File No	151258	Committee Item No Board Item No	1
	COMMITTEE/BOA	RD OF SUPERVIS	ORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST				
Committee:	Land Use and Transportation		May 1, 2017	
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date _		
Cmte Boar				
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and Memorandum of Understanding (MO Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Vacancy Notice Information Sheet Public Correspondence	l/or Rep	oort	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is CEQA Determinations Planning Commission Resolution No.)	
	Notice of Public Hearing			
•	by: Alisa Somera		April 28, 2017	

1	[Planning Code - Affordable Housing Requirement and Fee in Divisadero and Fillmore			
2	Neighborhood Commercial Transit Districts]			
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or			
4	payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development			
5	potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercia			
6	Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in			
7	2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California			
8	Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan,			
9	Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section			
10	101.1.			
11	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.			
12	Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.			
13	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.			
14	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.			
15				
16	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:			
17				
18	Section 1. Findings.			
19	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this			
20	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources			
21	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of			
22	Supervisors in File No. 151258 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms			
23	this determination.			

(b) On June 30, 2016, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 19679, adopted

findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the

24

25

Commercial

City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 151258, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that the actions contemplated in this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19679 and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of the Planning Commission Resolution No. 19679 is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.151258.
- (d) The City adopted legislation rezoning the area along Divisadero Street between Haight and O'Farrell Streets to become the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District ("NCT") in Ordinance No. 127-15 in August 2015, and the area along Fillmore Street between Bush and McAllister Streets to become the Fillmore Street NCT in Ordinance No. 126-15 in August 2015. The rezoning for both NCTs removed any residential density limits based on lot area, and instead restricted residential uses by physical envelope controls like height, bulk, and setback requirements for each site. This removal of density limits based on lot areas should afford for greater development on certain sites within each NCT.
- (e) On November 6, 2012, the voters adopted Proposition C ("2012 Prop C"), the Housing Trust Fund, which was set forth in San Francisco Charter Section 16.110. 2012 Prop C established a limitation on the Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation that the City could impose on residential development projects. 2012 Prop C set forth certain exceptions to this limitation, including but not limited to circumstances in which a project receives a 20% or greater increase in developable residential uses, as measured by a change in height limits, Floor Area Ratio limits, or use, over prior zoning, or a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning, through a special use district or other local legislation adopted

after November 6, 2012. The Divisadero Street NCT and the Fillmore Street NCT rezonings were adopted after this date.

- (f) The City updated its Nexus Study in November 2016, performed by Keyser Marston and Associates, in support of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, or an analysis of the impact of development of market rate housing on affordable housing supply and demand. The Board of Supervisors reviewed the Nexus Study and staff analysis and report of the Study and, on that basis, found that the Study supported the inclusionary affordable housing requirements combined with the additional affordable housing fee set forth in Planning Code Sections 415 *et seq*.
- (g) On June 7, 2016, the voters approved a Charter Amendment ("2016 Prop C") eliminating the limits on inclusionary affordable housing set forth in Charter Section 16.110. The Board of Supervisors also adopted legislation to implement changes to the inclusionary affordable housing requirements, Ordinance No. 76-16, which went into effect when 2016 Prop C went into effect.
- (h) The 2015 rezoning of the Divisadero and Fillmore NCTs allowed a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning on certain sites contained within the two NCTs. In keeping with the intent and provisions of both 2012 Prop C and 2016 Prop C, projects on such sites should, and can afford to, mitigate fully their impacts on the need for affordable housing.
- Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 428, including Sections 428.1, 428.2, 428.3, 428.4, and 428.5, to read as follows:
- SEC. 428. DIVISADERO STREET NCT AND FILLMORE STREET NCT AFFORDABLE HOUSING FEE AND REQUIREMENTS.

Sections 428.1 through 428.5, hereafter referred to as Section 428.1 et seq., set forth the requirements and procedures for the Divisadero Street and Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit Districts Affordable Housing Fee.

SEC. 428.1. FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that:

- (a) The additional affordable housing fee requirement of this Section 428.1 et seq. is supported by the Nexus Study performed by Keyser Marston and Associates. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the Nexus Study and other documents and, on that basis, finds that the Study supports the inclusionary affordable housing requirements combined with the additional affordable housing fee and requirements set forth in this Section 428.1 et seq. Specifically, the Board finds that the Study: (1) identifies the purpose of the additional fee and requirements to mitigate impacts on the demand for affordable housing in the City; (2) identifies the use of the additional fee to increase the City's affordable housing supply; and (3) establishes a reasonable relationship between the use of the additional fee for affordable housing and the need for affordable housing and the construction of new market rate housing. Further, the affordable housing fee and requirements do not include the costs of remedying any existing deficiencies and do not duplicate other City requirements or fees.
- (b) An account has been established, funds appropriated, and a construction schedule adopted for affordable housing projects funded through the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program. The Affordable Housing Fee will reimburse the City for expenditures on affordable housing that have already been made and that will be made in the future.
- (c) A major objective of the Divisadero Street and Fillmore Street NCTs, set forth in Planning Code Sections 746 and 747, respectively, is to encourage and promote development that enhances the walkable, mixed-use character of the corridor and surrounding neighborhoods and to encourage housing development in new buildings above the ground floor. New market rate housing development could outnumber both the number of units and potential new sites within the area for permanently

-	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1

affordable housing opportunities. The City has adopted a policy in its General Plan to meet the affordable housing needs of its general population and to require new housing developments to produce sufficient affordable housing opportunities for all income groups, both of which goals are not likely to be met by the potential housing development in the area. In addition, the Nexus Study indicates that market rate housing itself generates additional lower income affordable housing needs for the workforce needed to serve the residents of the new market rate housing proposed for the area. To meet the demand created for affordable housing by the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT zoning and to be consistent with the policy of the City, additional affordable housing requirements should be included for all market rate housing development in these NCTs.

(d) The Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT rezonings set forth in Ordinance Nos.

126-15 and 127-15 will allow greater residential development on certain sites within the NCTs, and such residential development will create a greater need for affordable housing, and should provide more affordable housing. The higher densities will also make provision of higher levels of affordable housing feasible for such sites.

(e) If a site located in the Divisadero Street NCT or Fillmore Street NCT received an increase in density of 50% or more from the 2015 rezoning set forth in Ordinance Nos. 126-15 and 127-15, a higher inclusionary affordable housing requirement should apply. The density for the previously existing Divisadero Neighborhood Commercial District was one unit per 800 square feet of lot area. The density for the Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District was 1 unit per 600 square feet of lot area, with one parcel (Block 0798, Lot 001) zoned RH-3 (3 units per lot), another parcel (Block 0779, Lot 031) zoned RM-4 (1 unit per 200 square feet of lot area), and one parcel (Block 0702, Lot 038) zoned RM-3 (1 unit per 400 square feet of lot area).

SEC. 428.2. DEFINITIONS.

See Section 401 of this Article 4.

SEC. 428.3. APPLICATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING FEE REQUIREMENT.

- (a) Applicability. The Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program set forth in Planning Code

 Sections 415.1 et seq. shall apply in the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT, with the
 following requirements that will apply to certain sites in these NCTs. In the event that the Planning

 Department determines that the residential development potential on a site within the Divisadero Street

 NCT or the Fillmore Street NCT has been increased through the adoption of the NCT rezoning set forth
 in Ordinance Nos. 126-15 and 127-15, as detailed in Section 428.1(e) herein, the requirements of

 Sections 415.1 through 415.9 of the Planning Code shall apply, except that the "grandfathering"

 provisions of Planning Code Section 415.3(b) shall not apply to such sites and the following affordable
 housing requirements shall be applied to residential development on such sites:
- (1) Fee. For a development project that is subject to the Residential Inclusionary

 Affordable Housing Program, the development project shall pay an affordable housing fee equivalent
 to a requirement to provide 30% of the units in the principal project as affordable units, using the
 method of fee calculation set forth in Section 415.5(b).
- (2) On-Site Housing. If the project sponsor of a housing development project is eligible and elects to construct units affordable to qualifying households on-site of the principal project as set forth in Planning Code Section 415.5(g), the project sponsor shall construct a total of 23% of all units constructed on the project site as affordable housing and shall comply with all otherwise applicable requirements of Section 415.6. The on-site affordable units shall be affordable as follows. A minimum of 6% of the units shall be affordable to households earning up to 55% of Area Median Income, and 8% of the units shall be affordable to households earning up to 120% of Area Median Income, and 9% of the units shall be affordable to households earning up to 140% of Area Median Income, and 9% of the units shall be affordable to households earning up to 140% of Area Median Income.
- (3) Off-Site Housing. If the project sponsor of a housing development project is eligible and elects to provide units affordable to qualifying households off-site of the principal project as set forth in Section 415.5(g), the project sponsor shall construct or cause to be constructed

affordable housing equal to 30% of all units constructed on the principal project site as affordable housing and shall comply with all otherwise applicable requirements of Section 415.7.

- (b) Exemption for Affordable Housing. A project applicant shall not pay the affordable housing fee for any space designated as a below market rate unit under Section 415.1 et seq., the Citywide Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, or any other residential unit that is designated as an affordable housing unit under a Federal, State, or local restriction in a manner that maintains affordability for a term no less than 50 years.
- (c) If the Board adopts higher inclusionary housing requirements, the higher requirement shall apply.

SEC. 428.4. IMPOSITION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS.

