File No. 161240

Committee Item No. _____4 Board Item No.

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Transportation

Date December 12, 2016

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700
	Form 700 Vacancy Notice
	Information Sheet
	Public Correspondence
OTHER	(I se back side if additional snace is needed)

OTHER

(Use back side if additional space is needed)

X		GP Referral
Ŝ		DPW Order No. 185483
X	\square	SUR Maps
Ř	\square	Quitclaim Deed
X		PVC Resolution No. 14.0224

Completed by:	Alisa Somera	Date _December 9, 2016
Completed by:	·····	Date

FILE NO. 161240

ORDINANCE).

[San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Public Service Easement Vacation Order -Parkmerced Development Project]

Ordinance 1) ordering the conditional vacation of certain San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) public service easements that exist within Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west; 2) reserving easement rights in favor of the SFPUC, subject to conditions specified in this Ordinance; 3) delegating authority to the Director of Real Estate to execute certain quit claim deeds; 4) adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; 5) adopting findings that the vacations are consistent with the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; 6) directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to make certain transmittals; and 7) authorizing actions by City officials in furtherance of this ordinance.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.
Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italies Times New Roman font.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

Supervisor Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1

(a) On February 10, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") for the Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project (the "Project"), by Motion No. 18269, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the FEIR and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Title 14 Sections 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31").

(b) At the same hearing at which the Planning Commission certified the Final EIR, the Planning Commission by Motion No. 18270 adopted findings, as required by CEQA, regarding the alternatives, mitigation measures, and significant environmental effects analyzed in the Final EIR, a statement of overriding considerations for approval of the Project, and a proposed mitigation monitoring and reporting program (collectively, "CEQA Findings").

(c) On May 24, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Board of Supervisors reviewed and considered the Final EIR on appeal. By Motion No. M11-83, the Board of Supervisors upheld the Planning Commission's certification of the Final EIR and found the Final EIR to be complete, adequate and objective and reflecting the independent judgment of the City and in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Title 14 Sections 15000 et seq.).

(d) On June 7, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Board of Supervisors considered the Project's approvals, which included amendments to the City's General Plan (approved by Ordinance No. 92-11), Zoning Map (approved by Ordinance No. 91-11), and Planning Code (approved by Ordinance No. 90-11), as well as approval of a Development

Supervisor Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

Agreement, approved on June 7, 2011 by Ordinance No. 89-11 (the "Development Agreement") (collectively, the "Project Approvals"). Ordinance No. 89-11 is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 110300 and is incorporated herein by reference. The SFPUC Commission on June 14, 2011 adopted a consent to the Development Agreement (SFPUC Commission Resolution No. 11-0091).

(e) In approving the Project, including in its approval of the Development Agreement by Ordinance No. 89-11, the Board of Supervisors adopted the Planning Commission's CEQA Findings as its own and incorporated them by reference. In so doing, the Board of Supervisors approved and endorsed the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MMRP") for implementation by other City departments and recommended for adoption those mitigation measures that are enforceable by agencies other than City departments. A copy of the CEQA Findings and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 110300 and is incorporated herein by reference.

(f) Section 6.1.1 of the Development Agreement requires that the City convey to Project Sponsor any real property needed in connection with the development of an approved Development Phase for the Project, following vacation and abandonment of any public rights and relocation of any utilities in such real property. The Planning Director approved Development Phase 1 of the Project on June 3, 2015. Development Phase 1 is comprised of four Subphases (1A, 1B, 1C, and 1D).

(g) On February 20, 2015, Parkmerced Owner, LLC (together, with its successors and assigns, the "Project Sponsor") submitted three applications for tentative subdivision maps pursuant to the requirements of the California Subdivision Map Act for Subphases 1A and 1B of the Project. On August 21, 2015, Public Works ("PW") pursuant to PW Order No. 183946 conditionally approved such tentative maps: (1) Tentative Map No. 8530 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Blocks 7326, 7330, 7331, 7364, 7365, 7366 and 7370 (Parkmerced

Planning Blocks 20, 21S, and 22); (2) Tentative Map No. 8531 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Block 7335 (Parkmerced Planning Block 6); and (3) Tentative Map No. 8532 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Block 7308 (Parkmerced Planning Block 1) (collectively, the "Subphase 1A and 1B Tentative Maps"). The Project Sponsor is currently processing with PW the approval of a final subdivision map for each of the Subphase 1A and 1B Tentative Maps").

(h) Subphases 1A and 1B of the Project involve the conditional vacation of certain public service easements (including sewer, storm drain, and water line easements) owned by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission located within and outside of existing streets (the "Public Utility Easements"). The SFPUC Vacation Area is shown in Public Works SUR Map Nos. 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-020, and 2016-021. Copies of such maps are on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 161240 and are incorporated herein by reference.

(i) On December 13, 2016, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No.

(the "Resolution of Intention"), a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161239, declaring the intention of the Board to conditionally vacate the Public Utility Easements.

(j) The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors published the Resolution of Intent in the manner required by law, and the Director of PW posted the Resolution of Intent in the manner required by law.

(k) When the Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing on this public utility vacation order on January 10, 2017, the Board received public comment regarding the vacation of the Public Utility Easements.

(I) The vacation of the Public Utility Easements is necessary to implement the Project, to fulfill the objectives and requirements of the Development Agreement, and to fulfill the

objectives of the Parkmerced Special Use District (Planning Code Section 249.64). The proposed vacations and other actions contemplated herein implement the Project vested by the Project Approvals, including the construction of buildings and streets consistent with the Parkmerced Design Standards and Guidelines, the Parkmerced Transportation Plan, and the Parkmerced Infrastructure Report, all of which are incorporated by reference into the Development Agreement.

(m) The City proposes to quitclaim its interest in the Public Utility Easements to the Project Sponsor, consistent with Development Agreement Section 6.1.1.

(n) Because certain of the easements will remain in use until specified times, no portion of the Public Utility Easements shall be vacated until the Project Sponsor provides PW with an acceptable Public Improvement Agreement ("PIA") pursuant to Section 1351 of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and the Subdivision Map Act for all improvements within the Final Map or required for development of the area shown in the Final Map prior to approval of a Final Map or issuance of a Street Improvement Permit for Subphases 1A or 1B of the Project, whichever is earlier. Such PIA shall address security provisions and provide interim easements or licenses via separate offer, such that the City can complete the improvements if the Project Sponsor fails to do so.

(o) On October 25, 2016, after a duly noticed public hearing, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission in Resolution No. 16-0224, determined that the Public Utility Easements were surplus and unnecessary for public utility purposes, and therefore recommended that the Board of Supervisors terminate and vacate such easements subject to the conditions in this ordinance and authorize the General Manager of the SFPUC to quitclaim these real property interests. A copy of this resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161240.

Supervisor Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

(p) The Board of Supervisors finds that the actions proposed herein are consistent with and within the scope of the Project analyzed in the Final EIR and subject to the CEQA Findings. The Board of Supervisors further finds that no substantial changes are proposed in the Project and no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which this Project will be undertaken that would cause new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects, and there is no new information of substantial importance showing that the Project would have any significant effects not discussed in the Project environmental impact report, that significant effects would be substantially more severe, or that new or different mitigation measures or alternatives would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the Project. The Board affirms the Planning Department's determination that an addendum to the Final EIR is not required due to any changes in the Project or the Project's circumstances.

