# Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee 

# San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure 

Evaluation of Request for Funding: Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) Contract Renewal

Prepared By: Scott Madden
Loan Committee Date: March 3, 2017

## Sponsor Name: <br> Project Name: <br> Project Address: <br> Number of Units/Beds : <br> Amount of Funds Requested:

Community Housing Partnership

Hotel Essex<br>684 Ellis Street @ Larkin<br>84 units<br>Up to $\$ 928,671$ Year One budget<br>Up to $\$ 18,623,354$ through $151 / 2$ years

Amount of Funds Recommended: $\quad \$ 18,623,354$

## 1. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

Hotel Essex, L.P., a California limited partnership ("Essex"), an affiliate of Community Housing Partnership ("CHP"), is requesting \$18,623,354 in General Funds from the Local Operating Subsidy Program ("LOSP") to subsidize continuing operations for 84 studio units of permanent supportive housing for formerly homeless people at the Hotel Essex for a period of 15 years, six months.
CHP, along with Mercy Housing as development partner, acquired the Hotel Essex in 2005, rehabilitated it and converted from a historic tourist/residential hotel into 84 efficiency units for extremely low-income, chronically homeless individuals. The project began operations in 2008, and Mercy Housing exited the partnership in 2010. CHP provides both property management and supportive services to the project.
The requested funds would renew an existing, 9 -year contract for $\$ 7,030,424$, which started in 2008 and will expire this year. The Hotel Essex was the first project to be funded under LOSP. Funds under the existing contract are expected to be fully expended by October 2017. If the requested funds are approved, a new contract for a period of 15 years and 6 months will start on 7/1/17 in order to overlap with the current contract and avoid any disruptions in operations at the project. This new term will cover the remaining 6 years of the 15-year tax credit compliance period and continue for another 9 years through calendar year 2032.

The amount of funds requested was determined using the current calendar year's operating budget and applying MOHCD's standard underwriting assumptions over a $151 / 2$-year projection period. If approved, funds would be disbursed under the contract on an annual basis in accordance with the attached schedule (see Exhibit A), while also taking into account any surplus reported from the previous years. A total of \$958,079 in assistance is budgeted for the first full year of operations under the contract (2018). This equates to a monthly per unit subsidy of $\$ 950$. This amount will increase from $2.2 \%-4.3 \%$ each year during the contract. Though all requested funds would be provided under a single, longterm contract, disbursements thereunder would be subject to annual appropriations by the Board of Supervisors.

## 2. PROJECT PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH MOHCD SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PORTFOLIO AND LOSP PROJECTS

### 2.1. 2015 Operating Expenses

To evaluate Hotel Essex's financial performance, operating expenses from 2015, the most current year for which actual operating expenses have been reported, were compared with the operating expenses of projects in MOHCD's supportive housing portfolio and of LOSP projects as a subset of supportive housing.

## Compared to All Supportive Housing

During 2015, MOHCD's portfolio had 91 supportive housing projects in operations. Average total operating expenses (before replacement reserve deposits and hard debt service), averaged $\$ 9.7 \mathrm{k}$ per unit per year. PUPY operating expenses varied by project size, with PUPY operating expenses higher for smaller buildings and lower for larger buildings. With 84 units, PUPY operating expenses for the Hotel Essex were $\$ 11,570$, which was $12 \%$ above the average for supportive housing projects with 50-99 units.

## Average Operating Expenses Per Unit Per Annum, Supportive Housing Projects, 2015

| \# Units | \# Projects | Average PUPY Operating Expenses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $100+$ | 33 | $\$ 9,212$ |
| $50-99$ | 41 | $\$ 10,285$ |
| $1-49$ | 17 | $\$ 11,672$ |
| All | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $\$ 9,741$ |
| 84 | Essex | $\$ 11,570$ |

## Compared to LOSP Projects Only

Within MOHCD's LOSP portfolio of 27 projects, operating expenses PUPY ranged from a low of $\$ 6,100$ to a high of $\$ 19,600$ and an average of $\$ 11,300$. Hotel Essex's 2015 operating expenses of $\$ 11,570$ PUPY were just slightly above the average.