- (a) Determination of Requirements. The Planning Department shall determine the applicability of Section 428.1 et seq. to any development project requiring a first construction document and, if Section 428.1 et seq. is applicable, shall impose any such requirements as a condition of approval for issuance of the first construction document. The project sponsor shall supply any information necessary to assist the Department in this determination.
- (b) Department Notice to Development Fee Collection Unit of Fee Requirements. After the Department has made its final determination regarding the application of the affordable housing requirements to a development project pursuant to Section 428.1 et seq., it shall immediately notify the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI of the applicable affordable housing fee amount in addition to the other information required by Planning Code Section 402(b).
- (c) Process for Revisions of Determination of Requirements. If the Department or the Commission takes action affecting any development project subject to Section 428.1 et seq. and such action is subsequently modified, superseded, vacated, or reversed by the Board of Appeals, the Board of Supervisors, or a court, the procedures of Planning Code Section 402(c) shall be followed.

SEC. 428.5. USE OF FUNDS.

The affordable housing fee specified in this Section 428.1 et seq. for the Divisadero Street NCT and the Fillmore Street NCT shall be paid into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, established in Administrative Code Section 10.100-49, and the funds shall be separately accounted for. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall expend the funds to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the City. The funds may also be used for monitoring and administrative expenses subject to the process described in Planning Code Section 415.5(f).

Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 746 and 747, to read as follows:

SEC. 746. DIVISADERO STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT.

The Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District ("Divisadero Street NCT") extends along Divisadero Street between Haight and O'Farrell Streets. Divisadero Street's dense mixed-use character consists of buildings with residential units above ground-story commercial use. Buildings typically range in height from two to four stories with occasional one-story commercial buildings. The district has an active and continuous commercial frontage along Divisadero Street for most of its length. Divisadero Street is an important public transit corridor and throughway street. The commercial district provides convenience goods and services to the surrounding neighborhoods as well as limited comparison shopping goods for a wider market.

The Divisadero Street NCT controls are designed to encourage and promote development that enhances the walkable, mixed-use character of the corridor and surrounding neighborhoods. Rear yard requirements above the ground story and at residential levels preserve open space corridors of interior blocks. Housing development in new buildings

is encouraged above the ground story. Existing residential units are protected by limitations on demolition and upper-story conversions.

Consistent with Divisadero Street's existing mixed-use character, new commercial development is permitted at the ground and second stories. Most neighborhood-serving businesses are strongly encouraged. Controls on new Formula Retail uses are consistent with Citywide policy for Neighborhood Commercial Districts; Eating and Drinking and Entertainment uses are confined to the ground story. The second story may be used by some retail stores, personal services, and medical, business and professional offices. Additional flexibility is offered for second-floor Eating and Drinking, Entertainment, and Trade Shop uses in existing non-residential buildings to encourage the preservation and reuse of such buildings. Hotels are monitored at all stories. Limits on late-night activity, drive-up facilities, and other automobile uses protect the livability within and around the district, and promote continuous retail frontage.

If the Planning Department determines that any site proposed for residential development and located within the Divisadero Street NCT has received a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning through the adoption of Ordinance No. 127-15, any development project that is subject to the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program on such site shall pay the Affordable Housing Fee, or provide one of the Alternatives to Payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, set forth in Planning Code Sections 415 et seq., except that the amount of the Affordable Housing Fee or Alternatives to Payment of the Affordable Housing Fee shall be modified as set forth in Planning Code Section 428 et seq. If the Board adopts inclusionary affordable housing requirements that are higher than those set forth in Sections 428 et seq., the higher requirements shall apply.

* * * *

SEC. 747. FILLMORE STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT.

The Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District ("Fillmore Street NCT") extends along Fillmore Street between Bush and McAllister Streets. Fillmore Street's dense mixed-use character consists of buildings with residential units above ground-story commercial use. Buildings range in height from one-story commercial buildings to high-rise towers. Fillmore Street and Geary Boulevard are important public transit corridors. The commercial district provides convenience goods and services to the surrounding neighborhoods as well as shopping, cultural, and entertainment uses that attract visitors from near and far.

The Fillmore Street NCT controls are designed to encourage and promote development that enhances the walkable, mixed-use character of the corridor and surrounding neighborhoods. Rear yard requirements at residential levels preserve open space corridors of interior blocks. Housing development in new buildings is encouraged above the ground story. Existing residential units are protected by limitations on demolition and upperstory conversions.

Consistent with Fillmore Street's existing mixed-use character, new commercial development is permitted at the ground and second stories. Most neighborhood- and visitor-serving businesses are strongly encouraged. Controls on new Formula Retail uses are consistent with Citywide policy for Neighborhood Commercial Districts; Eating and Drinking and entertainment uses are confined to the ground story. The second story may be used by some retail stores, personal services, and medical, business, and professional offices. Parking and hotels are monitored at all stories. Limits on drive-up facilities and other automobile uses protect the livability within and around the district and promote continuous retail frontage.

If the Planning Department determines that any site proposed for residential development and located within the Fillmore Street NCT has received a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning through the adoption of Ordinance No. 126-15, any development project that is subject to the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program on such site shall pay the Affordable Housing Fee, or provide one of the Alternatives to Payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, set forth in Planning Code Sections 415 et seq., except that the amount of the Affordable Housing Fee or Alternatives to Payment of the Affordable Housing Fee shall be modified as set forth in Planning Code Section 428 et seq. If the Board adopts inclusionary affordable housing requirements that are higher than those set forth in Sections 428 et seq., the higher requirements shall apply.

* * * *

Section 4. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 415.3(b), to read as follows:

(3) During the limited period of time in which the provisions of Section 415.3(b) apply, for any housing development that is located in an area with a specific affordable housing requirement set forth in an Area Plan or a Special Use District, or in any other section of the Code such as Section 419, with the exception of the UMU Zoning District or in the South of Market Youth and Family Zoning District, the higher of the affordable housing requirement set forth in such Area Plan or Special Use District or in Section 415.3(b) shall apply. Any affordable housing impact fee paid pursuant to an Area Plan or Special Use District shall be counted as part of the calculation of the inclusionary housing requirements contained in Planning Code Sections 415.1 et seq. In the Divisadero Street NCT and the Fillmore Street NCT, the provisions of Section 415.3(b) shall not apply to certain sites, as set forth in the Divisadero Street NCT And Fillmore Street NCT Affordable Housing Fee And Requirements, Planning Code Sections 428.1 et seq.

* * * *

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2017\1600294\01178263.docx

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(Substituted, 3/21/2017)

[Planning Code - Affordable Housing Requirement and Fee in Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Transit Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302 and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Existing Law

Residential development projects are required to comply with applicable inclusionary housing requirements, as provided in the Planning Code and Charter.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed amendments provide that if the Planning Department determines that the housing development potential on a site within the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District or the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District has been increased 50% or more through the adoption of the rezoning set forth in Ordinance Nos. 126-15 and 127-15, a proposed housing development project on such site would be required to pay an inclusionary affordable housing fee equivalent to providing 30% of the units in the principal project as affordable units. If such housing project elected to provide on-site units, the housing project would provide a total of 23% of the units on-site as inclusionary affordable housing units, with a minimum of 6% of the units affordable to households earning up to 55% of Area Median Income ("AMI"), and 8% of the units affordable to households earning up to 120% of AMI, and 9% of the units affordable to households earning up to 140% of AMI. The off-site requirement for such housing projects would be equivalent to providing 30% of the units in the principal project as affordable units. The grandfathering provisions set forth in Planning Code Section 415.3(b) would not be available for such projects.

The legislation also provides that if the Board adopts permanent inclusionary affordable housing requirements that are higher than those set forth in Sections 428 et seq., the higher requirement shall apply.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 16, 2015

File No. 151258

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On December 8, 2015, President Breed introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151258

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: John Rahaim, Director
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
AnMarie Rodgers, Senior Policy Advisory
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning

Not a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

> Joy Navarrete

Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning, ou=Environmental Planning, email=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=US Date: 2016.01.25 12:22:23 -08'00'

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 13, 2016

File No. 151258-2

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On April 5, 2016, President Breed introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151258-2

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A. Duberry

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

C: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning

Not defined as a project under CEQA Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy

Navarrete

Digitally signed by Joy Navarrete DN: cn=Joy Navarrete, o=Planning, ou=Environmental Planning, email=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org, c=US Date: 2016.04.15 14:46:55-07'00' July 12, 2016

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Honorable Supervisor Yee Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: **415.558.6377**

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2015-016599PCA Change in Affordable Housing Fee or Units in Rezoned Divisadero and Fillmore NCTDs Planning Commission Recommendation: <u>Approval with Modifications</u>

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Breed:

On June 30, 2016 the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at regularly scheduled meetings to consider the proposed Ordinance that would amend Planning Code Sections 415 introduced by Supervisor Breed. At the hearing the Planning Commission recommended approval with modifications.

