

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)		
1101 Potrero Ave		reni Alex Religiors stamin westwartes of n'il Robbin	4154/001		
Case No. Permit No.		Plans Da	Plans Dated		
2016-010378GP		Strong Sold (India)	N/A		
✓ Addition/	Demolition	New	Project Modification		
Alteration	(requires HRER if over 45	years old) Const	truction (GO TO STEP 7)		
Project description	for Planning Department approva				
distributed antenna	Zuckerberg San Francisco Genera system ("DAS") for installation of co louse. Install three 10-foot-tall antel	mmunications facilities.	Center, Building 25, within the building's Install facilities within the existing		
	ON CLASS ED BY PROJECT PLANNER ass applies, an Environmental Evo		Note Description is referred to		
The second secon	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.				
reside chang sq. ft.	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.; .; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.  Class				
STEP 2: CEQA IM TO BE COMPLET	PACTS ED BY PROJECT PLANNER		The Processing from the Processing Particular Confession and Processing Particular Confession Confe		
	ed below, an <i>Environmental Eval</i> ı	uation Application is re	equired.		
hospi Does gener docum the pr	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)				
hazar manu or mo check Envir	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the				

	Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).		
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)		
	<b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)		
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	<b>Seismic:</b> Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	<b>Seismic:</b> Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.		
Control Control Control	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an Environmental Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.		
<b>✓</b>	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.		
Comments a	and Planner Signature (optional): Jean Poling  The property of the process of the		
	OPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE IPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)		
	tegory A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. tegory B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.		
	tegory C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.		

# STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

520 6					
	all that apply to the project.				
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.				
	. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.				
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.				
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.				
5.	Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.				
	<b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.				
	<b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .				
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.				
Note: I	Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.				
P	roject is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.				
P:	roject does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.				
P	roject involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.				
P	roject involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.				
TO BE	6: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER all that apply to the project.				
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource</b> (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.				
П	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.				
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.				
7).	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				
	<ol> <li>Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.</li> </ol>				
	7. <b>Addition(s)</b> , including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> .				
<b>V</b>	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):				

	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):				
	Printing water a trace of the				
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)				
	10. <b>Reclassification of property status</b> . (Requires approx Coordinator)	val by Senior Preservation	Planner/Preservation		
	Coordinator)  Reclassify to Category A  Reclassify to Category C				
	a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRE.		and the second second		
	b. Other (specify):		· In a class make gr		
	was tilging for my mand Draylor of a man paying old in				
Note	e: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation		STANDAR AND AND AND AND COME		
	<b>Further environmental review required.</b> Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>				
<b>V</b>	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.				
Com	ments (optional):				
Proposed antennas to be located on top of the recently constructed hospital and setback from the face of the building (minimally visible from the street).					
Prese	ervation Planner Signature: Sima Sau	ing the second second party to an an encounterfight of the second			
	6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply):	et does not meet scopes	of work in either (check		
	Step 2 – CEQA Impacts		PTORest of 11		
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review		The Agreement of the Control of the		
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Applicati	on.	of algebra in the second		
1	No further environmental review is required. The project	ct is categorically exem	pt under CEQA.		
1	Planner Name:	Signature:	LIPOCA, UP NOT		
	Project Approval Action:	-1711%	Digitally signed		
		Jean	by Jean Poling		
	Board of Supervisors approves lease		Data		
		Polino	2016.08.24		
	If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	Louis	2016.08.24 15:52:35 -07'00'		
	EQA Guidelines and Chapter 31				
of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can or					
	within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.	editolo 100	- committee *		

## STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project A	Address (If different the	an front page)	Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)		
Case No.		Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.		
Plans Dated		Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action		
Modified	d Project Description:				
		ONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIF	ICATION		
Compare	11 1	ject, would the modified project:	1: 1 71 - 0 1		
		of the building envelope, as define			
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;				
	Result in demolition	as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?			
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?				
If at leas	t one of the above box	es is checked, further environme	ntal review is required. ATEX FOR		
DETERMIN	ATION OF NO SUBSTANT	IAL MODIFICATION			
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.				
approval a	is checked, the proposed mo	odifications are categorically exempt unde ental review is required. This determinati	er CEQA, in accordance with prior project		
Planner	Name:	Signature or Stamp:			