(q) In a letter dated November 14, 2016 (the "Planning Letter"), the Planning Department determined that the proposed vacations and other actions contemplated herein are on balance consistent with the General Plan and with the Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code, and are consistent with the Project as defined in the Development Agreement and the Project Approvals. A copy of said letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 161240 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the consistency findings of the Planning Letter.

(r) These pubic easement vacation actions are conducted under the general vacation procedures of the California Public Streets, Highways and Service Easements Vacation Law (California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8300 et seq.) and San Francisco Public Works Code Section 787(a).

(s) The Director of PW has prepared PW Order No. 185483, dated November 21, 2016, in regard to the vacations and other actions contemplated herein and makes the following determinations: (1) upon satisfaction of the applicable condition or conditions provided in Section 1(n), the respective Public Utility Easements will no longer be necessary for the City's present or prospective public service easement purposes as all existing physical public utilities located in the Public Utility Easements will be relocated to the satisfaction of the City as part of the construction of the Project; (2) with the exception of those public easements noted in Sections 3(a) below, the public interest, convenience, and necessity do not require any easements or other rights be reserved for any public utility facilities that are in place in the Public Utility Easements and that any rights based upon any such public utility facilities shall be extinguished automatically upon the effectiveness of the vacation; (3) in accordance with California Streets and Highways Code Section 892, for those portions of the Public Utility Easements to be conditionally vacated identified in Section 1(n) above, upon satisfaction of the applicable condition or conditions, the respective Public Utility Easements will no longer by useful as a non-motorized transportation facility, as defined in Section 887, because the Development Agreement requires the dedication and construction of an extensive street. bicycle path, pedestrian path, park, and trail system that is more extensive than the areas being vacated hereby and that is designed to integrate with existing built streets in the adjacent neighborhoods; and (4) it is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors to guitclaim the City's interest in the Public Utility Easements to the Buyer, subject to the requirements of the Development Agreement. A copy of the PW Order is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161240 and is incorporated herein by reference.

(t) In addition, in the PW Order the PW Director recommended: (1) that the Board of Supervisors adopt the legislation to vacate the Public Utility Easements; (2) that the Board of Supervisors approve all actions set forth herein and previously taken by the Officers of the

City with respect to this vacation; (3) although the consent of all property owners adjacent to the Public Utility Easements was not obtained, the applicant made reasonable attempts to notify and obtain consent from all property owners adjacent to the Public Utility Easements and the proposed street vacations do not deprive any private landowner of access to the built public street grid; and (4) that the Board of Supervisors authorize the Mayor, Clerk of the Board, Director of Property, County Surveyor, Executive Directors of the Public Utilities Commission, and Director of PW to take any and all actions which they or the City Attorney may deem necessary or advisable to effectuate the purpose and intent of this ordinance.

(u) In addition, solely for those Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016, in the PW Order the PW Director determined that the public interest, convenience, and necessity require that the City reserve from the vacation of the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016, non-exclusive easements for the benefit of the City for water main utilities located in, upon, and over the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016 in which the SFPUC's inplace and functioning utilities are located as of the effective date of this ordinance, to the extent necessary to maintain, operate, repair, and remove existing lines of pipe and other convenient structures, equipment and fixtures for the operation by SFPUC of SFPUC utilities. This reservation and the automatic extinguishment of the reservation to occur upon satisfaction of certain conditions are described in Section 3 below.

Section 2. Public Utility Easement Vacation.

(a) Except as set forth in Sections 3 and 4 below, the Board of Supervisors hereby vacates the Public Utility Easements in the manner described in Section (1)(m), upon satisfaction of the conditions described in this ordinance and pursuant to California Streets

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 8

and Highways Code Sections 8300 et seq. and San Francisco Public Works Code Section 787(a).

(b) The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Public Utility Easements are unnecessary for present or prospective public use, subject to the conditions described in this ordinance.

(c) The Board finds that the public interest, convenience, and necessity require that the Vacation Action be done as declared in this ordinance.

(d) The Vacation Action shall be effective as to all of the Public Utility Easements upon satisfaction of the conditions in Sections1, 3 and 4 and recording of the City's quitclaim deed in substantially the same form as the draft quitclaim deed on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161240.

Section 3. Conditions to the Vacation Action; Reservation and Easements.

(a) The vacation of the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016 are subject to the reservation of non-exclusive easements on the terms and conditions described in Section 1(n) above for the benefit of the City for the utilities that are located in, upon, or over any portion of the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016 in which the SFPUC's respective in-place and functioning utilities are located, to the extent necessary to maintain, operate, repair, and remove existing lines of pipe and other convenient structures, equipment and fixtures for the operation of such utilities. To the extent the nonexclusive easements described in this Section 3(a) have not previously merged into a fee interest held by the City, such non-exclusive easements reserved in this Section 3(a) shall be automatically extinguished when such alternative replacement facilities are completed to the

1

satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Board of Supervisors accepts the facilities. The City shall execute a quitclaim of any interest in any easement reserved under this Section 3(a) and shall cause such quitclaim to be recorded against the subject property upon the fee title owner demonstrating to the City that replacement utilities serving the affected area have been substantially completed and operable. In the event a non-exclusive easement described in this Section 3(a) has merged into the fee interest held by the City, such interest shall be deemed to be automatically extinguished and conveyed at the time the fee interest is conveyed by the City to the Project Sponsor or any other transferee pursuant to the Development Agreement.

(b) The PIA shall provide that, prior the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the first building constructed as part of Development Phase 1 of the Project, the Project Sponsor shall perform those actions as reasonably required by the City (which may include, but not be limited to, execution of a quitclaim deed or performance of a quiet title action) to clarify that the City owns fee title to the public streets and/or the Public Utilities Easements in the Parkmerced Development Project as of the date of issuance of the said certificate of occupancy.

(c) Except as specifically provided in this ordinance and subject to the conditions set forth in this Section 3 and in Section 4 of this ordinance, no easements or other rights are reserved for any public utility facilities that are in place in the Public Utility Easements and any rights based upon any such public utility facilities shall be extinguished upon the effectiveness of the vacation hereunder.

Section 4. Execution of Quitclaim Deeds and Delegation to Director of Real Estate. (a) The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Director of Real Estate to execute City quitclaim deeds to the Project Sponsor for those portions of the Public Utility Easements

Supervisor Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 10

to be conditionally vacated (as described in Section (1)(n)) upon satisfaction of the applicable conditions set forth in Section (1)(n).

(b) The quitclaim deeds executed pursuant to this Section 4 shall include the reservations of the non-exclusive easements to the City for City utilities described in Section 3. The Board hereby delegates to the Director of Real Estate, in cooperation with the County Surveyor, the authority to prepare and record quitclaims pursuant to this ordinance so as to fully implement the intent of this ordinance and to fully implement the Project.

Section 5. The Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to transmit to the Director of PW certified copies of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby urges the Director of PW to proceed in the manner required by law. The Clerk of the Board also is hereby directed to transmit to the Director of PW certified copies of this ordinance so that this ordinance may be recorded together with any other documents necessary to effectuate this ordinance.