The Commission's proposed modifications were as follows: Adopted a Recommendation for Approval with Modifications as amended, recommending:

- Further financial analysis on development potential for soft-sites before and after the zoning change, adding the value to inclusionary requirement for future projects, at the baseline or current inclusionary rates;
- 2. Use the same methodology as Proposition C, passed by voters on June 7, 2016 to determine an increase in the inclusionary rates; and
- 3. Delete the reference to fee deferral.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) (2) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Supervisors Breed, please advise the City Attorney at your earliest convenience if you wish to incorporate the changes recommended by the Commission.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manage of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Conor Johnston, Aide to Supervisor Breed Kate Stacey, Deputy City Attorney Alisa Somera, Office of the Clerk of the Board

Attachments:

Planning Commission Resolution Planning Department Executive Summary

Planning Commission Resolution No. 19679

HEARING DATE: JUNE 30, 2016

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

415.558.63

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name:

Change in Inclusionary Rates in Divisadero and Fillmore NCTDs

Case Number:

2015-016599PCA [Board File No. 151258]

Initiated by:

Supervisor Breed / Introduced December 8, 2015 and April 5, 2016

Staff Contact:

Menaka Mohan, Legislative Affairs menaka mohan@sfgov.org; 415-575-9141

Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org; 415-558-6362

Recommendation:

Recommend Approval with Modifications

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING THE PLANNING CODE TO REQUIRE ADDITIONAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING OR PAYMENT OF A FEE FOR CERTAIN SITES THAT OBTAINED HIGHER RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL AS A RESULT OF THE REZONING OF THE DIVISADERO STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT AND THE FILLMORE STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2015 and April 5, 2016 Supervisor Breed introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 151258, which would amend the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero street Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) District; and

WHEREAS, If the project sponsor chooses to provide the units off-site from the principal project, the project sponsor shall construct or cause to be constructed 25% of all units constructed on the principal project as affordable units subject to the requirements of Section 415.7; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve with modifications the proposed ordinance. Specifically, the Commission recommends the following modification:

^{*}Note the Commission is recommending the modifications to the Ordinance (BOS File No. 151258) introduced on June 28, 2016

- 1. Further financial analysis on development potential for soft-sites before and after the zoning change, adding the value to inclusionary requirement for future projects, at the baseline or current inclusionary rates;
- 2. Use the same methodology as Proposition C, passed by voters on June 7, 2016 to determine an increase in the inclusionary rates; and
- 3. Delete the reference to fee deferral.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The City adopted legislation rezoning the area along Divisadero Street between Haight and O'Farrell Streets to become the Divisadero Neighborhood Commercial Transit District ("NCT") in Ordinance No. 127-15 in August 2015, and the area along Fillmore Street between Bush and McAllister Streets to become the Fillmore NCT in Ordinance No. 126-15 in August 2015. The rezoning for both NCTs removed any residential density limits based on lot area, and instead restricted residential uses by physical envelope controls like height, bulk, and setback requirements for each site. This removal of density limits based on lot areas should afford for greater development on certain sites within each NCT.
- 2. On November 6, 2012, the voters adopted Proposition C ("Prop C"), The Housing Trust Fund, which is set forth in San Francisco Charter Section 16.110. Prop C established a limitation on the Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation that the City could impose on residential development projects. Prop C set forth certain exceptions to this limitation, including but not limited to circumstances in which a project receives a 20% or greater increase in developable residential uses, as measured by a change in height limits, Floor Area Ratio limits, or use, over prior zoning, or a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning, through a special use district or other local legislation adopted after November 6, 2012. The Divisadero Street NCT and the Fillmore Street NCT rezonings were adopted after this date.
- 3. The City conducted a Nexus Study in 2007, performed by Keyser Marston and Associates, in support of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, or an analysis of the impact of development of market rate housing on affordable housing supply and demand. The Board of Supervisors reviewed the Nexus Study and staff analysis and report of the Study and, on that basis, found that the Study supported the inclusionary affordable housing requirements combined with the additional affordable housing fee set forth in Planning Code Sections 415 et seq., prior to enactment of Prop C. The City is now in the process of updating this nexus analysis.
- 4. The 2015 rezoning of the Divisadero and Fillmore NCTs will allow a 20% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning, or a 50% increase in residential density, through a special use district, or other local legislation adopted after November 6, 2012, on certain sites contained within the two NCTs. Current Charter Section 16.110 contains exemptions that would allow imposition of a higher Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation because the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT rezonings took place after November 6, 2012 and result in higher development potential for certain sites located within both NCTs.

5. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance and the Commission's recommended modifications are, *on balance*, consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan (Staff discussion is added in *italic font* below):

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 4

FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES.

Policy 4.4

Encourage sufficient and suitable rental housing opportunities, emphasizing permanently affordable rental units wherever possible.

The proposed ordinance will require more inclusionary units than is currently required in the Planning Code. Inclusionary units can be rental and are permanently affordable housing.

OBJECTIVE 7

SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

Policy 7.1

Expand the financial resources available for permanently affordable housing, especially permanent sources.

The proposed ordinance will increase the amount of money that individual developers would have to pay into the City's Housing Trust Fund. This money would then be used to pay for permanently affordable housing.

OBJECTIVE 8

BUILD PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR CAPACITY TO SUPPORT, FACILITATE, PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

Policy 8.1

Support the production and management of permanently affordable housing.

This ordinance supports the production of permanently affordable housing by increasing the inclusionary housing requirement for individual projects.

- 6. Planning Code Section 101 Findings. The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future

opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance will not have a negative effect on existing neighborhood serving retail uses as it only addresses the City's inclusionary housing program.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance will help maintain a diversity of housing types and income types in the City's various neighborhoods; helping to preserving the cultural and economic diversity of the City's neighborhoods.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance will have a positive effect on the City's supply of affordable housing by increasing the inclusionary requirement for individual projects with 25 units or more.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking as it only addresses the City's inclusionary housing program.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance will not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance will not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake because the Ordinance modifies the City's inclusionary housing requirements.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance will not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings because the Ordinance only addresses the City's inclusionary housing requirements.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

CASE NO. 2015-016599PCA Inclusionary Rates in Divisadero and Fillmore NCTDs

The proposed Ordinance will not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas because it only addresses the City's inclusionary housing requirements.

7. Planning Code Section 302 Findings. The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board ADOPT the proposed Ordinance with the modification as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on June 30, 2016.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES:

Antonini, Hillis, Moore, Richards

NOES:

Wu

ABSENT:

Fong, Johnson

ADOPTED:

June 30, 2016

Memo to the Planning Commission

HEARING DATE: JUNE 30, 2016 Continued from the May 19, 2016 Hearing 90 DAY DEADLINE: JULY 4, 2016 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415,558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date:

June 30, 2016

Project Name:

Change in Affordable Housing Fee or Units in Rezoned Divisadero

and Fillmore NCTDs

Case Number:

2015-016599PCA [Board File No. 151258]

Initiated by:

Supervisor Breed / Introduced December 8, 2015 and April 5, 2016

Staff Contact:

Menaka Mohan, Legislative Affairs <u>menaka.mohan@sfgov.org</u>; 415-575-9141 Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org; 415-558-6362

Recommendation:

Recommend Approval with Modifications

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The Way It Is Now:

1. Properties along Divisadero Street and Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District are subject to the rules of Section 415, which require that any housing project of ten or more units is subject to the inclusionary housing ordinance.

For Projects with 10-24 units:

- a. Fee. Planning Code Section 415.7 typically requires the following of Project Sponsors who electing the In-Lieu Fee to pay a fee equivalent to 20% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. The fee is deposited into the Housing Trust Fund and is generally required to be used to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households.
- b. Onsite Housing. If the project sponsor chooses to provide affordable units on-site of the principal project, the project sponsor should provide 12% of all units constructed as inclusionary units.
- c. Off-Site Housing. Code Section 415.7 typically requires Project Sponsors electing the Off-Site alterative to construct off-site units equivalent to 20% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. These units are dedicated to low and very low-income households.

For Projects with 25 units or more:

a. Fee. Planning Code Section 415.7 typically requires the following of Project Sponsors who electing the In-Lieu Fee to pay a fee equivalent to 33% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. The fee is deposited into the Housing Trust Fund and

is generally required to be used to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households.

Projects that are currently in the pipeline may be subject to a lower inclusionary rate, depending on when their EE application was submitted and where they are located. Application dates for the grandfathering of existing projects would be established by the dates of a completed EE application that was submitted as follows:

- prior to 1/1/2013, the inclusionary rates existing on January 12, 2016.
- prior to 1/1/2014, the inclusionary rate is 25%
- prior to 1/1/2015, the inclusionary rate is 27.5%
- on or prior to 1/12/2016, the inclusionary rate is 30%
- b. Onsite Housing. If the project sponsor chooses to provide affordable units on-site of the principal project, the project sponsor should provide 25% of all units constructed as inclusionary units with a minimum of 15% of the units affordable to low and very low-income households and another 10% of the units affordable to very low, low- or middle income households.

Projects that are currently in the pipeline may be subject to a lower inclusionary rate, depending on when their Environmental Evaluation (EE) application was submitted and where they are located (See Exhibit A). Application dates for the grandfathering of existing projects would be established by the dates of a completed EE application that was submitted as follows:

- prior to 1/1/2013, the inclusionary rates existing on January 12, 2016.
- prior to 1/1/2014, the inclusionary rate is 13%
- prior to 1/1/2015, the inclusionary rate is 13.5%
- on or prior to 1/12/2016, the inclusionary rate is 14.5%
- c. Off-Site Housing. Code Section 415.7 typically requires Project Sponsors electing the Off-Site alterative to construct off-site units equivalent to 33% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. These units are dedicated to low and very low-income households.

Projects that are currently in the pipeline may be subject to a lower inclusionary rate, depending on when their EE application was submitted and where they are located. Application dates for the grandfathering of existing projects would be established by the dates of a completed EE application that was submitted as follows:

- prior to 1/1/2013, the inclusionary rates existing on January 12, 2016.
- prior to 1/1/2014, the inclusionary rate is 25%
- prior to 1/1/2015, the inclusionary rate is 27.5%
- on or prior to 1/12/2016, the inclusionary rate is 30%

The Way It Would Be:

Please note that the proposed ordinance intends to incorporate all of the changes as outlined under the current proposal; however, the legislation was not introduced prior to the publication of this case report.

