking lot and construct a new, 12-story-over-basement, 14-level approximately 120-foot tall, 298,278 gross square foot building (of which 227,956 counts towards Floor Area Ratio (FAR)) containing approximately 4,578 gross square feet of ground floor commercial space, and 304 dwelling-units located at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Block 0350, Lot 003 pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 124(f) within the C-3-G Zoning District and a 120-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated February 23, 2016, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Case No. 2013.1753XCV and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on March 17, 2016 under Motion No. 19594. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER REQUIREMENTS**

The Conditions of Approval set forth in Exhibit A of Motion No. 19593, Case No. 2013.1753CXV (Downtown Project Authorization under Planning Code Section 309) apply to this approval, and are incorporated herein as though fully set forth, except as modified herein, Further the Project requires variances from Section 135(g)(2), use of inner courts, that requires approval from the Zoning Administrator.

# **RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Flanning Commission on March 17, 2016 under Motion No. 19594.

### PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. 19594 shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Downtown Project Authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

#### SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

# CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Downtown Project Authorization.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

 Validity. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the Project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

2. Expiration and Renewal. Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the Project Sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the Project Sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

3. Diligent pursuit. Once a Site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

4. Extension. All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs shall, at the Project Sponsor's request, be extended by the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the Project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

6. Additional Project Authorization. The Project Sponsor must obtain a Variance from Section 135(g)(2), which does not provide the one-to-one ratio of depth to building height required by

Section 135(g)(2) of the Planning Code. The Project Sponsor must also obtain a Downtown Project Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 309, and request exceptions for rear yard per Section 134, reduction of ground-level wind currents per Section 148 and reduction of freight loading requirements per Section 161. The conditions set forth are additional conditions required in connection with the Project. If these conditions overlap with any other requirement imposed on the Project, the more restrictive or protective condition or requirement, as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall apply.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

7. Transferable Development Rights. Pursuant to Section 128, the Project Sponsor shall purchase the required number of units of Transferrable Development Rights (TDR) and secure a Notice of Use of TDR prior to the issuance of an architectural addendum for all development which exceeds the base FAR of 6.0 to 1, up to a maximum FAR of 9.0 to 1. The net addition of gross floor area subject to the fee shall be determined based on drawings submitted with the Building Permit Application.

For information about compliance, contact the Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-</u> planning.org

8. Improvement and Mitigation Measures. Improvement and Mitigation measures described in the MMRP attached as Exhibit C to the CEQA Findings Motion associated with the Subject Project are necessary to avoid potential significant impacts of the Project and have been agreed to by the Project Sponsor. Their implementation is a condition of Project approval. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

# **DESIGN – COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE**

9. Final Materials. The Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department on the building design. Final materials, glazing, color, texture, landscaping (including roof deck landscaping), and detailing shall be subject to Department staff review and approval. The architectural addenda shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

10. Street Trees. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1, the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the Site Permit application indicating that street trees, at a ratio of one street tree of an approved species for every 20 feet of street frontage along public or private streets bounding the Project, with any remaining fraction of 10 feet or more of frontage requiring an extra tree, shall be provided. The street trees shall be evenly spaced along the street frontage except where proposed driveways or other street obstructions do not permit. The exact location, size and species of tree shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW). In any case in which DPW cannot grant approval for installation of a tree in the public right-of-way, on the basis of inadequate sidewalk width,

interference with utilities or other reasons regarding the public welfare, and where installation of such tree on the lot itself is also impractical, the requirements of this Section 806 of the Public Works Code may be modified or waived by the Department of Public Works. The Project currently shows the installation of ten of the fifteen required street trees, with an in-lieu fee requirement applicable for five street trees. The Project shall install the thirteen (13) street trees and pay the in-lieu fee for the one (1) tree as set forth in Condition Number 23 below, unless the installation of the 13 trees proves infeasible, in which case the Project shall pay an in-lieu fee for any of the 13 trees not so installed. Also, all street trees must meet the standards per Article 16 of the Public Works Code, Section 806.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Urban Forestry at 415-554-6700, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

11. Streetscape Elements. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1, the Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department staff, in consultation with other City agencies, to refine the design and programming of the required Streetscape features so that it generally meets the standards of the Better Streets and Downtown Plans, as well as all applicable City standards. This includes, but is not limited to the widening of the sidewalk to 16-feet along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage, and a the provision of a 6-foot bulbout at the southeast corner of the Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue intersection. The Project Sponsor shall complete final design of all required street improvements, including procurement of relevant City permits, prior to issuance of the architectural addenda, and shall complete construction of all required street improvements prior to issuance of first temporary certificate of occupancy.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

12. Garbage, composting and recycling storage. Space for the collection and storage of garbage, composting, and recycling shall be provided within enclosed areas on the property and clearly labeled and illustrated on the Site Permit plans. Space for the collection and storage of recyclable and compostable materials that meets the size, location, accessibility and other standards specified by the San Francisco Recycling Program shall be provided at the ground level of the buildings.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

13. Rooftop Mechanical Equipment. Pursuant to Planning Code 141, the Project Sponsor shall submit a roof plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the architectural addendum to the Site Permit application. Rooftop mechanical equipment, if any is proposed as part of the Project, is required to be screened so as not to be visible from any point at or below the roof level of the subject building.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

14. Lighting Plan. The Project Sponsor shall submit an exterior lighting plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning Department approval of the architectural addendum to the site permit application.

29

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

15. Transformer Vault. The location of individual project PG&E Transformer Vault installations has significant effects to San Francisco streetscapes when improperly located. However, they may not have any impact if they are installed in preferred locations. Therefore, the Planning Department recommends the following preference schedule in locating new transformer vaults, in order of most to least desirable:

- a. On-site, in a basement area accessed via a garage or other access point without use of separate doors on a ground floor façade facing a public right-of-way;
- b. On-site, in a driveway, underground;
- c. On-site, above ground, screened from view, other than a ground floor façade facing a public right-of-way;
- Public right-of-way, underground, under sidewalks with a minimum width of 12 feet, avoiding effects on streetscape elements, such as street trees; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- e. Public right-of-way, underground; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- f. Public right-of-way, above ground, screened from view; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- g. On-site, in a ground floor façade (the least desirable location).
- h. Unless otherwise specified by the Planning Department, Department of Public Work's Bureau of Street Use and Mapping (DPW BSM) should use this preference schedule for all new transformer vault installation requests.

For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works at 415-554-5810, http://sfdpw.org

 Overhead Wiring. The Property owner will allow MUNI to install eyebolts in the building adjacent to its electric streetcar line to support its overhead wire system if requested by MUNI or MTA.

For information about compliance, contact San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni), San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA), at 415-701-4500, www.sfmta.org

# PARKING AND TRAFFIC

17. Parking Maximum. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 151.1, the Project shall provide no more than one parking space per two dwelling units as of right. With 304 dwelling units proposed, there is a maximum of 102 off-street parking spaces allowed as-of-right. With 102 off-street parking spaces total included, the Project Sponsor must design and designate 4 off-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

 Off-street Loading. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 152.1, the Project shall provide one offstreet loading space and attain one dedicated on-street loading space with the Metropolitan Transportation Authority.
For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

19. Car Share. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 166, no less than two car share space shall be made available, at no cost, to a certified car share organization for the purposes of providing car share services for its service subscribers.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

- 20. Bicycle Parking (Mixed-Use: New Commercial/Major Renovation and Residential). Pursuant to Planning Code Sections 155.1, 155.4, and 155.5, the Project shall provide no fewer than 152 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces (151 for the residential portion of the Project and 1 for the retail portion) and 17 Class 2 spaces fifteen for residential and 7 for commercial). For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 21. Managing Traffic During Construction. The Project Sponsor and construction contractor(s) shall coordinate with the Traffic Engineering and Transit Divisions of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Planning Department, and other construction contractor(s) for any concurrent nearby Projects to manage traffic congestion and pedestrian circulation effects during construction of the Project. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

#### PROVISIONS

22. Street Trees. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1 (formerly 143), the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the building permit application indicating that street trees, at a ratio of one street tree of an approved species for every 20 feet of street frontage along public or private streets bounding the Project, with any remaining fraction of 10 feet or more of frontage requiring an extra tree, shall be provided. The street trees shall be evenly spaced along the street frontage except where proposed driveways or other street obstructions do not permit. The exact location, size and species of tree shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW). In any case in which DPW cannot grant approval for installation of a tree in the public right-of-way, on the basis of inadequate sidewalk width, interference with utilities or other reasons regarding the public welfare, and where installation of such tree on the lot itself is also impractical, the requirements of Section 806 of the Planning Department Code may be modified or waived by the Director of the Public Works Department.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

23. **Transportation Sustainability Fee.** The project is subject to the Transportation Sustainability Fee (TSF), as applicable, pursuant to Planning Code Section 411A.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

- 24. Art Residential Projects. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, the Project Sponsor must provide on-site artwork, pay into the Public Artworks Fund, or fulfill the requirement with any combination of on-site artwork or fee payment as long as it equals one percent of the hard construction costs for the Project as determined by the Director of the Department of Building Inspection. The Project Sponsor shall provide to the Director necessary information to make the determination of construction cost hereunder. Payment into the Public Artworks Fund is due prior to issuance of the first construction document. If the Project Sponsor elects to provide the artwork on-site, the Conditions set forth in Conditions Numbers 28-30 below shall govern. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org
- 25. Art Plaques. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429(b), the Project Sponsor shall provide a plaque or cornerstone identifying the architect, the artwork creator and the Project completion date in a publicly conspicuous location on the Project Site. The design and content of the plaque shall be approved by Department staff prior to its installation.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

26. Art – Concept Development. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, the Project Sponsor and the Project artist shall consult with the Planning Department during design development regarding the height, size, and final type of the art. The final art concept shall be submitted for review for consistency with this Motion by, and shall be satisfactory to, the Director of the Planning Department in consultation with the Commission. The Project Sponsor and the Director shall report to the Commission on the progress of the development and design of the art concept prior to the approval of the first building or site permit application.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

27. Art - Installation. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, prior to issuance of any certificate of occupancy, the Project Sponsor shall install the public art generally as described in this Motion and make it available to the public. If the Zoning Administrator concludes that it is not feasible to install the work(s) of art within the time herein specified and the Project Sponsor provides adequate assurances that such works will be installed in a timely manner, the Zoning Administrator may extend the time for installation for a period of not more than twelve (12) months. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

# Affordable Units

28. Number of Required Units. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.6, the Project is required to provide 12% of the proposed dwelling units as affordable to qualifying households. The Project contains 304 units; therefore, 37 affordable units are required. The Project Sponsor will fulfill this requirement by providing the 37 affordable units on-site. If the number of market-rate units

change, the number of required affordable units shall be modified accordingly with written approval from Planning Department staff in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD").

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

29. Unit Mix. The Project contains 61 studios, 132 one-bedroom and 111 two-bedroom units; therefore, the required affordable unit mix is 7 studios, 16 one-bedroom and 14 two-bedroom units. If the market-rate unit mix changes, the affordable unit mix will be modified accordingly with written approval from Planning Department staff in consultation with MOHCD.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

**30.** Unit Location. The affordable units shall be designated on a reduced set of plans recorded as a Notice of Special Restrictions on the property prior to the issuance of the first construction permit.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

**31.** Phasing. If any building permit is issued for partial phasing of the Project, the Project Sponsor shall have designated not less than twelve percent (12%) of the each phase's total number of dwelling units as on-site affordable units.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

- 32. Duration. Under Planning Code Section 415.8, all units constructed pursuant to Section 415.6, must remain affordable to qualifying households for the life of the project. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.
- **33.** Other Conditions. The Project is subject to the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program under Section 415 et seq. of the Planning Code and City and County of San Francisco Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Monitoring and Procedures Manual ("Procedures Manual"). The Procedures Manual, as amended from time to time, is incorporated herein by reference, as published and adopted by the Planning Commission, and as required by Planning Code Section 415. Terms used in these conditions of approval and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings set forth in the Procedures Manual. A copy of the Procedures Manual can be obtained at the MOHCD at 1 South Van Ness Avenue or on the Planning Department or MOHCD websites, including on the internet at:

<u>http://sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=4451</u>. As provided in the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, the applicable Procedures Manual is the manual in effect at the time the subject units are made available for sale.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

- a. The affordable unit(s) shall be designated on the building plans prior to the issuance of the first construction permit by the Department of Building Inspection ("DBI"). The affordable unit(s) shall (1) reflect the unit size mix in number of bedrooms of the market rate units, (2) be constructed, completed, ready for occupancy and marketed no later than the market rate units, and (3) be evenly distributed throughout the building; and (4) be of comparable overall quality, construction and exterior appearance as the market rate units in the principal project. The interior features in affordable units should be generally the same as those of the market units in the principal project, but need not be the same make, model or type of such item as long they are of good and new quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing. Other specific standards for on-site units are outlined in the Procedures Manual.
- b. If the units in the building are offered for sale, the affordable unit(s) shall be sold to first time home buyer households, as defined in the Procedures Manual, whose gross annual income, adjusted for household size, does not exceed an average of ninety (90) percent of Area Median Income under the income table called "Maximum Income by Household Size derived from the Unadjusted Area Median Income for HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area that contains San Francisco." The initial sales price of such units shall be calculated according to the Procedures Manual. Limitations on (i) reselling; (ii) renting; (iii) recouping capital improvements; (iv) refinancing; and (v) procedures for inheritance apply and are set forth in the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program and the Procedures Manual.
- c. The Project Sponsor is responsible for following the marketing, reporting, and monitoring requirements and procedures as set forth in the Procedures Manual. MOHCD shall be responsible for overseeing and monitoring the marketing of affordable units. The Project Sponsor must contact MOHCD at least six months prior to the beginning of marketing for any unit in the building.
- d. Required parking spaces shall be made available to initial buyers or renters of affordable units according to the Procedures Manual.
- e. Prior to the issuance of the first construction permit by DBI for the Project, the Project Sponsor shall record a Notice of Special Restriction on the property that contains these conditions of approval and a reduced set of plans that identify the affordable units satisfying the requirements of this approval. The Project Sponsor shall promptly provide a copy of the recorded Notice of Special Restriction to the Department and to MOHCD or its successor.

Motion No. 19594 March 17, 2016

- f. The Project Sponsor has demonstrated that it is eligible for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative under Planning Code Section 415.6 instead of payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, and has submitted the Affidavit of Compliance with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Planning Code Section 415 to the Planning Department stating that any affordable units designated as on-site units shall be sold as ownership units and will remain as ownership units for the life of the Project.
- g. If the Project Sponsor fails to comply with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requirement, the Director of DBI shall deny any and all site or building permits or certificates of occupancy for the development project until the Planning Department notifies the Director of compliance. A Project Sponsor's failure to comply with the requirements of Planning Code Section 415 et seq. shall constitute cause for the City to record a lien against the development project and to pursue any and all available remedies at law.
- h. If the Project becomes ineligible at any time for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative, the Project Sponsor or its successor shall pay the Affordable Housing Fee prior to issuance of the first construction permit. If the Project becomes ineligible after issuance of its first construction permit, the Project Sponsor shall notify the Department and MOHCD and pay interest on the Affordable Housing Fee and penalties, if applicable.

# **OPERATION**

34. Community Liaison. Prior to issuance of a building permit to construct the Project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator with written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

35. Sidewalk Maintenance. The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards. For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works, 415-695-2017, <u>http://sfdpw.org</u>

# MONITORING

36. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning

Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

37. Enforcement. Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

# Alexandra Goldman

| rom:     | Luellen, Mark (CPC) <mark.luellen@sfgov.org></mark.luellen@sfgov.org> |
|----------|---|
| Sent:    | Thursday, April 14, 2016 12:00 PM                                     |
| То:      | Alexandra Goldman; Cook, Lorabelle (CPC)                              |
| Cc:      | Chang, Tina (CPC)   |
| Subject: | RE: CUA Final Motion 19594 - 1066 Market Street - 2013.1753CXV        |

Alexandra,

The PDF with Mr. Ionin's signature is sufficient.

Thank you

# Mark Luellen Senior Planner Northeast Team Manager

Planning Department City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6478 | Fax: 415-558-6409 Email: <u>mark.luellen@sfgov.org</u> Web: <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>



From: Alexandra Goldman [mailto:AGoldman@TNDC.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 11:46 AM
To: Cook, Lorabelle (CPC)
Cc: Chang, Tina (CPC); Luellen, Mark (CPC)
Subject: RE: CUA Final Motion 19594 - 1066 Market Street - 2013.1753CXV

HI all-

Thanks for this. I just sent this to Tina, but, according to the appeal document it says that signatures are required for the Copy of the Planning Commission's Decision (and it says "facsimile is not acceptable"). Is what you sent me sufficient, or should I track down another version of it?

Thank you!

Alexandra Goldman, Senior Community Organizing and Planning Manager Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC) 149 Taylor Street, San Francisco, Ca 94102 (415) 358-3920 agoldman@tndc.org

www.tndc.org



At TNDC, we believe that when people have homes, communities thrive. We envision a San Francisco where low-income people can afford housing that meets their basic needs, is close to the amenities and services that enhance their quality of life, and provides them with the safety and stability they need to fulfill their potential. <u>Will you help us?</u>

From: Cook, Lorabelle (CPC) [mailto:lorabelle.cook@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Alexandra Goldman
Cc: Chang, Tina (CPC); Luellen, Mark (CPC)
Subject: CUA Final Motion 19594 - 1066 Market Street - 2013.1753CXV

Good Morning,

Please find the attached .pdf copy of Motion No. 19594, for record #2013.1753CXV.

