FILE NO. 170415

ORDINANCE NO.

1	[Redevelopment Plan Amendments - Hunters Point Shipyard]
2	
3	Ordinance approving amendments to the Hunters Point Shipyard Redevelopment Plan
4	to conform to Proposition O, adopted by the San Francisco voters on November 8,
5	2016, exempting the Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 2 Project
6	area from the office development controls established under Planning Code, Sections
7	320-325; directing the Clerk of the Board to transmit a copy of this Ordinance upon its
8	enactment to the Successor Agency; making findings, including environmental
9	findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, and findings of consistency
10	with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.
11	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
12	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .
13	Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> . Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
14	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
15	
16	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
17	
18	Section 1. FINDINGS.
19	(a) On July 14, 1997, the Board of Supervisors approved and adopted, by
20	Ordinance No. 285-97, the Hunters Point Shipyard Redevelopment Plan pursuant to the
21	Military Base Conversion Chapter of the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health
22	and Safety Code Sections 33492 et seq.). On May 23, 2006, the Board of Supervisors
23	approved and adopted, by Ordinance No. 113-06, the Redevelopment Plan for the Bayview
24	Hunters Point Redevelopment Project, which included the Candlestick Point area. The
25	

Supervisor Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("Redevelopment Agency")
 thereby became vested with the responsibility to carry out these redevelopment plans.

(b) On August 3, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved and adopted, by
Ordinance Nos. 0210-10 and 0211-10, respectively, amendments to the Bayview Hunters
Point Redevelopment Plan (the "BVHP Plan") and the Hunters Point Shipyard Redevelopment
Plan (the "HPS Plan") in connection with the approval of the Candlestick Point-Hunters Point
Shipyard Phase 2 Project ("Project").

8 (c) To implement the Project, the Redevelopment Agency and CP Development 9 Co., LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("Developer") entered into various agreements, 10 including a Disposition and Development Agreement (Candlestick Point and Phase 2 of the 11 Hunters Point Shipyard), dated as of June 3, 2010, which has been amended on two 12 occasions (the "DDA").

13 (d) On February 1, 2012, the State of California dissolved all redevelopment 14 agencies in the state and established successor agencies to assume certain rights and 15 obligations of the former agencies. Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 34170 et seq. (the "Redevelopment Dissolution Law"). On October 2, 2012, the Board of Supervisors delegated 16 17 its state authority under the Redevelopment Dissolution Law to the Successor Agency 18 Commission, commonly referred to as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure ("CCII" or "Successor Agency Commission"), to implement and complete, 19 20 among other things, the surviving enforceable obligations of the Redevelopment Agency. On 21 December 14, 2012, the California Department of Finance finally and conclusively determined that the DDA and related agreements were enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency 22 23 to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco, commonly known as 24 the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure ("Successor Agency" or "OCII").

(e) The BVHP Plan and the HPS Plan establish the land use controls for the
 Candlestick Point area of the BVHP Plan Area (referred to as "Zone 1 of the BVHP Plan"),
 and all of the HPS Plan Area except for the Hunters Point Hill Residential District (referred to
 as "Phase 2 of the HPS Plan").

(f) Zone 1 of the BVHP Plan authorizes a maximum of 150,000 square feet of office
space. Phase 2 of the HPS Plan authorizes a maximum of 5,000,000 square feet of office
space. Both Zone 1 of the BVHP Plan and Phase 2 of the HPS Plan require compliance with
the office development limitations of Sections 320-325 of the Planning Code.

9 (g) On November 8, 2016, voters adopted Proposition O, the Office Development in Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Initiative, which removed the Project from the office 10 development limitations established by Proposition M, an initiative approved by voters in 1986 11 12 and codified in Planning Code Sections 320-325. Proposition O does not affect the 13 applicability of Proposition M to office development in other areas of the City and does not 14 affect the total amount of office space authorized under Zone 1 of the BVHP Plan, Phase 2 of 15 the HPS Plan, and the DDA. Proposition O amended the Planning Code by adding Section 324.1, which provides that Zone 1 of the BVHP Plan and Phase 2 of the HPS Plan are not 16 17 subject to the office development limitations of Planning Code Sections 320-325 as originally 18 enacted by voters in 1986. Proposition O, however, did not remove Planning Code Sections 320-325 from the BVHP Plan and the HPS Plan, which can only be amended under the 19 20 procedures described in Sections 33450 through 33458 and Section 33352 of the California 21 Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Sections 33000 et seq., the "Redevelopment Law"). 22

(h) In accordance with the Redevelopment Law, the Successor Agency has
prepared a Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Amendments to the BVHP Plan and the
Amendments to the HPS Plan ("Report to the Board") to conform these plans to the provisions

of Proposition O. The Successor Agency made the Report to the Board available to the public
on or before the date of the notice of the public hearing on the Ordinance proposing to
approve the Amendments to the BVHP Plan and the Amendments to the HPS Plan
(collectively, the "Amendments"), held on April 4, 2017, in accordance with Section 33452.

