charter should be revoked.

[Urging City Departments to Sanction Wells Fargo]

2

1

3 Resolution setting policy to sanction Wells Fargo consistent with recommendations from the Controller, urging City Departments to require Wells Fargo to provide a 4 5 redress plan for San Francisco customers before engaging in future business with Wells Fargo, urging the Retirement Board to terminate all financial dealings with Wells 6 7 Fargo, urging the City Attorney and District Attorney to investigate the practices of 8 Wells Fargo and the other big banks, urging the Treasurer-Tax Collector to provide advice on establishing a responsible banking ordinance, and urging the United States 9 10 Office of the Comptroller of the Currency to explore if Wells Fargo's national banking

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

WHEREAS, On July 12, 2012, Wells Fargo agreed to pay \$175,000,000 to settle a racial discrimination probe by the U.S. Department of Justice, who found that Wells Fargo engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination against qualified African-American and Hispanic borrowers in its mortgage lending from 2004-2009, who were steered into subprime mortgages or who paid higher fees and rates than white borrowers because of their race or national origin; and

WHEREAS, In 2012, Wells Fargo quietly mailed checks to roughly 10,000 homeowner customers as a refund for allegedly steering them into more costly Federal Housing Administration mortgages, with the letters only coming to light after a homeowner shared a copy of his letter from Wells Fargo with the Los Angeles Times and which letters apparently informed homeowners they could cash the check, but only if they agreed not to sue the bank; and

1	WHEREAS, In April 2015, a federal judge found that Wells Fargo had failed to comply
2	with the National Mortgage Settlement and failed to properly evaluate homeowner
3	modification applications; and
4	WHEREAS, On April 8, 2016, the U.S. Department of Justice settled civil mortgage
5	fraud claims against Wells Fargo Bank with Wells Fargo agreeing to pay \$1,200,000,000 and
6	admitted, acknowledged, and accepted responsibility for, among other things, that it did not
7	disclose faulty mortgage loans to HUD; and
8	WHEREAS, On September 8, 2016, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
9	("CFPB"), working in conjunction with the Los Angeles City Attorney and the United States
10	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, filed a Consent Order ("CFPB Consent Order") that
11	detailed how Wells Fargo Bank had been engaged in widespread, illegal activities related to
12	their consumer banking business, fining Wells Fargo \$185,000,000 including a \$100,000,000
13	penalty from the CFPB, the largest such penalty the agency has issued; and
14	WHEREAS, On September 23, 2016, San Francisco Treasurer-Tax Collector José
15	Cisneros announced that Wells Fargo has been suspended as a recommended bank from the
16	San Francisco Office of Financial Empowerment's program "Bank On San Francisco" that
17	connects low-income San Franciscans to safe, accessible, and low-fee accounts; and
18	WHEREAS, Although Wells Fargo Chief Executive Officer John Stumpf resigned on
19	October 12, 2016, the new CEO, Tim Sloan, has been with the bank for 29 years and
20	questions remain about his role in and knowledge of these illegal practices; and
21	WHEREAS, Wells Fargo also employs a significant staff and sales force dedicated to
22	commercial and institutional customers, one of which is the City and County of San Francisco,
23	and it is not yet known if the sales staff in the commercial or government banking divisions of
24	Wells Fargo were similarly pressured to add products to customers; and

1	WHEREAS, While Wells Fargo has not been alone among major banks in its reckless
2	and fraudulent practices, including predatory lending and illegal foreclosure activity, it is not
3	yet known if other big banks engaged in similar illegal activity around opening accounts and
4	providing products which the client did not request; and
5	WHEREAS, Transacting business with unethical financial institutions puts the city
6	funds at risk; and
7	WHEREAS, In 2012, the Board of Supervisors passed a resolution supporting the
8	Treasurer-Tax Collector's efforts to integrate community reinvestment measures and social
9	responsibility language into the City's Cash Management Banking Services Request for
10	Proposal (RFP), on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120086, which is
11	hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
12	WHEREAS, This RFP produced valuable information from banks wishing to do
13	business with the City and County of San Francisco on their socially responsible lending
14	practices, and this information can be used to inform development of a Responsible Banking
15	ordinance; and
16	WHEREAS, On December 7, 2016, the Controller's Office issued a memo, "City
17	Relationships with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A." on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
18	in File No. 161132, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully
19	herein, that inventoried the City's financial dealings with Wells Fargo and recommended the
20	City take the following actions:
21	(1) suspend for two years Wells Fargo's provision of broker/dealer, commercial
22	banking, and commercial paper dealer services to the City;
23	(2) remove Wells Fargo from consideration for two years for the provision of securities
24	investments and counterparty/repurchasing agreements;