\*\* Please let me know if you would also like to receive hard copies via USPS.\*\*

Lorshelle Cook

Current Planning NE/NW Quadrants Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-575-9100 Email: Lorabelle.Cook@sfgov.org Web: www.sfplanning.org APPLICATION FOR Board of Supervisors Appeal Fee Waiver

colication to Request a

Board of Supervisors Appeal Fee Walve

CASE NUUBER; Fire State United States

| 1. Applicant and Project In primation                |  |
|--|--|
| APPLICANT NAWE:                                      | ••••••••••••••••                       |
| APPLICANTAIDHESS: Donald Falk                        | TELEPHONE                              |
| 215 Taylor st  | (415) 358- 3923<br>EMARI               |
| Son Francisco, (A 94102                              | dfalk Ctude. org                       |
| NEIGHEORHOOD ORGANIZATION NAMES                      | ······································ |
| Tenderbin Neighborhood Development Corpora           | tion<br>Telephone:                     |
| 215 Taylor St  | (415) 358 - 3923                       |
| Son Francisco, cA 44102                              | enter:<br>afak afalketrdeorg           |
| PROJECT AUDIESS.                                     | ······································ |
| 1066 Market St.<br>PLANNING CASE NO:<br>2013.1753CXV | : DATE OF DEDISION OF AND.             |

## 2. Required Criteria for Granting Warver

(All must be satisfied; please attach supporting materials)

The appellant is a member of the stated neighborhood organization and is authorized to file the appeal on behalf of the organization. Authorization may take the form of a letter signed by the President or other officer of the organization.

The appellant is appealing on behalf of an organization that is registered with the Planning Department and that appears on the Department's current list of neighborhood organizations.

The appellant is appealing on behalf of an organization that has been in existence at least 24 months prior to the submittal of the fee valver request. Existence may be established by evidence including that relating to the organization's activities at that time such as meeting minutes, resolutions, publications and rosters.

The appellant is appealing on behalf of a neighborhood organization that is affected by the project and that is the subject of the appeal.

For Department Use Only Application received by Planning Department:

By:

Date:

Submission Checklist:

APPELLANT AUTHORIZATION

CURRENT ORGANIZATION REGISTRATION

MINIMUM ORGANIZATION AGE

C PROJECT IMPACT ON ORGANIZATION

WAIVER APPROVED

C WAIVER DENIED



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT FOR MOHE INFORMATION: Call or visit the Ban Francisco Planning Department

Central Reception 1650 Mission Street, Suito 400 San Francisco CA 94103-2479

TEL: 415.558.6378 FAX: 415.558.6409 WE8: http://www.siplanning.org Planning Information Center (PIC) 1660 Mission Street, First Floor San Francisco: CA 94103-2479

TEL: 415.558.8377 Рапийца выявало во реконология at the FIC социнае на арректитату та посоздай,

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | •   |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| TENDERLOIN NEIGHBORHOOD<br>DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION<br>ADMINISTRATIVE ACCOUNT<br>201 EDDY ST<br>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 | Date 4/18/16                          | 2583<br>11-35/1210<br>299                                 |
| Pay to the<br>Order of San Francisco Pla<br>Five hundred sixty +   | nningDept \$ 56                       | a construction  |
| Bank of America<br>Market Van Ness<br>1525 Market St<br>San Francisco CA<br>650.615.4700<br>For Could tand Use appal   | VOID AFTER 90 DAYS                    | <u>м</u>  |
| Carke American   | 2<br>2                                | ManifestSingleinst≌ragenmeter<br>BUARDIAN⊗SAFETY BLUE DRB |

1611

Ę



# LANNING DEPARTMEN

SAN FRANCISCO

7116 MAY -9 AM 11:21

L E L

|                | APPEAL OF CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION AK<br>1066 Market Street  | <u>1650 Micion (</u><br>Sola 10)<br>San Parsaca<br>CA 94103 247 |
|----------------|---|---|
| DATE:          | May 9, 2016   | Paceston<br>415,554,857   |
| TO:            | Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  | Fax.<br>415.558.640   |
| FROM:          | John Rahaim, Planning Director – Planning Department (415) 558-6411<br>Tina Chang, Case Planner – Planning Department (415) 575-9197        | Fizmey<br>Biographi<br>115.558.617                              |
| RE:            | <u>File No. 160400, Planning Case No. 2013.1753C</u> - Appeal of the approval of Conditional Use Authorization for 1066 Market Street       |   |
| HEARING DATE:  | May 17, 2016  |   |
| ATTACHMENTS: . | <ul><li>A. Planning Commission Staff Report (Executive Summary, Exhibits, &amp; Final Motion)</li><li>B. Project Sponsor Drawings</li></ul> |   |

PROJECT SPONSOR: Julie Burdick, 235 Montgomery Street, 16th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104

APPELLANT: Donald Falk, 215 Taylor Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

# **INTRODUCTION:**

This memorandum and the attached documents are a response to the letter of appeal to the Board of Supervisors ("Board") regarding the Planning Commission's ("Commission") approval of the application for Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 303 (Conditional Use Authorization) and 124 (Basic Floor Area Ratio), to allow square footage above the base floor area ratio, for dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project at 1066 Market Street within the C-3-G (Downtown General District) and 120-X Height and Bulk District ("the Project"). The allowance, pursuant to Planning Code Section 124(f) would exempt floor area dedicated to below market rate units from floor area calculations.

This response addresses the appeal ("Appeal Letter") to the Board filed on April 18, 2016 by Donald Falk, representing neighbors in opposition to the project. The Appeal Letter referenced the proposed project in Case No. 2013.1753C.

The decision before the Board is whether to uphold or overturn the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Authorization to allow square footage above the base floor area ratio, exempting floor area dedicated to below market rate units from floor area calculations.

# SITE DESCRIPTION & PRESENT USE:

The "L" shaped, 27,310 square foot (sf) project site has approximately 55-feet of frontage on Market Street, where it is sandwiched by a three-story commercial building to the west and two-story commercial building to the east. The Project also has frontage on Jones Street to the west, north of the aforementioned three-story commercial building, and Golden Gate Avenue to the north of the project site. A 5,066 gross square foot (gsf) vacant commercial building and adjoining 23,419 gsf surface parking lot currently occupies the project site. The commercial building, which was construction in 1966, fronts Market Street on the south side of the property. The privately owned parking lot holds approximately 102 vehicles.

# SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD:

The project site is located within the Downtown Plan Area at 1066 Market Street in the Downtown/ Civic Center neighborhood of San Francisco. Within the Downtown / Civic Center neighborhood are smaller districts and micro-neighborhoods, such as the Market Street Theater and Loft Historic District, of which this Project is a part. After the destruction of the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, the neighborhood was rebuilt with the single room occupancy hotels that largely exist to this day. These SROs have provided affordable housing for new immigrants, workers and the low-income people ever since. According to KQED, these hotels represent the world's largest conglomeration of SROs in the world<sup>1</sup>. The City of San Francisco passed the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance in 1990 to protect this housing supply and limit the displacement of its residents. Land uses in the surrounding area include a mix of low- and mid-rise mixed-use commercial buildings, tourist and residential hotels, multifamily housing, entertainment uses and government institutions. Properties in the immediate vicinity of the project site include a three-story commercial/ retail building to the south at 1072-1098 Market Street; a 10story, low-income and senior housing development to the west at 121 Golden Gate Avenue; a sevenstory, 820unit homeless housing facility at 39-42 Jones Street; a nine-story, 108-unit apartment a twostory commercial/ retail building at 1028-1056 Market to the east, which is currently occupied by a "popup" food and beverage court ("The Hall"). The Hall is temporarily occupying the space while the property's project sponsor finalizes the entitlement of the proposed project which includes the demolition of the existing building and the new construction of a 12-story, mixed-use residential-over-ground-floor retail development.

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The Project includes the demolition of an existing two-story, vacant, commercial building and adjoining 23,419 square foot surface parking lot, and the new construction of a 12-story, 14-level, mixed-used building containing approximately 304 dwelling units, with commercial retail on the ground floor, bicycle parking and two levels of subterranean parking with 102 parking spaces. The building's height ranges from approximately 113 to 120 feet tall. The proposed dwelling unit mix consists of approximately 61 studios (approximately 20 percent of total units), 76 junior one-bedroom units (approximately 25 percent of total units), 56 one-bedroom units (about 18 percent of total units) and 111 two-bedroom units (about 37 percent). Residential amenities include a lounge, lobby, fitness center, leasing office and bicycle parking. The Project will provide 304 Class 1 and 18 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces.

Sweet, Natalie. "In Defense of the Tenderloin: Truths About SF's Sketchiest Hood", KQED. <u>http://ww2.kqed.org/pop/2013/06/28/in-defense-of-the-tenderloin-truths-about-sfs-sketchiest-hood/</u> June 28, 2013.

Approximately 4,540 square feet of commercial retail space provides active street frontage along Market Street, Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street. Due to a grade change of approximately 13 feet between the northwest corner and southeast corner of the project site, street access to the building occurs from two separate ground floors: one with access from Market Street (Level 1) and another from Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue (Level 2). A 6,333 square-foot open-air courtyard is located in the center of the proposed Project at Level 1, with an elevated walkway through the courtyard providing access to the at-grade lobby amenity and lounge area on Level 2. A patio intended for the 1,684 square foot commercial space fronting Market Street is located at the southeast corner of the open-air courtyard. The roof includes two terraces totaling approximately 6,000 square feet.

# **BACKGROUND:**

On June 18, 2014, the Project Sponsor filed an Environmental Review, Downtown Project Authorization (Section 309), Conditional Use Authorization and Variance Application with the Planning Department.

On January 13, 2016, the Draft Initial Study/ Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS / MND) for the Project was prepared and published for public review; and available for public comment until February 2, 2016.

On February 2, 2016, an appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration was filed with the Department.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Downtown Project Authorization, Conditional Use Authorization and Appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration for Application No. 2013.1753XCVE.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission upheld the Mitigated Negative Declaration and approved the issuance of the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) as prepared by the Planning Department in compliance with CEQA, and the Conditional Use Authorization, subject to the conditions contained in "Exhibit A" of Motion Number 19594.

# CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS:

Planning Code Section 124(f) allows buildings in C-3-G and C-3-S Districts additional square footage above that permitted by the base floor area ratio limits be approved for construction of dwellings on the site of the building affordable for the Life of the Project as defined in Planning Code Section 401. Section 124(f) also allows the gross square footage dedicated to affordable units to be exempt for the purposes of Floor Area Ratio calculations.

Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Commission to consider when reviewing all applications for Conditional Use approval. To approve the project, the Commission must find that these criteria have been met:

- 1. That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community; and
- 2. That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, with respect to aspects including but not limited to the following:

# Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Hearing Date: May 17, 2016

- a. The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;
- b. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;
- c. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;
- d. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs; and
- 3. That such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan.
- 4. Such use or feature as proposed will provide development that is in conformity with the states purpose of the applicable Use District.

# APPELLANT ISSUES AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT RESPONSES:

The concerns raised in the Appeal Letter are cited in a summary below and are followed by the Department's response:

**ISSUE #1:** The project insufficiently acknowledges the community in which the proposed project is located; namely the Tenderloin. The Tenderloin is the lowest income and most racially diverse neighborhood in San Francisco, although that status is in peril. The median income for a Tenderloin resident is approximately \$23,000 per year, equating to an "affordable rent of \$575 per month. By contrast, if the units at 1066 Market Street rent at current rates, rents will be approximately four times the amount affordable to most Tenderloin residents. The BMR units, which are targeted towards households earning no more than approximately \$40,000 annually, would be unaffordable to many Tenderloin residents.

**<u>RESPONSE #1</u>**: The project is a market-rate project that provides new market-rate housing and 36 onsite below market-rate units, as currently required by the Planning Code. The Commission's approval is within the context of additional City efforts in support of existing residents and affordable housing which are described below.



# The Project.

While the Appellant believes the project insufficiently acknowledges the community in which the project is located, Department Staff received 98 letters of support from neighbors, business owners and organizations in the vicinity., including the adjacent Boyd Hotel, residents at the adjacent 129 Golden Gate Avenue building, Housing Action Coalition, WeWork, UC Hastings, Saint Francis Foundation, North of Market Neighborhood Improvement Corporation / Tenderloin Economic Development Project, Lava Mae, the Sheet Metal Works' Local Union 104, United Playas, among others. Randy Shaw of the Tenderloin Housing Clinic verbally supported the project before the Commission on March 17. As indicated in the letters, supporters found the proposed ground floor retail and additional residents to the Tenderloin beneficial to the safety and security of the neighborhood by activating street frontage and bringing more eyes on the streets.

Based on fourth quarter 2015 data from the Planning Land Use Database and the Housing Inventory, there are 23,510 dwelling units in the Central Market / Tenderloin neighborhood -- roughly bounded by Van Ness Avenue to the west, Geary Street to the North, Powell and 5<sup>th</sup> Street to the east and Howard Street to the south (see map<sup>2</sup> to the left). Of these units, 71% are permanently affordable (5,400 units) and/or rent stabilized<sup>3</sup> (11,325 units (7,825 rent controlled; 3,500 private SRO units)), while 29% are market rate units (6,785).

With the project's addition of 268 market rate and 36 below market rate units, the percentage of permanently affordable and rent stabilized units would be decreased to 70% (5,436 permanently affordable + 11,325 rent stabilized units) while the percentage of market rate units would increase to 30% (7,053 units). Accordingly, the project would have minimal effect on the calculated average affordability of the Central Market / Tenderloin neighborhood and the number of units affordable to Tenderloin residents (see table below).

| UNITTYPE               | NUMBER W/OUT<br>PROJECT | NUMBER W/<br>PROJECT | PERCENTAGE<br>W/OUT PROJECT | PERCENT W/<br>PROJECT |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Market Rate            | 6,785                   | 7,053                | 29%                         | 30%                   |
| Rent Stabilized        | -11,325                 | 11,325               | 48%                         | 48%                   |
| Rent-controlled        | 7,825                   | 7,825                | -<br>                       | -                     |
| Private SROs           | 3,500                   | 3,500                | -                           | -                     |
| Permanently Affordable | 5,400                   | 5,436                | 23%                         | 22%                   |
| Non-profit SRO         | 3,500                   | 3500                 |                             | -<br>-                |
| BMR                    | 656                     | 692                  | -                           | -                     |
| Affordable Units       | 934                     | 934                  | -                           | -                     |
| Total                  | 23,510                  | 23,814               | 100%                        | 100%                  |

| <b>Central Market</b> | / Tenderloin | Residential | <b>Unit Summary</b> | as of 4 <sup>th</sup> | Quarter 2015 |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|

# The Context.

The appellants raise a concern that the proposed project may increase displacement pressure on surrounding residential units. This argument, addressed in many articles recently, is perhaps best summarized by Rick Jacobus in a March 9, 2016 article in ShelterForce, titled "Why We Must Build. We can't build our way out of the housing crisis...but we won't get out without building<sup>4</sup>". In this article,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Source: Central Market / Tenderloin Strategy 2015 | Appendix F: Baseline Neighborhood Conditions, <u>http://investsf.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Central-Market-Tenderloin-Strategy Appendix F.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> **Permanently affordable units** includes Non-profit SRO units, Below Market Rate Units, Affordable Housing Units or SF Housing Authority Units. **Rent stabilized units** includes rent-controlled and private SRO units. Rent-stabilized refers to properties with maximum rates established for annual rent increases. For rent controlled units, landlords can only raise a tenant's rent by a set amount each year (tied to inflation). SROs, or single-room occupancy units, are privately owned and operated or owned privately and under a nonprofit master lease as part of a City Subsidy. SROs are often occupied by formerly homeless individuals or very low-income adults.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Jacobus. Rick. "Why We Must Build". ShelterForce. <u>http://www.shelterforce.org/article/4408/why we must\_build/</u> March 9, 2016.

Mr. Jacobus begins by acknowledging that as the world continues to grow, we must build housing, especially affordable housing. However, he also adds nuance to the familiar supply and demand dialog by talking about how this dynamic works on the smaller, neighborhood scale. He states,

"the demand for housing in any neighborhood is highly variable and can switch from very low to very high quickly. But the supply is almost entirely fixed. In established neighborhoods, no matter how much building is going on, the new supply will be small relative to the overall market so increased supply will have almost no impact on rents. It might theoretically drive rent down some tiny amount but, in practice, the impact of new development in a neighborhood is usually the opposite because it increases demand (for that neighborhood) by more than it increases supply. Partly this is true because any new development is visible, new and exciting".

This article argues that we must build new housing, including affordable housing, but that new housing alone cannot solve the housing crisis. The construction of new housing must be paired with protections for existing housing and existing residents. The Planning Department agrees. For this reason, our Department has been expanding our work program to build a toolbox of strategies that help existing residents and to preserve the affordability of our existing housing stock.