Staff anticipates the recommendations will be incorporated into legislation that will be introduced prior to the June 30th Planning Commission hearing.

- Developments that are proposed along the Divisadero Street and or the Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Transit District that have increased residential potential due to the rezoning would be subject to the following:
 - For Projects with more than 10 units-there are no separate provisions for projects with greater than 25 units.
 - a. Fee. The project shall pay an affordable housing fee equivalent to a requirement to provide 25% of the units in the principal project as affordable units as calculated in Section 415.5
 - b. **On-Site Housing.** If the project sponsor chooses to provide affordable units on-site of the principal project, the project sponsor should provide 23% of all units constructed on the project site as affordable housing.
 - c. Off-Site Housing. If the project sponsor chooses to provide the units off-site from the principal project, the project sponsor shall construct or cause to be constructed 25% of all units constructed on the principal project as affordable units subject to the requirements of Section 415.7
 - d. **Grandfathering.** There are no grandfathering provisions, however the ordinance states that if the voters approve the proposed Charter Amendment on June 7, 2016 and the Board adopts permanent inclusionary affordable housing requirements that are higher than those set forth in this ordinance, the higher requirement shall apply.

BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission considered the establishment of the Divisadero Street and Fillmore NCT District on April 2, 2015 (Board File No. 150081, 150082, and Case No. 2015-001388PCA, 2015-001268PCA), and the new districts became effective on August 16, 2015. The rezoning of Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Districts kept the underlying land use controls but changed the residential density to be governed by height/bulk limitations, open space, rear yard setbacks, and exposure requirements, as opposed to a lot area ratio.

The Planning Commission [Commission] held an adoption hearing for the proposed Ordinance on May 19, 2016. At the hearing, the Commission directed staff to look at the development potential along the corridors and consider the impact of the new inclusionary ordinance, or trailing legislation. In general, the proposed legislation increases the fee amounts for the recently rezoned Neighborhood Commercial Districts.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fees

At the last Planning Commission hearing it was unknown whether the trailing legislation for the new inclusionary rates would become effective as the ordinance was dependent on Proposition C passing on the June 7, 2016 election. Proposition C passed with over 67% of the vote, which instituted the new inclusionary rates. At the last hearing, the rates for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts and the

Change in Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

inclusionary rates differed. The rates for recently passed Citywide Inclusionary Program and those proposed by this Ordinance were based on 2007 Nexus Study completed by Keyser Marston Associates (KMA). The Divisadero and Fillmore NCT rates were slightly lower as it is generally best practice to set an impact fee lower than the full nexus. The Project Sponsor, however, has agreed to make the on-site, off-site, and fees consistent with the inclusionary rates. This ensures that sites within that have similar zoning to the Divisadero and Fillmore corridors are treated the same. In addition, the proposed fees for the Divisadero and Fillmore Corridor were not a significantly higher percentage (25%) than the proposed on-site (23%) which could encourage project sponsors to "fee out" instead of provide units on-site. The Project Sponsor has also agreed that the fees generated through Divisadero and Fillmore Affordable Housing Fee should be deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.

Table 1: Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee and Proposed Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

		Divisadero/Fillmore NCT-as
Program	Inclusionary Rates	proposed
Fee (10-24		
units)	20%	25%
Fee (≥25	•	
units)	33%	25%
On-Site (10-		
24 units	12%	23%
On-Site (≥25	25% (15% VL), and LI)	
units)	10%(VLI, LI, MI)	23%
Off-site (10-		
24 units)	20%	25%
Off-site (≥25	33% (20% to VLI and	
units)	LI), 13% (MI)	25%

Proposed Trailing Legislation Grandfathering Provisions

The proposed trailing legislation for the Charter amendment being voted on this June provides a lower inclusionary rate for projects in the pipeline depending on when the project submitted an Environmental Evaluation (EE), namely if the EE application was submitted, the new inclusionary rate would be as follows for projects providing affordable housing on-site:

- prior to 1/1/2014, the inclusionary rate would be 13%
- prior to 1/1/2015, the inclusionary rate would be 13.5%
- on or prior to 1/12/2016, the inclusionary rate would be 14.5%
- After 1/12/2016, 25% of units would subject to the new inclusionary rates, 15% for low and very low income households and 10% affordable to middle income households.

¹ Study can be found online at: http://sf-planning.org/sites/default/files/FileCenter/Documents/8380-FINAL%20Resid%20Nexus 04-4-07.pdf

Note that these rates are dependent on the amendments to the Charter being approved by voters at the June 7, 2016 election. The ordinance being considered under this report does not grandfather any projects; therefore, in the case of a project that has an EE filed that *triggers* a lower inclusionary rate, the Divisadero and Fillmore fee rate would apply.

As of Q1 2016, there are no new pipeline projects (including PPAs) in the Fillmore NCT, but there are a few projects in the Divisadero NCT.

- PL FILED: 400-444 Divisadero Street & 1048-1064 Oak Street 154 units residential building with commercial (PPA letter issued 9/17/15, ENV application submitted 11/24/15)
- PL FILED: 650 Divisadero Street 9 unit residential condominium (ENV submitted 1/21/14 project is now 60 units over parking and commercial)
- BP ISSUED: 834 Divisadero Street change of use from auto body repair shop to retail
- ON HOLD: 1003 Page Street convert 1 residential unit to commercial tourist hotel

Determination of Residential Potential

Soft Site Analysis

Typically the Department analyzes development potential through a soft site analysis. The soft site analysis includes parcels which exceed 5% but not 30% of potential development by square footage as *potential* candidates for development.

Potential development is counted as residential units and in commercial gross square feet. A parcel may have residential, commercial, or residential and commercial development capacity depending on the specific combination of zoning and height district. The development potential may also be controlled by open space and set back requirements. Once the development potential for residential and commercial space is calculated, information on existing housing units and commercial square footage can be used to calculate the net potential for each parcel. For example, for a parking lot or a one-story building in an 80-foot height zoning district, most of the potential capacity remains unused or underdeveloped; for two-story homes in most residential neighborhoods, however, the potential capacity would be considered built out.

To calculate the development potential for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Corridor the soft site analysis assumed that sites that were 30% developed were potential candidates for redevelopment. The analysis was further refined by removing sites with residential units, historic resources, community resources, and irregular shaped lots. To account for open space requirements, lots less than 2,500 square feet were multiplied by a factor of 0.75 while sites with larger areas were multiplied by 0.5 assuming that larger sites need more circulation. Unit size was assumed to be 1,000 gross square feet and the ground floor was assumed to be commercial. Finally, this analysis includes both pipeline projects along the Divisadero Corridor on 400-444 Divisadero Street/1048-1064 Oak Street and 650 Divisadero Street. Generally, pipeline projects are not included in the analysis of development potential. The two projects were included in this analysis as they are projects that have submitted EEs and would be subject to a higher fee inclusionary rate.

Under the old zoning regulations (NCD), the maximum number of units that could potential be built would be around 113² units and under the new NCT zoning the most that could be built would be 293 units. This is an increase of 158%. Note that the older NCD zoning is restrictive and may not have made

² Note that this is an estimate based on best available data

sites feasible to develop. For example, a site may be zoned to allow a maximum of 50 units, but the unit size would be too large to make development feasible, therefore leaving a site vacant or underdeveloped.

The ordinance states the Planning Department will determine the development potential of a site as it relates to a specific provision in the Charter, namely section in the 16.110(h)(1)(B)(iii)³ which describes the Housing Trust Fund. Although the language in the Charter has changed, the specific language should be still be included to determine the residential potential in the ordinance that references the new charter language as well as the old zoning for the Divisadero and Fillmore Commercial Districts.

Feasibility Analysis

The Board of Supervisors passed a resolution in March of 2016 establishing a City policy to maximizing a feasible inclusionary affordable housing requirement. The resolution directs the Controller's office with the assistance of independent analysts to complete an economic feasibility analysis of the City's Inclusionary Housing fees and off-site alternatives. To date, the study has yet to be completed but is anticipated to be available at the end of July.

Although the study is not available, the NCT zoning on the Divisadero and Fillmore corridors is not new to the City. Several corridors, including, Mission, Hayes-Gough, and Valencia are also zoned NCT with their residential density determined by height/bulk limitations, open space, rear yard setbacks, and exposure requirements, as opposed to a lot area ratio. A new development project on any of these corridors is subject to the new inclusionary rates without additional analysis to ensure that the inclusionary rates are sufficient.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Resolution is before the Commission so that it may recommend approval or disapproval to the Board of Supervisors.

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend approval with modifications of the proposed Ordinance to the Board of Supervisors.

The project sponsor plans to incorporate the following recommendations which were discussed at the May 19th, 2016 Planning Commission Hearing. The sixth recommendation acknowledges that if the inclusionary rates were to change in similar NCT corridors, the rates in Divisadero and Fillmore NCT would also change and has been accepted by the project sponsor:

- 1. Create Consistency with Varying Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fees
- 2. Affordable housing fees generated through development on the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT will be deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund

³The exact language of the Charter amendment is as follows: A project that, through a Special Use District or other local legislation adopted after November 6, 2012, receives (1) a 20% or greater increase in developable residential gross floor area, as measured by a change in height limits, Floor Area Ratio limits, or use, over prior zoning, or (2) a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning. Notwithstanding the foregoing, should a project sponsor seek to develop a project in accordance with zoning in place immediately before the establishment of the Special Use District, this subsection (h) shall apply.