Compared to Projects with Similar Percentages of LOSP Units
Within the LOSP portfolio, some projects have just 20\% LOSP units, while others are $100 \%$ LOSP. Average PUPY operating expenses also vary by the percentage of LOSP units within the building. Buildings with a higher percentage of LOSP units were found to cost more to operate.

One hundred percent (100\%) of the units at Hotel Essex are LOSP units. The per unit per year operating cost of $\$ 11,570$ at this project is $6 \%$ below the average for projects with $66 \%$ or more LOSP units and is slightly above the average of $\$ 11,307$ for all projects with LOSP units.

## Average Operating Expenses Per Unit Per Annum by \% of LOSP Units, 2015

| \% of LOSP Units | \# Projects | Average PUPY Operating Expenses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 66\% or more LOSP Units | 11 | $\$ 12,251$ |
| $20 \%-66 \%$ LOSP Units | 12 | $\$ 11,049$ |
| $20 \%$ or less LOSP Units | 4 | $\$ 9,487$ |
| All | 27 | $\$ 11,307$ |
| 84 | Essex | $\$ 11,570$ |

## Compared to LOSP Projects for Single Adults

When its per unit per year operating costs are compared with projects that serve only single adults and in which $66 \%$ or more of the units are LOSP units, the Hotel Essex ranks $6^{\text {th }}$ out of 9 projects and $5 \%$ above the average of these projects.


### 2.2. 2015 LOSP Subsidy

In 2015, the LOSP assistance for the Hotel Essex on a per unit basis was $\$ 9,527$, which was $7.5 \%$ above the average per unit amount of assistance $(\$ 8,858)$ across all 27 LOSP projects.

|  | 2015 PUPY LOSP Assistance |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hotel Essex | $\$ 9,527$ |
| Average - All LOSP Projects | $\$ 8,858$ |

When compared just to other LOSP projects that serve only single adults, the per unit LOSP subsidy at the Essex was second highest among 9 projects and was $34 \%$ above the average. The incongruity between this high PUPY LOSP subsidy and the close-to-average PUPY operating costs of the Hotel Essex relative to the other single adult projects can be attributed to different tenant rent payment requirements among the 9 projects in the survey. Seven of the 9 projects are part of the Direct Access to Housing ("DAH") program, which requires all tenants to pay $50 \%$ of their incomes as rent. This results in a higher income stream per unit from tenant rents and a reduced need for operating subsidy. The two other projects in the survey, Hotel Essex and Bishop Swing Community House, require tenants to pay only $30 \%$ of their incomes towards rent.


## 3. PROJECT OPERATIONS

### 3.1. Annual Operating Income Evaluation

Tenant Rent: Under the current LOSP contract, 30 of the 84 units are restricted at $20 \%$ AMI, and the remaining 54 units are restricted at $40 \%$ AMI. This is an average restriction across all units of $33 \%$ AMI. Tenants that are qualified under these restrictions pay $30 \%$ of household income towards rent. As of $12 / 31 / 15$, the most recent year for which MOHCD has data, the average income of the tenants was $13 \%$ AMI, significantly below the average restriction.

The Year 1 (2017) operating budget shows tenant rental income of $\$ 214,968$, or an average of $\$ 213$ per unit per month, which is $14 \%$ AMI. This number reflects the rent roll of the tenants currently in place at the project and is consistent with the target population of extremely low-income people on fixed incomes such as Social Security, SSI or General Assistance. The proforma assumes that tenant rent payments will escalate by $2.2 \%$, which
is equal to the historic average increase from the beginning of the contract through 2015. The projected vacancy rate is $5 \%$ and more conservative than the historic average of $1.3 \%$, but this is acceptable because it is consistent with MOHCD's underwriting guidelines and provides the project with a modest cushion in the event that actual vacancy is higher.

LOSP Subsidy: The LOSP subsidy requested for the first year under the new contract is $\$ 958,079$ ( $\$ 11,406 /$ PUPY and $\$ 950 /$ PUPM). This is a $4.7 \%$ increase above the LOSP subsidy from 2015 and is sized to fund a break-even budget, including operating expenses, debt service, replacement and operating reserve deposits and a partnership management fee.