Section 6. The Mayor, Clerk of the Board, Director of Property, the General Manager of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, and Director of PW are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions which they or the City Attorney may deem necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purpose and intent of this ordinance (including, without limitation, the filing of the ordinance in the Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, determination of the precise locations of the boundaries of quitclaims to be prepared and recorded pursuant to this ordinance, confirmation of satisfaction of any of the

1

2

3

conditions to the effectiveness of the vacation of any portion of the Public Utility Easements hereunder, and confirmation of the reservation of easements pursuant to Section 3(a) of this ordinance and execution and delivery of any evidence of same, which shall be conclusive as to the satisfaction of such conditions upon signature by any such City official or his or her designee).

Section 7. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: **DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney**

By: MARLENA BYRNE

n:\land\as2016\1500790\01150711.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Public Service Easement Vacation Order -Parkmerced Development Project]

Ordinance 1) ordering the conditional vacation of certain San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) public service easements that exist within Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west; 2) reserving easement rights in favor of the SFPUC, subject to conditions specified in this Ordinance; 3) delegating authority to the Director of Real Estate to execute certain quit claim deeds; 4) adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; 5) adopting findings that the vacations are consistent with the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; 6) directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to make certain transmittals; and 7) authorizing actions by City officials in furtherance of this ordinance.

Existing Law

The Parkmerced Development Project ("Project") is a large, multi-phased project to redevelop an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco, generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west. Among other things, the Project involves the realignment of various streets and public rights-of-way.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance would conditionally vacate portions of certain public service easements for the first two subphases of the Project. Specifically, Subphases 1A and 1B of the Project involve the conditional vacation of certain public service easements (including sewer, storm drain, and water line easements) owned by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission located within and outside of existing streets. The SFPUC Vacation Area is shown in Public Works (PW) SUR Map Nos. 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-020, and 2016-021.

The proposed vacations implement the Project, which was approved by the Board of Supervisors in 2011, by, among other approvals, approval of a Development Agreement for the Project by Ordinance No. 89-11. For the public service easement vacation to become final, the Project Sponsor must provide PW with an acceptable Public Improvement

FILE NO. 161240

Agreement (PIA) with the City for all the improvements required by the Final Map for these phases of the Project, addressing, among other issues, security provisions and provide interim easements or licenses, so that the City can complete the improvements if the Project Sponsor fails to do so.

n:\land\as2016\1500790\01150866.docx



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

General Plan Referral			1650 Mission Suite 400 San Francisco CA 94103-24
Da	ite:	November 14, 2016	Reception:
Са	se No.	Case No. is 2016-014625GPR	415.558.63
	•	SFPUC Public Easement Vacations for Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project	Fax: 415.558.64 0
Bl	ock/Lot No.:	7303A, 7315, 7316, 7321, 7322, 7326, 7330, 7331, 7333E, 7335, 7344, 7345, 7345B, and 7366	Planning Information: 415.558.63
Pr	oject Sponsor:	Javier Rivera Department of Public Works 1155 Market St, 3 rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103	
Ар	oplicant:	Jim Abrams One Maritime Plaza Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94110	

Staff Contact:

Ben Caldwell - 415.575.9131 Ben.caldwell@sfgov.org

Recommendation:

Finding the project, on balance, is in conformity with the General Plan

Recommended By:

hn Rahaim, Director of Planning

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is the proposed SFPUC Public Easement Vacations for the Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project. The Parkmerced Project is a long-term mixed-use development program to comprehensively redevelop the existing residential Parkmerced site. Utility improvements are included as part of the project, including replacement of the majority of the existing water supply pipelines, construction of an on-site stormwater system, and construction of additional sewer pipelines to serve new buildings. These Parkmerced utility improvements would replace City and County of San Francisco (City) infrastructure.

In 2011, the City and County of San Francisco (City) and Parkmerced Investors Properties LLC entered into the Parkmerced Development Agreement, to which the San Francisco Public

www.sfplanning.org

1650 Mission St. CO. 479

378

409

GENERAL PLAN REFERRAL

CASE NO. 2016-014625GPR SFPUC PUBLIC EASEMENT VACATIONS FOR THE PARKMERCED MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Utilities Commission (SFPUC) provided consent by SFPUC Resolution No. 11-0091. In consenting to the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the SFPUC anticipated dedication of new water and wastewater infrastructure within public streets serving the Burdened Property and the Project (Replacement Infrastructure). The SFPUC owns water and sewer easements encumbering the Burdened Property (Existing Easements). Parkmerced Owner LLC is requesting that the City vacate the Existing Easements associated with the Burdened Property, and convey all of City's right, title, and interest in the Existing Easements to Parkmerced Owner LLC so that Parkmerced Owner LLC may proceed with its construction of Project Phases 1A and 1B.

The submittal is for a General Plan Referral to recommend whether the Project is in conformity with the General Plan, pursuant to Section 4.105 of the Charter, and Section 2A.52 and 2A.53 of the Administrative Code.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The project received CEQA clearance under the Parkmerced Project EIR, certified by the Planning Commission on 2/10/11, Motion No. 18629, Case No. 2008.0021E.

GENERAL PLAN COMPLIANCE AND BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Project is the proposed SFPUC Public Easement Vacations for the Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project. The Project is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 as described in the body of this letter and is, on balance, **in-conformity** with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ELEMENT

POLICY 1.4

Assure that all new development meets strict environmental quality standards and recognizes human needs.

The Parkmerced development will be a model for environmentally-friendly housing and mixed-use development. In particular, state-of-the-art stormwater and wastewater management infrastructure will be built and features used throughout the development. Most stormwater will be managed on-site, and a separate stormwater system will be created to manage stormwater on site. To achieve this, Parkmerced will own, manage, and be liable for certain of this infrastructure in perpetuity. Per the Parkmerced plan, development agreement, and the approval of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), this will require the dedication of new water and wasterwater infrastructure in the public right-of-way throughout Parkmerced. Some existing San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) utility easements are planned to be conveyed to Parkmerced, which will fully own, maintain, and be liable for all new facilities built within these easements. SFPUC has approved the vacation of all of these easements.

CASE NO. 2016-014625GPR SFPUC PUBLIC EASEMENT VACATIONS FOR THE PARKMERCED MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

POLICY 3.3

Implement plans to improve sewage treatment and halt pollution of the Bay and Ocean.

The reconstruction and rebuilding of much of the wastewater infrastructure within the Parkmerced development will improve the treatment of sewage and control of pollution in the southwest area of San Francisco. This reconstruction has been long planned with the understanding that some existing SF PUC easements would be vacated and turned over to Parkmerced to maintain in perpetuity.

POLICY 5.2

Exercise controls over development to correspond to the capabilities of the water supply and distribution system.

The redevelopment of Parkmerced will bring much needed new housing into San Francisco. The development has been planned to minimize the use of water to the greatest extent possible, and will not overly burden the capabilities of the water supply and distribution system.

COMMUNITY FACILITIES ELEMENT

POLICY 10.1

Provide facilities for treatment of storm and wastewater prior to discharge into the Bay or ocean. Locate such facilities according to the Wastewater and Solid Waste Facilities Plan.

The vision for the Parkmerced development provides for the full improvement and state-of-the-art upgrade of the wastewater and stormwater facilities in Parkmerced. Per the Parkmerced plan and development agreement, this will require the dedication of new water and wasterwater infrastructure in the public right-of-way throughout Parkmerced. Some existing San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) utility easements are planned to be conveyed to Parkmerced, which will fully own, maintain, and be liable all new facilities built within these easements. SFPUC has approved the vacation of all of these easements.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT

POLICY 1.11

Continue to promote green stormwater management techniques.

