File	No.	170047

Comm	ittee	Item	No.	
Board	Item	No.	62.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Date:					
Board of Supervisors Meeting		February 28, 2017				
Cmte Board						
☐ Motion						
Resolution						
☐ Ordinance						
Legislative Digest		,				
	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report					
Youth Commission	Report					
	Introduction Form					
<u> </u>	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report					
MOU	_					
Grant Information I	Form	•				
Grant Budget						
Subcontract Budge						
Contract/Agreemer						
Form 126 – Ethics	Commission					
Award Letter						
Application						
Public Correspond	ence					
OTHER (Click the text belo	w for a direct link t	o the document)				
Prepared by: John Carroll Prepared by:	Date:	February 24, 2017				
riepaieu by.	Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Significant Natural Resource Areas Management Plan]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings related to reversing the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the proposed Significant Natural Resource Areas Management Plan.

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (SFRPD) developed the Natural Resources Management Plan (NRMP), formerly referred to as the Significant Natural Resource Areas Management Plan (SNRAMP), which addresses 31 Natural Areas in San Francisco, scattered mostly throughout the central and southern portions of San Francisco, and the Sharp Park Natural Area in Pacifica; and

WHEREAS, These Natural Areas range in size from less than one acre to almost 400 acres, support sensitive plant and animal species and habitats, and are used as recreational open spaces by residents and visitors; and

WHEREAS, The stated purpose of the NRMP is to provide for long-term management of the Natural Areas through natural resource protection, habitat restoration, trail and access improvements, other capital projects, and maintenance activities over the next 20 years; and

WHEREAS, The activities planned for the Natural Areas can generally be divided between routine maintenance and programmatic projects, and also include the proposed restoration of Sharp Park (referred to as the "Sharp Park Laguna Salada Restoration Project") in Pacifica; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department sent a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report and Initial Study to more than 2,400 interested parties on April 22, 2009; and

WHEREAS, The Draft EIR for the proposed Project was first published on August 31, 2011, and circulated to local, state, and federal agencies and to interested organizations and individuals for a 45-day public review period that was later extended for two weeks by the San Francisco Planning Commission, resulting in a 61-day public review period that began August 31, 2011, and continued through October 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Draft EIR on October 6, 2011; and

WHEREAS, A second public review comment period, during which written comments were once again invited, was provided from April 27, 2012, to June 11, 2012, for a total of an additional 46 days; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department prepared a Responses to Comments document (RTC), responding to all comments received orally at the public hearings and in writing, and published the RTC on November 16, 2016; and

WHEREAS, Following publication of the RTC, the Recreation and Parks Department proposed modifications to the Project Description with respect to proposed actions at the Sharp Park Natural Area with the intent of emphasizing the preferred use of soil spoils for habitat restoration purposes and identifying the other disposal sites required for excess spoils as equal disposal options, and on December 15, 2016, the Planning Department issued an Errata to the EIR, analyzing the proposed modifications to the Project Description and finding that they would not result in any new significant environmental impacts or substantially increase the severity of a significant impact identified in the Draft EIR, and no new mitigation measures would be necessary, and that these modifications to the project description and additional revisions to the EIR, do not change any of the conclusions in the Draft EIR and do not constitute significant new information that requires recirculation of the Draft EIR; and

WHEREAS, On December 15, 2016, the Planning Commission, by Motion No. 19825, certified a Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for the proposed Project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., the CEQA Guidelines, 14 California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 et seq., and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, that it is adequate, accurate and objective, and contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR; and

WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on January 5, 2017, Dee Seligman, Rupa Bose, and Tom Borden, of the San Francisco Forest Alliance, appealed the Final EIR certification, and by letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on January 17, 2017, Brent Plater of the Wild Equity Institute, on behalf of the Sierra Club's San Francisco Bay Chapter, the National Parks Conservation Association, Golden Gate Audubon Society, and the Sequoia Audubon Society, appealed the Final EIR certification, (together, "Appellants"); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated January 10, 2017, determined that the appeals had been timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On February 28, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the Final EIR certification filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, conditionally reversed the Final EIR certification, subject to the adoption of written findings in support of such determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the Final EIR certification, this Board reviewed and considered the determination, the appeal letters, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of

Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the Infill Determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the Final EIR certification, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination, based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the Final EIR certification is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 170044 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the Planning Commission's certification of the Final EIR for the project.

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the	Time stamp or meeting date					
-	e following item for introduction (select only one):	(*)				
☐ 1. For refere	ence to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendmen	ii)				
2. Request:	for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.					
⊠ 3. Request :	for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.					
4. Request	for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"				
☐ 5. City Atto	rney request.					
☐ 6. Call File	6. Call File No. from Committee.					
☐ 7. Budget A	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).					
☐ 8. Substitut	e Legislation File No.					
☐ 9. Reactiva	te File No.					
☐ 10. Question	(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on					
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Ethics Commission						
Note: For the Imp	☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission ☐ Brative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative					
Sponsor(s):						
Clerk of the Board						
Subject:						
Preparation of Find Resource Areas Ma	ings to Reverse the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Signific magement Plan	ant Natural				
The text is listed b	elow or attached:					
	e Clerk of the Board to prepare findings related to reversing the Planning Com Final Environmental Impact Report for the proposed Significant Natural Resou					
	Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:					
For Clerk's Use (Only:					
	170047					