Between tenant rents and the LOSP subsidy, total residential rental revenue for Year 1 is $\$ 1.14$ million. This represents a monthly rent per unit of $\$ 1,135$, which equates to $60.2 \%$ AMI for 2016. It should be noted that MOHCD's underlying capital financing agreements restrict all units in the project at $60 \% \mathrm{AMI}$, which means that if the LOSP contract were terminated, CHP would be able to serve none-homeless, higher income people and charge rents only up to $60 \%$ AMI.
Commercial Income: Almost $\$ 62,000$ is budgeted in Year 1 for 4 small, ground-floor commercial spaces on Larkin and Ellis Streets. This represents an average current monthly rent for these spaces of $\$ 2.23$ per square foot, with an average size of 575 square feet. This is an increase of $3 \%$ above actual commercial income from 2015. The 20-year proforma assumes annual escalation of $2.5 \%$, slightly more than historic average of $2.2 \%$. It also assumes a vacancy rate of $10 \%$, which is somewhat higher than the historic average of $7 \%$ and thus provides a reasonable cushion against possible higher vacancy loss. Current uses of these spaces are retail stores for apparel, jewelry, hats and barbery.

### 3.2. Annual Operating Expenses Evaluation

The annual operating expenses in Year One, before debt service and reserves, are projected at $\$ 1,088,590$ or $\$ 12,959$ PUPY. This is a $12 \%$ increase above 2015 operating expenses. MOHCD would not expect to see these costs budgeted much more than $7 \%$ above 2015 actuals ( $3.5 \%$ escalation over 2 years). CHP attributes this budget increase to increased minimum wage requirements that will take effect on $7 / 1 / 17$ and $7 / 1 / 18$. These will benefit the front desk and janitorial staff at the project, a total of 6.4 FTE.

Staffing: Staffing is anticipated to remain the same as current staffing levels. The staffing plan covers 9.90 FTE, including a full range of property management services from custodial, maintenance, reception, on-site management, compliance and various levels of supervision. This represents a staff to resident ratio of 1 to 8.5.

| Title | FTE | Salary | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Roving Property <br> Manager/Trainer |  |  |  |
| Facilities and Compliance <br> Director | 0.04 | 52,522 | $\$ 1,970$ |
| Property Supervisor | 0.05 | 79,688 | $\$ 3,984$ |


| Director of Property |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Management | 0.05 | 107,851 | $\$ 5,393$ |
| Other PM salaries |  |  | $\$ 32,051$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Property Manager | 1 | 52,522 | $\$ 52,522$ |
| Manager 1 - Certifications <br> \& Internal Auditing | 0.23 | 56,870 | $\$ 12,796$ |
| Manager 2- Vacancies and <br> Referrals | 0.09 | 58,292 | $\$ 5,101$ |
| Propery Manager Salaries |  |  | $\$ 70,418$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Janitor PT | 0.2 | 27,040 | $\$ 5,408$ |
| Janitor FT | 1 | 27,040 | $\$ 27,040$ |
| Janitor FT | 0.6 | 27,040 | $\$ 16,224$ |
| Payroll Maintenance |  |  | $\$ 48,672$ |
| Maintenance Operations | 0.2 | 58,292 | $\$ 11,658$ |
| Manager | 0.05 | 79,688 | $\$ 3,984$ |
| Facilities and Compliance | 0.5 | 53,310 | $\$ 26,655$ |
| Director | 1 | 31,775 | $\$ 31,775$ |
| Maintenance Supervisor |  |  | $\$ 74,073$ |
| Maintenance Technician | 0.2 | 30,420 | $\$ 6,084$ |
| Payroll Maintenance | 0.4 | 30,420 | $\$ 12,168$ |
| Desk Clerk-LPT | 1 | 30,420 | $\$ 30,420$ |
| Desk Clerk-FT | 1 | 30,876 | $\$ 30,876$ |
| Desk Clerk-FT | 1 | 30,876 | $\$ 30,876$ |
| Desk Clerk-FT | 1 | 31,310 | $\$ 31,310$ |
| Desk Clerk-FT |  | $\$ 141,735$ |  |
| Lead Desk Clerk | 9.90 |  | $\$ 366,949$ |
| Security salaries |  |  |  |
| Total Expense and FTE's |  |  |  |