The Parkmerced development will be a model for green state-of-the-art stormwater management techniques. Extensive state-of-the-art infrastructure will be built and features used throughout the development, including a separate stormwater system to manage stormwater on site; most stormwater will be managed on-site. To achieve this, Parkmerced will own, manage, and be liable for certain of this infrastructure in perpetuity. Per the Parkmerced plan and development agreement, this will require the

CASE NO. 2016-014625GPR SFPUC PUBLIC EASEMENT VACATIONS FOR THE PARKMERCED MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

dedication of new water and wasterwater infrastructure in the public right-of-way throughout *Parkmerced*.

PROPOSITION M FINDINGS – PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1

Planning Code Section 101.1 establishes Eight Priority Policies and requires review of discretionary approvals and permits for consistency with said policies. The Project, demolition and replacement of the Chinese Recreation Center, is found to be consistent with the Eight Priority Policies as set forth in Planning Code Section 101.1 for the following reasons:

Eight Priority Policies Findings

The subject project is found to be consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 in that:

The proposed project is found to be consistent with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on neighborhood serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhood.

The Project would have no adverse effect on the City's housing stock or on neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing. The Parkmerced redevelopment project will significantly increase the amount of housing, including affordable housing, within San Francisco.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project would have no transportation impact.

GENERAL PLAN REFERRAL

CASE NO. 2016-014625GPR SFPUC PUBLIC EASEMENT VACATIONS FOR THE PARKMERCED MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for residential employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not affect the existing economic base in this area.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project would improve achieving the greatest possible preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. It would improve the City's ability to respond to injuries caused by earthquakes and other emergencies, by upgrading outdated critical infrastructure including water infrastructure.

7. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

No buildings will be impacted by the project.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would have no adverse effect on parks and open space or their access to sunlight and vista.

RECOMMENDATION:

Finding the Project, on balance, in-conformity with the General Plan

Attachments:

SF PUC Real Estate Division Minutes, October 25, 2016, including resolution Easement Vacation Maps Easement Deeds and Trusts

cc: Josh Switzsky

City and County of San Francisco

Sam rancisco Public Works

Office of the City and County Surveyor 1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, Ca 94103

(415) 554-5827 Ø www.sfdpw.org





Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Mohammed Nuru, Director

Bruce R. Storrs, City and County Surveyor

DPW Order No: 185483

Determination to recommend the conditional vacation of certain San Francisco Public Utilities Commission public service easements that exist within Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west, pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8300 *et seq.* and Public Works Code Section 787 subject to certain conditions.

WHEREAS, Public Works (PW) has determined that said easement is exclusive to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission (SFPUC); and

WHEREAS, The portions of the SFPUC easements to be vacated are in the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west, the areas to be vacated ("the Vacation Area"), are specifically shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-021, and 2016-022, all dated October 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, The vacation of the Vacation Areas is necessary to implement the Project, to fulfill the objectives and requirements of the Development Agreement and fulfill the objectives of the Parkmerced Special Use District (Planning Code section 249.64). The proposed vacations and other actions contemplated herein implement the Project vested by the Project Approvals, including the construction of buildings and streets consistent with the Parkmerced Design Standards and Guidelines, the Parkmerced Transportation Plan, and the Parkmerced Infrastructure Report, all of which are incorporated by reference into the Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, SFPUC staff has confirmed that the Vacation Areas will not be needed in the future; and

WHEREAS, On February 10, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") for the Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project (the "Project"), by Motion No. 18269, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate,



accurate and objective, contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the report and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Title 14 Section 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and

WHEREAS, At the same hearing during which the Planning Commission certified the Final EIR, the Planning Commission by Motion No. 18270 adopted findings, as required by CEQA, regarding the alternatives, mitigation measures, and significant environmental effects analyzed in the Final EIR, a statement of overriding considerations for approval of the Project, and a proposed mitigation monitoring and reporting program (collectively, "CEQA Findings"); and

WHEREAS, On May 24, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors reviewed and considered the Final EIR on appeal. By Motion M11-83, the Board of Supervisors upheld the Planning Commission's certification of the Final EIR and found the Final EIR to be complete, adequate and objective and reflecting the independent judgment of the City and in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, On June 7, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Board of Supervisors considered the Project's approvals, which included amendments to the City's General Plan (approved by Ordinance No. 92-11), Zoning Map (approved by Ordinance No. 91-11), and Planning Code (approved by Ordinance No. 90-11), as well as approval of a Development Agreement, approved on June 7, 2011 by Ordinance No. 89-11 (the "Development Agreement") (collectively, the "Project Approvals"); and

WHEREAS, On February 20, 2015, Parkmerced Owner, LLC (together, with its successors and assigns, the "Project Sponsor") submitted three applications for tentative subdivision maps pursuant to the requirements of the California Subdivision Map Act for Subphases 1A and 1B of the Project. On August 21, 2015, Public Works ("PW") pursuant to PW Order No. 183946 conditionally approved such tentative maps: (1) Tentative Map No. 8530 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Blocks 7326, 7330, 7331, 7364, 7365, 7366 and 7370 (Parkmerced Planning Blocks 20, 21S, and 22); (2) Tentative Map No. 8531 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Block 7335 (Parkmerced Planning Block 6); and (3) Tentative Map No. 8532 requested approval to subdivide Assessor's Block 7308 (Parkmerced Planning Block 1) (collectively, the "Subphase 1A and 1B Tentative Maps"). The Project Sponsor is currently processing with PW the approval of a final subdivision map for each of the Subphase 1A and 1B Tentative Maps"); and

WHEREAS, Subphases 1A and 1B of the Project involve the conditional vacation of certain public service easements (including sewer, storm drain, and water line easements) owned by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission located within and outside of existing streets (the "Public Utility Easements"). The SFPUC Vacation Area is shown in Public Works SUR Map Nos. 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-020, and 2016-021; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the California Streets and Highway Code, the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Use and Mapping (the "Department") has initiated the process to vacate the Vacation Area; and



WHEREAS, The public interest, convenience, and necessity require that, except as specifically provided herein, no other easements or other rights should be reserved by City for any public or private utilities or facilities that may be in place in the Vacation Area and that any rights based upon any such public or private utilities or facilities are unnecessary and should be extinguished; and

WHEREAS, Because certain of the easements will remain in use until specified times, no portion of the Public Utility Easements shall be vacated until the Project Sponsor provides PW with an acceptable Public Improvement Agreement ("PIA") pursuant to Section 1351 of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and the Subdivision Map Act for all improvements within the Final Map or required for development of the area shown in the Final Map prior to approval of a Final Map or issuance of a Street Improvement Permit for Subphases 1A or 1B of the Project, whichever is earlier. Such PIA shall address security provisions and provide interim easements or licenses via separate offer, such that the City can complete the improvements if the Project Sponsor fails to do so; and

WHEREAS, On October 25, 2016, after a duly noticed public hearing, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission in Resolution No. 16-0224, determined that the Public Utility Easements were surplus and unnecessary for public utility purposes, and therefore recommended that the Board of Supervisors terminate and vacate such easements subject to the conditions in this ordinance and authorize the General Manager of the SFPUC to quitclaim these real property interests

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Streets and Highways Code Section 892, the Department determines that the Vacation Area is unnecessary for non-motorized transportation because the Development Agreement requires the dedication and construction of an extensive street, bicycle path, pedestrian path, park, and trail system that is more extensive than the areas being vacated and that is designed to integrate with existing built streets in the adjacent neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco has determined the following:

1. The vacation is being carried out pursuant to the California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8300 et seq.