Beginning in 2013, the San Francisco Planning Department began working with the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development in coordination with other City agencies and community partners to develop the Central Market / Tenderloin Strategy (Strategy). The goal of the Strategy is to cultivate a diverse, healthy, mixed-income neighborhood that offers safety and well-being to all who live, work and visit Central Market and the Tenderloin. The Strategy's approach was informed by significant community feedback and the work of community partners such as the Tenderloin Health Improvement Partnership (TLHIP) – a cross-sector initiative led by the Saint Francis Hospital Foundation. Engaged community, including: Glide Foundation, St. Anthony's Foundation, Bay Area Women's and Children's Center, Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, amongst many others. Other City agencies involved include Adult Probation; Department of Public Health; Department of Public Works; District Attorney; Human Services Agency; Housing Opportunity, Partnerships & Engagement (HOPE); Mayor's Office of Housing, Recreation and Parks; SFMTA; SF County Transit Authority; SF Public Utilities Commission; SF Police Department, among others. Implementation and evaluation of the Strategy, which has been ongoing over the past year, includes the, among other actions, following items:

- Ensure completion of affordable, public, and *market rate with on-site Below Market Rate* (emphasis added for this appeal response) units projects in the neighborhood.
- Develop future acquisition opportunities that aligns with new City resources for new affordable, workforce, small site, or mixed income housing development by identifying empty lots, vacant/underutilized buildings, poorly maintained private Single Room Occupancy units (SRO), apartment buildings, or tourist hotels in the Tenderloin.
- Pursue additional daytime services for the homeless [people].
- Develop a new transitional housing site that will serve more homeless APD clients and improve their transition into permanent housing placements.
- Augment /streamline and incentivize voluntary programs to provide training and technical assistance to SRO operators on health, housing, fire codes, and operations best practices.
- Continue efforts to expand infrastructure to place all homeless individuals in appropriate housing depending on supportive service needs and to free up units for new clients, if possible.

- Designate a psychiatric social worker to be available on call to health inspectors inspecting private SRO buildings.
- Explore additional recommendations supported by the Department of Public Health including: synthesizing enforcement efforts and data coordination among City Agencies; dedicated funds for physical improvements to SROs; other incentives to enhance SRO operations; mandate a minimum standard of care for SRO operations.

The neighborhood's large stock of permanently affordable housing units and numerous established nonprofit property owners, provide an unparalleled opportunity to revitalize the neighborhood while also retaining the low-income residents. Existing neighbors and new residents alike can benefit from cleaner and safer streets, quality businesses, recreation and other opportunities envisioned in this work.

Building housing such as the 268 market rate and 36 below market rate units provided by the proposed project would increase the safety and the activity level of the neighborhood with more "eyes on the street." That said, the development of new housing in the Tenderloin must also be paired with thoughtful protections for both existing residents and for the affordability of the existing housing stock, like the efforts underway as part of the Strategy.

<u>ISSUE #2:</u> The project is not "necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or community" as per Planning Code Section 303(c), nor is it consistent with the City's General Plan or Downtown Plan Area.

<u>**RESPONSE #2a:**</u> Contrary to the Appellant's submittal, the Commission found the project to comply with Conditional Use Criteria as stated below. (General Plan consistency is discussed in Response 2b.)

1. The Proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The Project would replace an underutilized commercial building and surface parking lot in the Downtown's residential core neighborhood, The Tenderloin. The new project would fill in a gap along Market Street's traditional 120' streetwall with a new 12-story, 14-level mixed use building that provides residential units above ground floor retail. The Project includes approximately 4,540 square feet of ground floor retail and approximately 12,300 square feet of common open space.

- 2. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working in the area in that:
  - a. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The project would be constructed within existing height and bulk limits. The structure has been arranged on the site to be consistent with the surrounding streetscape and compatible with the surrounding buildings. Along Golden Gate Avenue frontage, the project would be sandwiched by two structures of similar heights and bulks: the recently constructed building at 121 Golden Gate Avenue, which is 10 stories and the proposed structure at 1028 Market Street, which will be approximately 12

stories. The Project provides active uses along every street frontage and includes an inner court amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet at the ground floor.

The project includes approximately 227,956 floor area square feet of residential uses, of which, approximately 178,515 is rentable. The Project seeks to exempt 21,422 square feet (12 percent of 178,515), which would be dedicated to below market rate units, pursuant to Planning Code Section 124(f), which allows the floor area devoted to affordable housing constructed on-site in a C-3 District to exceed base floor area permissions. The units must be made affordable to households whose incomes are within 90% AMI for ownership units and 55% AMI for rental units. Since the Project would contain rental units, the units must be made affordable to households within 55% AMI.

b. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The proposed project has been designed to minimize curb cuts along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue. These curb cuts for off-street parking and loading have been separated to allow for maximum active use exposure along each street, especially at the corner of Jones and Golden Gate. Pedestrian access to the building is located on Market Street and Jones Street and pedestrian commercial access is provided on all three bounding streets.

c. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The residential and ground floor commercial uses will not produce noxious or offensive dust or odor. Ventilation considerations comply with current California Mechanical Codes and have been taken to reduce adverse effects to the neighboring community, such as minimal interior court garage exhaust and use of merv-13 filters for the building inhabitants. The interior court and amenity spaces on the 13<sup>th</sup> level include landscaping to reduce noise.

d. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

Parking and loading areas are enclosed and will include signage to safeguard pedestrians and / or cyclists from approaching vehicles. The landscaped interior courtyard and amenity spaces at the 13<sup>th</sup> level will include egress lighting and visual screening to create privacy while providing interaction with other residents and users. Street improvement will include street trees as required by the City and County of San Francisco. Lighting and signage will be per governing codes for this location.

**3.** Such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The square footage to be dedicated to Below Market Rate units complies with applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan. The residential and retail uses contemplated for the Project are permitted within the C-3-G District. The Project complies with use and density requirements and is well served by transit and commercial services, allowing residents to commute, shop and reach amenities by walking, taking transit and bicycling. The Project conforms to multiple goals and policies of the General Plan, as described in further detail below.

4. Such use or feature as proposed will provide development that is in conformity with the states purpose of the applicable Use District.

The project is located in a C-3-G (Downtown General Commercial) Zoning District, which covers the western portions of downtown and is composed of a variety of uses: retail, offices, hotels, entertainment, clubs and institutions and high-density residential. The project would provide high-density residential and retail, consistent with the subject Use District.

<u>RESPONSE #2b:</u> The project is consistent with the City's General Plan, including the Downtown Plan Area. Specifically, the project was found to be consistent with the following General Plan and Downtown Plan Area Findings.

## HOUSING ELEMENT

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

# IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY'S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

## Policy 1.8

Promote mixed use development, and include housing, particularly permanently affordable housing, in new commercial, institutional or other single use development projects.

The proposed project would construct a significant amount of new housing units within an existing urban environment that is in need of more access to housing. The project proposes to demolish an underutilized two-story commercial garage and surface parking lot and construct a mixed-use residential building above ground floor retail that contains 268 market rate units, 36 on-site affordable units compliant with Section 415 of the Planning Code, and approximately 4,540 gsf of retail use. The property is an ideal site for new housing due to its central, downtown location, and proximity to public transportation. The current development of this location, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an underutilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

#### Policy 1.10

Support new housing projects, especially affordable housing, where households can easily rely on public transportation, walking and bicycling for the majority of daily trips.

The project supports this policy. It is anticipated that because of the central downtown location of the project, most residents would either walk, bike, or use public transportation for daily travel. The project is two blocks from Market Street, a major rail and bus-transit corridor that provides convenient access from the property to neighborhoods throughout the City, the East Bay, and the Peninsula. It is also 1.5 blocks from the Civic Center BART and MUNI stations, and is within one block of at least 6 MUNI bus lines. The project provides 304 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces with a convenient and separate entrance designated for bicyclists, encouraging the use of bikes as a mode of transportation.

## **OBJECTIVE 5:**

## ENSURE THAT ALL RESIDENTS HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO AVAILABLE UNITS.

# Policy 5.4

Provide a range of unit types for all segments of need, and work to move residents between unit types as their needs change.

The project supports this policy. The project would create 304 dwelling units, of which 61 (20%) are studios, 132 (43%) are one-bedroom units and 111 (37%) are two-bedroom units. The project provides a range of unit types and will provide 12% on-site affordable units comprising of the similar dwelling unit mix, namely 20% studies, 43% one-bedrooms and 37% two-bedroom units. This housing provided by the project complements existing housing in the neighborhood which serves even lower income target groups.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

# SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

In compliance with this policy, the project would provide permanently affordable on-site housing by allocating 12% of units as affordable, in accordance with the City's Affordable Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, thereby enhancing the City's affordable housing.

While not a part of this project, this project was approved in the context of new and innovated work in the Tenderloin as part of the Central Market / Tenderloin Strategy.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

SUPPORT AND RESPECT THE DIVERSE AND DISTRINT CHARACTER OF SAN FRANCISCO'S NEIGHBORHOODS.

## Policy 11.1

Promote the construction and rehabilitation of well-designed housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.2

Ensure implementation of accepted design standards in project approvals

## Policy 11.3

Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely impacting existing residential neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.4

Continue to utilize zoning districts which conform to a generalized residential land use and density plan and the General Plan.

#### Policy 11.6

Foster a sense of community through architectural design, using features that promote community interaction.

#### Policy 11.7

Respect San Francisco's historic fabric, by preserving landmark buildings and ensuring consistency with historic districts.

The project supports these policies. The project would create 304 dwelling units in the immediate vicinity of existing residential and office buildings, and complies with the existing zoning in terms of land use, height, and density. The project's design respects the architectural design of adjacent historic resources, with a traditional tripartite façade containing defined base, shaft and top, while remaining modern in expression. This new development will enhance the character of the existing neighborhood.

The central, downtown location has long been touted as an ideal site for new housing due to this location and its proximity to public transportation. The existing site, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an under-utilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the Project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

## URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

**Objectives and Policies** 

**OBJECTIVE 3:** 

MODERATION OF MAJOR NEW DEVELOPMENT TO COMPLEMENT THE CITY PATTERN, THE RESORUCES TO BE CONSERVED, AND THE NEIGHOBRHOOD ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 3.1

Promote harmony in the visual relationships and transitions between new and older buildings.

Policy 3.2

Avoid extreme contrasts in color, shape and other characteristics which will cause new buildings to stand out in excess of their public importance.

#### Policy 3.6

Relate the bulk of buildings to the prevailing scale of development to avoid an overwhelming or dominating appearance in new construction.

The project employs design to relate to existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by mid- to high-rise, mixed-use buildings that help compose a district of Renaissance Revival-style buildings. Renaissance Revival-style buildings are typically expressed by tripartite design, vertical expression, punched windows, decorative brickwork and modillion cornices. The proposed project would replace an underutilized 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot that respects its context by providing a high-rise, mixed-used building of tripartite design, separated with horizontal reveals and punched openings. The proposed structure complies with land use and development controls of the Planning Code and the surrounding development.

**COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT** 

**Objectives and Policies** 

**OBJECTIVE 1:** 

li i li se su la come de la come d La come de la

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1.1

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development that has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

#### Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

#### Policy 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

The project would add approximately 4,540 square feet of new commercial space – divided between two tenant spaces – that is intended to serve residents in the building and surrounding neighborhood. Retail is encouraged and principally permitted on the ground floor of buildings in the Downtown General District, and is thus consistent with activities in the commercial land use plan.

#### TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

**Objectives and Policies** 

**OBJECTIVE 1:** 

MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS FOR SAFE, CONVENIENT, AND INEXPENSIVE TRAVEL WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION WHILE MAINTAINING THE HIGH QUALITY LIVING ENVIRONMENT OF THE BAY AREA.

#### Policy 1.2:

Ensure the safety and comfort of pedestrians throughout the city.

#### Policy 1.3:

Give priority to public transit and other alternatives to the private automobile as the means of meeting San Francisco's transportation needs particularly those of commuters.

#### Policy 1.6:

Ensure choices among modes of travel and accommodate each mode when and where it is most appropriate.

A primary objective of the proposed Project is to create a pedestrian-oriented environment at the project site that encourages walking as a principal means of transportation. Proposed improvements to the sidewalks would improve pedestrian safety, including the widening of the sidewalk along the project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage and a 6-foot bulb-out at the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street intersection, intended to reduce vehicular speed and provide greater refuge for pedestrians. The project would also plant a consistent row of street trees along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue, along with bicycle racks enhance the pedestrian experience and provide convenience to bicyclists.

The project would promote Objective 1 and its associated policies by providing for an amount of parking which is sufficient to meet the needs of the future residents so as to not overburden the surrounding neighborhood parking. However, the parking that is being provided is not expected to generate substantial traffic that would adversely impact pedestrian, transit, or bicycle movement. Given the proximity of the project site to the employment opportunities and retail services of the Downtown Core, it is expected that residents will opt to prioritize walking, bicycle travel, or transit use over private automobile travel. In addition, the placement of parking in stacker configurations will discourage frequent use of vehicles for shorter trips and increase the use of public transit. Thus, the project would provide a merely sufficient rather than excessive amount of parking in order to accommodate the parking needs of the future residents of the Project and the neighborhood, while still supporting and encouraging walking, bicycle travel and public transit use.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2:**

USE THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AS A MEANS FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT.

## Policy 2.1:

Use rapid transit and other transportation improvements in the city and region as the catalyst for desirable development, and coordinate new facilities with public and private development.

The project would promote Objective 2 and its associated policies by constructing a residential building with ground floor retail in the Downtown Core, which is the most transit rich area of the City. The project would provide 0.5 parking spaces per dwelling and will not provide any parking for the proposed retail uses. All of these parking spaces would be located underground, and thus be less intrusive from an urban design standpoint.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

# ESTABLISH PUBLIC TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION IN SAN FRANCISCO AND AS A MEANS THROUGH WHICH TO GUIDE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVE REGIONAL MOBILITY AND AIR QUALITY.

## Policy 11.3:

Encourage development that efficiently coordinates land use with transit service, requiring that developers address transit concerns as well as mitigate traffic problems.

The Project is located within a neighborhood rich with public transportation and the people occupying the building are expected to rely heavily on public transit, bicycling, or walking for the majority of their daily trips. The project includes bicycle parking for 322 bicycles (304 Class 1, 18 Class 2). Within a few blocks of the Project Site, there is an abundance of local and regional transit lines, including MUNI bus lines, MUNI Metro rail lines and BART. Additionally such transit lines also provide access to AC Transit (Transbay Terminal) and CalTrain.

#### DOWNTOWN AREA PLAN

#### **Objectives and Policies**

# **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

# Policy 1.1

Encourage development which produces substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development which has substantial undesirable consequences which cannot be mitigated.

The project would bring additional housing into a neighborhood that is well served by public transit on the edge of Downtown. The project would not displace any housing because the existing structure at 1066 Market Street is a vacant 2-story commercial space and surface parking lot. The project would improve the existing character of the neighborhood by removing the surface parking lot and vacant structure. The proposed retail space, which includes ground floor retail space, is consistent and compatible with the existing retail uses in the neighborhood and is also consistent with the pedestrian-friendly uses in the immediate neighborhood and the downtown core.

The Project therefore creates substantial net benefits for the City with minimal undesirable consequences.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

#### EXPAND THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING IN AND ADJACENT TO DOWNTOWN.

Policy 7.1.1

Promote the inclusion of housing in downtown commercial developments.

#### Policy 7.2

Facilitate conversion of underused industrial and commercial areas to residential use.

The project would demolish an underutilized commercial space and surface parking lot, constructing a 120foot tall, 12-story-over-basement (14 level), 304-unit residential building over ground floor retail, within easy commuting distance of downtown jobs.

The Project includes approximately 4,540 square feet of ground floor commercial space, with tenant spaces on Market Street and the corner of Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue; these spaces would provide services to the immediate neighborhood, and would create pedestrian-oriented, active uses on each of the three frontages.

#### **OBJECTIVE 16:**

## CREATE AND MAINTAIN ATTRACTIVE, INTERESTING URBAN STREETSCAPES.

#### Policy 16.4

Use designs and materials and include amenities at the ground floor to create pedestrian interest.

The project would promote Objective 16 by including a ground floor retail use which would encourage pedestrian traffic in the vicinity. The project would provide floor-to-ceiling, transparent windows in retail spaces, inviting pedestrians, and landscape the sidewalk area surrounding the project Site with street trees and bike racks. This space would increase the usefulness of the vicinity surrounding the project site to pedestrians and serve to calm the speed of traffic on the street.

<u>ISSUE #3:</u> The growing presence of more wealthy residents in the Tenderloin threatens to lead to a number of negative outcomes, including a shift away from businesses who serve the existing community towards enterprises that do not serve the Tenderloin's low-income residents. The

Conditional Use Authorization and the design of the project do not acknowledge this important community dynamic.

**<u>RESPONSE #3</u>**: Accordingly to the Central Market / Tenderloin Strategy published in 2015, a significant supply of public-owned and non-profit owner parcels mitigate turnover properties in the area. While the mix of businesses and organizations in the Tenderloin may change, data shows that a significant supply (12%) of the businesses within the Tenderloin is poised to remain. The map<sup>5</sup> below highlights the buildings that are owned by a non-profit or public entity in blue and those owned by the City and County of San Francisco in purple.



**<u>ISSUE #4:</u>** As currently configured the project does not acknowledge the surrounding Tenderloin community and so the project will not be "compatible" with the neighborhood, and thus not eligible for the Conditional Use authorization.