Management Fees: Management fee is budgeted in Year 1 at $\$ 78$ per unit per month, which is the current maximum allowed by HUD for Northern California for multifamily rental properties. Due to HCD's regulations, this fee is not escalated in the proforma, though it would be permitted under MOHCD's underwriting guidelines.
Asset Management Fee: $\$ 15,000$ is budgeted in Year 1, which is within MOHCD's maximum fee of $\$ 19,750$. A $3.5 \%$ annual escalation is budgeted.
Salaries and Benefits: Salaries and benefits are budgeted at $\$ 183,975$ or $\$ 936$ per unit per year, and covers all or part of the salaries and benefits of the Property Manager, Assistant Manager, Property Supervisor, Property Manager Trainer, Facilities Manager and Compliance Director.

Administration: Administration line items are budgeted at $\$ 135,451$, or $\$ 1,613$ per unit per year, and cover typical functions such as legal, office supplies and equipment, bookkeeping and accounting, audit, computers and telephones. There is no resident manager or administrative rent-free unit because the front desk is staffed $24 / 7$.
Utilities: Utilities (gas, water/sewer, common electric) in Year 1 are budgeted at $\$ 138,404$, or $\$ 1,648$ per unit per year and are based on 2015 actuals with a $3.5 \%$ escalation. These numbers include all utility expenses in the residential units, as all utilities are included in the rent, as well as common areas.
Taxes: Taxes are budgeted at $\$ 60,045$, or $\$ 715$ per unit per year. Costs assume $100 \%$ of units receive welfare exemption. Approximately $\$ 12,000$ of this cost is for special assessments and fees for school district, community facilities, etc. Payroll taxes are based on $10 \%$ of salaries, and various miscellaneous fees.

Insurance: Insurance is budgeted at $\$ 86,483$, or $\$ 1,030$ per unit per year, for property and liability and worker's compensation insurance.

Maintenance and Repair: Maintenance and repair costs in Year One are budgeted at $\$ 388,258$, or $\$ 4,622$ per unit per year. This line item includes payroll for 1.80 FTE janitor,, a full-time maintenance technician and one full time 0.50 FTE maintenance supervisor; contracts for some maintenance, supplies, exterminating, fire alarm and elevator; garbage and trash removal. This also includes $24 / 7$ front desk staff under the Security Payroll/Contract line item. Overall, projected expenses in this category are reasonable.
Replacement Reserve Deposits: $\$ 54,936$ per year, in accordance with a 2012 CNA approved by MOHCD. The proforma also shows withdrawals that are consistent with the CNA. Ending balances in the reserve are projected to range from $\$ 1,400$ to $\$ 5,100$ per unit. Current industry standard is to maintain a minimum balance equal to $\$ 1000$ per unit.
Operating Reserve Deposits: Annual deposits are sized to maintain MOHCD's required balance of $25 \%$ of the prior year's operating expenses.

Debt Service: Mandatory debt service to HCD in the amount of $\$ 29,400$ for the MHP loan, which is $\$ 350$ PUPY
Partnership Management and Investor Services Fees: The project pays $\$ 10,000$ for an annual partnership management fee and another $\$ 5,000$ for the Limited Partner Asset Management Fee. In accordance with MOHCD underwriting guidelines, there is a 3.5\% annual escalation on the partnership management fee but none on the LP AM Fee. These fees will terminate in Year 6, when the 15 -year tax credit compliance period will end. MOHCD does not allow these fees to be paid beyond this point.

