AMENDED IN BOARD 6/28/16 RESOLUTION NO.

FILE NO. 160725

1	[Urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to Oppose California State Assembly Bill 2788 (Gatto) - Wireless Telecommunications Facilities]
2	
3	Resolution urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose California State
4	Assembly Bill 2788, introduced by Assembly Member Gatto, regarding wireless
5	telecommunications facilities, due to its preemption of local land use controls,
6	elimination of local agency review and lack of public access to the wireless permitting
7	process.
8	
9	WHEREAS, California State Assembly Bill 2788, "Wireless Telecommunications
10	Facilities," would preclude local discretionary review of specified small cell wireless antennas
11	and related equipment, regardless of whether they will be collocated on existing structures or
12	located on new poles, structures, or non-pole structures, including those within the public
13	right-of-way and on buildings; and
14	WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 preempts local land use plans and planning controls
15	by mandating that small cell wireless antennas be allowed in all zones by-right and requiring
16	that the installation of these antennas be predicated only on issuance of a building permit or
17	other administrative permit; and
18	WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 allows wireless facilities that could potentially exceed
19	approved size limitations, based on the broad definition of small cell wireless antennas; and
20	WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788, as written, allows an unlimited number of antennas
21	provided each one is less than six cubic feet, though not taking into consideration the height
22	of the antennae pole; and
23	WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 requires local governments to lease or license sites
24	they own for the installation of small cell wireless antennas, precluding the imposition of a
25	"reasonable permit, application, consulting, or other fee" associated with the review of an

1	application to use city property, with few exceptions, and requires that investor-owned utilities,
2	state agencies, or other public agencies that may own infrastructure or property appropriate
3	for the installation of small cell wireless antennas make these locations available for the
4	installation of such facilities; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 provides a de facto exemption to the California Environmental Quality Act for the installation of soft cell wireless antennas and precludes consideration by the public of potential aesthetic, health, nuisance and other environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 2788 imposes arbitrary time limits on the issuance of building permits or other non-discretionary permits for small cell wireless antennas, which can only be paused within the first 30 days after the submission of an application for a small cell facility if the city or county notifies the applicant that the application incomplete; and

WHEREAS, After these and other concerns were represented to Assembly Member Gatto by a diversity of Constituencies, he has withdrawn Assemble Bill 2788 for the near-term; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco finds that Assembly Bill 2788 and future legislation that would replicate its current provisions unduly limits the ability of the general public and local authorities to have adequate review and oversight of the installation of small cell wireless antennas and potential resulting negative impacts; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges the State Legislative Delegation to oppose Assembly Bill 2788 and future legislation that would replicate its current provisions, given its overreaching requirement that local governments approve small cell facilities in all land use zones through a ministerial permit, thereby cutting out the public's right to a local review process; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a copy of this resolution upon passage to the respective offices of the State Legislative Delegation and the City Lobbyist.