**<u>RESPONSE #4:</u>** The proposed structure reknits the 120' streetscape façade that typifies Market Street Development. The project has been arranged on the site to be consistent with the surrounding streetscape and compatible with the surrounding buildings. Along Golden Gate Avenue frontage, the Project would stand shoulder to shoulder with two structures of similar heights and bulks: the recently constructed building at 121 Golden Gate Avenue, which is 10 stories and the proposed structure at 1028 Market Street, which will be approximately 12 stories. The Project provides active uses along every street frontage and includes an inner court amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet at the ground floor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Source: Central Market / Tenderloin Strategy 2015 | Appendix F: Baseline Neighborhood Conditions, <u>http://investsf.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Central-Market-Tenderloin-Strategy Appendix F.pdf</u>

The Project employs design to relate to existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by mid- to high-rise, mixed-use buildings that help compose a district of Renaissance Revival-style buildings. Renaissance Revival-style buildings are typically expressed by tripartite design, vertical expression, punched windows, decorative brickwork and modillion cornices. The proposed project would replace an underutilized 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot that respects its context by providing a high-rise, mixed-used building of tripartite design, separated with horizontal reveals and punched openings. The proposed structure complies with land use and development controls of the Planning Code and the surrounding development.

# CONCLUSION:

For the reasons stated above, the Department recommends that the Board uphold the Commission's decision in approving the Conditional Use authorization to allow square footage above the base floor area ratio, for dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project at 1066 Market Street within the C-3-G (Downtown General District) and 120-X Height and Bulk District.



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable) Affordable Housing (Sec. 415) Transit Impact Dev tiFee (Sec. 411) Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)

First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
 Street Tree (Sec. 138.1)

1650 Mission St.

CA 94103-2479

415.558.6378

415.558.6409

Suite 400 San Francisco,

Reception:

Fax:

Planning

Information: 415.558.6377

Dublic Art (Sec. 429)

# Planning Commission Motion No. 19594 HEARING DATE: MARCH 17, 2016

2013.1753CXV

0350/003

1066 Market Street

C-3-G (Downtown General)

120-X Height and Bulk District

Julie Burdick- (415) 772.7142 Multifamily Investments Shorenstein Properties

San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>jburdick@shorentstein.com</u> Tina Chang – (415) 575-9197

Tina.Chang@sfgov.org

235 Montgomery Street, 16th Floor

Case No.: Project Address: Zoning: Block/Lot: Project Sponsor:

taff Contact:

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATED TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTION 124(F) AND 303, TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL SQUARE FOOTAGE ABOVE THE BASE FLOOR AREA RATIO, FOR DWELLING UNITS THAT WILL BE AFFORDABLE FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT TO HOUSEHOLDS WHOSE INCOMES ARE WITHIN 90 PERCENT OF THE AREA MEDIAN INCOME AT 1066 MARKET STREET WITHIN THE C-3-G (DOWNTOWN GENERAL) ZONING AND THE 120-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

# PREAMBLE

On February 12, 2014, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of 1066 Market LLC (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed an application with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for Environmental Review, to allow the demolition of an existing two story, 5,066 gross square foot (gsf) vacant commercial building and adjoining 23,419 gsf surface parking lot and the new construction of a 14-level, 12-story mixed-use building containing approximately 304 dwelling units, with ground floor retail, bicycle parking and two levels of subterranean parking with 102 spaces (hereinafter "the Project") at 1066 Market Street (hereinafter "Project Site").

www.sfplanning.org 1628

# CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV 1066 Market Street

On June 18, 2014, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of the Project Sponsor filed 1) an application with the Department for Compliance with Planning Code Section 309 with exceptions for Rear Year requirements (Section 134), parking requirements, to provide parking at a ratio exceeding 0.25 to 1 (Section 151.1) and freight loading, to provide one off-street freight loading space instead of two (Sections 161), and exception from ground level wind current (Section 148) within the C-3-G (Downtown General) Zoning and 120-X Height and Bulk District to demolish the existing structure and surface parking lot, and construction of the Project; 2) an application with the Department for Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) to a) allow a higher density of residential units, at one unit per 90 square feet instead of one unit per 125 square feet as permitted by Planning Code Section 215(a), and b) to exempt on-site inclusionary units from FAR calculations per Section 124(f); 3) an application for Variance from Section 135(G)(2), use of inner as usable open space.

On December 26, 2014, the "Uses, Conformity of Uses, Parking Requirements for Uses, and Special Use Districts" Ordinance became effective, amending Section 309 such that parking requirements were no longer modifiable per Section 309. Additionally, Section 151.1 was amended by the same Ordinance to permit up to one parking space for each two dwelling units. Therefore, the requested amount of parking no longer required an exception per Section 309. Accordingly, On November 19, 2015, Julie Burdick of Shorenstein Residential LLC, on behalf of the Project Sponsor submitted an amended application to the Department for Compliance with Planning Code Section 309 removing the request for exception to parking requirements. Updated applications for Conditional Use Authorization and a Variance was also submitted to the Department, however, there were no changes to the authorization and variance requests.

On January 13, 2016 Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for the Project was prepared and published for public review; and

The Draft IS/MND was available for public comment until February 2, 2016; and

On February 2, 2016, an appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration was filed with the Department.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2013.1753XCV and the Appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration, 2013.1753E.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission upheld the PMND and approved the issuance of the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) as prepared by the Planning Department in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31.

On March 17, 2016, the Planning Department/Planning Commission reviewed and considered the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (FMND) and found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FMND was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) (CEQA), Title 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 15000 et seq. (the "CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"): and

1629

3

The Planning Department/Planning Commission found the FMND was adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent analysis and judgment of the Department of City Planning and the Planning Commission, and that the summary of comments and responses contained no significant revisions to the Draft IS/MND, and approved the FMND for the Project in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31.

- The Planning Department, Jonas P. Ionin, is the custodian of records; all pertinent documents are located in the File for Case No. 2013:1753CXV, at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California. Planning Department staff prepared a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting program (MMRP), which material was made available to the public and this Commission for this Commission's review, ..... consideration and action.
- The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

.....

MOVED, that the Commission hereby approves the Conditional Use Authorization requested in Application No. 2013.1753XCV, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

# FINDINGS

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1, The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.

Site Description and Present Use. The "L" shaped, 27,310 square foot (sf) project site has 22 approximately 55-feet of frontage on Market Street, where it is sandwiched by a three-story commercial building to the west and two-story commercial building to the east. The Project also has frontage on Jones Street to the west, north of the aforementioned three-story commercial building, and Golden Gate Avenue to the north of the project site. A 5,066 gross square foot (gsf) vacant commercial building and adjoining 23,419 gsf surface parking lot currently occupies the project site. The commercial building, which was constructed in 1966, fronts Market Street on the south side of the property. The privately owned parking lot holds approximately 102 vehicles. Existing vehicle and pedestrian access to the surface parking lot is provided on Golden Gate Avenue and jones Street. Two curb cuts / driveways currently exist on the project site, including one on Golden Gate Avenue and one on Jones Street. Pedestrian access to the commercial building is provided on Market Street. There are no trees on the project site; five street frees are located along the sidewalks surrounding the site. The site slopes down gradually from the northwest to the southeast, with an elevation change of approximately 10 feet. The project site is located in C-3-G (Downtown General) Zoning District and a 120-X Height and Bulk District.

- Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The project site is located within the Downtown 3. Plan Area at 1066 Market Street in the Downtown/ Civic Center neighborhood of San Francisco. Within the Downtown / Civic Center neighborhood are smaller districts and microneighborhoods, such as the Market Street Theater and Loft Historic District, of which this Project is a part. Land uses in the surrounding area include a mix of low- and mid-rise mixed-use commercial buildings, tourist and residential hotels, multifamily housing, entertainment uses and government institutions. Properties in the immediate vicinity of the project site include a three-story commercial/ retail building to the south at 1072-1098 Market Street, a 10-story, lowincome and senior housing development to the west at 129 Golden Gate Avenue; a seven-story, 82 unit homeless housing facility at 41 Jones Street; a nine-story, 108-unit apartment a two-story commercial/ retail building at 1028-1056 Market to the east, which is currently occupied by a "pop-up" food and beverage court ("The Hall"). The Hall is temporarily occupying the space while the property's project sponsor finalizes the entitlement of the proposed project which includes the demolition of the existing building and the new construction of a 12-story, mixeduse residential-over-ground-floor retail development.
- 4. Project Description. The Project includes the demolition of an existing two-story, vacant, commercial building and adjoining 23,419 square foot surface parking lot, and the new construction of a 12-story, 14-level, mixed-used building containing approximately 304 dwelling units, with commercial retail on the ground floor, bicycle parking and two levels of subterranean parking with 102 parking spaces. The building's height ranges from approximately 113 to 120 feet tall. The proposed dwelling unit mix consists of approximately 61 studios (approximately 20 percent of total units), 76 junior one-bedroom units (approximately 25 percent of total units), 56 one-bedroom units (about 18 percent of total units) and 111 two-bedroom units (about 37 percent). Residential amenities include a lounge, lobby, fitness center, leasing office and bicycle parking. The Project will provide 304 Class 1 and 18 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces.

Approximately 4,540 square feet of commercial retail space provides active street frontage along Market Street, Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street. Due to a grade change of approximately 13 feet between the northwest corner and southeast corner of the project site, street access to the building occurs from two separate ground floors: one with access from Market Street (Level 1) and another from Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue (Level 2). A 6,333 square-foot open-air courtyard is located in the center of the proposed Project at Level 1, with an elevated walkway through the courtyard providing access to the at-grade lobby amenity and lounge area on Level 2. A patio intended for the 1,684 square foot commercial space fronting Market Street is located at the southeast corner of the open-air courtyard. The roof includes two terraces totaling approximately 6,000 square feet.

The Market Street façade has been designed with a tripartite vertical expression of a base, shaft and capital – typical of buildings within the Market Street Theater and Loft Historic District. The three sections of the building are delineated by a horizontal reveal. The height of the tall base references similar features on adjacent buildings that are also characterized by tall bases, and will be defined by use of a textured masonry cladding at the piers, multi-level recessed window wall and horizontal reveal. The top of the building on the Market Street façade rests above a horizontal reveal and is characterized by stucco-clad vertical piers that align with piers at the

base of the building as well as double-height recessed window groupings. The middle of the building is designed with punched openings in a "basket weave" composition that suggests both the vertically expressed double-hung windows and the slightly more horizontally expressed Chicago-style windows found in the district, which are three-part windows with a large fixed center panel flanked by two smaller windows.

CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV

1066 Market Street

5

The Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street facades have also been designed with the basket weave composition found on the Market Street façade. The upper stories of the building would be clad in a panelized system of integrally colored pre-cast concrete units in graded color variations with a light, intermediate and dark shade, as well as an accent color. The base of these facades is characterized by double-height storefront windows, except for a small portion on Golden Gate Avenue, where the double-height windows could not be achieved due to on-site elevation changes. While a tripartite pattern can be found on these frontages, the expression of the three-part façade is more loosely implemented on the designs of the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street facades.

5. Community Outreach and Public Comment. As summarized in the enclosed letter from the Project Sponsor, community outreach has included meetings with the Project's neighbors, local businesses, community groups, individual residents, schools, religious organizations, and non-profits, including the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, Tenderloin Housing Clinic, Tenderloin Economic Development Project, UC Hastings, Community Housing Partnerships, Urban Solutions, SF Bike Coalition, CounterPulse, St. Francis Foundation / Tenderloin Help Improvement Project, Central Market Community Benefit District, Housing Action Coalition, SPUR Design Review Committee, Golden Gate Theatre, Building Trades Commission, Draussalem Mosque, Market Street for the Masses, Mid-Market Business Association, as well as project sponsors, property owners, resident coordinators and tenants of neighboring properties, including but not limited to those at 950 Market Street, 1007 Market Street, 1019 Market Street, 1072 Market Street, 1029 Market Street, 1075 Market, 111 Jones Street, 205 Jones Street, 50 Golden Gate, 129 Golden Gate Avenue, 180 Golden Gate Avenue, 25 Taylor, and 55 Taylor At times, the Project Sponsor has met with the aforementioned stakeholders multiple times throughout the past 2.5 years.

To date, the Department has not received any correspondence expressing opposition to the Project; however, an appeal was filed on the Preliminary Negative Declaration. Twenty letters of support from the following organizations, business owners, residents and tenants have been received:

- Draussalem Mosque Mosque at 20 Jones Street
- Encore Capital = property owner in Tenderloin / Mid-Market neighborhood and neighbor
- Group I owner of 950-974 Market Street
- The Housing Action Coalition
- Hibernia Bank Owner at 1 Jones Street
- International Art Museum of America tenant at 1025 Market Street
- Marinello Schools of Beauty-tenant at 1035 Market Street
- Molly Jans Tenderloin Resident

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Motion No. 19594

March 17, 2016

- Khadija Eddkhissi Resident at 317 Leavenworth Street
- Zohra Araq Resident at 55 9th Street
- Khadija Arif Resident at 940 Washington
- Zainaba Boudlim Resident at 141 Eddy Street
- Mosser Companies Property Owner of 50 Golden Gate Avenue
- Pam Coates Resident at 41 Jones Street
- PianoFight-Business owner at 144 Taylor Street
- Thanh Nguyen Resident at 145 Taylor Street.
- Tidewater Capital Property owner at 1028 Market
- UC Hastings
- Warm Planet Bikes tenant at 1098a Market Street
- WeWork Tenderloin business
- 6. **Planning Code Compliance:** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
  - A. Maximum Floor Area Ratio (Section 124). The floor area ratio (FAR) limit as defined by Planning Code Section 124 for the C-3-G District is 6.0:1. Under Sections 123 and 128 of the Planning Code, the FAR can be increased to 9.0 to 1 with the purchase of transferable development rights ("TDR").

The Project Site has a lot area of approximately 27,312 square feet. Therefore, up to 163,872 square feet of Gross Floor Area ("GFA") is allowed under the basic FAR limit, and up to 245,808 square feet of GFA is permitted with the purchase of TDR. As shown in the conceptual plans for the Project, the building would include 298,278 square feet of GFA, of which 227,956 would count towards FAR. Conditions of approval are included to require the Project Sponsor to purchase TDR for the increment of development between 6.0 to 1 FAR and 9.0 to 1 FAR, for approximately 64,084 (227,956-163,872=64,084) square feet of floor area.

Additionally, the Project Sponsor seeks approval of Conditional Use Authorization to exempt floor area dedicated to the 36 below market rate units, or approximately 21,422 square feet, per Section 124(f). Therefore the Project complies with Section 124.

B. Rear Yard Requirement. Planning Code Section 134 requires that any building containing a dwelling unit in a Downtown Commercial District must provide a rear yard equal to 25 percent of the total lot depth at all residential levels.

The Project does not provide a rear yard that complies with this Code requirement, and as such, requires a rear yard exception under Planning Code Section 309. A 309 exception may be granted so long as the "building location and configuration assure adequate light and air to windows within the residential units and to the usable open space provided." See Section 7, below, for 309 findings.

C. Residential Open Space (Section 135). Planning Code Section 135 requires that private usable open space be provided at a ratio of 36 square feet per dwelling unit or that 48 square feet of common usable open be provided per dwelling unit.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1633

The Project includes 304 units. The Project would provide at least 36 square feet of private open space for 50 of the dwelling units through private balconies or terraces. A total of 12,192 square feet of commonly accessible open space would be required for the remaining 254 units without balconies or terraces, which would be provided in the form of a 6,333 square foot inner courtyard at grade and two roof decks amounting to 6,000 square feet. In all, 12,333 square feet of common open space would be provided, exceeding the common open space requirement.

CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV

**1066 Market Street** 

Section 135(g)(2) allows the use of inner courts to be counted as usable open space, provided that the enclosed space is (1) at least 20 feet in every horizontal dimension, (2) at least 400 square feet in area, and (3) the height of the walls above the court on at least three sides is such that no point on any wall is higher than one foot for each foot that such point is horizontally distant from the opposite side of the court. The proposed inner court meets two of the three criteria; the dimensions of the inner court are approximately 65 feet wide by 100 feet long amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet. However, the height of the walls surrounding the inner court exceeds the permitted dimension. Therefore, a variance from Section 135 is required.

D. Public Open Space (Section 138). New buildings in the C-3 Zoning District must provide public open space at a ratio of one square feet per 50 gross square feet of all uses, except residential uses, institutional uses, and uses in a predominantly retail/personal services building. This public open space must be located on the same site as the building or within 900 feet of it within a C-3 district.

Ground floor retail space in the C-3 Districts that is less than 5,000 square feet and less than 75 percent of the ground floor area is excluded from gross floor area and is therefore not required to provide the associated publically accessible open space. The Project includes approximately 4,578 square feet of ground floor retail space, which is exempt from the requirement. However, 315 square feet of the inner courtyard would be available to tenants of the proposed retail space fronting Market Street. The patio space adjacent to the Market Street-fronting retail space would be available for residents to use at any time.

E. Street Trees (Sections 138.1). Section 138.1 and Section 806 of the Public Works Code requires the installation of street trees in the case of the construction of a new building. One 24-inch box tree is required for every 20 feet of property frontage along each street or alley, with any remaining fraction of ten feet or more of frontage requiring an additional tree. The species and locations of trees installed in the public right-of-way shall be subject to approval by the Department of Public Works (DPW). The requirements of Section 806 may be waived or modified by the Director of Public Works, pursuant to Section 806, where DPW cannot grant approval due to practical difficulties.

