FIIE NO. 101201	File	No.	161281
-----------------	------	-----	--------

Committe	e Item	No.	
Board Ite	m No.	39.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:		Date: _	
Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date:	January 24, 2017
Cmte Boar	d ·		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lett MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	er and/o	
OTHER			
Prepared by: Prepared by:	Brent Jalipa	Date: _	January 19, 2017

[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination – Proposed Project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On March 26, 2014, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located at 3516 - 3526 Folsom Street ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed project involves construction of two single-family residences on two vacant lots and construction of a currently unimproved segment of Folsom Street to provide vehicle and pedestrian access to the project site; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on November 14, 2016, Ryan J Patterson of Zacks, Freedman, and Patterson PC, on behalf of Bernal Heights South Slope Organization, Bernal Safe & Livable, Neighbors Against the Upper Folsom Street Extension, Gail Newman and Marilyn Waterman, (Appellants), appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, Appellants provided a copy of the Planning Department's Categorical Exemption Determination, signed July 8, 2014, which found that the proposed project was exempt under Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303(a)) as new construction and conversion of small structures, and a copy of the Planning Commission's Discretionary Review Action Memorandum, dated October 13, 2016; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department had previously issued a Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project on March 26, 2014, but had subsequently rescinded

that document and issued a revised Categorical Exemption Determination on July 8, 2016; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated November 18, 2016, determined that the appeal was timely because the Planning Commission approved the proposed project by not taking Discretionary Review and approving the project as proposed on October 13, 2016; and

WHEREAS, On January 24, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, reversed the exemption determination subject to the adoption of written findings in support of such determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination for the project subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 161278 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the project.

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)	nt)
☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	,
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	1
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission	ission
Clerk of the Board	
Subject: Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination – Proposed Project a Folsom Street	t 3516-3526
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planthat a proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the proposed project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from further environmental to the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the project at 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exempt from the 3516-3526 Folsom Street is categorically exem	- ^
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only: File No. 161281	