2. The vacation is being carried out pursuant to San Francisco Public Works Code Section 787.

3. The Vacation Areas to be vacated are shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-021, and 2016-022.

4. These vacations are necessary to implement the Project, to fulfill the objectives and requirements of the Development Agreement and fulfill the objectives of the Parkmerced Special Use District (Planning Code section 249.64).

5. Solely for those Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016, in the PW Order the PW Director determined that the public interest, convenience, and necessity require that the City reserve from the vacation of the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016, non-exclusive easements for the benefit of the City for water main utilities located in, upon,



and over the Public Utility Easements shown on SUR Maps 2016-005, 2016-011, 2016-013, 2016-015, and 2016-016 in which the SFPUC's in-place and functioning utilities are located as of the effective date of this ordinance, to the extent necessary to maintain, operate, repair, and remove existing lines of pipe and other convenient structures, equipment and fixtures for the operation by SFPUC of SFPUC utilities.

6. Project Sponsor shall provide PW with an acceptable Public Improvement Agreement ("PIA") pursuant to Section 1351 of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and the Subdivision Map Act for all improvements within the Final Map or required for development of area shown in the Final Map prior to recording a Final Map or issuance of a Street Improvement Permit for Subphases 1A or 1B of the Project, whichever is earlier. Such PIA shall address security provisions and provide interim easements or licenses via separate offer, such that the City can complete the improvements if Subdivider fails to do so.

8. Pursuant to the Streets and Highways Code Section 892, the Vacation Areas are not useful as a non-motorized transportation facility for the reasons set forth herein.

9. The Director of the Real Estate Division has negotiated a purchase and sale agreement and a quitclaim for the Vacation Area. Approval of the real estate transaction is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors, subject to the requirements of the Development Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDERED THAT,

The Director approves all of the following documents either attached hereto or referenced herein:

- 1. Ordinance to vacate the Vacation Area;
- 2. SUR Map Nos. 2016-005, 2016-007, 2016-008, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013, 2016-014, 2016-015, 2016-016, 2016-021, and 2016-022

The Director recommends that the Board of Supervisors move forward with the legislation to vacate said Vacation Area subject to obtaining a finding of General Plan consistency from the City Planning Department.

The Director recommends the Board of Supervisors approve all actions set forth herein with respect to this vacation. The Director further recommends the Board of Supervisors authorize the Mayor, Clerk of the Board, Director of Property, County Surveyor, and Director of Public Works to take any and all actions which they or the City Attorney may deem necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Ordinance.

11/21/2016


11/21/2016


Bruce R. Storrs


Storrs, Bruce City and County Surveyor Signed by: Storrs, Bruce X Mohammed Nuru


Nuru, Mohammed Director Signed by: Nuru, Mohammed















.





.














•





.







100.284 (DN. 2015K114105)		AREA TO BE VACATED
123 MAPS 140-151 POINT A POINT A		EXISTING BOUNDARY
PORTION OF (6191 O.R. 231) 1 56 ACRES+ TOTAL	^{7°33'42"E} \ O.R. = O -40.284'	OCUMENT NUMBER FFICIAL RECORDS
CURRENTLY BEING VACATED	FOWT BILLINE MATCH LINE	
	BLOCK 7330 RECORD OF URVEY MAP 8641 N. 2015K114105)	
BROTHERHOOD WAY 1435	000'	1,==200
WAY RESS	2 200 PAR	
	CAP ^A	
REFERENCES: -ROS MAP 8641, DN.	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	
2015K114105 -6191 O.R. 231 See Sheet 1 (2) 10 31/16	EASEMENT VACATION OF A	PORTION 6191 O.R. 231
BRUCE R. STORRS // DATE	RLE SUR: 2016-013	SHEET 3 OF 3 SCALE 1"=200'








.



ſ <u>·····</u>		
BLOCK 7324 RECORD OF SURVEY MAP 8641 (DN. 2015K114105)		
CAMBON DRVD N07 10.00 10.00 N82°26'18"E 365.067 N82°26'18"E 365.63 N82°26'18"E 366.63 N82°26'18"E 366.63 N82°26'18"E 366.63 N82°26'18"E 366.63 N82°26'18"E 366.63		
EASEMENT VACATION PARCEL 37 10.000 STRIP 6138 O.R. 152 "PARCEL SEVEN" BLOCK 732 RECORD OF SURVEY MAP 8 (DN. 2015K1141	641 LAND SUD	
	LLS 8863 ** 0F CALIFORNIA 10-25-2016	
	= AREA TO BE VACATED	
	DN. = DOCUMENT NUMBER O.R. = OFFICIAL RECORDS	
REFERENCES: -ROS MAP 8641, DN. 2015K114105 -6138 O.R. 152 BRUCE R. STORRS CITY AND COUNTY SURVEYOR DATE	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS EASEMENT VACATION OF 6138 OR 152 "PARCEL SEVEN"	
	SUR: 2016-021 SHEET 1 OF 1 SCALE 1"=50'	



RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission City and County of San Francisco 525 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Attn: Real Estate Director

WITH A CONFORMED COPY TO: San Francisco Real Estate Division 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94102 Attn.: Director of Property

The undersigned hereby declares this instrument to be exempt from Recording Fees (Govt. Code § 27383).

(Space above this line for Recorder's use only)

EASEMENT QUITCLAIM

FOR VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, and as expressly authorized in Ordinance No. _____ passed by the City and County of San Francisco's (City) Board of Supervisors on _____, 20__, and approved by the Mayor on _____, 20__, the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation, hereby RELEASES, REMISES, and QUITCLAIMS to PARKMERCED OWNER LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, any and all right, title, and interest City may have in or to the easements described in Exhibits A-1 through A-13 and shown in Exhibits B-1 through B-13.

[Signatures on following page]

In witness whereof this Easement Quitclaim is executed as of the _____ day of _____ 201_.

PROPERTY OWNER:

PARKMERCED OWNER LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

Ву: _____

ACCEPTED:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation

By:__

John Updike Director of Property

Dated:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney, as counsel to City

By:

Michelle Sexton Deputy City Attorney

DRAFT DATED: 10.05.2016

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF)SS COUNTY OF

On

, a Notary Public, before me, ____ personally appeared

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature of Notary Public

(THIS AREA FOR OFFICIAL NOTARIAL SEAL)

EXHIBIT A-1

LEGAL DESCRIPTION EXHIBIT A EASEMENT VACATION PARCEL 2

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being all of Block 7303-A as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Containing an area of 2,458 square feet, more or less.

Horizontal Datum & Reference System

ENGINEERS

SURVEYORS

PLANNERS

The horizontal datum is the North American Datum of 1983: NAD 83 (2011) Epoch 2010.00 referenced by the "CCSF-2013 High Precision Network" (CCSF-HPN). Plane coordinates are based on the "City & County of San Francisco 2013 coordinate system (CCSF-CS13). CCSF-CS13 is a low distortion projection designed for CCSF to provide plane coordinates in a ground system. See ROS 8080, filed April 4, 2014, in Book EE of Survey Maps at pages 147-157 in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

A plat showing the above-described parcel is attached herein and made a part hereof.