The Project includes a total of approximately 370 feet of street frontage along Market Street (approximately 55 feet), Jones Street (approximately 152 feet) and Golden Gate Avenue (163 feet), resulting in a requirement of nineteen street trees. Thirteen (13) new street trees are proposed and five exist, resulting in a total of 18 trees. Conditions of approval have to been added to require the Project

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

to plant (13) street trees and pay an in-lieu fee for the remaining one (1) tree, thereby complying with Section 138.1.

Per Public Works Code, Article 16, Section 806, all street trees would be planted within the public right-of-way adjacent to the subject property, be of a species suitable for the site conditions; be a minimum of 24-inch box size; have a minimum 1 ¼ inch caliper, measured 6-inches above ground; be planted no higher than the adjacent sidewalk and provide a below-grade environment with nutrientrich soils, free from overly-compacted soils and generally conducive to tree root development and be watered, maintained and replaced if necessary by the property owner in accordance with Article 16 of the Public Works Code and be in compliance with applicable water use requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 63.

F. Streetscape Improvements (Section 138.1). Planning Code Section 138.1 requires that when a new building is constructed in the C-3 District, street trees and sidewalk paving must be provided. Under Section 138.1(c), the Commission may also require the Project Sponsor to install additional sidewalk improvements such as lighting, special paving, seating and landscaping in accordance with the guidelines of the Downtown Streetscape Plan if it finds that these improvements are necessary to meet the goals and objectives of the General Plan.

In addition to the street trees mentioned above, the Project proposes sidewalk widening along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage, from 12-feet to 16-feet and a 6-foot bulb-out at the southeast corner of the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street intersection. These streetscape improvements have been vetted by the Street Design Action Team, an interagency body including the Planning Department, Municipal Transportation Authority and the Department of Public Works.

G. Exposure (Section 140). Planning Code Section 140 requires all dwelling units in al use districts to face onto a public street at least 20 feet in width, side yard at least 25 feet in width or open area which is unobstructed and is no less than 25 feet in every horizontal dimension for the floor at which the dwelling unit is located and the floor immediately above it, with an increase of five feet in every horizontal dimension at each subsequent floor.

The Project complies with Section 140. All units facing public rights-of-way open onto streets greater than 20-feet wide. Units at the upper most levels of the building along Market Street and Golden Gate Avenue must expose onto an inner courtyard at least 75 feet in length. Since the north-south length of the courtyard is 100-feet wide, the Project's courtyard meets exposure requirements. Units along the Jones Street Frontage facing east meet exposure requirements, as the east-west width of the courtyard is 65 feet, and the units at the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> floors are setback 10 feet from the edge closest to the inner court, for a total of 75 feet of open area at the upper most levels.

H. Active Frontages – Loading and Driveway Entry Width (Section 145.1(c)(2)). Section 145.1(c)(2) limits the width of parking and loading entrances to no more than one-third the width of the street frontage of a structure, or 20 feet, whichever is less.

1635

SAN FRANCISCO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

9

The Project includes 20-foot entry for parking along Jones Street and one off-street loading with immediately adjacent elevator access along Golden Gate Avenue. The Project complies with Section 145.1(c)(2).

 Street Frontage in Commercial Districts: Active Uses (145.1(c)(3)). Planning Code Section 145.1(c)(3) requires that within Downtown Commercial Districts, space for "active uses" shall be provided within the first 25 feet of building depth on the ground floor.

The ground floor space along Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue have active uses with direct access to the sidewalk within the first 25 feet of building depth and are thus compliant with this Code Section. The only non-active uses along public frontages are the parking access and off-street loading space, and mechanical spaces, and building ingress and egress which are specifically exempt from the active uses requirement. The building lobby is considered an active use because it does not exceed 40 feet per 145.1(b)(2)(C).

Street Frontage in Commercial Districts: Ground Floor Transparency (Section 145.1(c)(6)), Planning Code Section 145.1(c)(6) requires that within Downtown Commercial Districts, frontages with active uses that are not residential or PDR must be fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways for no less than 60 percent of the street frontage at the ground level and allow visibility to the inside of the building.

The Project complies with the Ground Floor Transparency requirements of the Planning Code. Approximately 66 percent of the Project's Golden Gate Avenue non-residential façade is fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways, approximately 64 percent of the Jones Street non-residential façade contains transparent windows and doorways and approximately 83 percent of the Project's Market Street frontage meets transparency requirements with fenestration of windows and doorways.

K. Shadows on Public Sidewalks (Section 146), Planning Code Section 146(a) establishes design requirements for buildings on certain streets in order to maintain direct sunlight on public sidewalks in certain downtown areas during critical use periods. Section 146(c) requires that other buildings, not located on the specific streets identified in Section 146(a), shall be shaped to reduce substantial shadow impacts on public sidewalks, if it can be done without unduly creating an unattractive design and without unduly restricting development potential.

Section 146(a) does not apply to construction on the north side of Market Street, Jones Street or Golden Gate Avenue, and therefore does not apply to the Project. With respect to Section 146(c), the Project would replace an underutilized, vacant, 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot with a 12-story, 14-level residential over ground-floor retail structure. Although the Project would create new shadows on sidewalks and pedestrian areas adjacent to the site, the Project's shadows would not increase the total amount of shading above levels that are commonly accepted in urban areas. The Project is proposed at a height that is consistent with the zoned height for the property and could not be further shaped to reduce substantial shadow effects on public sidewalks without creating an unattractive design and without unduly restricting development potential. Therefore, the Project complies with Section 146.
L. Shadows on Public Open Spaces (Section 147). Planning Code Section 147 seeks to reduce substantial shadow impacts on public plazas and other publicly accessible open spaces other than those protected under Section 295. Consistent with the dictates of good design and without unduly restricting development potential, buildings taller than 50 feet should be shaped to reduce substantial shadow impacts on open spaces subject to Section 147. In determining whether a shadow is substantial, the following factors shall be taken into account: the area shaded, the shadow's duration, and the importance of sunlight to the area in question.

A shadow analysis determined that the Project would not cast shadow on the nearest public open spaces including United Nations Plaza located 500 feet southwest of the project site, which does not fall under the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) jurisdiction, or Boeddeker Park located approximately 800 feet north, which does fall under RPD jurisdiction:

Therefore, the Project complies with Section 147.

M. Ground Level Wind (Section 148). Planning Code Section 148 requires that new construction in Downtown Commercial Districts will not cause ground-level wind currents to exceed pedestrian comfort levels. This standard requires that wind speeds not exceed 11 miles per hour in areas of substantial pedestrian use for more than 10 percent of the time year round, between 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM. The requirements of this Section apply either when preexisting ambient wind speeds at a site exceed the comfort level and are not being eliminated as a result of the project, or when the project may result in wind conditions exceeding the comfort criterion.

The existing conditions at the Project Site indicate that 12 of the 55 test points exceed the Planning Code's comfort criterion at grade level. With the Project, an additional 5 comfort exceedances are created at grade level for a total of 17. At above grade levels, 4 comfort exceedances would exist. According to the wind tunnel text reports, any trees at grade would help improve localized wind speeds around public areas and building entrances. To improve comfort at above grade levels, porous wind screens and furniture are recommended and will be provided. The Project includes eight new street trees along Golden Gate Avenue and five new street trees along Jones Street for a total of 15 new streets (there are two existing streets on Jones which will be removed and replaced). A Section 309 exception is being sought because the Project would not eliminate the existing locations meeting or exceeding the Planning Code's comfort criterion. Exceptions from the comfort criterion may be granted through the 309 process, but no exception may be granted where a project would cause wind speeds at the site to reach or exceed the hazard level of 26 mph for a single hour of the year. There are no hazardous wind speeds caused by the Project.

N. **Parking (Sec. 151.1).** Planning Section 151.1 allows up to one car for each two dwelling units as-of-right, and up to three cars for each four dwelling units as a conditional use. For non-residential uses, the Code does not provide a total number of permitted spaces, but instead limits parking to an area equivalent to 7% of the total gross floor area of such uses.

The Project contains 304 dwelling units. Per Planning Section 151.1, 152 parking spaces are principally permitted (304/2 = 152) for residential uses. The Project proposes, as a total of 102 parked cars, which is less than the principally permitted amount and thus complies with this requirement. The Project does not propose any parking for the retail uses.

O. Off-Street Freight Loading (Sec. 152.1). Planning Code Section 152.1 requires that projects in the C-3 District that include the addition of 200,001-500,000 sq. ft. of residential space must provide two off-street freight loading spaces within the project.

The Project includes 298,278 gross square feet of development (227,956 square feet that counts towards Floor Area Ratio), requiring two off-street loading spaces. One off-street loading space is provided, therefore a Section 309 exception is being sought.

P. Bicycle Parking (Section 155.2). For buildings with more than 100 dwelling units, Planning Code Section 155.2 requires 100 Class 1 spaces plus one Class 1 space for every four dwelling units over 100, and one Class 2 space per 20 units. For the retail space, Section 155.2 requires a minimum of two spaces.

The Project complies with Section 155.2 because it provides 304 Class 1 parking spaces, exceeding the Planning Code requirement to provide 152 Class 1 spaces (100 + 204/4 = 51) (for residential uses) + 1 (for commercial use) = 152 spaces required) and 17 Class 2 spaces (304 units/20 = 15.2) (for residential uses) + 2 (for commercial uses) = 17 spaces required) for the residential units. The project will provide 304 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces and 18 Class 2 spaces. All Class 1 spaces are located at the first level, accessible by elevator from the Jones Street entrance, and Class 2 spaces are located on each of the Projects street frontages; Market Street includes 10 Class 2 spaces, Jones Street provides 4 spaces and Golden Gate Avenue provides another 4 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces for a total of 18.

Q. Car Share (Section 166). Planning Code Section 166 requires two car share parking spaces for residential projects with between 201 or more dwelling units plus an additional parking space for every 200 dwelling units over 200.

The Project complies with Section 166 because it provides two off-street car share parking space within the below-grade garage.

R. Density (Section 210.2). Planning Code Sections 210.2 establishes no density limit in the C-3 Districts. Density is regulated by the permitted height and bulk, and required setbacks, exposure, and open space of each development lot.

The Project contains 304 dwelling units, which is allowed in the C-3-G District. The elimination of density controls in the C-3 Districts was recently approved through Ordinance No. 22-15 (Board File No. 141253); previously, density was principally permitted at a ratio of 1 unit per 125 sf of lot area and conditionally permitted above that amount.

S. Height (Section 260). The property is located in a 120-X Height and Bulk District, thus permitting structures up to a height of 120 feet.

The Project would reach a height of approximately 120 feet to the roof of the building, with various features such as elevator/stair penthouses, mechanical structures, an enclosed recreation space, and parapets extending above the 220-foot height limit in accordance with the height exemptions allowed through Planning Code Section 260(b). The Project's Market Street façade reaches a height of 113-feet, 119-feet - 11-inches along Jones Street, and 120-feet on Golden Gate Avenue.

T. Shadows on Parks (Section 295). Section 295 requires any project proposing a structure exceeding a height of 40 feet to undergo a shadow analysis in order to determine if the project would result in the net addition of shadow to properties under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Department.

A shadow analysis was conducted and determined that the Project would not shade any properties under the jurisdiction of, or designated for acquisition by, the Recreation and Park Department.

U. Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program (Section 415). Planning Code Section 415 sets forth the requirements and procedures for the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program. Under Planning Code Section 415.3, the current percentage requirements apply to projects that consist of ten or more units, where the first application (EE or BPA) was applied for on or after July 18, 2006. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.5 and 415.6, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requirement for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative is to provide 12% of the proposed dwelling units as affordable.

The Project Sponsor has demonstrated that it is eligible for the On-Site Affordable Housing Alternative under Planning Code Section 415.5 and 415.6, and has submitted a 'Affidavit of Compliance with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Planning Code Section 415,' to satisfy the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program by providing the affordable housing on-site instead of through payment of the Affordable Housing Fee:

In order for the Project Sponsor to be eligible for the On-Site Affordable Housing Alternative, the Project Sponsor must submit a contract, in addition to the affordable housing affidavit, demonstrating that the project's on- or off-site units are not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act, California Civil Code Section 1954.50 because, under Section 1954.52(b), the Project Sponsor has entered into an agreement with a public entity in consideration for a direct financial contribution or any other form of assistance specified in California Government Code Sections 65915 et seq. and submits an Affidavit of such to the Department. All such contracts entered into with the City and County of San Francisco must be reviewed and approved by the Mayor's Office Housing and the City Attorney's Office.

The Project Sponsor has indicated the intention to enter into an agreement with the City to qualify for a waiver from the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act based upon the proposed density bonus and concessions provided by the City and approved herein. The Project Sponsor submitted such Affidavit on December 12, 2014 and a draft of the Costa Hawkins agreement on March 23, 2015. The EE application was submitted on February 12, 2014. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.3 and 415.6 the on-site requirement is 12 percent. Thirty six units (13 two-bedroom, 16 one-bedroom, and 7 studio

Motion No. 19594 March 17, 2016

> units) of the 304 units provided will be affordable rental units. If the Project becomes ineligible to meet its Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program obligation through the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative, it must pay the Affordable Housing Fee with interest, if applicable. The Project must execute the Costa Hawkins agreement prior to Planning Commission approval or must revert to payment of the Affordable Housing Fee.

CASE NO. 2013,1753CXV

1066 Market Street

V. Public Art (Section 429). In the case of construction of a new building or addition of floor area in excess of 25,000 sf to an existing building in a C-3 District. Section 429 requires a project to include works of art costing an amount equal to one percent of the construction cost of the building.

The Project would comply with this Section by dedicating one percent of the Project's construction cost to works of art. The public art concept and location will be subsequently presented to the Planning Commission at an informational presentation.

- W. Signage (Section 607). Currently, there is not a proposed sign program on file with the Planning Department. Any proposed signage will be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department pursuant to the provisions of Article 6 of the Planning Code.
- 7. Planning Code Section 303(c) establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
  - *A.* The Proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The Conditional Use Authorization to exempt floor area dedicated to below market rate units would be desirable and compatible with the neighborhood for several reasons. The Project proposes a total of 304 dwelling units, of which 36 will be designated as below market rate units. The Project not only increasing the City's housing stock, but also provides affordable units for households whose income are within 120 percent Area Median Income, for the life of the project.

The Project is desirable because it would replace an underutilized commercial building and surface parking lot with a 12-story, 14-level mixed use, residential above ground floor retail building. The Project includes approximately 4,540 square feet of ground floor retail and approximately 12,300 square feet of common open space.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working in the area in that:
  - a. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project would be constructed within existing height and bulk limits. The structure has been arranged on the site to be consistent with the surrounding streetscape and compatible with the surrounding buildings. Along Golden Gate Avenue frontage, the Project would be sandwiched by two structures of similar heights and bulks: the recently constructed building at 121 Golden Gate Avenue, which is 10 stories and the proposed structure at 1028 Market Street, which will be approximately 12 stories. The Project provides active uses along every street frontage and includes an inner court amounting to approximately 6,333 square feet at the ground floor.

The Project includes approximately 227,956 floor area square feet of residential uses, of which, approximately 178,515 is rentable. The Project seeks to exempt 21,422 square feet (12 percent of 178,515), which would be dedicated to below market rate units, pursuant to Planning Code Section 124(f), which allows the floor area devoted to affordable housing constructed on-site in a C-3 District to exceed base floor area permissions. The units must be made affordable to households whose incomes are within 90% AMI for ownership units and 55% AMI for rental units. Since the Project would contain rental units, the units must be made affordable to households within 55% AMI.

b. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The proposed project has been designed to minimize curb cuts along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue. These curb cuts for off-street parking and loading have been separated to allow for maximum active use exposure along each street, especially at the corner of Jones and Golden Gate. Pedestrian access to the building is located on Market Street and Jones Street and pedestrian commercial access is provided on all three bounding streets.

c. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The residential and ground floor commercial uses will not produce noxious or offensive dust or odor. Ventilation considerations comply with current California Mechanical Codes and have been taken to reduce adverse effects to the neighboring community, such as minimal interior court garage exhaust and use of mero-13 filters for the building inhabitants. The interior court and amenity spaces on the 13<sup>th</sup> level include landscaping to reduce noise.

d. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

Parking and loading areas are enclosed and will include signage to safeguard pedestrians and / or cyclists from approaching vehicles. The landscaped interior courtyard and amenity spaces at the 13<sup>th</sup> level will include egress lighting and visual screening to create privacy while providing interaction with other residents and users. Street improvement will include street trees as required by the City and County of San Francisco. Lighting and signage will be per governing codes for this location.

1641

Motion No. 19594 March 17, 2016

C. Such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV 1066 Market Street

15

The square footage to be dedicated to Below Market Rate units complies with applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan. The residential and retail uses contemplated for the Project are permitted within the C-3-G District. The Project complies with use and density requirements and is well served by transit and commercial services, allowing residents to commute, shop and reach amenities by walking, taking transit and bicycling. The Project conforms to multiple goals and policies of the General Plan, as described in further detail in Item #8.

8. General Plan Compliance. The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

#### HOUSING ELEMENT

**Objectives and Policies** 

**OBJECTIVE 1:** 

IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY'S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

#### Policy 1.8

Promote mixed use development, and include housing, particularly permanently affordable housing, in new commercial, institutional or other single use development projects.