### 3.3. 20-Year Cash Flow

- The LOSP subsidy funds a break-even budget, thus no cash flow would be generated and available for soft loan repayments or distributions.
- Tenant rental income is escalated at $2.2 \%$.
- Commercial income is trended at $2.5 \%$.
- Resident vacancy rate is $5 \%$. Commercial vacancy rate is assumed at $10 \%$.
- All operating expenses are escalated at $3.5 \%$.
- Partnership management and investor services fees end after Year 6 when tax credit compliance period ends. The partnership management fee is escalated at $3.5 \%$ annually.


## 4. SUPPORT SERVICES EVALUATION

Through a direct contract with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), CHP provides supportive services to 84 formerly homeless adults at the Hotel Essex. The current contract term is $7 / 1 / 14-6 / 30 / 18$ in the amount of $\$ 579,728$ and has a total not to exceed grant amount of $\$ 2,165,454$. The goals of services is to empower tenants to become more self-sufficient, retain their housing or move to other appropriate housing, promote community building and tenant participation, and maintain a safe, supportive and stable environment that fosters independence. Support services include but are not limited to tenant outreach, intake and assessment, case management, benefits advocacy and assistance, offsite service referrals, mediation with property management, conflict resolution, support groups, social events and organized tenant activities, monthly community meetings, and clinical consultation.

HSH is satisfied with the quality of services provided at The Essex Hotel as well as coordination between services and property management and the quality of services.

## 5. CONCLUSION

I recommend approval of the request in full. The Hotel Essex is a well-staffed, well-run, highly supportive, permanent housing project for 84 extremely low-income, formerly homeless individuals. It is an important resource in the City's array of homeless services and programs, and under CHP's ownership and management, it merits renewed LOSP funding so that it may continue to serve homeless people well into the future.

## 6. RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

## 7. LOAN COMMITTEE MODIFICATIONS

## LOAN COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Approval indicates approval with modifications, when so determined by the Committee.


Mayor's Office of Housing
[4] APPROVE [ ] DISAPPROVE.
[ ] TAKE NO ACTION.


Kerry Abbott, Deputy Director for Programs Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing [4 APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.


Nadia Sesay, Interim Director
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure

Attachments: A. LOSP Program Description
B. $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget
C. 20-year Operating Pro Forma
D. LOSP Funding Schedule A

Date: $\qquad$

## Attachment A: LOSP Program Description

As part of the City and County of San Francisco's effort to address the needs of the growing homeless population, the City has prioritized the development of non-profit owned and operated permanent supportive housing for formerly homeless individuals and families. While capital financing can be leveraged for this population, stakeholders realized these units cannot be feasibly operated at the scale needed if they rely solely on scarce federal or state operating subsidies.

In June 2004, the City launched its Ten Year Plan to Abolish Chronic Homelessness (the 2004 10-Year Plan), a multifaceted approach that included a locally funded operating subsidy as a key element and established the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) in 2006 to support the creation of permanent supportive housing at a large scale. The operating subsidy leverages capital financing by integrating homeless units into Low Income Housing Tax Credit projects without burdening them with operating deficits. LOSP was created by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) in partnership with the Department of Public Health (DPH) and the Human Services Agency (HSA).

On July 1, 2016, the City's diverse programs addressing homelessness were brought under the new Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), which combines key homeless-serving programs and contracts previously located across several City departments. The new department consolidates the functions of DPH Direct Access to Housing (DAH) and HSA Housing \& Homeless programs. San Francisco is developing a Coordinated Entry System (CES) for all homeless populations to best match households to the appropriate intervention and ensure those with the highest needs are prioritized.

Through grant agreements with MOHCD, which are subject to annual appropriations by the Board of Supervisors, LOSP pays the difference between the cost of operating housing for homeless persons and all other sources of operating revenue for a given project, such as tenant rental payments, commercial space lease payments, or other operating subsidies. HSH refers homeless applicants to the housing units as well as provides services funding to the projects under a separate contract.

This request is a contract renewal of the initial 9-year LOSP grant agreement for Hotel Essex. As discussed in the Loan Evaluation, MOHCD and HSH have evaluated the Project's performance during the initial contract period and have determined that the property has been well run, and that services provided address the needs of the tenants. Accordingly, MOHCD staff is recommending a renewal of the LOSP grant agreement for a 15-year, six-month period, beginning in July 2017, through the end of 2032.

