Report Generated on:	07/26/2016 16:56
Data Captured As Of:	07/26/2016 16:56
Disaster Number:	4158
Bundle:	PA-09-CA-4158-State-0033
Applicant:	000-UDE6N-00

Capture Date: 07/26/2016 16:56

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Project Application Grant Report (P.2)

Disaster: FEMA-4158-DR-CA

Number of Records: 1

Applicant ID: 000-UDE6N-00 Bundle #: PA-09-CA-4158-State-0033(32)

Applicant: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PW#

Cat Cost Share

Projected Completion Date

Approved PW Amount (\$)

PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0)

06-13-2015

446,950.64

Facility Number:

Facility Name:

E 1

Alternate Project

City and County of San Francisco

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 316

Location:

San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Alternate Project Request

N

The Subgrantee does not intend to finish the permanent work associated with PW 44 Sites 1 and 2 (\$200,153), PW 53 Sites 1, 4 and 5 (\$139,292.64), or PW 72 Site 1 (\$107,505) for a total of \$446,950.64. However they do intend to complete the debris removal and hazardous waste testing at all of these sites. The Subgrantee's request for an Alternative Project in the amount of \$458,250.26 consists of purchasing capital equipment and upgrading their communication equipment. They have requested purchasing of a Tele Handler/Boom Lift for \$160,990.99, a 19-inch Capacity Drum-Style Brush Chipper for \$131,899.28, a 36-inch printer/ scanner/copier for \$12,900.00, a two speed skid steer/ track loader for \$78,511.20, and a video conferencing system consisting of monitors, cameras, and audio system for \$73,948.79.

In cases where restoring the damaged facility or its function would not serve the public welfare, the Subgrantee may request approval of an Alternate Project from FEMA through the Grantee. The Subgrantee's request complies with Policy 9525.13 requirement that the purchase of equipment exceeds the minimum of \$5,000.00 per unit to be eligible. FEMA has determined the Subgrantee's request for an alternate project is eligible in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 206.203. FEMA has prepared PW 44, 53, and 72 Version 1 to reflect the revised scope of work and cost.

FEMA has prepared this new PW #82 to document the alternate project scope of work, which automatically triggers FEMA's environmental review process. The Subgrantee must refrain from construction activities until FEMA has reviewed and cleared the alternate project scope of work for compliance with applicable federal environmental laws and regulations. This clearance will be noted in the PW along with any stipulations when you receive the notification of obligation. The Subgrantee may proceed with construction at that time. If the Subgrantee fails to obtain and comply with all appropriate federal, state, and local environmental laws, regulations, and/or permits prior to the start of construction, FEMA funding will be jeopardized. As a condition of receiving federal assistance, the Subgrantee must obtain and maintain insurance for this facility in accordance with 44 CFR § 206.250-253. FEMA has adjusted the capped amount to account for the deduction of debris removal costs for a total of \$446,950.64 (Federal Share of \$335,212.98). Total funding for the Alternate Project PW 82 is capped at 90% of \$335,212.98 for a capped total of \$301,691.68. See the attached table for a complete breakdown of approved costs.

Scope of Work:

1 PW	PWs (\$)	Subgrantee Admin Exp. (\$)	Total (\$)
Amount Eligible (\$)	446,950.64	0.00	446,950.64
Federal Share (\$)	335,212.98	0.00	335,212.98

Capture Date: 07/26/2016 16:56

Federal Emergency Management Agency
Project Application Grant Report (P.2)
Disaster: FEMA-4158-DR-CA

Number of Records: 1

PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0) P		
Applicant Name:	Application Title:	
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	SFWEALT- Alternate Project	
Period of Performance Start:	Period of Performance End:	
12-13-2013	06-13-2015	

Subgrant Application - Entire Application

Application Title: SFWEALT- Alternate Project
Application Number: PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0)
Application Type: Subgrant Application (PW)

