File No.	160725
----------	--------

Committee Iten	า No
Board Item No.	39

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST				
Committee: Board of Supervisor	s Meeting	Date: Date:	June 28, 2016	
Cmte Board Motion	\$			
Resolut Ordinar	=			
Budget Youth C	and Legislative Analys commission Report	st Repor	t	
	ction Form nent/Agency Cover Let	ter and/	or Report	
Grant B	•			
Contrac	tract Budget t/Agreement 26 – Ethics Commissio	n		
Award I Applica				
OTHER				
Califor	nia State Assembly Bill I	No. 2788	3	
Prepared by: Brent	· Jalina	Date:	June 23, 2016	
Prepared by:	· odilpa	Date:		

[Urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to Oppose California State Assembly Bill 2788 (Gatto) - Wireless Telecommunications Facilities]

Resolution urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose California State Assembly Bill 2788, introduced by Assembly Member Gatto, regarding wireless telecommunications facilities, due to its preemption of local land use controls, elimination of local agency review and lack of public access to the wireless permitting process.

WHEREAS, California State Assembly Bill 2788, "Wireless Telecommunications Facilities," would preclude local discretionary review of specified small cell wireless antennas and related equipment, regardless of whether they will be collocated on existing structures or located on new poles, structures, or non-pole structures, including those within the public right-of-way and on buildings; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 preempts local land use plans and planning controls by mandating that small cell wireless antennas be allowed in all zones by-right and requiring that the installation of these antennas be predicated only on issuance of a building permit or other administrative permit; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 allows wireless facilities that could potentially exceed approved size limitations, based on the broad definition of small cell wireless antennas; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788, as written, allows an unlimited number of antennas provided each one is less than six cubic feet, though not taking into consideration the height of the antennae pole; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 requires local governments to lease or license sites they own for the installation of small cell wireless antennas, precluding the imposition of a "reasonable permit, application, consulting, or other fee" associated with the review of an

application to use city property, with few exceptions, and requires that investor-owned utilities, state agencies, or other public agencies that may own infrastructure or property appropriate for the installation of small cell wireless antennas make these locations available for the installation of such facilities; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 provides a de facto exemption to the California Environmental Quality Act for the installation of soft cell wireless antennas and precludes consideration by the public of potential aesthetic, health, nuisance and other environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 imposes arbitrary time limits on the issuance of building permits or other non-discretionary permits for small cell wireless antennas, which can only be paused within the first 30 days after the submission of an application for a small cell facility if the city or county notifies the applicant that the application incomplete; and

WHEREAS, Any potential amendments to address the overreaching and preemptive nature of Assembly Bill 2788 are made impossible given the limited window of time left in the legislative session to consider amendments; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco finds that Assembly Bill 2788 unduly limits the ability of the general public and local authorities to have adequate review and oversight of the installation of small cell wireless antennas and potential resulting negative impacts; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges the State Legislative Delegation to oppose Assembly Bill 2788, given its overreaching requirement that local governments approve small cell facilities in all land use zones through a ministerial permit, thereby cutting out the public's right to a local review process; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a copy of this resolution upon passage to the respective offices of the State Legislative Delegation and the City Lobbyist.

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 13, 2016 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 5, 2016

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2015–16 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2788

Introduced by Assembly Member Gatto

February 19, 2016

An act relating to oil and gas, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately. An act to amend Sections 65850.6 and 65964 of the Government Code, relating to telecommunications.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2788, as amended, Gatto. Natural gas storage: emergency regulations. Wireless telecommunications facilities.

Under existing law, a wireless telecommunications collocation facility, as specified, is subject to a city or county discretionary permit and is required to comply with specified criteria, but a collocation facility, which is the placement or installation of wireless facilities, including antennas and related equipment, on or immediately adjacent to a wireless telecommunications collocation facility, is a permitted use not subject to a city or county discretionary permit.

This bill would permit the use of a small cell, as defined, without a city or county discretionary permit or aesthetic review in all zoning districts, subject only to a building permit or administrative permit, as applicable. The bill would require a city or county to issue those permits, as applicable, within 60 days, except as specified.

Under existing law, a city or county, as a condition of approval of an application for a permit for construction or reconstruction of a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility, may AB 2788 —2—

not require an escrow deposit for removal of a wireless telecommunications facility or any component thereof, unreasonably limit the duration of any permit for a wireless telecommunications facility, or require that all wireless telecommunications facilities be limited to sites owned by particular parties within the jurisdiction of the city or county, as specified.

