1	[Motion Urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to Amend or Oppose the Proposed "By-Right Housing Approvals" Budget Trailer Bill]	
2	by right housing reprovate budget trailer bing	
3	Motion urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend or oppose the "By-	
4	Right Housing Approvals" proposed Trailer Bill in recognition of San Francisco's local	
5	planning tools and significant contributions to regional housing development; and	
6	ordering the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco	
7	to transmit the Board of Supervisors' urgent policy position regarding the "By-Right	
8	Housing Approvals" proposed Trailer Bill to all 120 members of the State Legislature.	
9		
10	WHEREAS, San Francisco has a housing crisis that threatens the very fabric of our	
11	community, leading to evictions and displacement, making it exceedingly difficult for residents	
12	to move, creating huge challenges for growing families to find adequate and affordable living	
13	space, undermining economic growth and job creation, and undermining our region's well-	
14	earned reputation as a place where people can come from around the country and around the	
15	world to make lives for themselves; and	
16	WHEREAS, The people who suffer most from our housing crisis are low income people	
17	and middle income people; and	
18	WHEREAS, In an effort to address our housing crisis, the people of the City and	
19	County of San Francisco have supported and continue to support a development balance of	
20	both market rate housing and housing that is affordable for very low-, low-, and moderate	
21	income households (herein collectively referred to as "affordable housing"); and	
22	WHEREAS, There are more than 11,000 fully-entitled housing units awaiting	
23	construction, and the latest Pipeline Report from the City's Planning Department shows that	
24	there are an additional nearly 20,000 units being reviewed for approval; and	

1	WHEREAS, Housing is not the only need that must be satisfied as of result of
2	increased population growth, and new development should also support transportation and
3	neighborhood infrastructure through impact fees or other private contributions and is often a
4	critical funding stream for neighborhood amenities; and
5	WHEREAS, The California Department of Finance (DOF) estimates the Bay Area
6	added 38,300 housing units between April 2010 and January 2014; and
7	WHEREAS, The same DOF calculation counts San Francisco among the top five
8	counties responsible for 51% of the total growth of new regional housing between 2010 and
9	the end of 2013, with San Francisco and San Jose together accounting for 37% of the total
10	regional housing growth during this same period; and
11	WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has adopted rules and policies to
12	streamline the approval of affordable housing; and
13	WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has developed a diverse set of
14	policy priorities and local planning requirements and housing development incentives tailored
15	to accommodate growth within San Francisco's limited geographic boundaries, while seeking
16	to protect valuable housing resources, small businesses, blue-collar light industrial and local
17	manufacturing work sites, and cultural and social institutions that shelter, sustain, and serve a
18	culturally and economically diverse population; and
19	WHEREAS, Public participation and input into the local planning process is an
20	essential part of the City and County of San Francisco's plans for accommodating local and
21	regional growth; and
22	WHEREAS, As in many other cities, San Francisco's Planning Code was not designed
23	to be a rigid formula, but rather a collection of specific and variable zoning standards to seek a

balance between promoting change and protecting existing uses; and

24

1	WHEREAS, Zoning standards cannot be intelligently or equitably applied by a flat
2	formula or an insular bureaucracy without adverse consequences, thus necessitating a vetting
3	process; and
4	WHEREAS, San Francisco's Planning Code provides for this approved vetting process
5	and outlines requirements for public notice, engagement, and oversight of project approvals in
6	an attempt to protect against these adverse consequences; and
7	WHEREAS, San Francisco's history is replete with examples of the imposition of new
8	development on economically or socially disadvantaged neighborhoods and communities with
9	far-reaching and tragic consequences, including massive displacement; and
10	WHEREAS, Unregulated and poorly controlled market-driven development has
11	incentivized speculation, evictions, small business displacement and demolitions, including
12	but not limited to the International Hotel; and
13	WHEREAS, New development in San Francisco's increasingly dense urban
14	environment has imposed less extreme but still significant negative impacts on existing
15	residents, including permanent shadows and intense wind patterns on scarce playgrounds,
16	open space and school sites; and
17	WHEREAS, When neighborhoods and communities have lacked the ability to raise
18	objections to major new projects through a public process then the dangers of such adverse
19	and disparate impacts are amplified; and
20	WHEREAS, Without a protected right for the public to participate in the implementation
21	of the San Francisco Planning Code with respect to major projects, the Planning Code would
22	lack safeguards against error, unintended outcomes, and disparate and adverse impacts
23	particularly on disadvantaged communities and the shared urban environment; and
24	WHEREAS, On May 16, 2016, the California Governor introduced a Budget Trailer Bill

proposal for "By-Right Housing Approvals" which pre-empts local land use policies and