Preparer Information

Prefix

First Name EUGENE

Middle Initial

Last Name GREENBERG

Title PROJECT SPECIALIST

Agency/Organization Name FEMA

Address 1 1111 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200

Address 2

City OAKLAND

State CA Zip 94607

Email eugene.greenberg@fema.dhs.gov

Is the application preparer the Point of Contact? No

Point of Contact Information

Prefix

First Name Ben

Middle Initial

Last Name Rosenfield
Title Controller

Agency/Organization City and County of San Francisco

Address 1 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 316

Address 2

City San Francisco

State CA ZIP 94102

Phone 415-487-5282 Fax Email BRosenfield@sfwater.org Alternate Point of Contact Information Prefix First Name Middle Initial Last Name Title Agency/Organization Address 1 Address 2 City State ZIP Phone Fax Email **Project Description** Disaster Number: 4158 Pre-Application Number: PA-09-CA-4158-RPA-0010 Applicant ID: 000-UDE6N-00 Applicant Name: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Subdivision: Project Number: **SFWEALT** Standard Project Number/Title: 599 - Repair Damages to Public Buildings and Facilities Please Indicate the Project Type: Alternate Application Title: SFWEALT- Alternate Project Category: E.PUBLIC BUILDINGS Percentage Work Completed? 0.0 % As of Date: 03-17-2016 Comments Attachments

Damage Facilities (Part 1 of 2)

Facility Number	Facility Name	Address	County	City	State	ZIP	Site Previously Actio Damaged?	n
1				San Francisco	CA	94102	No	

Alternate Project 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. San Francisco

316

Comments		
		9
Attachments		

Facility Name:	Alternate Project
Address 1:	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 316
Address 2:	
County:	San Francisco
City:	San Francisco
State:	CA
ZIP:	94102
Was this site previously damaged?	No
Percentage Work Completed?	0.00 %
Location:	PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0): City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 316 San Francisco, CA 94102-4694
Damage Description and Dimensions:	PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0): FEMA approved PW 44 for estimated costs of \$212,153.00 for damages to the Survey Cabin, its three support structures and a barn located in Miguel Meadows. The PW is organized into two (2) sites: • Site 1: \$159,195.00 to address debris removal, hazardous waste testing, construction of the 26' x 30' survey cabin, and construction of two wood framed storage buildings. • Site 2: \$52,958.00 for debris removal, hazardous waste testing, replacement of a 15-foot x 15-foot wood barn, and replacement of 1,000 linear feet of 2-wire strand fence. The National Park Service (NPS) removed the debris, estimated at \$12,000 in the PW, at no cost to the Subgrantee. FEMA approved PW 53 for estimated costs of \$294,824.77 for damages to the bayeing units and three outbuildings. The PW addresses debris
	to two housing units and three outbuildings. The PW addresses debris removal and hazardous waste testing at five (5) sites, and includes the following costs and scopes of work: • Site 1: \$160,431.00 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Cottage 13. • Site 2: \$104,302.27 to address replacement of a mobile home at Camp Cottage 13. • Site 3: \$25,276.86 to address replacement of a garage at the Early Intake Garage. • Site 4: \$3,744.72 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Storage Shed.

 Site 5: \$1,069.92 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Mail Shack.

FEMA approved PW 72 for estimated costs of \$127,019.79 to address damage to the Holm DeLuge Water Tank. The PW is organized into two (2) sites:

- Site 1: \$122,634.00 to address emergency repairs, debris removal, hazardous waste testing, and replacement of the damaged Holm DeLuge Tank.
- Site 2: \$2,520.47 actual cost for performing emergency repairs.

Scope of Work:

PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0):

Alternate Project Request

The Subgrantee does not intend to finish the permanent work associated with PW 44 Sites 1 and 2 (\$200,153), PW 53 Sites 1, 4 and 5 (\$139,292.64), or PW 72 Site 1 (\$107,505) for a total of \$446,950.64. However they do intend to complete the debris removal and hazardous waste testing at all of these sites. The Subgrantee's request for an Alternative Project in the amount of \$458,250.26 consists of purchasing capital equipment and upgrading their communication equipment. They have requested purchasing of a Tele Handler/Boom Lift for \$160,990.99, a 19-inch Capacity Drum-Style Brush Chipper for \$131,899.28, a 36-inch printer/ scanner/copier for \$12,900.00, a two speed skid steer/ track loader for \$78,511.20, and a video conferencing system consisting of monitors, cameras, and audio system for \$73,948.79.