Contract periods for LOSP contract renewals will transition from a fiscal year basis to a calendar year basis. For Hotel Essex, the full $\$ 954,624$ in LOSP subsidy requested for calendar year 2018 will be disbursed in early January 2018, and all disbursements moving forward would be on a calendar year basis.

## Attachment B: $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget

| Application Date: <br> Total \# Units: <br> First Year of Operations (provide data assuming that Year 1 is a full year, i.e. 12 months of operations): | Losp Units ${ }_{\text {Non-LOSP }}^{\substack{\text { Units }}}$ |  | Project Name: Project Address: |  | Hotel Essex 684 Ellis Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 84 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | LOSP/fon-LoSP Allocation |  |  | Project Sponsor: | Community Housing Partnership |
|  | 100\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| income | Losp | non-Losp | Total | Comments |  |
| Residential - Tenant Rents | 214,968 | 0 | 214,968 | Links from 'Existing P | nt Info' Worksheet |
| Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Non-LOSP) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Existing P | nt Info' Worksheet |
| Residential - LOSP Tenant Assistance Payments | 925,671 |  | 925,671 |  |  |
| Commercial Space |  |  | 61,608 | Links from 'Commerc | Budget' Worksheet |
| Residential Parking | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities \& | come' Worksheet |
| Miscellaneous Rent Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities 8 | come' Worksheet |
| Supportive Sevices Income | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Interest Income - Project Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities \& | come' Worksheet |
| Laundry and Vending | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities \& | come' Worksheet |
| Tenant Charges | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities 8 | come' Worksheet |
| Miscellaneous Residential Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | Links from 'Utilities \& | come' Worksheet |
|  |  |  | 0 | Links from 'Commerc | Budget' Worksheet |
| Withdrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Gross Potential Income | 1,140,639 | 0 | 1,202,247 |  |  |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments | 0 |  | (6,161) | Links from 'Commerc | Budget' Worksheet |
| EfFECTIVE GROSS INCOME | 1,129,479 | 0 | 1,184,926 |  | 14,106 |




MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE
MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due

REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS
DEBT SERVICE

REMAINDER (Should be zero unless there are
distributions below)
Owner Distributions/Incentive Management Fee
Other Distributions/Uses

| Residential - Tenant Rents | Alternative LOSP Split | LOSP |  | non-Losp |  | Approved By (read) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Non-LOSP) | Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (No) |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Residential - LOSP Tenant Assistance Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Rent Income | Alternative LOSP Split | LoSP |  | non-LOSP |  | Approved By (read) |
| Supportive Services Income | Supporive Sevices Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income - Project Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laundry and Vending | Projected LOSP Split | LosP |  | non-LOSP |  | (only acceptable if LO |
| Tenant Charges | Tenant Charges |  |  |  |  | at entry level in the $p$. |
| Miscellaneous Residential Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Commercial Income | Alternative LOSP Split | LOSP |  | on-LOSP |  | Approved By (read) |
| Withdrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account) | Withdrawal from Capitaized Reserve (depositit) |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Gross Potential Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vacancy Loss - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Management | Alternative LOSP Split | Losp |  | non-LOSP |  | Approved By (reqa) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management Fee | Management Fee |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.0 |  |
| Asset Management Fee | Asset Management Fee |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Sub-total Management Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries/Benefits | Alternative LOSP Split | LosP |  | non-LOSP |  | Approved By (read) |
| Office Salaries | Office Salaries |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Manager's Salary | Manager's Salary |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Heath Insurance and Other Benefits | Heath Insurance and Other Benefits |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Other Salaries/Benefits | Other Salaries/Benefits |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Administrative Rent-Free Unit | Administrative Rent-Free Unit |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |


| Administration |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Office Rent | Projected LOSP Split | Losp | non-LOSP | acceptable if LOSP-sppecific expenses are being tracked |
| Legal Expense - Property | Legal Expense - Property | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | at entry level in the project's accounting system) |
| Audit Expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | Projected LoSP Split | Losp | non-LosP | (only acceptable it LOSP-speesific expenses are being tracked |
| Bad Debts | Bad Debts | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | at entry level in the project's accounting system) |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities Sub-total Administration Expenses |  |  |  |  |
|  | Projected LOSP Split | LosP | non-LOSP | \|only acceptable it Losp-speeific expenses are being tracked |
| Eleetricity | Electricity | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | at entry level in the project's accounting system) |
| Water |  |  |  |  |
| Gas |  |  |  |  |
| Sewer $\quad$ Sub-total Utilities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes and Licenses | Alternative LoSP Split | SP | non-LosP | Approved By (read) |
| Real Estate Taxes | Real Estate Taxes | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Payroll Taxes | Payroll Taxes | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits |  |  |  |  |


| Insurance |
| :--- |
| Sub-total Taxes and Lice |
| Property and Liability Insurance |



| intenance \& Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payroll | Projected LOSP Split | LosP |  | non-LOSP |  | (only acceptable it LOSP-specific expenses are being tracked |
| Supplies | Supplies |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% | at enty level in the project's accounting system) |
| Contracts | Contracts |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | Alternative LOSP Split | Losp |  | non-Losp |  | Approved By (read) |
| Security Payroll Contract | Security Payrollc ontract |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| HVAC Repairs and Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle and Maintenance Equipment Operation and Repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Operating and Maintenance Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total Maintenance \& Repair Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ernative LOSP Split | LosP |  | non-LOSP |  | Approved By (read) |
| Supportive Services | Supporive Services |  | 100.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |

Total operating expenses w/o reserves/gl bas

| Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Lease Base Rent |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Monitoring Fee | Alternative LOSP Split | Losp | non-Losp | Approved By (read) |
| Replacement Reserve Deposit | Replacement Reserve Deposit | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Operating Reserve Deposit | Operating Resese Deposit | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Other Required Reserve 1 Depososit | Other Required Resenve 1 Deposit |  |  |  |
| Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit |  |  |  |  |

total operating expenses wi reserves/gl base
Net operating income (INCOME minus OP EXPENSES)

| DEBT SERVICE ("hard debt"/amortized loans) | Alternative LOSP Split | Losp | non-Losp | Approved By (read) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hard Debt - First Lender | Hard Debt- First Lender | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42\% pymt, or other 2nd L | Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42\% pymt, or other 2nd Lender) Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) |  |  |  |
| Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) |  |  |  |  |
| Hard Debt - Fourth Lender | Hard Debt - Fourth Lender |  |  |  |

Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE
CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE)
Commercial Only Cash Flow Allocation of Commercia Surplus to LOPS/non-LOSP (residual income)Allocation of Commercial Surplus to LOPSS/nonl $\square$
USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.)
USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL
"Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy)
Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits)


TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD
RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS
Residual Receipts Calculation
Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation?
Will Project Defer Developer Fee?
max Deferred Developer Fee/Borrower \% of Residual Receipts in Yr 1 Sum of DD F from LOSP and non-LOSR

Soft Debt Lenders with Residual Receipts Obligations


MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE

| MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due |
| :--- |
| Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment |

Proposesed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Leas
remaining balance after mohcd residual receipts
debt Service
ON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE


## REMAINDER (Should be zero unless there are

distributions below)
Owner Distributions/Incentive Management Fee
Other Distributions/Uses

## Attachment C: 20-year Operating Proforma




| mohco resioual reciris oest servce |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  | $\underbrace{2}=$