1	housing development requirements to allow multi-unit development approvals as-of-right if a
2	proposed development includes 10% affordable units, which effectively means all
3	development projects of 10 units or larger in the City and County of San Francisco; and
4	WHEREAS, The "By-Right Housing Approvals" proposal would entitle developers to
5	approvals of major projects with limited or no public oversight or opportunity to address
6	concerns; and
7	WHEREAS, The "By-Right Housing Approvals" proposal exempts projects from a
8	historic review process, effectively ensuring that minority communities in particular are
9	stripped of the only tool they have to evaluate impacts to potential historic and cultural
10	resources; and
11	WHEREAS, The "By-Right Housing Approvals" proposal would remove the Planning
12	Commission from reviewing certain major project proposals and expand the direct role of the
13	Courts to review disputed decisions of Planning Department Staff, imposing potential liability
14	for additional costs and attorneys' fees on the City and County of San Francisco; and
15	WHEREAS, A state pre-emption to establish statewide minimum affordable housing
16	standards should recognize and respect established local Inclusionary Housing requirements
17	that meet or exceed the state standard, and moreover the value of any as-of-right
18	development approval pre-emption over local permitting discretion should be recaptured by an
19	increased "premium" above that local Inclusionary Housing standard, subject to technical
20	analysis to determine that conveyed value to developers under local real estate market
21	conditions; and
22	WHEREAS, The presumed objective of an "approvals streamlining" bill is that
23	development projects are actually constructed as quickly as possible once approved in order
24	to provide housing units "on the ground," not just as-of-right paper entitlements; and

1	WHEREAS, Any policy to incentivize development should include protection of existing
2	housing from demolition; and
3	WHEREAS, The "By-Right Housing Approvals" trailer bill is intended to incentivize
4	housing development in local jurisdictions that are underperforming with respect to regional
5	housing goals; and
6	WHEREAS, The implications of the Governor's Trailer Bill are not uniformly applicable
7	throughout the 482 cities and 58 counties of the State of California; and
8	WHEREAS, By-Right Development pre-emptions would restrict the future potential to
9	use development incentives to further increase affordability beyond the existing requirements,
10	and likely undermine the 35% balance of affordable and market-rate housing that San
11	Francisco has been able to achieve; and
12	WHEREAS, The ability for local cities to establish Inclusionary Housing requirements to
13	increase affordable housing in private developments has continued to be hampered by the
14	2011 "Palmer" case, and
15	WHEREAS, Repeated attempts at state law reforms to re-establish local authority to
16	impose inclusionary standards has been contested in the legislature and in 2014 was vetoed
17	by the Governor; and
18	WHEREAS, Displacement of San Francisco residents through real estate speculation
19	continues to be a crisis, with over 800 housing units removed from affordability protections
20	through Ellis Act evictions since 2012; and
21	WHEREAS, Attempted state law reform in 2014 to prevent abuse of the Ellis Act was
22	spearheaded by State Senator Mark Leno and then thwarted by the state legislature; and
23	WHEREAS, Efforts to secure a permanent state funding source for affordable housing
24	production since the 2011 dissolution of the California Redevelopment Agency's critical tax
25	increment financing continue to be frustrated, including the legislature's repeated failure to

1	pass a modest document recording fee on real estate transactions as a source for affordable
2	housing; and
3	WHEREAS, The "By-Right Housing Approvals" trailer bill may now be re-titled and
4	considered by and voted on by the Senate and Assembly at any time; and
5	WHEREAS, A statewide coalition of respected environmental and tenant organizations,
6	labor unions and local officials throughout California have raised serious concerns with
7	respect to the "By-Right Housing Approvals" trailer bill and pushes for substantive
8	amendments; now, therefore, be it
9	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors recognizes the impressive legislative
10	records and ongoing and effective work of Assembly Members Chiu and Ting, as well as
11	State Senator Leno (the "San Francisco Legislative Delegation"), in representing the best
12	interests of San Francisco constituents; and, be it
13	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
14	Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose the Trailer
15	Bill in its present form or as otherwise entitled, unless it is amended to address the stated
16	concerns of this resolution; and, be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18	Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to offer amendments to
19	the "By-Right Housing Approvals" Trailer Bill including:
20	1) a prohibition on the demolition of existing housing; and
21	2) a minimum baseline for as-of-right approval consisting of a set local Inclusionary
22	Housing standard plus a premium increase, as determined by technical analysis; and
23	3) a requirement that approved development projects begin construction within twelve
24	months of their approval, which is twice the duration allowed in the Trailer Bill for project
25	review; and

1	
2	disc
3	
4	hou
5	reco
6	ame
7	goa
8	
9	Fra
10	that
11	esta
12	fina
13	
14	Trai

4) that the approval of major developments continue to allow for public review and local discretionary approval as is currently provided by local laws; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to utilizing all affordable housing policy tools to achieve local Housing Balance goals for all income levels and recognizes that a uniform statewide "By-Right Housing Approvals" pre-emption devoid of such amendments would significantly hamper the City's ability to achieve those Housing Balance goals; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco also urges the state legislature and the Governor to recommit to adopting reforms that prevent abuse of the state Ellis Act, clarifying the authority of local governments to establish Inclusionary Housing requirements, and adopting a permanent source of state financing for affordable housing; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That since housing development is also a workforce issue, the Trailer Bill should support competitive wages for construction workers, as well as provide apprenticeship opportunities for disadvantaged San Francisco residents; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to pursue measures to increase state support for public transportation investments, so that as our region and state continue to grow, our increased population and housing stock is supported by equitable and accessible public transit services and can truly be transit-oriented; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit this motion to the respective offices of all 120 members of the State Legislature, including our San Francisco Legislative Delegation upon final adoption.

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23