In cases where restoring the damaged facility or its function would not serve the public welfare, the Subgrantee may request approval of an Alternate Project from FEMA through the Grantee. The Subgrantee's request complies with Policy 9525.13 requirement that the purchase of equipment exceeds the minimum of \$5,000.00 per unit to be eligible. FEMA has determined the Subgrantee's request for an alternate project is eligible in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 206.203. FEMA has prepared PW 44, 53, and 72 Version 1 to reflect the revised scope of work and cost.

FEMA has prepared this new PW #82 to document the alternate project scope of work, which automatically triggers FEMA's environmental review process. The Subgrantee must refrain from construction activities until FEMA has reviewed and cleared the alternate project scope of work for compliance with applicable federal environmental laws and regulations. This clearance will be noted in the PW along with any stipulations when you receive the notification of obligation. The Subgrantee may proceed with construction at that time. If the Subgrantee fails to obtain and comply with all appropriate federal, state, and local environmental laws, regulations, and/or permits prior to the start of construction, FEMA funding will be jeopardized. As a condition of receiving federal assistance, the Subgrantee must obtain and maintain insurance for this facility in accordance with 44 CFR § 206.250-253. FEMA has adjusted the capped amount to account for the deduction of debris removal costs for a total of \$446,950.64 (Federal Share of \$335,212.98). Total funding for the Alternate Project PW 82 is capped at 90% of \$335,212.98 for a capped total of \$301,691.68. See the attached table for a complete breakdown of approved costs.

	Hazard	Mitigation Proposal	
* Is effective mitigation feasible on the	nis site?	No	
If you answered Yes to the above ques	stion, the n	ext question is required	
Will mitigation be performed on this	s site?		
If you answered Yes to the above ques	stion, the n	ext question is required	
Do you wish to attach a Hazard Mit Proposal?	igation		
If you answered Yes to the above ques	stion, the n	ext two questions are re	equired
Please provide the Scope of Work estimate: (maximum 4000 characters)	for the		
Would you like to add the Hazard Mitig Proposal as a cost line item to the proje			
	GI	S Coordinates	
Project Location	·	Latitude	Longitude
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,		37.779115	-122.41931

1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance coverage and/or is it an insurable risk (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc)?	No
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area and/or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?	No
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?	No
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster conditions (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use of function)?	No
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?	No
6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?	No
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on, or near, the project site? Are there large tracts of forestland?	No
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adjacent to the damaged facility and/or item of work?	No
9. Are there any other environmental or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?	Yes
Attachments	

For Category C, D, E, F, and G Projects only

Is effective mitigation feasible on this project?

No

If you answered Yes to the above question, the next question is required

Will mitigation be performed on any sites in this project?

If you answered Yes to the above question, the next question is required

Do you wish to attach a Hazard Mitigation Proposal?

If you answered **Yes** to the above question, the next two questions are required

Please provide the Scope of Work

for the estimate:

Would you like to add the Hazard Mitigation Proposal as a cost line item to the project cost?

Comments	5											
Attachmen	its											
				С	ost Estir	mate					~	
In this Desi		-l-l1.	· 1	-								
Is this Proj	ect vvc	orksheet for										
(Preferre	d) Re	epair										
Sequence	Code	Material and/or Description	Unit Quantity	Unit of Measure	Unit Pi	rice	Subgran Budget Class		Гуре		Cost stimate	Action
				***	Versior	1 0 ***						
				Work 1	Го Ве С	omple	eted		-			
1	9999	Alternate Project	1	LS	446,950	0.64	EQUIPME	NT	Work To Be Completed		\$ 446,950.64	
								Total	Cost:	\$ 44	6,950.64	
Insurance	Adjus	tments (Dedu	uctibles, F	Proceeds a	ind Settl	emen	ts) - 5900/					···
Sequence	Code	1	al and/or ription	Un Quar	100	nit of asure	Unit Price	Subgrai Budge Class		e	Cost Estimate	Action
						W	J 1		Total (Cost	\$ 0.00	
0												
			((Preferred Es			Cost Estin surance Adju		\$ 446,	950.6	4	
0	15.1											
Comments												
Attachmen	ıts						7	1000 - Ann				
					•			,				
				Existing Ir		e Infor	mation					
Insurance Type Policy No.		No.	Bldg/Prope Amount		Conte Amou		urance nount		uctible ount		ears quired	
				Required I	nsuranc	e Info	rmation					
Insuranc	е Туре	Policy	No.	Bidg/Prope Amoun		Contei Amour		urance mount		ductib moun		ears quired
General		several i	tems	\$ 571,83	39.00	\$ 0.	00 \$ 5	71,839.	00		\$	0

