1	[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination - 3516-3526 Folsom Street]
2	
3	Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination
4	by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is
5	categorically exempt from environmental review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On March 26, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the
8	proposed project located at 3516-3526 Folsom Street ("Project") is exempt from
9	environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA
10	Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and
11	WHEREAS, The proposed involves the construction of two single-family residences on
12	two vacant lots and the construction of a currently unimproved segment of Folsom Street to
13	provide vehicle and pedestrian access to the project site; and
14	WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on June
15	3, 2016, Ryan J Patterson of Zacks, Freedman, and Patterson PC, on behalf of Bernal
16	Heights South Slope Organization, Bernal Safe & Livable, Neighbors Against the Upper
17	Folsom Street Extension, Marcus Ryu, and Ann Lockett, (Appellants), appealed the
18	exemption determination; and
19	WHEREAS, The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical
20	Exemption Determination, signed March 26, 2014, which found that the proposed project was
21	exempt under Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303(a)); and
22	WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by
23	memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated June 8, 2016, determined that the was timely
24	because the Planning Commission approved the proposed project by not taking Discretionary
25	Review and approving the project as proposed on May 5, 2016; and

WHEREAS, On July 19, 2016, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider
 the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public
 hearing, reversed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board
reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the
appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before
the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to
the exemption determination appeal; and

9 WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors 10 reversed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the 11 Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and 12 opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. <u>160676</u> and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the project.

- 21
- 22
- 23
- _ _
- 24
- 25