On April 4, 2017, after holding a duly noticed public hearing in accordance with 5 (i) 6 Redevelopment Law Section 33452, by Resolution No. 15-2017, CCII approved the Report to 7 the Board and determined that the Amendments conforming those plans to Proposition O are 8 necessary and desirable for the implementation of the BVHP Plan and the HPS Plan, and 9 adopted the Amendments. The Successor Agency has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors certified copies of Resolution No. 15-2017, and attached its Report to the Board. 10 Copies of the Amendments and the CCII Resolution No. 15-2017, are on file with the Clerk of 11 12 the Board of Supervisors in File No. and on the Board's website, and are 13 incorporated in this Ordinance by this reference.

14 (j) The Successor Agency transmitted the proposed Amendments to the Planning Department for its report and recommendation concerning the conformity of the Amendments 15 with the General Plan. On April 5, 2017, in the General Plan Referral for the Hunters Point 16 17 Shipyard Redevelopment Plan and the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Plan ("General 18 Plan Referral"), the Planning Department determined that the proposed Amendments are 19 consistent with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of the Planning Code Section 20 101.1. A copy of the Planning Department's General Plan Referral is on file with the Clerk of 21 the Board of Supervisors in File No. and available on the Board's website, and 22 is incorporated in this Ordinance by this reference as though fully set forth.

(k) The Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance is, on balance, consistent
 with the General Plan and in conformity with the eight priority policies of Planning Code

Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in the Planning Department's General Plan Referral
 dated April 5, 2017. The Board hereby adopts these findings as its own.

3 (I) On July 13, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved Motion No. 10-0110 affirming the Planning Commission's certification of the final environmental impact report for 4 5 the CP-HPS Phase 2 Project ("FEIR") in compliance with the California Environmental Quality 6 Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq.). A copy of said 7 Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 100862 and available on 8 the Board's website, and is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. The 9 FEIR analyzed various Project Variants, including the development of up to 5,150,000 square 10 feet of office, research and development space.

The Board of Supervisors in Resolution No. 0347-10, adopted environmental 11 (m) 12 findings in relation to the Project, including a statement of overriding considerations and a 13 mitigation monitoring and reporting program in support of various approval actions taken by 14 the Board to implement the Project, including the amendments to the BVHP Plan and HPS 15 Plan in 2010. Copies of said Resolution and supporting materials are in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 100572 and available on the Board's website, and the 16 17 Resolution and supporting materials are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. 18

(n) As part of its action on Resolution No.16-2017, approving the proposed
 Amendments, CCII adopted environmental findings pursuant to CEQA, finding that the
 analysis conducted and conclusions reached in the FEIR remain valid, and the proposed
 Amendments will not result in any new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the
 severity of previously identified significant effects that would alter the conclusions reached in
 the FEIR.

1 (0) The Board has reviewed and considered the CEQA Findings and statement of 2 overriding considerations that it previously adopted in Resolution No. 0347-10, and reviewed 3 and considered the CEQA Findings contained in CCII Resolution No. 16-2017, and hereby adopts these additional CEQA Findings as its own. The Board additionally finds that: (1) 4 5 implementation of the Amendments to the HPS Plan do not require major revisions in the 6 FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase 7 in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) no substantial changes have 8 occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project analyzed in the FEIR will 9 be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified 10 in the FEIR; and (3) no new information of substantial importance to the project analyzed in 11 12 the FEIR has become available which would indicate that (A) the Amendments to the HPS 13 Plan will have significant effects not discussed in the FEIR; (B) significant environmental 14 effects will be substantially more severe; (C) mitigation measures or alternatives found not 15 feasible which would reduce one or more significant effects have become feasible; or (D) mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those in the FEIR 16 17 will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

18 Section 2. PURPOSE AND INTENT. The purpose and intent of the Board of 19 Supervisors with respect to the Amendments to the HPS Plan is to conform the HPS Plan to 20 Proposition O exempting the Project from the office development limitation in Planning Code 21 Section 320-325 as originally enacted by voters in 1986. The Amendments to the HPS Plan 22 will contribute to and complement the overall goals and objectives of the HPS Plan, including 23 the revitalization of the HPS Project Area, by ensuring an efficient and reliable pace of office 24 development that will foster economic and job opportunities, facilitate the completion of

redevelopment of the HPS Plan Area, and expeditiously wind down the activities of the
 dissolved Redevelopment Agency as required under state law.

Section 3. PLAN INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE. The HPS Plan, as amended
by this Ordinance, is incorporated in and made a part of this Ordinance by this reference with
the same force and effect as though set forth fully in this Ordinance. Copies of the HPS Plan,
as amended, are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.

7 _____ and available on the Board's website.