This bill would require the city or county to renew a permit with a duration of less than 10 years for an equivalent duration unless the city or county finds that wireless telecommunications facility does not comply with the codes and permit conditions applicable at the time the permit was initially approved. The bill would also prohibit a city or county from precluding the leasing or licensing of a site owned by the city or county for the installation of a small cell, except as specified. The bill would authorize a city or county to impose a fee associated with a permit application for construction or reconstruction of a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility only if similar fees are charged within the city or county for similar types of commercial development. By imposing new duties on local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

The Administrative Procedure Act governs the procedure for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of regulations by state agencies and for the review of those regulatory actions by the Office of Administrative Law. Existing law provides that, if a state agency makes a finding that the adoption of a regulation or order of repeal is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, or general welfare, the regulation or order of repeal may be adopted as an emergency regulation or order of repeal. Under existing law, a regulation, amendment, or order of repeal adopted as an emergency regulation remains in effect no more than 180 days unless the adopting agency and the Office of Administrative Law comply with certain requirements.

__3__ AB 2788

This bill would instead require that certain emergency regulations adopted by the Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources in the Department of Conservation effective February 5, 2016, remain in effect until the adoption, amendment, or repeal of the regulations is promulgated by the division pursuant to the act. The bill would repeal this provision on January 1, 2019.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Vote: ²/₂-majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no-yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 65850.6 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

65850.6. (a) A collocation facility shall be a permitted use not subject to a city or county discretionary permit if it satisfies the following requirements:

- (1) The collocation facility is consistent with requirements for the wireless telecommunications collocation facility pursuant to subdivision (b) on which the collocation facility is proposed.
- (2) The wireless telecommunications collocation facility on which the collocation facility is proposed was subject to a discretionary permit by the city or county and an environmental impact report was certified, or a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration was adopted for the wireless telecommunications collocation facility in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code), the requirements of Section 21166 do not apply, and the collocation facility incorporates required mitigation measures specified in that environmental impact report, negative declaration, or mitigated negative declaration.
- (b) A wireless telecommunications collocation facility, where a subsequent collocation facility is a permitted use not subject to a city or county discretionary permit pursuant to subdivision (a), shall be subject to a city or county discretionary permit issued on or after January 1, 2007, and shall comply with all of the following:

 (1) City or county requirements for a wireless
- 26 (1) City or county requirements for a wireless 27 telecommunications collocation facility that specifies types of

AB 2788 —4—

wireless telecommunications facilities that are allowed to include a collocation facility, or types of wireless telecommunications facilities that are allowed to include certain types of collocation facilities; height, location, bulk, and size of the wireless telecommunications collocation facility; percentage of the wireless telecommunications collocation facility that may be occupied by collocation facilities; and aesthetic or design requirements for the wireless telecommunications collocation facility.

- (2) City or county requirements for a proposed collocation facility, including any types of collocation facilities that may be allowed on a wireless telecommunications collocation facility; height, location, bulk, and size of allowed collocation facilities; and aesthetic or design requirements for a collocation facility.
- (3) State and local requirements, including the general plan, any applicable community plan or specific plan, and zoning ordinance.
- (4) The California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) through certification of an environmental impact report, or adoption of a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration.
- (c) The city or county shall hold at least one public hearing on the discretionary permit required pursuant to subdivision (b) and notice shall be given pursuant to Section 65091, unless otherwise required by this division.
 - (d) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:
- (1) "Collocation facility" means the placement or installation of wireless facilities, including antennas, and related equipment, on, or immediately adjacent to, a wireless telecommunications collocation facility.
- (2) "Small cell" means a wireless telecommunications facility with antennas of no more than six cubic feet in volume each and associated equipment with a cumulative volume no larger than 21 cubic feet on all poles and structures and 28 cubic feet on all nonpole structures. An associated electric meter, concealment, telecom demarcation box, ground-based enclosure, battery backup power system, grounding equipment, power transfer switch, cutoff switch, cable, or conduit may be located outside the primary equipment enclosure and is not included in the calculation of the equipment volume. Volume is a measure of the exterior displacement, not the interior volume, of the enclosure. Any equipment that is concealed from public view in or behind an

-5- AB 2788

otherwise approved structure or concealment is not included in the volume calculations.