This description was prepared by me or under my direction in conformance with the Professional Land Surveyors' Act.

Alex M. Calder, LLS 8863



<u>16-3-2015</u> Dated

END OF DESCRIPTION

EXHIBIT A-2

LEGAL DESCRIPTION EXHIBIT A EASEMENT VACATION PARCEL 18

AREA 1

ENGINEERS

SURVEYORS PLANNERS

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7315 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northerly terminus of the course labeled "N2°25'58''E 166.690 feet" on the westerly line of Block 7315 as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 15 of 20), said point being the beginning of a tangent curve concave southeasterly and the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence along the northerly line of said Block 7315 along said tangent curve having a radius of 6.500 feet, through a central angle of 66°45'02", for an arc length of 7.573 feet;

Thence leaving said northerly line, South 10°51'42" West, 26.840 feet to the westerly line of said Block 7315 as shown on said map;

Thence along said westerly line, North 02°25'58" East, 20.578 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 46 square feet, more or less.



AREA 2

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7316 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northeasterly terminus of the course labeled "N47°25'58"E 67.340 feet" on the southeasterly line of Block 7316 as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 15 of 20), said point being the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line, South 47°25'58" West, 5.256 feet;

Thence leaving said southeasterly line, North 10°51'42" East, 64.702 feet to the easterly line of said Block 7316 as shown on said map and the beginning of a non-tangent curve concave southwesterly whose radius point bears South 53°57'26" West;

Thence along said easterly line of said Block 7316 the following three courses:

- 1) Southeasterly along said non-tangent curve having a radius of 22.000 feet, through a central angle of 38°28'32", for an arc length of 14.774 feet;
- 2) South 02°25'58" West, 21.143 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve concave northwesterly;
- Along said tangent curve having a radius of 36.000 feet, through a central angle of 45°00'00", for an arc length of 28.274 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 459 square feet, more or less.



AREA 3

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7345B as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the southwesterly terminus of the course labeled "N47°25'58"E 80.641 feet" on the northwesterly line of Block 7345B as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 15 of 20), said point being the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence along the northwesterly line of said Block 7345B, North 47°25'58" East, 28.940 feet;

Thence leaving said northwesterly line, South 10°51'42" West, 43.153 feet to the southerly line of said Block 7345B and the beginning of a non-tangent curve concave northerly whose radius point bears North 17°29'51" West;

Thence along said southerly line along said non-tangent curve having a radius of 13.491 feet, through a central angle of 154°55'49", for an arc length of 36.480 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING**.

Containing an area of 580 square feet, more or less.



AREA 4

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7321 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the southwesterly corner of said Block 7321, thence along the southerly line of said Block 7321, North 82°25'58" East, 124.347 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence North 10°51'42" East, 340.823 feet to the northwesterly line of said Block 7321 as shown on said map;

Thence along said northwesterly line, North 37°25'58" East, 44.712 feet;

Thence leaving said northwesterly line, South 10°51'42" West, 374.148 feet to said southerly line of said Block 7321 as shown on said map;

Thence along said southerly line, South 82°25'58" West, 21.081 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 7,150 square feet, more or less.

BKF Engineers Surveyors Planners

AREA 5

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7322 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northwesterly corner of said Block 7322, thence along the northerly line of said Block 7322, North 82°25'58" East, 101.301 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence South 10°51'42" West, 152.823 feet to the southwesterly line of said Block 7322 as shown on said map;

Thence along said southwesterly line, South 52°33'48" East, 22.363 feet;

Thence leaving said southwesterly line, North 10°51'42" East, 169.492 feet to said northerly line of said Block 7322 as shown on said map;

Thence along said northerly line, South 82°25'58" West, 21.081 feet to the TRUE **POINT OF BEGINNING**.

Containing an area of 3,223 square feet, more or less.



AREA 6

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7344 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northerly corner of said Block 7344, thence along the northeasterly line of said Block 7344, South 52°33'48" East, 175.270 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence South 10°51'42" West, 155.491 feet;

Thence South 28°05'48" East, 215.376 feet to the southerly line of said Block 7344 as shown on said map and the beginning of a non-tangent curve concave northwesterly whose radius point bears North 25°52'52" West;

Thence along said southerly line along said non-tangent curve having a radius of 62.500 feet, through a central angle of 18°33'20", for an arc length of 20.241 feet;

Thence leaving said southerly line, North 28°05'48" West, 205.824 feet;

Thence North 10°51'42" East, 138.413 feet to said northeasterly line of said Block 7344 as shown on said map;

Thence along said northeasterly line, North 52°33'48" West, 22.363 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 7,162 square feet, more or less.

BKF BKF Engineers Surveyors Planners

AREA 7

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of "Lot 4 Block 7331" as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northwesterly corner of said Lot 4 Block 7331 as shown on said map (see sheet 12 of 20), said point being the beginning of a tangent curve concave northerly, thence along the northerly line of said Lot 4 Block 7331 along said tangent curve having a radius of 129.500 feet, through a central angle of 24°46'48", for an arc length of 56.014 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence leaving said northerly line the following five courses:

- 1) South 46°01'18" East, 143.265 feet;
- 2) South 78°43'13" East, 251.066 feet;
- 3) South 75°39'03" East, 279.706 feet;
- 4) South 51°45'28" East, 237.139 feet;
- 5) South 28°51'28" East, 198.183 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 4 Block 7331 as shown on said map;

Thence along said easterly line, North 07°33'48" West, 8.434 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve concave easterly;

Thence continuing along said easterly line along said tangent curve having a radius of 100.000 feet, through a central angle of 19°02'00", for an arc length of 33.219 feet:

Thence leaving said easterly line the following five courses:

- 1) North 28°51'28" West, 165.975 feet;
- 2) North 51°45'28" West, 245.421 feet;
- 3) North 75°39'03" West, 284.474 feet;
- 4) North 78°43'13" West, 245.734 feet;
- North 46°01'18" West, 134.160 feet to said northerly line and the beginning of a non-tangent curve concave northwesterly whose radius point bears North 41°18'43" West;

Thence along said northerly line along said non-tangent curve having a radius of 129.500 feet, through a central angle of 08°58'23", for an arc length of 20.281 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING**.

Containing an area of 21,792 square feet, more or less.



AREA 8

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7330 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the easterly terminus of the course labeled "S82°26'12"W 121.788 feet" on the westerly line of Block 7330 as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 18 of 20), thence along said westerly line, South 82°26'12" West, 54.135 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence leaving said westerly line, North 28°51'28" West, 246.868 feet to said westerly line;

Thence along said westerly line, South 07°33'48" East, 55.072 feet;

Thence leaving said westerly line, South 28°51'28" East, 187.761 feet to said westerly line;

Thence along said westerly line, North 82°26'12" East, 21.466 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 4,346 square feet, more or less.



AREA 9

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of Block 7330 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the easterly terminus of the course labeled "N82°26'12"E 120.000 feet" on the westerly line of Block 7330 as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 18 of 20), said point being the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence along said westerly line, North 07°33'48" West, 12.206 feet;

Thence leaving said westerly line, South 65°08'33" East, 244.142 feet to the easterly line of said Block 7330 and the beginning of a non-tangent curve concave northwesterly whose radius point bears North 44°39'27" West;

Thence southwesterly along said easterly line along said non-tangent curve having a radius of 106.731 feet, through a central angle of 00°54'39", for an arc length of 1.697 feet;

Thence continuing on along said easterly line, South 46°15'12" West, 19.778 feet;

Thence leaving said easterly line, North 65°08'33" West, 245.044 feet to the westerly line of said Block 7330;

Thence along said westerly line, North 82°26'12" East, 18.087 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing an area of 4,782 square feet, more or less.