8 Section 4. FURTHER FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS REGARDING THE 9 AMENDMENTS TO THE HPS PLAN UNDER COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT LAW. To 10 the extent required by the Community Redevelopment Law, the Board of Supervisors hereby 11 further finds, determines and declares, based on the record before it, including but not limited 12 to information contained in the Report to the Board.

(a) Phase 2 of the HPS Plan remains a blighted area (as described in the Report to
the Board and as defined in Section 33492 of the Military Base Conversion law). The
redevelopment of Phase 2 of the HPS Plan is necessary to effectuate the public purposes
declared in the Redevelopment Law.

(b) The Amendments to the HPS Plan will redevelop Phase 2 of the HPS Plan in
conformity with the Redevelopment Law and the Military Base Conversion Law, and is in the
interest of the public peace, health, safety, and welfare.

20 (c) The adoption and carrying out of the Amendments to the HPS Plan is
21 economically sound and feasible as described in the Report to the Board.

(d) For the reasons set forth in Section 1, subparagraph (k) of this Ordinance, the
Amendments to the HPS Plan are consistent with the General Plan of the City and County of
San Francisco, including with the priority policies in City Planning Code Section 101.1.

(e) The carrying out of the Amendments to the HPS Plan will promote the public
 peace, health, safety and welfare of the community and effectuate the purposes and policies
 of the Community Redevelopment Law.

2

4 (f) The Amendments to the HPS Plan do not change the existing limitations on the 5 condemnation of real property established in the HPS Plan.

6 (g) The Amendments to the HPS Plan will not result in the temporary or permanent7 displacement of any occupants of housing facilities.

8 (h) The Amendments to the HPS Plan do not change the boundaries of the HPS 9 Plan Area and, therefore, do not include any additional area for the purpose of obtaining any 10 allocation of tax increment revenues pursuant to Redevelopment Law Section 33670.

- (i) Phase 2 of the HPS Plan is predominantly urbanized, as defined byRedevelopment Law Section 33320.1(b).
- (j) The implementation of the Amendments to the HPS Plan will improve or
 alleviate the physical and economic conditions of the remaining blight in Phase 2 of the HPS
 Plan; these conditions are defined in Sections 33492.10 and 33492.11 of the Military Base
 Conversion Law and are described in the Report to the Board prepared pursuant to Section
 33352.

Section 5. OFFICIAL PLAN. As required by Redevelopment Law Sections 33457.1
and 33367, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves and adopts the HPS Plan, as amended
by the Amendments to the HPS Plan, as the official redevelopment plan for the HPS Plan
Area.

Section 6. COOPERATION IN IMPLEMENTING HPS PLAN AS AMENDED. By
Ordinance Nos. 0210-10 and 0211-10, the Board of Supervisors approved an Interagency
Cooperation Agreement with the Redevelopment Agency (the "Interagency Cooperation
Agreement"), for the BVHP Plan Area and the HPS Plan Area, respectively, to provide for

cooperation between the City and the Redevelopment Agency in administering the process for
 control and approval of subdivisions, and all other applicable land use, development,
 construction, improvement, infrastructure, occupancy and use requirements and in

establishing the policies and procedures relating to such approvals. The Board hereby agrees
to cooperate with the Successor Agency through the Interagency Cooperation Agreement in
carrying out the HPS Plan as amended.

Section 7. CONTINUED EFFECT OF PREVIOUS ORDINANCES AS AMENDED.
Ordinances Nos. 0210-10 and 0211-10 are continued in full force and effect as amended by
this Ordinance.

Section 8. TRANSMITTAL OF PLAN AS AMENDED. The Clerk of the Board of 10 Supervisors shall without delay (a) upon enactment, transmit a copy of this Ordinance to the 11 12 Successor Agency, whereupon the Successor Agency shall be vested with the responsibility 13 for carrying out the HPS Plan as amended, and (b) record or ensure that the Successor 14 Agency records a notice of the approval and adoption of the Amendments to the HPS Plan 15 pursuant to this Ordinance, containing a statement that proceedings for the redevelopment of the HPS Plan Area pursuant to the Amendments to the HPS Plan has been instituted under 16 17 the Redevelopment Law.

18 Section 9. RATIFICATION OF PRIOR ACTS. All actions taken by City officials and 19 the Successor Agency Commission in preparing and submitting the Amendments to the HPS 20 Plan to the Board of Supervisors for review and consideration are hereby ratified and 21 confirmed, and the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes all subsequent action to be taken 22 by City officials and the Successor Agency Commission consistent with this Ordinance. 23 Section 10. EFFECTIVE DATE. In accordance with Sections 33378(b)(2) and 33450 of the California Redevelopment Law (California Health and Safety Code secs. 33378(b)(2) 24 and 33450), this ordinance shall become effective 90 days from the date of enactment. 25

1	Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance
2	unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of
3	Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
4	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
6	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
7	By:
8	Elaine C. Warren Deputy City Attorney
9	n:\legana\as2017\1700475\01179061.docx
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	