- 3. Include a subsection in Section 415 that Describes the New Affordable Housing Fee for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts
- 4. If the Economic Feasibility Study that is required as part of the Inclusionary Ordinance indicates that Corridors with NCT Zoning should have rates that are higher than the rest of the City, the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Inclusionary rates should also be higher

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department supports a higher fee rate and a higher percentage of onsite inclusionary for the Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Transit Districts because of the recent rezoning of the Districts and the possibility of producing more affordable units, however modifications described below will ensure that the proposed inclusionary rates are not lower than the City wide rates and they will add greater clarity making the ordinance easier to implement.

Recommendation 1: Create Consistency with Varying Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fees

The Department recommends that the ordinance mirror the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee regarding on-site, off-site, and size of the project. That would mean that projects under a 24 units would be subject to the current rate of 12%, and project with 25 units or more would be subject to the new inclusionary rates. As currently written the proposed rates are lower and higher than the Citywide rates. The Department is concerned that setting a higher rate for projects with less than 25 units could make these projects less attractive in the Divisadero and Fillmore Districts than in other areas with similar zoning. For example, if the proposed inclusionary ordinance were to become effective, a project with less than 25 units in Mission NCT would be subject to the 12% inclusionary rate while a project in the Divisadero NCT would be subject to 23% on-site inclusionary requirement. The new fees would be described as below:

Table 2: Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee and Proposed Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

	Citywide Inclusionary	Proposed Divisadero/Fillmore
Program	Rates	NCT Rates
Fee	33%	33%
Fee (10-24		
units)	20%	20%.
On-Site (10-		
24 units	12%	12%
On-Site (≥25	25% (15% VL and LI	25%(15% VL and LI and 10% VLI,
units)	and 10% VLI, LI, MI)	LI, MI)
	33% (20% to VLI and	33%_(20% to VLI and LI, 13% VLI,
Off-site	LI, 13% VLI, LI, MI)	LI, MI)

Note that the adopted City rates are still lower than what is described in the Divisadero Community Plan, drafted by Affordable Divisadero, which states that "developments over 10 units should have 50% of the units affordable to households under the San Francisco median income and one half of those affordable units must be affordable to households earning below or up to 50% of the SF AMI, one fourth must be affordable to households earning between 50%-80% of the SF AMI and the remaining affordable units

must be affordable to households earning between 80-100% of the AMI.⁴" The Department does not want institute requirements in Divisadero and Fillmore NCT that are above and beyond other parts of the City as it may have the consequence of making development along the corridors infeasible or unattractive as compared to other parts of the City.

Recommendation 2: Affordable housing fees generated through development on the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT should be deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund

To date, all affordable housing fees generated through the Inclusionary Program are deposited in the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund. This allows the City to maximize funds generated throughout the City to increase the affordable housing supply; if the funds are restricted to one specific zoning district it limits the ability of the City to access those funds for affordable housing in other areas of the City. Furthermore, this would set a new precedent for the Citywide Inclusionary program and could lower the total amount of money available in the Citywide Fund by siphoning off one particular zoning district. Therefore, the Department recommends amending the language in the ordinance to have the same criteria for the use of funds as the Citywide Inclusionary Program.

Recommendation 3: Clarify Determination of Residential Potential and Grandfathering for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Pipeline Projects.

The ordinance leaves the determination of residential potential to the Planning Department. To determine the residential potential the Department recommends adding the old Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District density requirement directly into the ordinance. For reference, the density for Divisadero NCD was one unit per 800 square feet of lot area and Fillmore NCD was 1 unit to 600 square feet of lot area with one parcel of RH-3 (three units per lot), RM-4 (one unit per 200 square feet of lot area) and RM-3 (one unit per 400 square feet of lot area)⁵.

The City Charter now gives the Board of Supervisors the ability to change the inclusionary rate through legislation and no longer needs a calculation based on residential potential. At the same time, projects in the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts are benefiting from the recent rezoning that increased the development potential for some projects. Given that, the Department recommends that projects receiving a 50% increase or more in residential density as compared to the old NCD zoning should be exempt from the grandfathering provisions in the trailing legislation. In other words, projects that have already filled an EE application and have a 50% increase in residential density compared to the old NCD zoning would be subject to the full on-site inclusionary rate, fee, and off-site requirement. If a project with an EE application already submitted has a proposed residential density that is not a 50% increase from old NCD zoning, the grandfathering rates outlined in the inclusionary program would apply. Without this ordinance, projects in the Divisadero and Fillmore pipeline are subject to the Grandfathering rates in the inclusionary ordinance which are lower than what is proposed in this Ordinance:

⁴ The full plan can be found in Exhibit C.

⁵ The specific Block and Lots are as follows: 0798/001, 0779/031, 0702/038

Program	400-444 Divisadero Street & 1048-1064 Oak Street-EE-11/24/15	650 Divisadero Street-EE1/21/14s
Fee	30%	27.5%
On-Site (≥25		
units)	14.5%	13.5%
Off-site	30%	27.5%

Table 3: Pipeline Projects in Divisadero NCT as of Q1 2016

Recommendation 4: Include a Subsection in Section 415 that Describes the New Affordable Housing Fee for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts

Currently, the ordinance creates a new code section (section 428) to implement the new affordable housing fee in the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts. Given that this fee follows the same procedures for the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program-described in detail in section 415-a new subsection rather than a new code section could describe the different fee rates and direct the public to one consistent code section.

Recommendation 5: If the Economic Feasibility Study that is required as part of the Inclusionary Ordinance indicates that Corridors with NCT Zoning should have rates that are higher than the rest of the City, the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Inclusionary rates should also be higher.

The City is currently waiting on the Economic Feasibility study [Study]. The purpose of this Study is to determine how to set the inclusionary housing obligations in San Francisco at the maximum economically feasible amount in market rate housing development to create housing for lower-, moderate- and middle-income households, with guidance from the City's Nexus Study. The Controller, in consultation with relevant City Departments and the Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee, is responsible for conducting the Study every three years. If the Study, ever indicates that a higher rate can be accommodated in other NCT Districts, such as but not limited to Mission, Valencia, or Hayes Gough, the inclusionary rates in Divisadero and Fillmore would also apply.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed Ordinance is not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378 and 15060(c) (2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

To date the Planning Department has received public comment from Gus Hernandez, who represents Affordable Divisadero.

RECOMMENDATION:	Approve with Recommendations

Attachments:

Exhibit A: Draft Resolution Exhibit B: BOS File No. 150622 Exhibit C: Public Comment

Executive Summary Planning Code Text Amendment

HEARING DATE: MAY 19, 2016 90 DAY DEADLINE: JULY 4, 2016 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Réception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date:

May 12, 2016

Project Name:

Change in Affordable Housing Fee or Units in Rezoned Divisadero

and Fillmore NCTDs

Case Number:

2015-016599PCA [Board File No. 151258]

Initiated by:

Supervisor Breed / Introduced December 8, 2015 and April 5, 2016

Staff Contact:

Menaka Mohan, Legislative Affairs

menaka.mohan@sfgov.org; 415-575-9141 Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org; 415-558-6362

Recommendation:

Recommend Approval with Modifications

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code to establish a higher payment of the affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential potential as a result of the rezoning of Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Transit Districts. The ordinance also states that if the voters approve the proposed Charter Amendment on June 7, 2016 and the Board adopts permanent inclusionary affordable housing requirements that are higher than those set forth in this ordinance, the higher requirement shall apply.

The Way It Is Now:

- 1. Properties along Divisadero Street and Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District are subject to the rules of Section 415, which require that any housing project of ten or more units is subject to the inclusionary housing ordinance.
 - a. Fee. Planning Code Section 415.7 typically requires Project Sponsors electing the In-Lieu Fee to pay a fee equivalent to 17-20% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. The fee is deposited into the Housing Trust Fund and is generally required to be used to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households.
 - b. Onsite Housing. If the project sponsor chooses to provide affordable units on-site of the principal project, the project sponsor should provide 12% of all units constructed as inclusionary units.
 - c. Off-Site Housing. Code Section 415.7 typically requires Project Sponsors electing the Off-Site alterative to construct off-site units equivalent to 17-20% of the total number of units produced in the principal project. These units are dedicated to low and very lowincome households.

Change in Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

The Way It Would Be:

- 1. Developments that are proposed along the Divisadero Street and or the Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Transit District that have increased residential potential due to the rezoning would be subject to the following:
 - a. Fee. The project shall pay an affordable housing fee equivalent to a requirement to provide 25% of the units in the principal project as affordable units as calculated in Section 415.5
 - b. **On-Site Housing.** If the project sponsor chooses to provide affordable units on-site of the principal project, the project sponsor should provide 23% of all units constructed on the project site as affordable housing.
 - c. Off-Site Housing. If the project sponsor chooses to provide the units off-site from the principal project, the project sponsor shall construct or cause to be constructed 25% of all units constructed on the principal project as affordable units subject to the requirements of Section 415.7

BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission considered the establishment of the Divisadero Street and Fillmore NCT District on April 2, 2015 (Board File No. 150081, 150082, and Case No. 2015-001388PCA, 2015-001268PCA), and the new districts became effective on August 16, 2015. The rezoning of Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Districts kept the underlying land use controls but changed the residential density to be governed by height/bulk limitations, open space, rear yard setbacks, and exposure requirements, as opposed to a lot area ratio.

