

File No. 170045

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

Board Item No. 60.

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_  
Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: February 28, 2017

#### Cmte Board

- |                          |                                     |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Resolution                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Ordinance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Youth Commission Report                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | MOU  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Form 126 – Ethics Commission                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Public Correspondence                        |

#### OTHER (Click the text below for a direct link to the document)

- |                          |                          |       |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

Prepared by: John Carroll  
Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: February 24, 2017  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1 [Affirming the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Significant Natural Resource  
2 Areas Management Plan]

3 **Motion affirming the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental**  
4 **Impact Report prepared for the proposed Significant Natural Resource Areas**  
5 **Management Plan.**

6  
7 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (SFRPD) developed  
8 the Natural Resources Management Plan (NRMP), formerly referred to as the Significant  
9 Natural Resource Areas Management Plan (SNRAMP), which addresses 31 Natural Areas in  
10 San Francisco, scattered mostly throughout the central and southern portions of San  
11 Francisco, and the Sharp Park Natural Area in Pacifica; and

12 WHEREAS, These Natural Areas range in size from less than one acre to almost 400  
13 acres, support sensitive plant and animal species and habitats, and are used as recreational  
14 open spaces by residents and visitors; and

15 WHEREAS, The stated purpose of the NRMP is to provide for long-term management  
16 of the Natural Areas through natural resource protection, habitat restoration, trail and access  
17 improvements, other capital projects, and maintenance activities over the next 20 years; and

18 WHEREAS, The activities planned for the Natural Areas can generally be divided  
19 between routine maintenance and programmatic projects, and also include the proposed  
20 restoration of Sharp Park (referred to as the "Sharp Park Laguna Salada Restoration Project")  
21 in Pacifica; and

22 WHEREAS, The Planning Department sent a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an  
23 Environmental Impact Report and Initial Study to more than 2,400 interested parties on  
24 April 22, 2009; and

1           WHEREAS, The Draft EIR for the proposed Project was first published on  
2 August 31, 2011, and circulated to local, state, and federal agencies and to interested  
3 organizations and individuals for a 45-day public review period that was later extended for two  
4 weeks by the San Francisco Planning Commission, resulting in a 61-day public review period  
5 that began August 31, 2011, and continued through October 31, 2011; and

6           WHEREAS, The Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Draft EIR on  
7 October 6, 2011; and

8           WHEREAS, A second public review comment period, during which written comments  
9 were once again invited, was provided from April 27, 2012, to June 11, 2012, for a total of an  
10 additional 46 days; and

11           WHEREAS, The Planning Department prepared a Responses to Comments document  
12 (RTC), responding to all comments received orally at the public hearings and in writing, and  
13 published the RTC on November 16, 2016; and

14           WHEREAS, Following publication of the RTC, the Recreation and Parks Department  
15 proposed modifications to the Project Description with respect to proposed actions at the  
16 Sharp Park Natural Area with the intent of emphasizing the preferred use of soil spoils for  
17 habitat restoration purposes and identifying the other disposal sites required for excess spoils  
18 as equal disposal options, and on December 15, 2016, the Planning Department issued an  
19 Errata to the EIR, analyzing the proposed modifications to the Project Description and finding  
20 that they would not result in any new significant environmental impacts or substantially  
21 increase the severity of a significant impact identified in the Draft EIR, and no new mitigation  
22 measures would be necessary, and that these modifications to the project description and  
23 additional revisions to the EIR, do not change any of the conclusions in the Draft EIR and do  
24 not constitute significant new information that requires recirculation of the Draft EIR; and  
25

1           WHEREAS, On December 15, 2016, the Planning Commission, by Motion No. 19825,  
2 certified a Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for the proposed Project under the  
3 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.,  
4 the CEQA Guidelines, 14 California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 et seq., and San  
5 Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent  
6 judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, that it is adequate, accurate  
7 and objective, and contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR; and

8           WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on  
9 January 5, 2017, Dee Seligman, Rupa Bose, and Tom Borden, of the San Francisco Forest  
10 Alliance, appealed the Final EIR certification, and by letter to the Clerk of the Board, received  
11 by the Clerk's Office on January 17, 2017, Brent Plater of the Wild Equity Institute, on behalf  
12 of the Sierra Club's San Francisco Bay Chapter, the National Parks Conservation Association,  
13 Golden Gate Audubon Society, and the Sequoia Audubon Society, appealed the Final EIR  
14 certification, (together, "Appellants"); and

15           WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by  
16 memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated January 10, 2017, determined that the appeals  
17 had been timely filed; and

18           WHEREAS, On February 28, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
19 consider the appeal of the Final EIR certification filed by Appellants and, following the public  
20 hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the Planning Commission's certification of the  
21 Final EIR based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the  
22 testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeals; and

23           WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the  
24 appeals and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the  
25 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeals of

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

the Final EIR certification is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 170044 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors hereby affirms the decision of the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 19825 to certify the Final EIR and finds the Final EIR to be complete, adequate, and objective and reflecting the independent judgment of the City and in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the Final EIR

# Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp  
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor [ ] inquires"
- 5. City Attorney request.
- 6. Call File No. [ ] from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No. [ ]
- 9. Reactivate File No. [ ]
- 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on [ ]

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission       Youth Commission       Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission       Building Inspection Commission

**Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative Form.**

**Sponsor(s):**

Clerk of the Board

**Subject:**

Affirming the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Significant Natural Resource Areas Management Plan

**The text is listed below or attached:**

Motion affirming the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for the proposed Significant Natural Resource Areas Management Plan.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: *Alisa Gomez*

For Clerk's Use Only:

170045