The Project supports this Policy. The proposed Project would construct a significant amount of new housing units within an existing urban environment that is in need of more access to housing. The Project proposes to demolish an underutilized three-story commercial garage and surface parking lot and construct a mixed-use residential building above ground floor retail that contains 268 market rate units, 36 on-site affordable units compliant with Section 415 of the Planning Code, and approximately 4,578 gsf of retail use. The Property is an ideal site for new housing due to its central, downtown location, and proximity to public transportation. The current development of this location, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an under-utilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the Project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

#### Policy 1.10

Support new housing projects, especially affordable housing, where households can easily rely on public transportation, walking and bicycling for the majority of daily trips.

The Project supports this Policy. It is anticipated that because of the central downtown location of the Project, most residents would either walk, bike, or use public transportation for daily travel. The Project is two blocks from Market Street, a major rail and bus-transit corridor that provides convenient access from the Property to neighborhoods throughout the City, the East Bay, and the Peninsula. It is also 1.5 blocks from the Civic Center BART and MUNI stations, and is within one block of at least 6 MUNI bus lines.

The Project provides 304 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces with a convenient and separate entrance designated for bicyclists, encouraging the use of bikes as a mode of transportation.

#### **OBJECTIVE 5:**

#### ENSURE THAT ALL RESIDENTS HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO AVAILABLE UNITS.

Policy 5.4

Provide a range of unit types for all segments of need, and work to move residents between unit types as their needs change.

The Project supports this Policy. The Project would create 304 dwelling units, of which 61 (20%) are studios, 132 (43%) are one-bedroom units and 111 (37%) are two-bedroom units. The Project provides a range of unit types to serve a variety of needs, and will provide 12 percent on-site affordable units comprising of the similar dwelling unit mix, namely 22% studies, 43% one-bedrooms and 35% two-bedroom units.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

#### SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

In compliance with this policy, the Project would provide permanently affordable on-site housing by allocating 12% of units as affordable, in accordance with the City's Affordable Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, thereby enhancing the City's affordable housing.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

SUPPORT AND RESPECT THE DIVERSE AND DISTRINT CHARACTER OF SAN FRANCISCO'S NEIGHBORHOODS.

#### Policy 11.1

Promote the construction and rehabilitation of well-designed housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.2

Ensure implementation of accepted design standards in project approvals

#### Policy 11.3

Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely impacting existing residential neighborhood character.

#### Policy 11.4

Continue to utilize zoning districts which conform to a generalized residential land use and density plan and the General Plan.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

#### Policy 11.6

Motion No. 19594

March 17, 2016

Foster a sense of community through architectural design, using features that promote community interaction.

CASE NO. 2013.1753CX\

1066 Market Street

#### Policy 11.7

Respect San Francisco's historic fabric, by preserving landmark buildings and ensuring consistency with historic districts.

The Project supports these Policies. The Project would create 304 dwelling units in the immediate vicinity of existing residential and office buildings, and complies with the existing zoning in terms of land use, height, and density. The Project's design respect's the architectural design of adjacent historic resources, with a traditional tripartite façade containing defined base, shaft and top, while remaining modern in expression. This new development will greatly enhance the character of the existing neighborhood and is an ideal site for new housing due to its central, downtown location, and proximity to public transportation. The current development of this location, with a surface parking lot and underutilized commercial building, represents an under-utilized site within the downtown core. By developing and maintaining space dedicated to retail use within the building, the Project will continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

#### **URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT**

**Objectives and Policies** 

**OBJECTIVE 3:** 

MODERATION OF MAJOR NEW DEVELOPMENT TO COMPLEMENT THE CITY PATTERN, THE RESORUCES TO BE CONSERVED, AND THE NEIGHOBRHOOD ENVIRONMENT.

**Policy 3.1** Promote harmony in the visual relationships and transitions between new and older buildings.

#### Policy 3.2

Avoid extreme contrasts in color, shape and other characteristics which will cause new buildings to stand out in excess of their public importance.

#### Policy 3.6

Relate the bulk of buildings to the prevailing scale of development to avoid an overwhelming or dominating appearance in new construction.

The Project employs design to relate to existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by mid- to high-rise, mixed-use buildings that help compose a district of Renaissance Revival-style buildings. Renaissance Revival-style buildings are typically expressed by tripartite design, vertical expression, punched windows, decorative brickwork and modillion cornices. The proposed project would replace an underutilized 2-story commercial building and surface parking lot that respects its context by providing a high-rise, mixed-used building of tripartite design, separated with horizontal reveals and punched

SAN FRANCISCO

### CASE NO. 2013.1753<u>C</u>XV 1066 Market Street

openings. The proposed structure complies with land use and development controls of the Planning Code and the surrounding development.

#### COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

**Objectives and Policies** 

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1.1

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development that has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

#### Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

#### Policy 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

The Project would add approximately 4,578 square feet of new commercial space – divided between two tenant spaces – that is intended to serve residents in the building and surrounding neighborhood. Retail is encouraged and principally permitted on the ground floor of buildings in the Downtown General District, and is thus consistent with activities in the commercial land use plan.

#### TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

**Objectives and Policies** 

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS FOR SAFE, CONVENIENT, AND INEXPENSIVE TRAVEL WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION WHILE MAINTAINING THE HIGH QUALITY LIVING ENVIRONMENT OF THE BAY AREA.

#### Policy 1.2:

Ensure the safety and comfort of pedestrians throughout the city.

A primary objective of the proposed Project is to create a pedestrian-oriented environment at the Project Site that encourages walking as a principal means of transportation. Proposed improvements to the sidewalks would improve pedestrian safety, including the widening of the sidewalk along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage and a 6-foot bulb-out at the Golden Gate Avenue and Jones Street intersection, intended to reduce vehicular speed and provide greater refuge for pedestrians. The Project would also plant a consistent row of street trees along Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue, along with bicycle racks enhance the pedestrian experience and provide convenience to bicyclists.

#### Policy 1.3:

Give priority to public transit and other alternatives to the private automobile as the means of meeting San Francisco's transportation needs particularly those of commuters.

ren di di

#### Policy 1.6:

Ensure choices among modes of travel and accommodate each mode when and where it is most appropriate.

The Project would promote Objective 1 and its associated policies by providing for an amount of parking which is sufficient to meet the needs of the future residents so as to not overburden the surrounding neighborhood parking. However, the parking that is being provided is not expected to generate substantial traffic that would adversely impact pedestrian, transit, or bicycle movement. Given the proximity of the Project site to the employment opportunities and retail services of the Downtown Core, it is expected that residents will opt to prioritize walking, bicycle travel, or transit use over prioate automobile travel. In addition, the placement of parking in stacker configurations will discourage frequent use of vehicles for shorter trips and increase the use of public transit. Thus, the Project would provide a merely sufficient rather than excessive amount of parking in order to accommodate the parking needs of the future residents of the Project and the neighborhood, while still supporting and encouraging walking, bicycle travel and public transit use.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2:**

USE THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AS A MEANS FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 2.1:

Use rapid transit and other transportation improvements in the city and region as the catalyst for desirable development, and coordinate new facilities with public and private development.

The Project would promote Objective 2 and its associated policies by constructing a residential building with ground floor retail in the Downtown Core, which is the most transit rich area of the City. The Project would provide only 0.5 parking spaces per dwelling and will not provide any parking for the proposed retail uses. All of these parking spaces would be located underground, and thus be less intrusive from an urban design standpoint.

#### **OBJECTIVE 11:**

ESTABLISH PUBLIC TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION IN SAN FRANCISCO AND AS A MEANS THROUGH WHICH TO GUIDE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVE REGIONAL MOBILITY AND AIR QUALITY.

#### Policy 11.3:

Encourage development that efficiently coordinates land use with transit service, requiring that developers address transit concerns as well as mitigate traffic problems.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

#### CASE NO. 2013.1753<u>C</u>XV 1066 Market Street

20

The Project is located within a neighborhood rich with public transportation and the people occupying the building are expected to rely heavily on public transit, bicycling, or walking for the majority of their daily trips. The project includes bicycle parking for 322 bicycles (304 Class 1, 18 Class 2). Within a few blocks of the Project Site, there is an abundance of local and regional transit lines, including MUNI bus lines, MUNI Metro rail lines and BART. Additionally such transit lines also provide access to AC Transit (Transbay Terminal) and CalTrain.

#### DOWNTOWN AREA PLAN

**Objectives and Policies** 

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1.1

Encourage development which produces substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development which has substantial undesirable consequences which cannot be mitigated.

The Project would bring additional housing into a neighborhood that is well served by public transit on the edge of Downtown. The Project would not displace any housing because the existing structure at 1066 Market Street is a vacant 2-story commercial space and surface parking lot. The Project would improve the existing character of the neighborhood by removing the surface parking lot and vacant structure. The proposed retail space, which includes ground floor retail space, is consistent and compatible with the existing retail uses in the neighborhood and is also consistent with the pedestrian-friendly uses in the immediate neighborhood and the downtown core.

The Project therefore creates substantial net benefits for the City with minimal undesirable consequences.

#### **OBJECTIVE 7:**

EXPAND THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING IN AND ADJACENT TO DOWNTOWN.

#### Policy 7.1.1

Promote the inclusion of housing in downtown commercial developments.

#### Policy 7.2

Facilitate conversion of underused industrial and commercial areas to residential use.

The Project would demolish an underutilized commercial space and surface parking lot, constructing a 120-foot tall, 12-story-over-basement (14 level), 304-unit residential building over ground floor retail, within easy commuting distance of downtown jobs.

21

The Project includes approximately 4,578 square feet of ground floor commercial space, with tenant spaces on Market Street and the corner of Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue; these spaces would provide services to the immediate neighborhood, and would create pedestrian-oriented, active uses on each of the three frontages.

#### **OBJECTIVE 16:**

CREATE AND MAINTAIN ATTRACTIVE, INTERESTING URBAN STREETSCAPES.

#### Policy 16.4

Use designs and materials and include amenities at the ground floor to create pedestrian interest,

The Project would promote Objective 16 by including a ground floor retail use which would promote pedestrian traffic in the vicinity. The Project would provide floor-to-celling, transparent windows in retail spaces, inviting pedestrians, and landscape the sidewalk area surrounding the Project Site with street trees and bike racks. This space would increase the usefulness of the vicinity surrounding the Project Site to pedestrians and serve to calm the speed of traffic on the street.

Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Project complies with said policies in that:

A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The Project would not displace existing neighborhood-serving retail uses because no retail uses currently exist at the Project Site. In addition to 304 residential units, the Project would include approximately 4,578 square feet of retail space in two separate commercial spaces. The Project would have a positive effect on existing neighborhood-serving retail uses because it would bring additional residents to the neighborhood, thus increasing the customer base of existing neighborhood-serving retail. Moreover, the Project would not displace any existing neighborhood-serving retail uses. Instead, the Project would enhance neighborhood-serving retail by adding new retail space, which could strengthen nearby neighborhood retail uses by attracting pedestrians and passersby and broadening the consumer base and demand for existing neighborhood-serving retail services. The addition of this new space would also complement the pedestrian-friendly Downtown core and would continue the pattern of active ground floor retail along the Market Street, Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue frontages.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The Project would not negatively affect the existing housing and neighborhood character. The Project would not displace any housing because the existing structure at 1066 Market Street is an underutilized, vacant commercial building and surface parking lot. The Project would improve the existing character of the neighborhood by removing the vacant structure and surface parking lot. The proposed retail space is consistent and compatible with the existing retail uses in the neighborhood and is also consistent with the pedestrian-friendly uses in the immediate neighborhood and the downtown core.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

There is currently no housing on the site; therefore, no affordable housing will be lost as part of this Project. The Project would enhance the City's supply of affordable housing by providing 36 on-site affordable dwelling units, in compliance with the affordable housing requirements of Planning Code Section 415.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project would not impede MUNI transit service or overburden local streets or parking. The Project is at a location well-served by transit as it is located in a major transit corridor and would promote rather than impede the use of MUNI transit service. Future residents and employees of the Project could access both the existing MUNI rail and bus services and the BART system. The Project also provides a sufficient amount of off-street parking for future residents so that neighborhood parking will not be overburdened by the addition of new residents.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not negatively affect the industrial and service sectors because it is largely residential in nature and would not displace any existing industrial uses. The Project would also be consistent with the character of existing development in the neighborhood, which is characterized by commercial office buildings and residential high-rise buildings.

F. That the City achieves the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project will be consistent with the City's goal to achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. The building will be constructed in compliance with all current building codes to ensure a high level of seismic safety.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The Planning Department has determined that the 1066 Market Street a three-story, vacant commercial space and surface parking lot, is not individually eligible for listing on the California Register, but is located in an historic district. Accordingly, the building has been designed to respect the character defining features of the district. As such, the Project would not have an indirect impact on historic resources by negatively altering the existing visual setting of these resources.

1649

## CASE NO. 2013.1753<u>C</u>XV 1066 Market Street

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would not cast any new shadows on parks under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Parks and Recreation Department.

10. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.

####\_\_\_\_

11. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

# DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2013.1753**CXV subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated February 23, 2016 and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth and includes that portion of the Project described on the plans attached hereto as Exhibit B that is located within the Rincon Point South Beach Redevelopment Plan Area.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion. The effective date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30- day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554- 5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

**Protest of Fee or Exaction:** You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion constitutes conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on March 17, 2016.

Jonas P. Ionin

Motion No. 19594

March 17, 2016

Commission Secretary

Cin

AYES:Fong, Richards, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson,NAYS:Moore, WuABSENT:NoneADOPTED:March 17, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZATION

Motion No. 19594

March 17, 2016

This authorization is for a Conditional Use Authorization r elating to a Project that would demolish an existing three-story, vacant commercial structure and surface parking lot and construct a new, 12-story-over-basement, 14-level approximately 120-foot tall, 298,278 gross square foot building (of which 227,956 counts towards Floor Area Ratio (FAR)) containing approximately 4,578 gross square feet of ground floor commercial space, and 304 dwelling-units located at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Block 0350, Lot 003 pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 124(f) within the C-3-G Zoning District and a 120-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated February 23, 2016, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Case No. 2013.1753XCV and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on March 17, 2016 under Motion No. 19594. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

**EXHIBIT A** 

CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV

1066 Market Street

#### COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Conditions of Approval set forth in Exhibit A of Motion No. 19593, Case No. 2013.1753CXV (Downtown Project Authorization under Planning Code Section 309) apply to this approval, and are incorporated herein as though fully set forth, except as modified herein. Further the Project requires variances from Section 135(g)(2), use of inner courts, that requires approval from the Zoning Administrator.

none die zornie Adminus

#### **RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 17, 2016 under Motion No. 19594.

#### PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. 19594 shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Downtown Project Authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

#### SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

#### CASE NO. 2013.1753<u>C</u>XV 1066 Market Street

· ;;'

#### CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

· • • • • • • •

:: ::

· · · · 🔡

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Downtown Project Authorization.

27

# Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

- Validity. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the Project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 2. Expiration and Renewal. Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the Project Sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the Project Sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

3. Diligent pursuit. Once a Site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

- 4. Extension. All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs shall, at the Project Sponsor's request, be extended by the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the Project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 6. Additional Project Authorization. The Project Sponsor must obtain a Variance from Section 135(g)(2), which does not provide the one-to-one ratio of depth to building height required by

Section 135(g)(2) of the Planning Code. The Project Sponsor must also obtain a Downtown Project Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 309, and request exceptions for rear yard per Section 134, reduction of ground-level wind currents per Section 148 and reduction of freight loading requirements per Section 161. The conditions set forth are additional conditions required in connection with the Project. If these conditions overlap with any other requirement imposed on the Project, the more restrictive or protective condition or requirement, as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall apply.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

7. Transferable Development Rights. Pursuant to Section 128, the Project Sponsor shall purchase the required number of units of Transferrable Development Rights (TDR) and secure a Notice of Use of TDR prior to the issuance of an architectural addendum for all development which exceeds the base FAR of 6.0 to 1, up to a maximum FAR of 9.0 to 1. The net addition of gross floor area subject to the fee shall be determined based on drawings submitted with the Building Permit Application.

For information about compliance, contact the Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

8. Improvement and Mitigation Measures. Improvement and Mitigation measures described in the MMRP attached as Exhibit C to the CEQA Findings Motion associated with the Subject Project are necessary to avoid potential significant impacts of the Project and have been agreed to by the Project Sponsor. Their implementation is a condition of Project approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

#### DESIGN – COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE

.....

- 9. Final Materials. The Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department on the building design. Final materials, glazing, color, texture, landscaping (including roof deck landscaping), and detailing shall be subject to Department staff review and approval. The architectural addenda shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance.
  - For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org
- 10. Street Trees. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1, the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the Site Permit application indicating that street trees, at a ratio of one street tree of an approved species for every 20 feet of street frontage along public or private streets bounding the Project, with any remaining fraction of 10 feet or more of frontage requiring an extra tree, shall be provided. The street trees shall be evenly spaced along the street frontage except where proposed driveways or other street obstructions do not permit. The exact location, size and species of tree shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW). In any case in which DPW cannot grant approval for installation of a tree in the public right-of-way, on the basis of inadequate sidewalk width,

29

interference with utilities or other reasons regarding the public welfare, and where installation of such tree on the lot itself is also impractical, the requirements of this Section 806 of the Public Works Code may be modified or waived by the Department of Public Works. The Project currently shows the installation of ten of the fifteen required street trees, with an in-lieu fee requirement applicable for five street trees. The Project shall install the thirteen (13) street trees and pay the in-lieu fee for the one (1) tree as set forth in Condition Number 23 below, unless the installation of the 13 trees proves infeasible, in which case the Project shall pay an in-lieu fee for any of the 13 trees not so installed. Also, all street trees must meet the standards per Article 16 of the Public Works Code, Section 806.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Urban Forestry at 415-554-6700, <u>www.sf.</u> planning.org

11. Streetscape Elements. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1, the Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department staff, in consultation with other City agencies, to refine the design and programming of the required Streetscape features so that it generally meets the standards of the Better Streets and Downtown Plans, as well as all applicable City standards.