Proposition C passed by voter in November of 2012 established the Housing Trust Fund. Proposition C established a limitation on the Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation that the City could impose residential development projects. The establishment of Prop C set forth specific limitations on the Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation that the City could impose on residential projects; however, Prop C set forth some exceptions. One exception states that in circumstance in which a project receives a 20% or greater increase in developable residential area as a result of a rezoning, height limit, Floor Area Ratio, limits, or use over prior zoning, or a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning, the City can impose a higher Inclusionary Housing Cost Obligation. Given that the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT rezoning could constitutes a 50% or greater increase in residential density over the previous zoning, for some projects a higher Inclusionary Rate can be imposed.

This June, San Francisco voters will be asked to vote on another charter amendment, also named Proposition C, that will increase the inclusionary rates for project to 25 percent for the on-site unit option, and 33 percent for the off-site and in-lieu fee options. The proposed charter amendment will also allow the Board of Supervisors to remove the Inclusionary Rates from the Charter and place them in the Planning Code so that they can be adjusted periodically based on market conditions. The proposed charter amendment does not have provision that grandfather's existing projects.

Change in Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Varying Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee

On March 31, 2016, the Planning Commission reviewed trailing legislation (BOS File No. 160255) to the proposed Charter amendment (Prop C, 2016) that would increase the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee for the projects with 25 units or more to 25 percent, 15 percent for low and very low income households and 10 percent affordable to middle income households. The new rates for the rezoned Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Corridor are different than the proposed inclusionary rates, see the Table 1 below.

Table 1: Proposed Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee and Proposed Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

	Current		
Program	Rate	Proposed Inclusionary	Divisadero/Fillmore NCT
Fee	17-20%	30%	25%
On-Site (10-		· ·	·
24 units	12%	12%	23%
On-Site (≥25		25% (15% VL), and LI)	
units)	12%	10%(VLI, LI, MI)	23%
		33% (20% to VLI and	
Off-site	17-20%	LI), 13% (MI)	25%

Proposed Trailing Legislation Grandfathering Provisions

The proposed trailing legislation for the Charter amendment being voted on this June provides a lower inclusionary rate for projects in the pipeline depending on when the project submitted an Environmental Evaluation (EE), namely if the EE application was submitted, the new inclusionary rate would be as follows for projects providing affordable housing on-site:

- prior to 1/1/2014, the inclusionary rate would be 13%
- prior to 1/1/2015, the inclusionary rate would be 13.5%
- on or prior to 1/12/2016, the inclusionary rate would be 14.5%
- After 1/12/2016, 25% of units would subject to the new inclusionary rates, 15% for low and very low income households and 10% affordable to middle income households.

Note that these rates are dependent on the amendments to the Charter being approved by voters at the June 7, 2016 election. The ordinance being considered under this report does not grandfather any projects; therefore, in the case of a project that has an EE filed that would *trigger* a lower inclusionary rate *if* the new inclusionary rates become effective this June, the Divisadero and Fillmore fee rate would apply. See Table 2 below for the fee rate in this scenario for a project that has submitted an EE before January 12, 2016 for a project that chooses to provide affordable units on-site.

Executive Summary Hearing Date: May 19, 2016

Table 2: Proposed Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee Grandfathering for an EE Filed Before January 12, 2016 and the Proposed Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

Program ·	Current Rate	Proposed Inclusionary	Proposed Inclusionary Grandfathered EE Rate	Proposed Divisadero/Fillmore Rate
Fee	17-20%	30%	30%	25%
		25% (15% VL), and LI) 10%(VLI,		
On-Site	12%	LI, MI)	14.50%	23%
		33% (20% to VLI		
Off-site	17-20%	and LI), 13% (MI)	30%	25%

As of Q1 2016, there are no new pipeline projects (including PPAs) in the Fillmore NCT, but there are a few projects in the Divisadero NCT.

- PL FILED: 400-444 Divisadero Street & 1048-1064 Oak Street 154 units residential building with commercial (PPA letter issued 9/17/15, ENV application submitted 11/24/15)
- PL FILED: 650 Divisadero Street 9 unit residential condominium (ENV submitted 1/21/14 project is now 60 units over parking and commercial)
- BP ISSUED: 834 Divisadero Street change of use from auto body repair shop to retail
- ON HOLD: 1003 Page Street convert 1 residential unit to commercial tourist hotel

Determination of Residential Potential

The ordinance states the Planning Department will determine the development potential of a site as it relates to a specific provision in the Charter, namely section in the 16.110(h)(1)(B)(iii)¹ which describes the Housing Trust Fund. Given that the language in the Charter may change, the specific language should be in the ordinance that references the new charter language as well as the old zoning for the Divisadero and Fillmore Commercial Districts.

IMPLEMENTATION

The current legislation has a clause stating that for projects on the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts the Planning Department shall determine that the residential development potential on a site has been increased through the rezoning. Without a direct reference in the ordinance to the previous zoning it is not clear to the public or the Department how to determine the increased residential density as it relates

¹The exact language of the Charter amendment is as follows: A project that, through a Special Use District or other local legislation adopted after November 6, 2012, receives (1) a 20% or greater increase in developable residential gross floor area, as measured by a change in height limits, Floor Area Ratio limits, or use, over prior zoning, or (2) a 50% or greater increase in residential densities over prior zoning. Notwithstanding the foregoing, should a project sponsor seek to develop a project in accordance with zoning in place immediately before the establishment of the Special Use District, this subsection (h) shall apply.

Change in Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

to the Charter. It also creates a new section of code for the new fee, which is confusing given that section 415 already governs housing requirements for residential projects.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may recommend adoption, rejection, or adoption with modifications to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval with modifications* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect. The Department's proposed recommendations are as follows:

- 1. Create Consistency with Varying Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fees
- 2. Modify Grandfathering for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Pipeline Projects
- 3. Clarify Determination of Residential Potential
- 4. Include a Subsection in Section 415 that Describes the New Affordable Housing Fee for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department supports a higher fee rate and a higher percentage of onsite inclusionary for the Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Transit Districts because of the recent rezoning of the Districts and the possibility of producing more affordable units, however modifications described below will ensure that the proposed inclusionary rates are not lower than the City wide rates should Prop C pass this June, and they will add greater clarity making the ordinance easier to implement.

Recommendation 1: Create Consistency with Varying Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fees

The Department recommends that the ordinance mirror the proposed trailing legislation for the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee regarding on-site, off-site, and size of the project. That would mean that projects under a 24 units would be subject to the current rate of 12%, and project with 25 units or more would be subject to the proposed inclusionary rates in the proposed trailing legislation. The Department is recommending this change because as currently written the proposed rates would be lower than the citywide inclusionary rates should Proposition C pass this June. Further, the Department is concerned that setting a higher rate for projects with less than 25 units could make these projects less attractive in the Divisadero and Fillmore Districts than in other areas with similar zoning. For example, if the proposed inclusionary ordinance were to become effective, a project with less than 25 units in Mission NCT would be subject to the 12% inclusionary rate while a project in the Divisadero NCT would be subject to 23% on-site inclusionary requirement.

Table 3: Proposed Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee and Proposed Changes to the Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

	Current		Proposed Changes to
Program	Rate	Proposed Inclusionary	Divisadero/Fillmore
Fee	17-20%	30%	30%

Fee (10-24		,	
units)	17-20%	20%	20%
On-Site (10-			
24 units	12%	12%	12%
On-Site (≥25		25% (15% VL), and LI)	
units)	12%	10%(VLI, LI, MI)	25%
	•	33% (20% to VLI and	
Off-site	17-20%	LI), 13% (MI)	33%

Recommendation 2: Modify Grandfathering for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Pipeline Projects

In the past, the Department has recommended that fair and uniform grandfathering practices be applied to projects in the pipeline. Projects in the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts, however, are benefiting from the recent rezoning that significantly increased the development potential for some projects. Given that, the Department recommends that projects receiving a 50% increase or more in residential density as compared to the old NCD zoning should be exempt from the grandfathering provisions in the trailing legislation. In other words, projects that have already filled an EE application and have a 50% increase in residential density compared to the old NCD zoning would be subject to the full on-site inclusionary rate, fee, and off-site requirement. If a project with an EE application already submitted has a proposed residential density that is not a 50% increase from old NCD zoning, the grandfathering rates in the trailing inclusionary fee legislation would apply.

Recommendation 3: Clarify Determination of Residential Potential

The ordinance leaves the determination of residential potential to the Planning Department. To determine the residential potential the Department recommends adding the old Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District density requirement directly into the ordinance. For reference, the density for Divisadero NCD was one unit per 800 square feet of lot area and Fillmore NCD was 1 unit to 600 square feet of lot area with one parcel of RH-3 (three units per lot), RM-4 (one unit per 200 square feet of lot area) and RM-3 (one unit per 400 square feet of lot area)². Additionally, the ordinance should reference the Charter section that states an increase in the inclusionary rate can be applied if a 50% or greater increase in residential densities exists over prior zoning.

Given that the charter language may change come June 7, 2016, a clause should be added to the proposed ordinance that states that if Section 116 were to change, the new charter language applies. The new charter language eliminates the calculation and gives the Board of Supervisors the ability to change the inclusionary rate through legislation. If the proposed inclusionary rate were to ever increase or decrease due to feasibility the rates should be consistent across the City.

Recommendation 4: Include a Subsection in Section 415 that Describes the New Affordable Housing Fee for the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts

Currently, the ordinance creates a new code section (section 428) to implement the new affordable housing fee in the Divisadero and Fillmore NCT Districts. Given that this fee follows the same procedures for the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program-described in detail in section 415-a new subsection rather than a new code section could describe the different fee rates and direct the public to one consistent code section.