It is the intent of this legal description to describe the identical parcel of land as originally granted.




Horizontal Datum & Reference System

The horizontal datum is the North American Datum of 1983: NAD 83 (2011) Epoch 2010.00 referenced by the "CCSF-2013 High Precision Network" (CCSF-HPN). Plane coordinates are based on the "City & County of San Francisco 2013 coordinate system (CCSF-CS13). CCSF-CS13 is a low distortion projection designed for CCSF to provide plane coordinates in a ground system. See ROS 8080, filed April 4, 2014, in Book EE of Survey Maps at pages 147-157 in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

A plat showing the above-described parcel is attached herein and made a part hereof.

This description was prepared by me or under my direction in conformance with the Professional Land Surveyors' Act.

Alex M. Calder, LLS 8863



10-3-2015 Dated

END OF DESCRIPTION

EXHIBIT A-3

LEGAL DESCRIPTION EXHIBIT A EASEMENT VACATION PARCEL 23

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a portion of that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

Being a strip of land 20 feet wide, lying 10 feet on each side of the following described centerline. The sidelines of said strip terminate at the northeasterly line of Brotherhood Way and the westerly line of Nineteenth Avenue as shown on said map.

BEGINNING at the southeasterly terminus of the course labeled "S64°38'33"E 242.248 feet" on the southerly line of Lot 4 Block 7331 as said course and said block are shown on said map (see sheet 18 of 20), thence along the southeasterly prolongation of said southerly line, South 64°38'33" East, 104.500 feet to the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of this description;

Thence North 17°50'00" East, 2.000 feet;

BkF

ENGINEERS

SURVEYORS

PLANNERS

Thence North 17°10'00" West, 26.750 feet;

Thence North 49°26'00" West, 55.000 feet;

Thence North 42°57'00" West, 62.250 feet;

Thence North 22°22'00" West, 84.940 feet;

Thence North 07°34'00" West, 421.410 feet;

Thence North 37°26'00" East, 82.250 feet;

Thence North 07°34'00" West, 30.853 feet;

Thence North 52°34'00" West, 461.250 feet;

Thence North 68°22'00" West, 49.756 feet;

Thence North 33°52'00" West, 42.247 feet;

Thence North 52°34'00" West, 420.000 feet;

Thence North 00°34'00" West, 123.121 feet;



PLANNERS

Thence North 37°26'00" East, 253.406 feet;

Thence North 07°34'00" West, 492.179 feet;

Thence North 05°58"00" West, 11.768 feet;

Thence North 52°34'00" West, 258.250 feet;

Thence North 04°16'00" West, 64.750 feet;

Thence North 40°59'00" East, 182.722 feet;

Thence North 30°14'00" East, 180.107 feet to the westerly line of Nineteenth Avenue as shown on said map.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion lying northerly of a line drawn perpendicular to said centerline, 17.771 feet before the terminus of the course described herein as "North 33°52'00" West, 42.247 feet" and shown as "L11" on the accompanying plat.

Containing an area of 66,101 (total) square feet, more or less. Effective vacation area by this document contains an area of 26,016 square feet, more or less

It is the intent of this legal description to describe a portion of the identical parcel of land as originally granted.

Horizontal Datum & Reference System


The horizontal datum is the North American Datum of 1983: NAD 83 (2011) Epoch 2010.00 referenced by the "CCSF-2013 High Precision Network" (CCSF-HPN). Plane coordinates are based on the "City & County of San Francisco 2013 coordinate system (CCSF-CS13). CCSF-CS13 is a low distortion projection designed for CCSF to provide plane coordinates in a ground system. See ROS 8080, filed April 4, 2014, in Book EE of Survey Maps at pages 147-157 in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

A plat showing the above-described parcel is attached herein and made a part hereof.

This description was prepared by me or under my direction in conformance with the Professional Land Surveyors' Act.

ler Call

Alex M. Calder, LLS 8863



10-10-16

Dated

EXHIBIT A-4

LEGAL DESCRIPTION EXHIBIT A EASEMENT VACATION PARCEL 24

All that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being all of Block 7366 as shown on that certain map entitled "RECORD OF SURVEY MAP NO. 8641" filed August 24, 2015, as Document Number 2015K114105, in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Containing an area of 978 square feet, more or less.

Horizontal Datum & Reference System

ENGINEERS

SURVEYORS

PLANNERS

The horizontal datum is the North American Datum of 1983: NAD 83 (2011) Epoch 2010.00 referenced by the "CCSF-2013 High Precision Network" (CCSF-HPN). Plane coordinates are based on the "City & County of San Francisco 2013 coordinate system (CCSF-CS13). CCSF-CS13 is a low distortion projection designed for CCSF to provide plane coordinates in a ground system. See ROS 8080, filed April 4, 2014, in Book EE of Survey Maps at pages 147-157 in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

A plat showing the above-described parcel is attached herein and made a part hereof.

This description was prepared by me or under my direction in conformance with the Professional Land Surveyors' Act.

fly, Cold

Alex M. Calder, LLS 8863



Dated

END OF DESCRIPTION

EXHIBIT B-1







EXHIBIT B-2











EXHIBIT B-3







EXHIBIT B-4



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco

RESOLUTION NO. 16-0224

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco (City), through the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), owns water and sewer easements (Existing Easements) that encumber real property located in the City and County of San Francisco, near 19th Avenue and Holloway Avenue, designated as Assessor's Blocks 7303A, 7315, 7316, 7321, 7322, 7326, 7330, 7331, 7333E, 7335, 7344, 7345, 7345B, and 7366 (collectively, the Burdened Property), which is owned by Parkmerced Owner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and

WHEREAS, The Existing Easements are designated in the following documents recorded in the City's Official Records: (1) 6138 O.R. 152, "Miscellaneous Parcels" Block 7303A; (2) 6138 O.R. 152, "Miscellaneous Parcels" Block 7333E; (3) 6138 O.R. 152, Parcel Six; (4) 4252 O.R. 85, Parcel 2j; (5) 4252 O.R. 85, Parcel 1a; (6) 3694 O.R. 271; (7) 6138 O.R. 152, Parcel Five; (8) 6138 O.R. 152, Parcel Three; (9) 6191 O.R. 231; (10) 6138 O.R. 152, Parcel Four; (11) Document No. 2009-I879772; (12) 6138 O.R. 152, "Miscellaneous Parcels" Block 7366; and (13) 6138 O.R. 152, Parcel Seven; and

WHEREAS, In 2011, the City and Parkmerced Investors Properties LLC entered into the Parkmerced Development Agreement, to which the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) provided consent by SFPUC Resolution No. 11-0091, adopted on June 14, 2011. In consenting to the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the SFPUC anticipated dedication of new water and wastewater infrastructure within public streets serving the Burdened Property and the Project (Replacement Infrastructure). Parkmerced Owner LLC, as successor to Parkmerced Investors Properties LLC, is pursuing development of the Parkmerced project (Project) in accordance with the Parkmerced Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Parkmerced Owner LLC has requested that the City vacate the Existing Easements associated with the Burdened Property, and convey all of City's right, title, and interest in the Easements to Parkmerced Owner LLC so that Parkmerced Owner LLC may proceed with its construction of Project Phases 1A and 1B; and