|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { rear } \\ 202}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Vear } \\ 200}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { reas } \\ \text { 2031 }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Vearl } \\ 203}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Years } \\ \text { 203 }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Year } \\ 20 \\ 24}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Year } 19}}^{205}$ |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { ease }}}_{\text {Veras }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Somed |  | Toas | Losp | noon－1osp | Toai | Losp | oon．losp | Toai | Losp | oon－2sp | Toal | Losp | om－20sp | Toal | Losp | tom．0sp | Toat | Losp | oon－1osp | Toat | Losp | ${ }_{\text {cosen }}^{\substack{\text { cons } \\ \text { cosp }}}$ | Toal | Losp | cosp |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{2026}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | cumencomenameme |  | ${ }^{1288886}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1200880}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1.65850}$ |  |  | 1.20008 |  |  | ${ }^{126880900}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1.06609}$ |  |  |  |  |  | noco |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {25\％}}^{25 \%}$ | ${ }^{\frac{236}{256}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{25}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 隹 |  | ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oneme commesil home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whetamition Cap | ${ }_{\text {na }}$ | na | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Enter formulas manually per relevant MOH popicy；annual incrementing usually not appropriate |  |  |  | （Tame | ${ }^{\text {Lataider }}$ |  |  | 隹 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$






$\square$ $\underset{\substack{35 \% \\ 3.5 \%}}{\substack{3.5}}$
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 Torat thano oser senice
Casf fow（ Mol mins ober senver）
Collen


 Soud Receris C Costl



Motco erssouat Recelirs seeve servuce













为

|  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ $\square$ |  | $\square$ $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\rightleftarrows$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ |
|  |  |  | 骨 |  | 気 |  | \％ |
| 込 | 践 |  |  |  | \％ | 5im | \％ |
|  | \％em | \％ | ma | \％ | $\cdots$ | \％ | \％ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { \＃em }}{\text { \％}}$ | ${ }_{\text {\％}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\stackrel{+}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { anem }}{ }$ | \％em | $\xrightarrow{\text { momm }}$ |
| \％ | － | － | － | $\stackrel{3}{-3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \％ |
|  | $\sqsupseteq$ | $\sqsupseteq$ | $\because$ | $\sqsupseteq$ | \＃ | $\rightleftarrows$ | $\sqsupseteq$ |
| $\square$ | $\because$ | $\rightleftarrows$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\square$ |

## Attachment D: LOSP Funding Schedule A

| LOSP FUNDING SCHEDULE |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Project Address: | Hotel Essex |  |
|  | Project Start Date: |  |
|  |  |  |

Exhibit A

| Calendar Year |  | Full Year Funding Amount | \# Months to Fund | Total <br> Disbursement <br> for <br> Calendar Year | Estimated Disbursement Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CY-1 | 2017 | \$928,671 | 6 | \$464,335 | 6/1/2017 |
| CY-2 | 2018 | \$958,079 | 12 | \$958,079 | 1/1/2018 |
| CY-3 | 2019 | \$989,015 | 12 | \$989,015 | 1/1/2019 |
| CY-4 | 2020 | \$1,021,107 | 12 | \$1,021,107 | 1/1/2020 |
| CY-5 | 2021 | \$1,065,227 | 12 | \$1,065,227 | 1/1/2021 |
| CY-6 | 2022 | \$1,088,932 | 12 | \$1,088,932 | 1/1/2022 |
| CY-7 | 2023 | \$1,119,012 | 12 | \$1,119,012 | 1/1/2023 |
| CY-8 | 2024 | \$1,156,118 | 12 | \$1,156,118 | 1/1/2024 |
| CY-9 | 2025 | \$1,194,605 | 12 | \$1,194,605 | 1/1/2025 |
| CY-10 | 2026 | \$1,234,524 | 12 | \$1,234,524 | 1/1/2026 |
| CY-11 | 2027 | \$1,275,926 | 12 | \$1,275,926 | 1/1/2027 |
| CY-12 | 2028 | \$1,318,866 | 12 | \$1,318,866 | 1/1/2028 |
| CY-13 | 2029 | \$1,363,399 | 12 | \$1,363,399 | 1/1/2029 |
| CY-14 | 2030 | \$1,409,583 | 12 | \$1,409,583 | 1/1/2030 |
| CY-15 | 2031 | \$1,457,478 | 12 | \$1,457,478 | 1/1/2031 |
| CY-16 | 2032 | \$1,507,146 | 12 | \$1,507,146 | 1/1/2032 |
| Total Contract Amount: |  |  |  | \$18,623,354 |  |