² The specific Block and Lots are as follows: 0798/001, 0779/031, 0702/038

Change in Affordable Housing Fee for Divisadero and Fillmore NCT

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed Ordinance is not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378 and 15060(c) (2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

To date the Planning Department has received no public comment on this legislation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation of Approval with Modifications

Attachments:

Exhibit A:

Draft Planning Commission Resolution

Exhibit B:

Board of Supervisors File No. 151258

The ordinance proposes that the use of affordable housing fee funds generated from the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore NCT be spent according to the following priorities:

- To increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the Divisadero Street NCT and the Fillmore Street NCT;
- 2. To increase the supply of affordable housing within one mile of the boundaries of the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT, and
- 3. To increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the City.

The current Citywide Inclusionary Program and the trailing legislation fees are deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, which are distributed by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) according to the following criteria:

- 1. To increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households;
- 2. To provide Assistance to low and moderate/middle income homebuyers;
- To pay administrative fees to MOHCD associated with monitoring and administering compliance of the Inclusionary Program; and
- 4. To administer the Small Sites Program

Recommendation

1. Affordable housing fees generated through development on the Divisadero Street NCT and Fillmore Street NCT should be deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.

Basis for Recommendation

To date, all affordable housing fees generated through the Inclusionary Program are deposited in the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund. This allows the City to maximize funds generated throughout the City to increase the affordable housing supply; if the funds are restricted to one specific zoning district it limits the ability of the City to access those funds for affordable housing in other areas of the City. Furthermore, this would set a new precedent for the Citywide Inclusionary program and could lower the total amount of money available in the Citywide Fund by siphoning off one particular zoning district. Therefore, the Department recommends amending the language in the ordinance to have the same criteria for the use of funds as the Citywide Inclusionary Program.



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date:

Monday, April 3, 2017

Time:

1:30 p.m.

Location:

Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject:

File No. 151258. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental

Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of

Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If the legislation passes, residential development projects within the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District or the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, that the Planning Department has determined to have 50% or more housing development potential due to rezoning, shall be subject to payment of the Residential Inclusionary Housing Fee requirement in Planning Code, Sections 415 et seq. The fee amount would be equivalent to the requirement to provide 33% affordable housing units in the principal project. A project sponsor may elect to construct 25% affordable housing units on-site of the principal project, or cause off-site affordable housing equivalent to 33% of all units constructed on the principal project site. This fee shall be paid at issuance of the first construction document, with an option to defer payment prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy. All monies shall be paid into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund that is expended by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to increase the supply of affordable housing in the City.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 31, 2017.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

DATED: March 23, 2017

POSTED/PUBLISHED: March 24 & 30, 2017

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address: 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839 Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

Alisa Somera CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type:

GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

AS - 04.03.17 Land Use - 151258 Fee Ad

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully, and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

03/24/2017, 03/30/2017

EXM# 2991167

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANS-PORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2017-1:30 PM
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B.
GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 151258. Ordinance amending. The Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the Entiremental Transit District in 2015; affirming Department's determination Environmental Transit District in 2015; affirming Department's determination Environmental Transit District in Environmental Environme Planning determination california (California Quality Act, and making of consistency with the depth priority policies of Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 302, and the Planning Department has determined to have 50% or more housing development potential due to rezoning, shall be subject to payment of the Residential Inclusionary Housing Fee requirement in Planning Code, Sections 415 et seq. The fee amount would be equivalent to the requirement to provide 33% affordable housing units in the principal project. A project sponsor may elect to construct 25% affordable housing units on-site of the principal project, or cause off-site affordable housing units on-site of the principal project, or cause constructed on the principal project site. This fee shall be paid at issuance of the first construction focument, with construction document, with an option to defer payment prior to the issuance of the

first certificate of occupancy. All monies shall be paid into the Cilywide Affordable Housing Fund that is expended by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to increase the supply of affordable housing in the Cily. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda Information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 31, 2017. - Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board.



SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

835 MARKET ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103 Telephone (415) 314-1835 / Fax (510) 743-4178

Alisa Somera CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA - 94102

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California County of SAN FRANCISCO

Notice Type: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description:

AS - 04.03.17 Land Use - 151258 Fee Ad

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER, a newspaper published in the English language in the city of SAN FRANCISCO, county of SAN FRANCISCO, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of SAN FRANCISCO, State of California, under date 10/18/1951, Case No. 410667. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

03/24/2017, 03/30/2017

Executed on: 03/30/2017 At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and



EXM#: 2991167

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
LAND USE AND TRANS-PORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2017 - 1:30 PM
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B.
GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 151258. Ordinance amending the Planning Cerde to interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 151258. Ordinance amend-ing the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential develophigher residential develop-ment potential as a result of the rezoning of the Di-visadero Street Neighbor-hood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore the Fillmore Neighborhood Street Commercial Transit District Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making indings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. If the legislation passes, residential development projects within the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit hood Commercial Transit District or the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, that the Planning Department has determined to have 50% or determined to have 50% or more housing development potential due to rezoning, shall be subject to payment of the Residential Inclusionary Housing Fee requirement in Planning Code, Sections 415 et seq. The fee amount would be equivalent to the requirement to provide 33% affordable housing units in the principal project. A project sponsor may elect to construct 25% affordable housing units on-site of the principal project, or cause principal project, or cause off-site affordable housing equivalent to 33% of all units constructed on the principal project site. This fee shall be paid at issuance of the first construction document, with an option to defer payment prior to the issuance of the

first certificate of occupancy. All monies shall be paid into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund that is expended by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to increase the supply of affordable housing in the City. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1. persons who are Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as earl of the begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information materials available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information materials available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information materials available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 31, 2017. - Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board File No. 151258



4/10/2017 Received in Committee North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association

Monday, April 10, 2017

Hello Land Use Committee,

The North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assoc. (NOPNA) would like to express thanks and support to the committee, we understand that working for the city is not so easily agreeable or easy.

As you may have noticed, the middle class has been incrementally squeezed out of San Francisco. In a broader request, we ask the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to keep finding fair strategies, and keep retaining feedbacks from the San Francisco communities in improving housing and Land Use.

As for the 650 Divisadero project, it highlights our pursue in retaining San Franciscans, especially middle and low income. NOPNA has kept it stance on making sure the 650 Divisadero Project and future project on Divisadero, has at least 20%, affordable on-site units, which seems or may have been heard by Supervisor London Breed.

In regards to the 650 Divisadero project, and consistent of Divisadero neighborhood character, we request the committee to make amendments or review:

- The effects or cost of transit from the 650 Divisadero development/residents in our neighborhood.
- No displacement of existing neighborhood serving retail uses, and requirement that in the new building, most of the retail space be neighborhood serving.

In these humbly requests, we hope for a vibrant, and a maintained neighborhoods character and its people.

Sincerely & Thank You,

Charles Dupigny
NOPNA President

From:

Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Sent:

Monday, April 03, 2017 12:58 PM

To:

BOS-Supervisors; Somera, Alisa (BOS)

Subject:

FW: just not this version of 650 divisadero File No. 151258

From: aida jones [mailto:joneswest@mac.com]

Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2017 11:20 AM

To: May, Christopher (CPC) <christopher.may@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>;

richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC) <christine.d.johnson@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC)

<joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

<kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Dean Preston <affordabledivis@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

<box><box
doard.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS)</br>

; Farrell, Mark (BOS) <mark.farrell@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>

Subject: just not this version of 650 divisadero

hello board of supervisors & planning commission.

there's simply not enough on-site affordable housing in the 650 divisadero plan.

we can do better. clearly the change in zoning has been a generous gift to these developers and they in turn can be more generous in their ration of on-site affordable units.

we must balance business profits with the needs of our citizenry and that's why i oppose 650 divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing.

without more affordable units the change in our neighborhood is irreparable. study after study shows that a mix of diversity in income levels benefit the most vulnerable in our society. we must stop building silos of wealth and silos of public housing. they must be integrated together.

& i strongly oppose Supervisor Breed's latest divisadero-fillmore legislation, which is a retraction of her campaign promises (in a reëlection so close it should cause a reëvaluation of policy), requiring a paltry 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

again, we can do better. we want more affordable housing for people who need it and help all citizens.

thank you for your time and attention. see you thursday.

regards,

aïda jones

d5 resident

ps: why was fillmore upzoned and what plans are in the works there?

From:

Jackie Hasa <jackiehasa@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, April 03, 2017 8:32 AM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,

As a District 5 neighbor who has lived at Hayes and Divisadero since 2008, I am writing to express my opposition to 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood. I worry for both the character of the area -- which is increasingly catering to high-income residents in the gentrification spiral we've all become so familiar with -- and also the needs of low-income San Francisco residents.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households. This is ridiculously low, and while middle-class people also need support in the city, it should not come at the expense of lower-income people. I myself am middle-income, clocking in at about the AMI, and while I do not know how I could find housing in the city if I had to leave my rent-controlled apartment, I would cringe at the thought of taking away benefits from someone who has to struggle more than I.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Thanks for considering this note.

Jackie Hasa

1245 Hayes Street #4 San Francisco, CA 94117

From:

gary gregerson <dmfeelings@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Sunday, April 02, 2017 8:14 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood. I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households. We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Sincerely,

Gary Gregerson SF, CA

From:

FDC Dr. Tiltmann < drtiltmann@fdchiro.com>

Sent:

Sunday, April 02, 2017 11:39 AM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

Loppose 650 Divisadero

To the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors:

I am a resident and owner on Divisadero and Fulton. Affordable housing is an issue in SF. To create only 4 affordable housing units in a 66 unit building is too low. The affordable units should not be shoe boxes either.

