BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development

Harlan Kelly, Jr., General Manager, Public Utilities Commission Ed Reiskin, Executive Director, Municipal Transportation Agency Deborah Raphael, Director, Department of the Environment

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

October 7, 2016

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Kim on September 27, 2016:

File No. 161044

Resolution urging the City and County of San Francisco and all relevant agencies to closely monitor and review any development proposal for the Brisbane Baylands Area to ensure that the City of Brisbane prepares a comprehensive and complete Environmental Impact Report, which includes legally required analysis of all impacts, including transportation, air quality and greenhouse gases, water supply and wastewater, and housing and population needs, in particular significant impacts that will occur within San Francisco; and urging Brisbane to adopt a responsible Land Use Plan for this area that will include needed workforce housing.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: Scott Sanchez, Planning Department Lisa Gibson, Planning Department AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Aaron Starr, Planning Department Joy Navarrete, Planning Department Jeanie Poling, Planning Department
Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Sophie Hayward, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Juliet Ellis, Public Utilities Commission
Donna Hood, Public Utilities Commission
Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency
Kate Breen, Municipal Transportation Agency
Dillon Auyoung, Municipal Transportation Agency
Guillermo Rodriguez, Department of the Environment
Anthony Valdez, Department of the Environment
Mei Ling Hui, Department of the Environment

[Urging City Agencies to Monitor and Review Any Development Proposal for the Brisbane Baylands Area and Urging the City of Brisbane to Prepare a Complete Environmental Impact Report and Approve a Responsible Land Use Plan]

Resolution urging the City and County of San Francisco and all relevant agencies to closely monitor and review any development proposal for the Brisbane Baylands Area to ensure that the City of Brisbane prepares a comprehensive and complete Environmental Impact Report, which includes legally required analysis of all impacts, including transportation, air quality and greenhouse gases, water supply and wastewater, and housing and population needs, in particular significant impacts that will occur within San Francisco; and urging Brisbane to adopt a responsible Land Use Plan for this area that will include needed workforce housing.

WHEREAS, The Brisbane Baylands is a site that contains 684 acres of vacant, underutilized land that is largely inaccessible to the public as a result of the legacy of geotechnical and contamination issues resulting from its former use as a landfill and railyard; and

WHEREAS, The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) developed the "Plan Bay Area 2040" which identified the Brisbane Baylands as a Priority Development Area as it presents the prospect of contributing to the responsible growth and vitality of the region; and

WHEREAS, The Baylands site presents a rare opportunity to develop the land in a responsible and environmentally-sustainable way that can reestablish useable open and recreational space, protect existing wetlands and ecosystems, encourage active pedestrian and bicycle use in its interior streets, and create residential and commercial space that is high in density and in close proximity to public transportation facilities to minimize the impact on our already-congested regional roadways; and

WHEREAS, The Developer of the Baylands site, Universal Paragon Corporation, applied to develop the site with approximately 7 million square feet of office, industrial and commercial uses, 4,434 units of housing, and over 300 acres of open space and lagoon area; and

WHEREAS, The Developer subsequently requested analysis of a second development scenario, which included more entertainment-oriented uses for the Site but retained the same 4,434 units of housing and amounts of open space and lagoon area; and

WHEREAS, The Brisbane Planning Commission recommended on August 25, 2016, that no housing be allowed on this site and that only non-residential development be permitted; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor of the City of Brisbane, Clifford Lentz, was quoted in the San Francisco Chronicle as saying, "We'll provide the commercial...San Francisco will provide the housing;" and

WHEREAS, San Francisco is in close proximity to the Brisbane Baylands site and will be significantly impacted by any project developed on the Baylands, especially if the project contemplates building *no* new housing for the inevitable influx of workers that will ensue from building 7 – 8 million square feet of new commercial-industrial-institutional-retail-entertainment space; and

WHEREAS, State law recognizes the critical responsibility of each local government in the supply and affordability of housing and mandates that each local government in California adopt a Housing Element as part of its General Plan that shows how the community plans to meet the existing and projected housing needs of people at all income levels; and

WHEREAS, State law also mandates a process to determine the total number of housing units, by affordability level, that each jurisdiction must accommodate in its Housing

Element which is known as the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) and is measured by permits issued; and

WHEREAS, For the 2007-2014 RHNA, Brisbane was responsible for 401 new units of housing and met 36% of its assigned housing goals whereas San Francisco was responsible for 31,193 units and met 64% of its goal; and

WHEREAS, For the 2015-2022 RHNA, Brisbane's RHNA allocation was 83 units total and one year into this cycle, Brisbane has issued permits for 3 units; and

WHEREAS, The Draft Preferred Scenario for Plan Bay Area published by ABAG in September 2016 allocates growth of 4,400 housing units to Brisbane; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Planning Department's residential pipeline report that tracks the completed units and entitled units in the current residential pipeline to the first quarter of 2016 (Q1) shows that San Francisco has already met 79% or 22,806 out of its assigned 28,869 housing units according to the RHNA production goals for the period 2015-2022 to date; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco, which is only 49 square miles, is already attempting to address the lack of affordable housing in the region but cannot physically absorb thousands of new workers without significant negative impacts to its already heavily-used streets, its increasingly unaffordable housing market, public utility use, overstrained childcare and educational institutions, and other vital city services and infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, The Brisbane Baylands also present a unique and extremely rare opportunity for a local jurisdiction to create new housing—and meet its regional responsibility for creating 4,400 new housing units--without displacing current tenants or other uses of land and actually would afford an opportunity to make an existing brownfield useable; and

WHEREAS, The development plan that the Brisbane Planning Commission has recommended does not provide jobs/housing balance in any way and is irresponsible in the face of the housing crisis throughout the Bay Area and the State of California; and

WHEREAS, Brisbane's Planning Commission has recommended disapproval of residential uses, potentially violating the California Housing Accountability Act and other State laws that attempt to address the California housing crisis throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, The City of Brisbane is considering certification of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which was initiated in early 2006, over ten years ago, continuing through August 2016 and now pending before the Brisbane City Council, and the EIR lacks the required consideration of the numerous and significant changes in the region over the last ten years, including but not limited to climate change, private and public transit options, density and migration of the workforce and significant housing needs, and the significant environmental impacts that will occur in San Francisco if no housing is built on the Baylands site as part of this development; and

WHEREAS, Brisbane has not adequately considered significant environmental impacts that will occur as a result of the new water supply demands and has not set forth a realistic plan to provide the new water supply necessary for this site; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges and directs its Planning Department, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, Department on the Environment, and any other relevant City Departments, to closely monitor, review, participate and comment upon all actions proposed to be taken by the City of Brisbane for any development of the Brisbane Baylands site; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco, including the City Attorney, should explore all possible legal actions available both to encourage consideration and approval of lawful, responsible development on the Baylands Site, or, alternatively, to prevent development of the Brisbane Baylands site that does not include a balance of affordable and market-rate housing along with non-residential development; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco should investigate the viability of annexing Brisbane so that it is fully incorporated into the County of San Francisco should the City of Brisbane continue to contemplate building no new housing as part of any project on the Brisbane Baylands; and, be it

FUTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges the City of Brisbane to commit to a responsible project for the Brisbane Baylands that includes a rational jobs and housing balance that would result in the creation of a sufficient number of housing units to accommodate new workers for any projected new jobs that would be generated by new office, industrial, institutional, entertainment or other commercial development on the Baylands site.