AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 9/15/2016 RESOLUTION NO. 418-16

FILE NO. 160616

[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury - Into the Open: Opportunities for More Timely and Transparent Investigations of Fatal San Francisco Police Department Officer-involved Shootings]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Into the Open: Opportunities for More Timely and Transparent Investigations of Fatal San Francisco Police Department Officer-involved Shootings;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate past foreperson of the civil grand jury when such hearing is scheduled; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b), the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of

recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

WHEREAS, The 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Into the Open: Opportunities for More Timely and Transparent Investigations of Fatal SFPD [San Francisco Police Department] Officer-involved Shootings" (Report) is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 160616, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Recommendation Nos. R.5.D, R.7.D, and R.12.D contained in the subject Report; and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R.5.D states: "The Board of Supervisors should approve these additional resources requested by the DA's [District Attorney] Office and included by the Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance in the proposed budget for FY2017-2018, and thereafter, to expedite OIS [Officer-Involved Shootings] investigations. Approval of these additional resources again should be contingent upon marked, measurable improvement by the DA's Office in the time it takes to complete its criminal investigations and issue its charging decision letters in OIS cases;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R.7.D states: "The Board of Supervisors should approve the resources requested by the OCC [Office of Citizen Complaints] and included by the Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance in the proposed budget for FY2017-2018, and thereafter, for transcription services;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R.12.B states: "The Chief of Police, the Supervisor for the district in which the OIS incident occurs, the DA, the Director of the OCC, all members of the Police Commission, and all members of the newly formed OIS Task Force (see Recommendation Nos. R.8.A and R.8.B) should attend the town hall meetings to show that they acknowledge the seriousness of the situation, understand how critical it is to have a

thorough, accountable and transparent investigation and analysis of what occurred, and are united toward the goal of making that happen. Faith leaders and other community advocacy groups should also be invited to participate;" and

WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Recommendation Nos. R.5.D, R.7.D and R.12.D contained in the Report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that Recommendation No. R.5.D requires further analysis for reasons as follows: As reported by the Mayor's budget office: "The DA's Office budget for FY2016-2017 and FY2017-2018 includes \$1.8 million in each year and additional staffing of 14 positions to expedite Officer-Involved shooting investigations." However as noted by the BLA [Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office] for the Board of Supervisors, funds have been placed on reserve and currently the Budget and Finance Committee will consider the release of those funds by October 1, 2016. The Board of Supervisors agrees that future funding decisions and department oversight should evaluate the DA's improvement in promptly completing criminal investigations and issuing charging decision letters in Officer-Involved shooting cases, the Board of Supervisors will follow up on this matter at the October 20, 2016, Government Audit and Oversight Committee Meeting; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. R.7.D has been implemented for reasons as follows: Increased funding for the Office of Citizen Complaints has been included in budgets for FY2016-2017 and FY2017-2018; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. R.12.D will not be implemented for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisors

wholeheartedly agrees with this recommendation and Board of Supervisors members do participate in exactly such town hall meetings. However, the Board of Supervisors cannot make promises on behalf of the members of the Police Commission, the District Attorney, or other officials, and therefore, given the constraints imposed by the Civil Grand Jury response structure must unfortunately provide a response of "will not be implemented." The Board of Supervisors will, however, continue pushing for and participating in such town hall meetings and for thorough, accountable, and transparent investigations of all Officer-involved shootings; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number: 160616

Date Passed: September 27, 2016

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Into the Open: Opportunities for More Timely and Transparent Investigations of Fatal San Francisco Police Department Officer-Involved Shootings;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

September 15, 2016 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

September 15, 2016 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

September 27, 2016 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin, Tang, Wiener and

Yee

Excused: 1 - Avalos

File No. 160616

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 9/27/2016 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Unsigned	10/7/2016
Mayor	Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.