This includes, but is not limited to the widening of the sidewalk to 16-feet along the Project's Golden Gate Avenue frontage, and a the provision of a 6-foot bulbout at the southeast corner of the Jones Street and Golden Gate Avenue intersection. The Project Sponsor shall complete final design of all required street improvements, including procurement of relevant City permits, prior to issuance of the architectural addenda, and shall complete construction of all required street improvements prior to issuance of first temporary certificate of occupancy.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

12. Garbage, composting and recycling storage. Space for the collection and storage of garbage, composting, and recycling shall be provided within enclosed areas on the property and clearly labeled and illustrated on the Site Permit plans. Space for the collection and storage of recyclable and compostable materials that meets the size, location, accessibility and other standards specified by the San Francisco Recycling Program shall be provided at the ground level of the buildings.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

13. Rooftop Mechanical Equipment. Pursuant to Planning Code 141, the Project Sponsor shall submit a roof plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the architectural addendum to the Site Permit application. Rooftop mechanical equipment, if any is proposed as part of the Project, is required to be screened so as not to be visible from any point at or below the roof level of the subject building.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

14. Lighting Plan. The Project Sponsor shall submit an exterior lighting plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning Department approval of the architectural addendum to the site permit application.

SAN FRANCISCO

LANNING DEPARTMENT

Motion No. 19594

March 17, 2016

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

15. Transformer Vault. The location of individual project PG&E Transformer Vault installations has significant effects to San Francisco streetscapes when improperly located. However, they may not have any impact if they are installed in preferred locations. Therefore, the Planning Department recommends the following preference schedule in locating new transformer vaults, in order of most to least desirable:

- a. On-site, in a basement area accessed via a garage or other access point without use of
- separate doors on a ground floor façade facing a public right-of-way;
- b. On-site, in a driveway, underground;
- c. On-site, above ground, screened from view, other than a ground floor façade facing a public right-of-way;
- d. Public right-of-way, underground, under sidewalks with a minimum width of 12 feet, avoiding effects on streetscape elements, such as street trees; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- e. Public right-of-way, underground; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- f. Public right-of-way, above ground, screened from view; and based on Better Streets Plan guidelines;
- g. On-site, in a ground floor façade (the least desirable location).
- h. Unless otherwise specified by the Planning Department, Department of Public Work's Bureau of Street Use and Mapping (DPW BSM) should use this preference schedule for all new transformer vault installation requests.

For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public. Works at 415-554-5810, http://sfdpw.org

16. Overhead Wiring. The Property owner will allow MUNI to install eyebolts in the building adjacent to its electric streetcar line to support its overhead wire system if requested by MUNI or MTA.

For information about compliance, contact San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni), San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA), at 415-701-4500, www.sfmta.org

#### **PARKING AND TRAFFIC**

- 17. Parking Maximum. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 151.1, the Project shall provide no more
  - than one parking space per two dwelling units as of right. With 304 dwelling units proposed, there is a maximum of 102 off-street parking spaces allowed as-of-right. With 102 off-street parking spaces total included, the Project Sponsor must design and designate 4 off-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 18. Off-street Loading. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 152.1, the Project shall provide one offstreet loading space and attain one dedicated on-street loading space with the Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

1657

llan gillin and

31

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

 Car Share. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 166, no less than two car share space shall be made available, at no cost, to a certified car share organization for the purposes of providing car share services for its service subscribers.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

20. Bicycle Parking (Mixed-Use: New Commercial/Major Renovation and Residential). Pursuant to Planning Code Sections 155.1, 155.4, and 155.5, the Project shall provide no fewer than 152 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces (151 for the residential portion of the Project and 1 for the retail portion) and 17 Class 2 spaces - fifteen for residential and 7 for commercial).

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

21, Managing Traffic During Construction. The Project Sponsor and construction contractor(s) shall coordinate with the Traffic Engineering and Transit Divisions of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Planning Department, and other construction contractor(s) for any concurrent nearby Projects to manage traffic congestion and pedestrian circulation effects during construction of the Project. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

#### PROVISIONS

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

22. Street Trees. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1 (formerly 143), the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the building permit application indicating that street trees, at a ratio of one street tree of an approved species for every 20 feet of street frontage along public or private streets bounding the Project, with any remaining fraction of 10 feet or more of frontage requiring an extra tree, shall be provided. The street trees shall be evenly spaced along the street frontage except where proposed driveways or other street obstructions do not permit. The exact location, size and species of tree shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW). In any case in which DPW cannot grant approval for installation of a tree in the public right-of-way, on the basis of inadequate sidewalk width, interference with utilities or other reasons regarding the public welfare, and where installation of such tree on the lot itself is also impractical, the requirements of Section 806 of the Planning Department Code may be modified or waived by the Director of the Public Works Department.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

23. Transportation Sustainability Fee. The project is subject to the Transportation Sustainability Fee (TSF), as applicable, pursuant to Planning Code Section 411A.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

24. Art - Residential Projects. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, the Project Sponsor must provide on-site artwork, pay into the Public Artworks Fund, or fulfill the requirement with any combination of on-site artwork or fee payment as long as it equals one percent of the hard construction costs for the Project as determined by the Director of the Department of Building Inspection. The Project Sponsor shall provide to the Director necessary information to make the determination of construction cost hereunder. Payment into the Public Artworks Fund is due prior to issuance of the first construction document. If the Project Sponsor elects to provide the artwork on-site, the Conditions set forth in Conditions Numbers 28-30 below shall govern. *For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at* 415-558-6378,

www.sf-planning.org

25. Art Plaques. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429(b), the Project Sponsor shall provide a plaque or cornerstone identifying the architect, the artwork creator and the Project completion date in a publicly conspicuous location on the Project Site. The design and content of the plaque shall be approved by Department staff prior to its installation.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

26. Art – Concept Development. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, the Project Sponsor and the Project artist shall consult with the Planning Department during design development regarding the height, size, and final type of the art. The final art concept shall be submitted for review for consistency with this Motion by, and shall be satisfactory to, the Director of the Planning Department in consultation with the Commission. The Project Sponsor and the Director shall report to the Commission on the progress of the development and design of the art concept prior to the approval of the first building or site permit application.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

27. Art - Installation. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 429, prior to issuance of any certificate of occupancy, the Project Sponsor shall install the public art generally as described in this Motion and make it available to the public. If the Zoning Administrator concludes that it is not feasible to install the work(s) of art within the time herein specified and the Project Sponsor provides adequate assurances that such works will be installed in a timely manner, the Zoning Administrator may extend the time for installation for a period of not more than twelve (12) months. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

Affordable Units

28. Number of Required Units: Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.6, the Project is required to provide 12% of the proposed dwelling units as affordable to qualifying households. The Project contains 304 units; therefore, 37 affordable units are required. The Project Sponsor will fulfill this requirement by providing the 37 affordable units on-site. If the number of market-rate units



33

change, the number of required affordable units shall be modified accordingly with written approval from Planning Department staff in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD").

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

29. Unit Mix. The Project contains 61 studios, 132 one-bedroom and 111 two-bedroom units; therefore, the required affordable unit mix is 7 studios, 16 one-bedroom and 14 two-bedroom units. If the market-rate unit mix changes, the affordable unit mix will be modified accordingly with written approval from Planning Department staff in consultation with MOHCD. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, www.sf-moh.org.

30. Unit Location. The affordable units shall be designated on a reduced set of plans recorded as a Notice of Special Restrictions on the property prior to the Issuance of the first construction permit. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, www.sf-moh.org.

**31.** Phasing. If any building permit is issued for partial phasing of the Project, the Project Sponsor shall have designated not less than twelve percent (12%) of the each phase's total number of dwelling units as on-site affordable units.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

32. Duration. Under Planning Code Section 415.8, all units constructed pursuant to Section 415.6, must remain affordable to qualifying households for the life of the project.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

33. Other Conditions. The Project is subject to the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program under Section 415 et seq. of the Planning Code and City and County of San Francisco Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Monitoring and Procedures Manual. ("Procedures Manual"). The Procedures Manual, as amended from time to time, is incorporated herein by reference, as published and adopted by the Planning Commission, and as required by Planning Code Section 415. Terms used in these conditions of approval and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings set forth in the Procedures Manual. A copy of the Procedures Manual can be obtained at the MOHCD at 1 South Van Ness Avenue or on the Planning Department or MOHCD websites, including on the internet at:

#### CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV 1066 Market Street

http://sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=4451. As provided in the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, the applicable Procedures Manual is the manual in effect at the time the subject units are made available for sale.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> or the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development at 415-701-5500, <u>www.sf-moh.org</u>.

- a. The affordable unit(s) shall be designated on the building plans prior to the issuance of the first construction permit by the Department of Building Inspection ("DBI"). The affordable unit(s) shall (1) reflect the unit size mix in number of bedrooms of the market rate units, (2) be constructed, completed, ready for occupancy and marketed no later than the market rate units, and (3) be evenly distributed throughout the building; and (4) be of comparable overall quality, construction and exterior appearance as the market rate units in the principal project. The interior features in affordable units should be generally the same as those of the market units in the principal project, but need not be the same make, model or type of such item as long they are of good and new quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing. Other specific standards for on-site units are outlined in the Procedures Manual.
- b. If the units in the building are offered for sale, the affordable unit(s) shall be sold to first time home buyer households, as defined in the Procedures Manual, whose gross annual income, adjusted for household size, does not exceed an average of ninety (90) percent of Area Median Income under the income table called "Maximum Income by Household Size derived from the Unadjusted Area Median Income for HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area that contains San Francisco." The initial sales price of such units shall be calculated according to the Procedures Manual. Limitations on (i) reselling; (ii) renting; (iii) recouping capital improvements; (iv) refinancing; and (v) procedures for inheritance apply and are set forth in the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program and the Procedures Manual.
- c. The Project Sponsor is responsible for following the marketing, reporting, and monitoring requirements and procedures as set forth in the Procedures Manual. MOHCD shall be responsible for overseeing and monitoring the marketing of affordable units. The Project Sponsor must contact MOHCD at least six months prior to the beginning of marketing for any unit in the building.
- d. Required parking spaces shall be made available to initial buyers or renters of affordable units according to the Procedures Manual.
- e. Prior to the issuance of the first construction permit by DBI for the Project, the Project Sponsor shall record a Notice of Special Restriction on the property that contains these conditions of approval and a reduced set of plans that identify the affordable units satisfying the requirements of this approval. The Project Sponsor shall promptly provide a copy of the recorded Notice of Special Restriction to the Department and to MOHCD or its successor.

1661

Motion No. 19594 March 17, 2016

> The Project Sponsor has demonstrated that if is eligible for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative under Planning Code Section 415.6 instead of payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, and has submitted the *Affidavit of Compliance with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Planning Code Section 415* to the Planning Department stating that any affordable units designated as on-site units shall be sold as ownership units and will remain as ownership units for the life of the Project.

CASE NO. 2013.1753CXV

1066 Market Street

g. If the Project Sponsor fails to comply with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requirement, the Director of DBI shall deny any and all site or building permits or certificates of occupancy for the development project until the Planning Department notifies the Director of compliance. A Project Sponsor's failure to comply with the requirements of Planning Code Section 415 et seq. shall constitute cause for the City to record a lien against the development project and to pursue any and all available remedies at law.

h. If the Project becomes ineligible at any time for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative, the Project Sponsor or its successor shall pay the Affordable Housing Fee prior to issuance of the first construction permit. If the Project becomes ineligible after issuance of its first construction permit, the Project Sponsor shall notify the Department and MOHCD and pay interest on the Affordable Housing Fee and penalties, if applicable.

#### OPERATION

34. Community Liaison. Prior to issuance of a building permit to construct the Project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator with written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www:sf-planning.org</u>

35. Sidewalk Maintenance. The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards.

For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works, 415-695-2017, <u>http://sfdpw.org</u>

#### MONITORING

36. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoring

Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

37. Enforcement. Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

 $\boldsymbol{V}_{i}$ 

| 1 | 0/  | ∠070 | 443 |
|---|-----|------|-----|
|   | 56  | 18%  | 563 |
|   | 111 | 37%  | 836 |
|   | 304 | 100% | 587 |

**Gross Building** 

Area

297,948

Area (SF)

27;312

163,872 245,808

Area (SF) 226,995

21,422

7.5:1

41,701

205,573

**AREA SUMMARY** 

**FAR SUMMARY** 

(allowed)

sionary Units (BMR)

Area (FAR less BMR)

TFAR Area/ Lot Area)

(with TDR's)

F)

FAR

FAR

Juired

665

**FAR Area** 

226,995

| - *-           | ο ι ανυακυ              | 51         |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                | COMPACT                 | 51         |
| Proposed       | ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGE | 8          |
|                | CAR SHARE               | 2.         |
| -              | ACCESSIBLE              | 4          |
| Total Proposed | .33 spaces/unit         | 102 spaces |

| BICYCLE PARKING SUMMARY |                               |                 |             |  |  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|--|
|                         | Use                           | Required Spaces | Provided Sp |  |  |
| Class 1                 | Residential (100 + (.25*204)) | 151             | 304 spaces  |  |  |
|                         | Commercial (1/7,500sf)        | 1               |             |  |  |
| Class 2                 | Residential (304/20)          | 15.2            | 18 spaces   |  |  |
|                         | Commercial (1/2,500sf)        | 2               |             |  |  |

| COMMON OPEN SPACE CALCULATION:               |                                |                 |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| UNITS W/ PRIVATE OPEN SPACE (Balconies)      | 40 balconies +10 terraces =    | 50 UNITS        |
| REMAINING UNITS (Require common open space:) | 304 total units - 50 units $=$ | 254 UNITS       |
| COMMON OPEN SPACE REQUIRED:                  | 250 units x 48 sf/unit =       | 12,192 SF Requi |
| COMMON OPEN SPACE PROVIDED:                  | Level 13 Roof terraces=        | 5,903 SF        |
|  | Courtyard (less terraces) =    | 6,354 SF        |
|  |                                | 12,257 TOTAL SI |
| PUBLIC OPEN SPACE CALCULATION:               | Jones/ GG Commercial:          | 2,894 SF        |
|  | Market St Commercial:          | 1,646 SF        |
|  | TOTAL RETAIL:                  | 4,540 SF        |

PUBLIC OPEN SPACE REQ'D (1/50 SF OF RETAIL) - Does Not Apply, <5,000 SF:

0 SF Requir

# ces requested:

allow courtyard as open space.

# ional uses requested:

her density of units at 1/90 SF.

# Section 309 exceptions:

- 1 Rear Yard configuration.
- 2 A parking ratio in excess of 0.25:1.
- 3 Reduction of freight loading spaces from 2 to 1.
- 4 Ground level wind.





. . . .



)X)[]]

VIEW FROM MARKET STREET, AFTER FIRE / CIRCA 1906





3. VIEW FROM MARKET STREET / CIRCA 1945







E AND JONES INTERSECTION





2. VIEW FROM GOLDEN GATE AVE.





3. BOYD HOTEL











# AARKET MASSING OPMENT - 1028 MARKET





I. JONES ST. TOWARDS MARKET ST.
























# **LEVEL B2 PARKING PLAN**



# LEVEL B1 PA

| PARKING THIS LEVEL:         |
|-----------------------------|
| 14 STANDARD                 |
| 4 ACCESSIBLE                |
| 21 COMPACT                  |
| 2 CAR SHARE                 |
| 8 ELECTRIC VEHICLE STATIONS |
|                             |
| TOTAL 49 SPACES             |



ADJACENT BULIDING Approximately to level 14















**LEVEL 1 COURTYARD** Open Space this Level = 6,354 SF

| OPE  | N SPACE SUMMARY              |                          |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMON OPEN SPACE CALCULATION:               |                              |                          |
| UNITS W/ PRIVATE OPEN SPACE (Balconies)      | 40 balconies +10 terraces=   | 50 UNITS                 |
| REMAINING UNITS (Require common open space:) | 304 total units - 50 units = | 254 UNITS                |
| COMMON OPEN SPACE REQUIRED:                  | 250 units x 48 sf/unit =     | 12,192 SF Required       |
| COMMON OPEN SPACE PROVIDED:                  | Level 13 Roof terraces=      | 5,903 SF                 |
|  | Coudyard (less terraces)=    | 6,354 SF                 |
|  |                              | 12,257 TOTAL SF Provided |

Private 7 terra 3 terra 40 tota







|   | - 4"                         | $\uparrow$ |                                       |             | RESIDENTIAL | LINE OF COMPLIANCE WITH<br>PLANNING CODE SEC. 140   |
|---|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| LEVEL 14<br>156' - 0"<br>LEVEL 13<br>146' - 8"              |                              | ····· ···· |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| <u>LEVEL 12</u><br>137' - 4"                                | 4"                           |            |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LEVEL 11<br>128' - 0"                                       | 9-4"<br>- 4"                 |            |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL RESIDENTIAL   |
| <u>LEVEL 10</u><br>118' - 8"<br><u>LEVEL 9</u><br>109' - 4" | -4" 9"-4" 5                  | MAX.       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LEVEL 8<br>100' - 0"  | -4" 9-4"<br><b>119' - 11</b> | 120'-0"    |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LE <u>VEL 7</u><br><sup>90' - 8<b>"</b></sup>               | - 4"<br>- 4"<br>             |            |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LEVEL 5<br>1' - <b>20</b><br><u>LEVEL 5</u>                 |                              | <u>+</u>   |                                       | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| <u>EVEL 4</u><br>2' - 8"                                    | - 4" _ 9' - 4"               |            | - <u></u>                             | RESIDENTIAL | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| EVEL 3  | - 11 1/2" 9'                 | <u>+</u>   | GOLDEN<br>GATE AVE.                   | COMM        | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LEVEL 2<br>43' - 0"<br>LEVEL 1<br>33' - 8"                  | 1/2 11 - 8 1/27              |            |                                       | TRANS       | RESIDENTIAL |   |
| LEVEL B1<br>23' - 7 1/2"                                    | 3" 0 1/                      |            |                                       |             | , B1 PARKIN |   |
| <u>LEVEL B2</u><br>14' - 4 1/2"                             |                              |            |                                       |             | B2 PARKIN   | IG<br>The second se |

-

.