Comments	
Attachments	

Comments and Attachments

Name of Section

Comment

Attachment

Cal OES understands FEMA has prepared this PW to document the alternate project scope of work, which consists of purchasing capital equipment and Application Level upgrading their communication equipment. Based on the information provided, Cal OES recommends approval of the scope of work and costs described in the

subgrant as written. DThorpe 7/14/16

Bundle Reference # (Amendment #)	Date Awarded
PA-09-CA-4158-State-0033(32)	07-22-2016

Subgrant Application - FEMA Form 90-91

Note: The Effective Cost Share for this application is 75%

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET

DISASTER PROJECT NO.			PA ID NO.	DATE		CATEGORY	
FEMA 4158 -	DR	-CA	SFWEALT	000- UDE6N- 00	03-17-2016		E
APPLICANT: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO					WORK COMPLETE AS 0 03-17-2016 : 0 %		
				Site 1	of 1		
DAMAGED FACILITY: Alternate Project	0				COUNTY: San Francisc	0	
LOCATION: PA-09-CA-4158-PW-01 City and County of Sar 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodle San Francisco, CA 94	n Franc ett Plac	isco e, Rm.	316			LATITUDE: 37.779115	LONGITUDE: -122.41931
Current Version:							

DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS:

PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0):

FEMA approved PW 44 for estimated costs of \$212,153.00 for damages to the Survey Cabin, its three support structures and a barn located in Miguel Meadows. The PW is organized into two (2) sites:

- Site 1: \$159,195.00 to address debris removal, hazardous waste testing, construction of the 26' x 30' survey cabin, and construction of two wood framed storage buildings.
- Site 2: \$52,958.00 for debris removal, hazardous waste testing, replacement of a 15-foot x 15-foot wood barn, and replacement of 1,000 linear feet of 2-wire strand fence. The National Park Service (NPS) removed the debris, estimated at \$12,000 in the PW, at no cost to the Subgrantee.

FEMA approved PW 53 for estimated costs of \$294,824.77 for damages to two housing units and three outbuildings. The PW addresses debris removal and hazardous waste testing at five (5) sites, and includes the following costs and scopes of work:

- · Site 1: \$160,431.00 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Cottage 13.
- Site 2: \$104,302.27 to address replacement of a mobile home at Camp Cottage 13.
- Site 3: \$25,276.86 to address replacement of a garage at the Early Intake Garage.

- Site 4: \$3,744.72 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Storage Shed.
- Site 5: \$1,069.92 to address construction of a replacement Intake Camp Mail Shack.

FEMA approved PW 72 for estimated costs of \$127,019.79 to address damage to the Holm DeLuge Water Tank. The PW is organized into two (2) sites:

- Site 1: \$122,634.00 to address emergency repairs, debris removal, hazardous waste testing, and replacement of the damaged Holm DeLuge Tank.
- Site 2: \$2,520.47 actual cost for performing emergency repairs.

Current Version:

SCOPE OF WORK:

PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082(0):

Alternate Project Request

The Subgrantee does not intend to finish the permanent work associated with PW 44 Sites 1 and 2 (\$200,153), PW 53 Sites 1, 4 and 5 (\$139,292.64), or PW 72 Site 1 (\$107,505) for a total of \$446,950.64. However they do intend to complete the debris removal and hazardous waste testing at all of these sites. The Subgrantee's request for an Alternative Project in the amount of \$458,250.26 consists of purchasing capital equipment and upgrading their communication equipment. They have requested purchasing of a Tele Handler/Boom Lift for \$160,990.99, a 19-inch Capacity Drum-Style Brush Chipper for \$131,899.28, a 36-inch printer/ scanner/copier for \$12,900.00, a two speed skid steer/ track loader for \$78,511.20, and a video conferencing system consisting of monitors, cameras, and audio system for \$73,948.79.