1	(3) monitor the numerous ongoing investigations as well as remediation efforts by
2	Wells Fargo, and make additional recommendations as necessary; and
3	(4) work with the Treasurer and other City departments to more broadly explore and
4	incorporate elements of the Treasurer's social responsibility requirements into other financial
5	service procurement processes; and
6	WHEREAS, At the Budget and Finance subcommittee December 7, 2016 hearing on
7	this resolution, Jim Foley, President of the Bay Area region for Wells Fargo stated that Wells
8	Fargo "takes full responsibility for the fact that things went wrong" with the bank's practice of
9	fraudulently opening consumer accounts, but that statement is belied by the fact that Wells
10	Fargo still has not responded to requests from the Treasurer's office for information on San
11	Franciscans who had accounts opened under their names without their consent; now,
12	therefore, be it
13	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
14	supports the Controller's recommendations for sanctioning Wells Fargo and incorporating
15	social responsibility requirements into the City's financial service procurement processes; and,
16	be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That if Wells Fargo seeks to engage in business with any City
18	department, the Board of Supervisors urges that department to require Wells Fargo to do all
19	of the following:
20	(1) identify the specific "Improper Sales Practices" (CFPB Consent Order § III, 3 f (1)-
21	(5)) that took place in San Francisco and on accounts held by San Francisco residents ("San
22	Francisco Affected Customers");
23	(2) identify all San Francisco Affected Customers who incurred fees or others charges
24	as a result of Improper Sales Practices (Consent Order § VIII, 48);

1	(3) provide the Redress Plan for San Francisco Affected Customers, and evidence that
2	all San Francisco Affected Customers have been repaid consistent with the Consent Order
3	(Consent Order § VIII et seq. & § VIII, 51 (a)-(f));
4	(4) provide the Independent Consultant's Report and Compliance Plan, and evidence
5	that it has been implemented as to San Francisco and San Francisco Affected Customers
6	pursuant to terms of the Consent Order (Consent Order § VI et seq.); and
7	(5) provide any other requested evidence to verify its remedial actions, so the City
8	department may determine whether Wells Fargo has or has not taken necessary steps
9	sufficient remedy its past wrongs; and, be it
10	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors requests the Treasurer to
11	submit to the Board of Supervisors by July 1, 2017 a report describing if Wells Fargo has
12	provided any evidence of their having undertaken any of the remedial actions described
13	above; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That if Wells Fargo is found to have not taken these remedial
15	actions by July 1, 2017, the Board of Supervisors urges the Controller to place additional
16	sanctions on Wells Fargo, such as suspending them from participation in the City's
17	competitive bond sales or full debarment for up to five years as defined in Administrative Code
18	Chapter 28; and, be it
19	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Retirement Board of
20	the San Francisco Employees Retirement System (SFERS) to terminate all financial dealings
21	with Wells Fargo in a manner consistent with their fiduciary responsibilities; and, be it
22	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the City Attorney to
23	conduct an investigation to determine if other major financial institutions engage in similar
24	practices; and, be it

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the District Attorney to
2	explore a possible criminal investigation of former CEO John Stumpf and other Wells Fargo
3	executives; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Treasurer-Tax
5	Collector to provide advice on establishing a Responsible Banking ordinance to help the City
6	and County and its residents better understand how Wells Fargo and other financial
7	institutions are harming or serving the credit needs of San Franciscans; and, be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Office of the United
9	States Comptroller of the Currency to explore if Wells Fargo's actions should lead to the OCC
10	revoking Wells Fargo's national banking charter.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	