City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

May 9, 2016

# File No. 160400 Planning Case No. 2013.1753CXV

Received from the Board of Supervisors Clerk's Office one check, in the amount of Five Hundred Sixty Two Dollars (\$562), representing filing fee paid by Donald Falk for appeal of the Conditional Use Authorization for the proposed project at 1066 Market Street.

Planning Department By:

lonu Print Name

5/10/16

Signature and Date

#### Carroll, John (BOS)

| From:<br>Sent:<br>To:<br>Subject:  | SF Docs (LIB)<br>Friday, May 06, 2016 10:43 AM<br>BOS Legislation, (BOS)<br>RE: Hearing Notice - Conditional Use Appeal - 1066 Market Street Project - Appeal Hearing<br>on May 17, 2016 |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Categories:  | 160400   |  |  |  |
| Hi John,   |  |  |  |  |
| I have posted the notices.   |  |  |  |  |
| Thank you,   |  |  |  |  |
| Michael  |  |  |  |  |
| From: BOS Legislation, (BOS)<br>Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 10:40 AM<br>To: SF Docs (LIB)<br>Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS)<br>Subject: FW: Hearing Notice - Conditional Use Appeal - 1066 Market Street Project - Appeal Hearing on May 17, 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Good morning,  |  |  |  |  |
| Please kindly post the below-linked hearing notices for public viewing.  |  |  |  |  |
| Best to you,   |  |  |  |  |

John Carroll Legislative Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)554-4445 - Direct | (415)554-5163 - Fax john.carroll@sfgov.org | bos.legislation@sfgov.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy. From: Carroll, John (BOS)

Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 10:39 AM

To: <u>hestor@earthlink.net</u>; <u>dfalk@tndc.org</u>; <u>jburdick@shorenstein.com</u>; Givner, Jon (CAT) <<u>jon.givner@sfgov.org</u>>; Stacy, te (CAT) <kate.stacy@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Marlena (CAT) <marlena.byrne@sfgov.org>; Rahaim, John (CPC)

>john.rahaim@sfgov.org>; Sanchez, Scott (CPC) <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>; Jones, Sarah (CPC)

<sarah.b.jones@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC)

<<u>anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org</u>>; Chang, Tina (CPC) <<u>tina.chang@sfgov.org</u>>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <<u>jonas.ionin@sfgov.org</u>>; BOS-Supervisors <<u>bos-supervisors@sfgov.org</u>>; BOS-Legislative Aides <<u>bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org</u>>; Lewis, Donald (CPC) <<u>don.lewis@sfgov.org</u>>

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <<u>angela.calvillo@sfgov.org</u>>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <<u>alisa.somera@sfgov.org</u>>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <<u>bos.legislation@sfgov.org</u>>; Carroll, John (BOS) <<u>iohn.carroll@sfgov.org</u>>

Subject: Hearing Notice - Conditional Use Appeal - 1066 Market Street Project - Appeal Hearing on May 17, 2016

Good morning,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled an appeal hearing for Special Order on **May 17, 2016, at 3:00 p.m.,** to hear an appeal of the Certification of a Conditional Use Authorization for the proposed project at 1066 Market Street, filed by Donald Falk on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation.

Please find the following link to the hearing notices for the matter:

#### May 17, 2016 - Board of Supervisors - 1066 Market Street Appeal

I invite you to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

#### Board of Supervisors File No. 160400

ı nank you,

John Carroll Legislative Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)554-4445 - Direct | (415)554-5163 - Fax john.carroll@sfgov.org | bos.legislation@sfgov.org

Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

#### Carroll, John (BOS)

| From:<br>Sent:  | Carroll, John (BOS)<br>Friday, May 06, 2016 10:39 AM  |
|-----------------|---|
| To:             | hestor@earthlink.net; dfalk@tndc.org; jburdick@shorenstein.com; Givner, Jon (CAT); Stacy,<br>Kate (CAT); Byrne, Marlena (CAT); Rahaim, John (CPC); Sanchez, Scott (CPC); Jones,<br>Sarah (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); Chang, Tina (CPC); Ionin, Jonas<br>(CPC); BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Lewis, Donald (CPC) |
| Cc:<br>Subject: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alīsa (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)<br>Hearing Notice - Conditional Use Appeal - 1066 Market Street Project - Appeal Hearing on<br>May 17, 2016  |
| Categories:     | 160400  |

#### Good morning,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled an appeal hearing for Special Order on **May 17, 2016, at 3:00 p.m.,** to hear an appeal of the Certification of a Conditional Use Authorization for the proposed project at 1066 Market Street, filed by Donald Falk on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation.

Please find the following link to the hearing notices for the matter:

#### May 17, 2016 - Board of Supervisors - 1066 Market Street Appeal

I invite you to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

Board of Supervisors File No. 160400

Thank you,

John Carroll Legislative Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)554-4445 - Direct | (415)554-5163 - Fax john.carroll@sfgov.org | bos.legislation@sfgov.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

| •  | PROOF OF MAILING   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Legislative File No.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| egislative File No. <u>160400</u><br>Description of Items: Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the certification of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 124(f) and 303, for a proposed project at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0350, Lot No. 003, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1753CXV, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 19594 dated March 17, 2016, to allow additional square footage above the base floor area ratio or dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project to households whose incomes are within 90% of the area median income. (District 6) (Appellant: Donald Falk, on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation) (Filed April 18, 2016). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , an employee of the City and<br>o, mailed the above described document(s) by depositing the<br>United States Postal Service (USPS) with the postage fully |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date:  | May 6, 2016  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time:  | 10:00 a.m.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| USPS Location:   | Repro Pick-up Box in the Clerk of the Board's Office (Rm 244)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mailbox/Mailslot Pick-Up   | Times (if applicable): N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature:   | the states   |  |  |  |  |  |

Instructions: Upon completion, original must be filed in the above referenced file.



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold public hearings to consider the following appeals and said public hearings will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Tuesday, May 17, 2016

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Location:

## Legislative Chamber, City Hall, Room 250 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett, Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject:

**File No. 160400.** Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the certification of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 124(f) and 303, for a proposed project at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0350, Lot No. 003, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1753CXV, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 19594 dated March 17, 2016, to allow additional square footage above the base floor area ratio for dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project to households whose incomes are within 90% of the area median income. (District 6) (Appellant: Donald Falk, on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation) (Filed April 18, 2016).

Hearing Notice - Appeals - 1066 Market Street Project Hearing Date: May 17, 2016 Dated/Mailed/Posted: May 6, 2016 Page 2

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on these matters may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public records in these matters and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102. Information relating to these matters are available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to these matters will be available for public review on Friday, May 13, 2016.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

# 公聽會通知

三藩市市及縣市參事委員會

日期: 2016年5月17日星期二

時間: 下午3時

地點: 市政廳,立法會議廳 250 室, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

議題: 檔案號碼 160400。 聆訊感興趣或反對人士對依據規劃法規第124
 (f)及303條款就市場街1066號(即評估地街區編號0350、地段編號003)建議計劃所出具的「條件性使用授權」證書的意見,其規劃案定為No. 2013.1753CXV,須經由規劃委員會按照動議No. 19594於2016年3月17日簽發,旨在容許在居住單位的底層樓面面積比率以上增建額外平方英尺的面積,以讓計劃中入息為所在地區中位數收入90%以內的家庭可負擔得起。(第6選區)(上訴人:Donald Falk,代表Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation)(於2016年4月18日已提交)。

Angela Calvillo 市參事委員會書記 A AND COUNTY OF SAME

**BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 

# **NOTIFICACIÓN DE AUDIENCIAS PÚBLICAS** JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO

SE NOTIFICA POR LA PRESENTE que la Junta de Supervisores de la Ciudad y Condado de San Francisco celebrará una audiencia pública para considerar la siguiente apelación y dicha audiencia pública se celebrará de la siguiente manera, en tal momento que todos los interesados podrán asistir y ser escuchados:

Fecha:Martes, 17 de mayo de 2016Hora:3:00 p. m.Lugar:Cámara Legislativa, Sala 250 del Ayuntamiento<br/>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Asunto: Expediente Núm. 160400. Audiencia para personas interesadas en o que se oponen a la certificación de una Autorización de Uso Condicional según las Secciones 124(f) y 303 del Código de Planificación, para un proyecto propuesto situado en 1066 de la Calle Market, Lote Núm. 003 de la Parcela Núm. 0350 del Tasador, identificado en el Caso de Planificación Núm. 2013.1753CXV, emitido en la Moción Núm. 19594 de la Comisión de Planificación, fechado el 17 de septiembre de 2016, para permitir un aumento adicional en pies cuadrados al suelo base para las unidades de viviendas residenciales que se mantendrán asequibles a familias que se encuentren dentro del 90% del ingreso promedio del área (AMI, *siglas en inglés*) durante la vida del proyecto. (Distrito 6) (Apelante: Donald Falk, en nombre de Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation) (Presentado el 18 de abril de 2016).

Angela Calvillo , Secretaria de la Junta

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

> San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

FECHADO/ENVIADO/PUBLICADO:

6 de mayo de 2016

#### Carroll, John (BOS)

| From:        | Carroll, John (BOS)   |
|--------------|---|
| Sent:        | Monday, April 25, 2016 3:54 PM  |
| То:          | Panopio, Sandra (ADM); Hooker, Sarah (ADM)                              |
| Cc:          | Somera, Alisa (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Nevin, Peggy (BOS)         |
| Subject:     | Translation Request (Public Notice) - Board File Nos. 160396 and 160400 |
| Attachments: | 160396 and 160400 - DRAFT HEARING NOTICE FOR TRANSLATION.doc            |
|              |   |

Categories: 1

160400, 160396

Hello Sandra,

Please translate the following public notice into Chinese, Spanish, and Filipino (if available):

Link Language:

May 17, 2016 - Board of Supervisors - 1066 Market Street Appeals

Public Hearing Notice: Date: Tuesday, May 17, 2016

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Location: Legislative Chamber, City Hall, Room 250 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett, Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject:

File No. 160396. Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for a proposed project at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0350, Lot No. 003, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1753E, adopted by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 19592 dated March 17, 2016. (District 6) (Appellant: Sue Hestor, on behalf of San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth) (Filed April 18, 2016).

File No. 160400. Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the certification of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 124(f) and 303, for a proposed project at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0350, Lot No. 003, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1753CXV, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 19594 dated March 17, 2016, to allow additional square footage above the base floor area ratio for dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project to households whose incomes are within 90% of the area median income. (District 6) (Appellant: Donald Falk, on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation) (Filed April 18, 2016).

Clerk of the Board

Dated/Posted: May 3, 2016

Thank you,

John Carroll Legislative Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

## Carroll, John (BOS)

| From:       | BOS Legislation, (BOS)   |
|-------------|--|
| nt:         | Monday, April 25, 2016 3:04 PM   |
| 10:         | hestor@earthlink.net; dfalk@tndc.org; jburdick@shorenstein.com; Givner, Jon (CAT); Stacy,<br>Kate (CAT); Byrne, Marlena (CAT); Rahaim, John (CPC); Sanchez, Scott (CPC); Jones,<br>Sarah (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); Fordham, Chelsea; Chang, Tina<br>(CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC); BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Cc:         | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)   |
| Subject:    | California Environmental Quality Act Appeal - Conditional Use Appeal - 1066 Market Street<br>Project - Appeal Hearing on May 17, 2016  |
| Categories: | 160396, 160400   |

#### Good afternoon,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing date for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on May 17, 2016, at 3:00 p.m. Please find linked below a letter regarding the CEQA Mitigated Negative Declaration and Conditional Use Appeals for the proposed project at 1066 Market Street, as well as direct links to the Planning Department's timely filing determination for the CEQA portion of the appeal, and the Appeal Letters.

Clerk of the Board Letter - April 25, 2016

Planning Memo - April 21, 2016

Mitigated Negative Declaration Appeal Letter - April 18, 2016

Conditional Use Appeal Letter - April 18, 2016

I invite you to review the entirety of both matters on our Legislative Research Center by following the links below.

Board of Supervisors File No. 160396 - CEQA Mitigated Negative Declaration Appeal Hearing

Board of Supervisors File No. 160400 - Conditional Use Appeal Hearing

Thank you,

John Carroll **Legislative Clerk Board of Supervisors** San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)554-4445 - Direct | (415)554-5163 - Fax john.carroll@sfgov.org | bos.legislation@sfgov.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

closures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and e San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

April 25, 2016

Sue C. Hestor San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth 870 Market Street, Suite 1128 San Francisco, CA 94102

Don Falk Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation 201 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94102

## Subject: Appeal of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration, and Conditional Use Authorization -1066 Market Street Project

Dear Ms. Hestor and Mr. Falk:

The Office of the Clerk of the Board is in receipt of a memorandum dated April 21, 2016, from the Planning Department regarding their determination on the timely filing of appeal of the California Environmental Quality Act adoption of the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the proposed project at 1066 Market Street.

The Planning Department has determined that the appeal was filed in a timely manner.

The appeal filing period for both the Conditional Use approval and the CEQA Mitigated Negative Declaration closed on Monday, April 19, 2016. As you know, the Conditional Use appeal was filed with the subscription of five members of the Board of Supervisors, and therefore meets the filing requirements of Planning Code, Section 308.1.

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 31.16, and Planning Code, Section 308.1, a hearing date has been scheduled for **Tuesday**, **May 17, 2016**, at 3:00 p.m., at the Board of Supervisors meeting to be held in City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Legislative Chamber, Room 250, San Francisco, CA 94102.

1066 Market Street Project Appeals - Mitigated Negative Declaration - Conditional Use April 25, 2016 Page 2

Please provide to the Clerk's Office by noon:

| 20 days prior to the hearing: | names and addresses of interested parties to be notified of the hearing, in spreadsheet format; and |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 11 days prior to the hearing: | any documentation which you may want available to the Board members prior to the hearing.           |

For the above, the Clerk's office requests one electronic file (sent to <u>bos.legislation@sfgov.org</u>) and two copies of the documentation for distribution.

NOTE: If electronic versions of the documentation are not available, please submit 18 hard copies of the materials to the Clerk's Office for distribution. If you are unable to make the deadlines prescribed above, it is your responsibility to ensure that all parties receive copies of the materials.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact John Carroll, Legislative Clerk, at (415) 554-4445.

Very truly yours,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

c: Julie Burdick, Project Sponsor Jon Givner, Deputy City Attorney Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney Marlena Byrne, Deputy City Attorney John Rahaim, Planning Director Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator, Planning Department Sarah Jones, Planning Department Aaron Starr, Planning Department AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Chelsea Fordham, Planning Department Tina Chang, Planning Department Jonas Ionin, Planning Commission Secretary

| Introduction Form   |  |
|---|--|
| By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor  |  |
| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):  | Time stamp<br>or meeting date          |
|   |  |
| 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendme  | nt)                                    |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.  |  |
| 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.  |  |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor   | inquires"                              |
| 5. City Attorney request.   | -                                      |
| 6. Call File No. from Committee.  |  |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).  |  |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File No.  |  |
| 9. Reactivate File No.  |  |
| 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on  | ······································ |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the followi<br>Small Business Commission<br>Youth Commission<br>Ethics Comm | •                                      |
| Planning Commission   Building Inspection Commission  | n                                      |
| lote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative  | Form.                                  |
| ponsor(s):  |  |
| Clerk of the Board  |  |
| Subject:  | ÷                                      |
| Public Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - 1066 Market Street   |  |

# The text is listed below or attached:

Print Form

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the certification of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 124(f) and 303, for a proposed project at 1066 Market Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0350, Lot No. 003, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1753CXV, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 19594 dated March 17, 2016, to allow additional square footage above the base floor area ratio for dwelling units that will be affordable for the life of the project to households whose incomes are within 90% of the area median income. (District 6) (Appellant: Donald Falk, on behalf of the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation) (Filed April 18, 2016).

For Clerk's Use Only: