File	No.	160677

Committee Item	No.	
Board Item No.		67

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

•				
Committee:		Date:		
Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date:	July 19, 2016	
Cmte Boar	d			
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analys Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lett MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 — Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	er and/		
OTHER				
Prepared by	John Carroll	Date: Date:	July 14, 2016	

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 3516-3526 Folsom Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On March 26, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located at 3516-3526 Folsom Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed involves the construction of two single-family residences on two vacant lots and the construction of a currently unimproved segment of Folsom Street to provide vehicle and pedestrian access to the project site; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on June 3, 2016, Ryan J Patterson of Zacks, Freedman, and Patterson PC, on behalf of Bernal Heights South Slope Organization, Bernal Safe & Livable, Neighbors Against the Upper Folsom Street Extension, Marcus Ryu, and Ann Lockett, (Appellants), appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, The Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical Exemption Determination, signed March 26, 2014, which found that the proposed project was exempt under Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303(a)); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated June 8, 2016, determined that the was timely because the Planning Commission approved the proposed project by not taking Discretionary Review and approving the project as proposed on May 5, 2016; and

WHEREAS, On July 19, 2016, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 160676 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter	Amendment)
	1 2000 1000
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	,
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
ase check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to Small Business Commission Youth Commission Et	the following:
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection €	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a I	mperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Affirming Categorical Exemption Determination - 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street	
The text is listed below or attached:	10516 10506 F 1
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project Street is categorically exempt from environmental review.	t at 3516 and 3526 Folsom
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: Comer	o)
For Clerk's Use Only:	