WHEREAS, SFPUC staff has reviewed the SFPUC facilities within the Existing Easements and determined that the Existing Easements are comprised of either: (a) SFPUC facilities in the Existing Easement that will be replaced by new SFPUC facilities (Replacement Infrastructure) in the public right-of-way; or (b) existing SFPUC facilities that will be owned by Parkmerced Owner LLC following easement vacation and for which Parkmerced Owner LLC will bear full and complete liability; or (c) vacant, as there are no SFPUC facilities within the Existing Easement; and

WHEREAS, For Existing Easement category (a) above, the SFPUC will have no further use for said SFPUC facilities in the Existing Easements once Parkmerced Owner LLC grants the City an interim easement (Interim Easement) which will remain in effect unless and until the City accepts the Replacement Infrastructure; and WHEREAS, For Existing Easement category (b) above, the SFPUC has no further use for the relevant SFPUC facilities in the Existing Easements because the SFPUC facilities therein serve only Parkmerced and Parkmerced Owner LLC will own, operate and maintain them upon execution of the quitclaim deeds; and

WHEREAS, For Existing Easement category (c), above, the SFPUC Wastewater Collection System Division has advised this Commission that there are no in-place public utility facilities that are in use that would be affected by the quitclaim of the relevant Existing Easements; and

WHEREAS, California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8300 *et seq.* and San Francisco Public Works Code Section 787(a) set forth the procedures that the City follows to vacate public service easements. In accordance with the prescribed procedures, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors will consider authorization of an ordinance providing for the vacation of the Easements, the execution and delivery of easement quitclaim deeds, terminating the Easements and quitclaiming to Parkmerced Owner LLC the City's interest in the Existing Easements, as set forth in the future proposed ordinance (the Authorizing Ordinance) subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions precedent described in such Authorizing Ordinance, which conditions include the conditions described above; and

WHEREAS, The value of the Replacement Infrastructure and of surrendering liability for certain facilities to Parkmerced Owner LLC is a benefit to the SFPUC and serves as consideration for this vacation action; and

WHEREAS, On February 10, 2011, at a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") for the Parkmerced Mixed-Use Development Project (the "Project"), by Motion No. 18269, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the FEIR and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Title 14 Sections 15000 et seq.) and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and

WHEREAS, In consenting to the Parkmerced Development Agreement, this Commission adopted findings, pursuant to CEQA, including a statement of overriding considerations, ("CEQA Findings") and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MMRP"). A copy of the CEQA Findings and the MMRP is on file with the Secretary of the Commission and is incorporated herein by reference; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, This Commission finds that the actions proposed herein are consistent with and within the scope of the project analyzed in the Final EIR and subject to the CEQA Findings. This Commission further finds that no substantial changes are proposed in the project and no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which this project will be undertaken that would cause new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects, and there is no new information of substantial importance showing that the project would have any significant effects not discussed in the Final EIR, that significant effects would be substantially more severe, or that new or different mitigation measures or alternatives would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED. That this Commission hereby finds that, upon meeting the relevant conditions set forth in California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8300 et seq, and San Francisco Public Works Code Section 787(a), the Existing Easements are surplus and unnecessary for any present and prospective SFPUC utility uses, approves the terms and conditions of the Easement and authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to execute the Interim Easement Agreement, in substantially the same form presented to this Commission, and approves the terms and conditions of the form easement quitelaim deed and authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to execute the easement quitclaim deeds, in substantially the same form presented to this Commission; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager, upon approval by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the Authorizing Ordinance, to execute the easement quitclaim deeds or to delegate such execution to the City Director of Property, in substantially the same form presented to this Commission, provided that the easement quitclaim deeds shall not be delivered to Parkmerced Owner LLC until the SFPUC General Manager determines that conditions precedent described in the Authorizing Ordinance have been satisfied. and enter into any amendments or modifications to the easement guitclaim deeds; including without limitation, modification, addition, or deletion of exhibits and to enter into any related documents, instruments, memoranda, or other agreements reasonably necessary to consummate the transaction contemplated in the easement quitclaim deed, that the General Manager determines, in consultation with the City Attorney, are in the best interests of the City; do not materially increase the liabilities or obligations of the City or materially diminish the benefits to the City; are necessary or advisable to effectuate the purposes and intent of the easement quitclaim deed or this Resolution; and comply with all applicable laws, including the City Charter; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon approval by City's Board of Supervisors and the Mayor, this Commission authorizes the General Manager and/or the City Director of Property to take any and all other steps he or she, in consultation with the City Attorney, deems necessary and advisable to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of October 25, 2016.

Secretary, Public Utilities Commission

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department Harlan Kelly, Jr., General Manager, Public Utilities Commission Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works

FROM: Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: November 22, 2016

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIONS INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislations, introduced by Supervisor Yee on November 15, 2016:

File No. 161239

Resolution declaring the intent of the Board of Supervisors to 1) order the conditional vacation of certain San Francisco Public Utilities Commission public service easements that exist within the Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west; 2) reserve certain easement rights in favor of the SFPUC; 3) delegate authority to the Director of Real Estate to execute certain quit claim deeds; 4) adopt findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; 5) adopt findings that the vacations are consistent with the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; 6) direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to make certain transmittals; and 7) authorize actions by City officials in furtherance of this Ordinance; and setting a hearing date for all persons interested in the proposed vacation of said public service easements.

File No. 161240

Ordinance 1) ordering the conditional vacation of certain San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) public service easements that exist within Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, an approximately 152 acre site located in the Lake Merced District in the southwest corner of San Francisco and generally bounded by Vidal Drive, Font Boulevard, Pinto Avenue, and Serrano Drive to the north, 19th Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Brotherhood Way to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west; 2) reserving easement rights in favor of the SFPUC, subject to conditions specified in this Ordinance; 3) delegating authority to the Director of Real Estate to execute certain guit claim deeds; 4) adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; 5) adopting findings that the vacations are consistent with the Parkmerced Development Agreement, the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; 6) directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to make certain transmittals; and 7) authorizing actions by City officials in furtherance of this ordinance.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <u>alisa.somera@sfgov.org</u>.

c: Scott Sanchez, Planning Department Lisa Gibson, Planning Department AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Aaron Starr, Planning Department Joy Navarrete, Planning Department Jeanie Poling, Planning Department Juliet Ellis, Public Utilities Commission Donna Hood, Public Utilities Commission Frank Lee, Public Works John Thomas, Public Works Lena Liu, Public Works

Print Form	RECEIVE NECEVIC	- 915335
Introduction Form	SANTAN	
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the M	<u>(ayor</u> 016 NOV 15 P	M 2: 35
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	BY THB	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, o	or Charter Amendme	ent)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.		
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.		
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor		inquires"
5. City Attorney request.		
6. Call File No. from Committee.		
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).		·
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on		
	Ethics Comm	nission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda	i), use a Imperative	e Form.
Sponsor(s):		
Supervisor Yee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Subject: Parkmerced Development Project - SFPUC Public Service Easement Vacation	n Order	
The text is listed below or attached:		
See attached.	Λ	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Blum	Jen -
For Clerk's Use Only:		0
	· · · ·	