(2)

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

- (3) "Wireless telecommunications facility" means equipment and network components such as towers, utility poles, transmitters, base stations, and emergency power systems that are integral to providing wireless telecommunications services.
 - (3)
- (4) "Wireless telecommunications collocation facility" means a wireless telecommunications facility that includes collocation facilities.
- (e) The Legislature finds and declares that a *small cell and a* collocation facility, as defined in this section, has have a significant economic impact in California and is are not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution, but is are a matter of statewide concern.
- (f) With respect to the consideration of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, the review by the city or county shall be limited to that authorized by Section 332(c)(7) of Title 47 of the United States Code, or as that section may be hereafter amended.
- (g) Notwithstanding any other law, a small cell is a permitted use not subject to a city or county discretionary permit or aesthetic review in all zoning districts, subject only to issuance of a building permit if required to confirm compliance with Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations, as applicable, or an administrative encroachment permit. The city or county shall issue the applicable building permit or administrative permit no later than 60 days after the submission of an application for a small cell facility. The time period for issuance may be tolled within the first 30 days after the submission of an application for a small cell facility if the city or county notifies the applicant that the application is incomplete, identifies all missing information, and specifies the code provision, ordinance, application instruction, or otherwise publicly stated procedure that requires the information to be submitted. If the city or county does not toll the time period for issuance or issue the associated permit or permits within 60 days after the submission of an application for a small cell facility, the associated permit or permits shall be deemed issued.

AB 2788 —6—

1 SEC. 2. Section 65964 of the Government Code is amended to 2 read:

65964. (a) As a condition of approval of an application for a permit for construction or reconstruction for a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility, as defined in Section 65850.6, a city or county shall not do any of the following:

(a)

,21

(1) Require an escrow deposit for removal of a wireless telecommunications facility or any component thereof. However, a performance bond or other surety or another form of security may be required, so long as the amount of the bond security is rationally related to the cost of removal. In establishing the amount of the security, the city or county shall take into consideration information provided by the permit applicant regarding the cost of removal.

(b)

(2) Unreasonably limit the duration of any permit for a wireless telecommunications facility. Limits of less than 10 years are presumed to be unreasonable absent public safety reasons or substantial land use reasons. A permit with a duration of less than 10 years shall be renewed for an equivalent duration unless the city or county makes a finding that the wireless telecommunications facility does not comply with the codes and permit conditions applicable at the time the permit was initially approved. However, cities and counties may establish a build-out period for a site.

(c)

- (3) Require that all wireless telecommunications facilities be limited to sites owned by particular parties within the jurisdiction of the city or county.
- (4) Preclude the leasing or licensing of a site owned by the city or county for installation of a small cell, as defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 65850.6. Sites shall be made available under fair and reasonable terms and conditions and offered on a nondiscriminatory basis to all lessees or licensees of similar sites, towers, utility poles, transmitters, base stations, and emergency power systems. Due to the unique duties and infrastructure requirements for the swift and effective deployment of firefighters, this subdivision does not apply to a collocation or siting application for a wireless telecommunications facility where the project is proposed for placement on fire department facilities.

–7 — AB 2788

(b) A city or county may charge a reasonable permit, application, consulting, or other fee associated with the submission, review, processing, or approval of an application for a permit for construction or reconstruction for a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility, but only if a similar fee is required for similar types of commercial development within the city or county.

1 2

SEC. 3. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

SECTION 1. Notwithstanding Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, including subdivisions (e) and (h) of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code, the emergency regulations amending Section 1724.9 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations adopted by the Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources in the Department of Conservation effective February 5, 2016, shall remain in effect until the adoption, amendment, or repeal of the regulations is promulgated by the division pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

SEC. 2. Section 1 of this act shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2019, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2019, deletes or extends that date.

SEC. 3. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

In order to ensure that emergency regulations implementing protective standards for all underground gas storage projects in the state remain in effect, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Cha	rter Amendment)
	itei Amerument)
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspect Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use	
Sponsor(s):	
Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
Subject:	
[Urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to Oppose Assembly Bill 2788: facilities]	Wireless telecommunications
The text is listed below or attached:	
Resolution urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to Oppose Assembly I telecommunications facilities, due to its preemption of local land use controls, elin and lack of public access to the wireless permitting process.	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Mi
For Clerk's Use Only:	