File Number:

(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

- 1. Grant Title: Federal Land Access Program
- 2. Department: San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

3. Contact Person: B	Brian Stokle	Telephone: 415-575-5606
Т	oni Moran	Telephone: 415-581-2555
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):		
[x] Approved by funding agency		[] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,690,579

6a. Matching Funds Required: 15.47% (\$309,397)

b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): Proposition K Great Highway Erosion Repair (SFCTA), In-Kind design work provided by San Francisco Planning and Urban Research (\$45,000).

7a. Grant Source Agency: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): none

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: Project will create a coastal trail along the southbound lanes of the Great Highway from Sloat Blvd to Skyline Blvd.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed: Start-Date: 05/08/2015 End-Date: 3/31/2019

10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: Full Grant \$1,690,579

b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No. FHWA will provide grant funding to the Central Federal Highways Land Division (CFHLD) who is responsible for project delivery including contract administration services.

c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? The project is federally-funded and geographic preferences are not allowed.

d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? See 10a..

- 11a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [x] No
 - b1. If yes, how much? \$ N/A
 - b2. How was the amount calculated? N/A
 - c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
 - [] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [x] Other (please explain): The grant-in-place does not include force account labor.
 - c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?
 - Planning staff department and division overhead.

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: No.

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

[X] Existing Site(s)[] Existing Structure(s)[X] Rehabilitated Site(s)[] Rehabilitated Structure(s)[] New Site(s)[] New Structure(s)

[] Existing Structure(s)[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)[] Rehabilitated Structure(s)[] New Program(s) or Service(s)

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;

2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;

3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

This Grant Project shall comply with ADA Title II Regulations as it relates to program accessibility. To the extent practicable, the project will seek to conform to the US Access Board's Final Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas and proposed accessibility guidelines for shared use paths, where such technical criteria is not otherwise incorporated into the 2010 ADA Standards or applicable California Building Codes. Subsequently, construction documents will be reviewed at 30% and 95%, and thereafter the completed set will be approved for ADA Compliance by the Mayor's Office of Disability.

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Arfaraz Khambatta, CASp

(Name)

Interim Director - Mayor's Office on Disability_

(Title)

Date Reviewed:

(Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

Philip A. Ginsburg

(Name)

General Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____

(Signature Required)