There are many factors to consider and the cost of construction and labor is high as is the risk of building and financing a large project. I understand the need to maximize profits for the builder/investors. For each affordable unit made available, the other market price units will have to some degree cover the costs of the lost revenue of those units.

Please make sure there is enough parking in the structure. People who spend over 1 million dollars on an apartment/condo will most likely have or need a car. Not everyone can use or rely on the public transit system. Simply not providing parking spaces will not deter them from owning a car and there is already very limited parking for the current residences and their guests.

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it and we just need more quality housing.

The board may want to consider phasing out rent control and other artificial restrictions on a free housing market as there are thousands of unused and empty rental properties where the landlord/owners deem the risk of renting too great with the current pro tenant legislation and therefore keep the units empty.

Best regards,

Kai Tiltmann

From:

Antonio Chavez <chavezantonio24k@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, April 02, 2017 1:22 AM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

The neighborhood is rapidly changing and people who don't have a large cash surplus are left behind. I work hard everyday to pay my rent and bills but i am blessed to have affordable housing. Most of my long time neighbors were not so lucky. Most have moved away.

In the most true San Francisco fashion, i try to be open minded and welcoming to all people from all walks of life. But As hard as i try, i can't help but feel alienated in this "New SF", because it feels like the city has big plans that don't include people like me.

I strongly feel like This new plan will only deepen the divide that is already impossible to ignore in the city. The working class pays taxes, and we deserve the help we need.

From:

Sara Judge <sarajudge@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 8:33 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Respectfully, Sara Judge

From:

Sue Eich <seich25@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 6:57 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood. The City continues to out-price residents/would-be residents when it comes to housing.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households. We have all asked for more affordable housing, not less. 6% is not sufficient by any standards.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Thank you for listening.

Regards,

Sue Eich 1240 Hayes St.

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Kathleen Gee <kathygee606@att.net>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 5:22 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

From:

MaryEllen Churchill <mchurch66@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 4:19 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Cc:

MaryEllen Churchill

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

This is outrageous! We must have more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Mary Ellen Churchill 121 Clayton Street District 5 San Francisco

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Stuart Nacht <stunacht@pacbell.net>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 3:57 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

l also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

From:

David Ruiz <xtcpoppi@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 3:42 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less! Sent from the Google Pixel phone!

From:

Timothy Pursell <tim.pursell@mac.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 2:39 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

l also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Tim

~~ Follow the Yellow Brick Road

From:

katherine riley <riley_katherine@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 1:27 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Katherine

From:

Carolyn Hanrahan <carolynhanrahansf@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 12:27 PM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

From:

Arla Ertz <arlasusan@gmail.com> Saturday, April 01, 2017 11:13 AM

Sent: To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com;

Rich

Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Dean Preston; Board of Supervisors,

(BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

Hello,

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households. This is outrageously low and a giveaway to developers and a takeaway from those who can least afford it.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less! Please do the right thing, and do NOT allow this to happen!

Thank you,

Arla S. Ertz District 5 San Franciscan

From:

Fiona Friedland <twistee2u@comcast.net>

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 11:31 AM

To:

Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors, (BOS);

Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Cc:

May, Christopher (CPC)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood.

I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households.

We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less!

Are you getting the message!?!

Fiona Friedland

736 Haight St 94117

From:

sfcookin@aol.com

Sent:

Saturday, April 01, 2017 11:10 AM

To:

May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@yahoo.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of

Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS)

Subject:

I oppose 650 Divisadero

I oppose 650 Divisadero for not including enough on-site affordable housing. Without sufficient affordable units, this project is neither necessary nor desirable for our neighborhood. I also oppose Supervisor Breed's latest Divisadero-Fillmore legislation, which requires only 6% on-site units to be affordable to low income households. We want more affordable housing for people who need it, not less! The parking requirements for this site are ridiculous, considering the new density allowed under recent legislation. I am already towing 1-5 vehicles out of my driveway every week now.

J.Kaminsky 339 & 350 Divisadero St.



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 29, 2017

File No. 151258-4

Lisa Gibson Acting Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On March 21, 2017, President Breed introduced the following proposed substitute legislation (Version 4):

File No. 151258-4

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

March 29, 2017

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On March 29, 2017, President Breed introduced the following substitute legislation (Version 4):

File No. 151258-4

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Lisa Gibson, Acting Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development

FROM:

∖. Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director

DATE:

March 29, 2017

SUBJECT:

SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation (Version 4), introduced by President Breed on March 21, 2017:

File No. 151258-4

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
Kate Hartley, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Eugen Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 13, 2016

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On April 5, 2016, President Breed introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 151258-2

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

John Rahaim, Director of Planning
 Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
 Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
 Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
 AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
 Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
 Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 6, 2016

File No. 151258-3

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On June 28, 2016, President Breed introduced the following proposed substitute legislation:

File No. 151258-3

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 6, 2016

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On June 28, 2016, President Breed introduced the following substitute legislation:

File No. 151258-3

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

A. Auberry

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

DATE:

July 6, 2016

SUBJECT:

SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by President Breed on June 28, 2016:

File No. 151258

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District in 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
Sophie Hayward, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 13, 2016

File No. 151258-2

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On April 5, 2016, President Breed introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151258-2

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development

Tiffany Bohee, Executive Director, Office of Community Investment and

Infrastructure

FROM:

Andrea Ausberry, Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

DATE:

April 13, 2016

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED - SUBSTITUTE

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, introduced by President Breed on April 5, 2016:

File No. 151258-2

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment of a fee for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: andrea.ausberry@sfgov.org

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
Sophie Hayward, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Claudia Guerra, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
Natasha Jones, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 16, 2015

File No. 151258

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On December 8, 2015, President Breed introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 151258

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: John Rahaim, Director Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator AnMarie Rodgers, Senior Policy Advisory Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

DATE:

December 16, 2015

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, introduced by President Breed on December 8, 2015:

File No. 151258

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
 Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
 Sophie Hayward, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 16, 2015

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On December 8, 2015, President Breed introduced the following legislation:

File No. 151258

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Somera, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning
Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Jeanie Poling, Environmental Planning
Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

.19

24 | Section 25 | of ref

[Approval of a 30-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Affordable Housing in Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial Transit Districts (File No. 151258)]

Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning
Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 151258) amending the
San Francisco Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or
provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential
development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street
Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood
Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under
the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the
General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning
Code, Section 101.1.

WHEREAS, On December 8, 2015, Supervisor Breed introduced legislation amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302; and

WHEREAS, On or about December 16, 2015, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission shall, in accordance with Planning Code, Section 306.4(d), render a decision on the proposed Ordinance within 90 days from the date of referral of the proposed amendment or modification by the Board to the Commission; and

WHEREAS, Failure of the Commission to act within 90 days shall be deemed to constitute disapproval; and

WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code, Section 306.4(d), may, by Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors initiates; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Breed has requested additional time for the Planning Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for approximately 30 additional days, until April 15, 2016.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

160029

Date Passed: January 26, 2016

Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 151258) amending the San Francisco Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee or provide additional affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code, Section 302, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

January 26, 2016 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 160029

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 1/26/2016 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Introduction Form OARD OF SUPERY SORS

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

	2017 HAR 21 PM 4 Timestamp
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion)	n, or Charter Amendment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee	ee.
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	·
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 151258	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS	on
☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agen Sponsor(s):	☐ Ethics Commission g Inspection Commission ada), use a Imperative Form.
President London N. Breed	
Subject:	
Planning Code - Affordable Housing Requirement and Fee in Divisadero a Transit Districts	and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable hot that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rez Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighb 2015; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the Califo making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code Section 101.1.	coning of the Divisadero Street borhood Commercial Transit District in brnia Environmental Quality Act; and
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	onder biled
For Clerk's Use Only:	

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Am	endment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 151258	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Planning Commission	
Breed	
Subject:	
Planning Code - Affordable Housing Requirement and Fee in Divisadero and Fillmore Nei Transit Districts	ghborhood Commercial
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Div Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commerciaffirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Que findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code Section 302 and the eight pri Code Section 101.1.	risadero Street rcial Transit District; uality Act; and making
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Dreed
For Clerk's Use Only:	

Time stamp

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amer	ndment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No. 151258	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
10. Question(s) submitted for mayorar represent the bos on	and the second s
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the fo	-
	ommission
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Comm	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Impera	ative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Breed	
Subject:	
Planning Code –Affordable Housing in Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial	Transit Districts
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require additional affordable housing or payment that obtained higher residential development potential as a result of the rezoning of the Divis Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial firming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quantinging of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code Section 302 and the eight prior Code Section 101.1.	adero Street ial Transit District; lity Act; and making
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Sild
For Clerk's Use Only:	•

Time stamp

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

by a Member of the Death of Daget 13013 of the Mayor	
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
	ment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Com ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commis ote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperation ponsor(s):	sion
Breed	
ubject:	<u> </u>
Planning Code – Affordable Housing in Divisadero and Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial T	ransit Districts
The text is listed below or attached:	
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require payment of a higher affordable housing fee of affordable housing for certain sites that obtained higher residential development potential as a soft the Divisadero Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District and the Fillmore Street Ne Commercial Transit District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the Cal Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, Planning Code Section priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.	result of the rezoning ighborhood ifornia Environmental
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	0
For Clark's Use Only:	Digod