In cases where restoring the damaged facility or its function would not serve the public welfare, the Subgrantee may request approval of an Alternate Project from FEMA through the Grantee. The Subgrantee's request complies with Policy 9525.13 requirement that the purchase of equipment exceeds the minimum of \$5,000.00 per unit to be eligible. FEMA has determined the Subgrantee's request for an alternate project is eligible in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 206.203. FEMA has prepared PW 44, 53, and 72 Version 1 to reflect the revised scope of work and cost.

FEMA has prepared this new PW #82 to document the alternate project scope of work, which automatically triggers FEMA's environmental review process. The Subgrantee must refrain from construction activities until FEMA has reviewed and cleared the alternate project scope of work for compliance with applicable federal environmental laws and regulations. This clearance will be noted in the PW along with any stipulations when you receive the notification of obligation. The Subgrantee may proceed with construction at that time. If the Subgrantee fails to obtain and comply with all appropriate federal, state, and local environmental laws, regulations, and/or permits prior to the start of construction, FEMA funding will be jeopardized. As a condition of receiving federal assistance, the Subgrantee must obtain and maintain insurance for this facility in accordance with 44 CFR § 206.250-253. FEMA has adjusted the capped amount to account for the deduction of debris removal costs for a total of \$446,950.64 (Federal Share of \$335,212.98). Total funding for the Alternate Project PW 82 is capped at 90% of \$335,212.98 for a capped total of \$301,691.68. See the attached table for a complete breakdown of approved costs.

Di Guita di	ii oi uppioto	u 000to.				
Current V	ersion:					
		rk change the pre-disaster ☐ Yes ☑ No	Special Co	onsiderations included?	Yes No	
Hazard M	itigation prop	osal included? Tes Ves No	Is there in	surance coverage on this fa	cility? 🗌 Yes 🛂 N	0
			PROJEC	T COST		
ITEM	ITEM CODE NARRATIVE			QUANTITY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST
		*** Version 0 ***				
		Work To Be Comple	eted	,		
1 9999		Alternate Project		1/LS	\$ 446,950.64	\$ 446,950.64
					TOTAL COST	\$ 446,950.64
PREPARE	ED BY EUGE	NE GREENBERG	TITLE PROJECT SPECIALIST		SIGNATURE	
ADDI ICANT DED Ban Posanfield			TITLE Controller		SIGNATURE	

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO : PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082						
Conditions Information						
Review Name	Condition Type	Condition Name	Description	Monitored	Status	
Final Review	Other (EHP)	,		No	Approved	

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO : PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082						
	Conditions Information					
		Clean Air Act (CAA)	The Subgrantee is responsible for complying with all applicable subparts of the CAA. Failure to do so could jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. Any changes to the scope of work must be resubmitted to FEMA for General Conformity Rule review prior to initiation of any work.		,	
Final Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #3	If ground disturbing activities occur during construction, applicant will monitor ground disturbance and if any potential archeological resources are discovered, will immediately cease construction in that area and notify the State and FEMA.	No	Approved	
Final Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #2	This review does not address all federal, state and local requirements. Acceptance of federal funding requires recipient to comply with all federal, state and local laws. Failure to obtain all appropriate federal, state and local environmental permits and clearances may jeopardize federal funding.	No	Approved	
Final Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #1	Any change to the approved scope of work will require re- evaluation for compliance with NEPA and other Laws and Executive Orders.	No	Approved	
Final Review	Other (EHP)	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, aka Solid Waste Disposal Act (RCRA)	All wastes must be collected, stored, transported, and disposed of in accordance with State and Federal requirements. In addition to RCRA, asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint must be tested for, abated, and otherwise handled in accordance with all other applicable laws, regulations, and ordinances including, but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act.	No	Approved	
Final Review	Other (EHP)			No	Approved	

	CITY AND CO	OUNTY OF SAN FR	RANCISCO : PA-09-CA-4158-F	W-00082	
Conditions Information					
		Clean Air Act (CAA)	If any asbestos containing materials, lead based paint and/or other hazardous materials are known to be present and/or found during repair activities, the subgrantee must comply with all federal, state and local abatement, disposal and reporting requirements under National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP).		
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #3	If ground disturbing activities occur during construction, applicant will monitor ground disturbance and if any potential archeological resources are discovered, will immediately cease construction in that area and notify the State and FEMA.	No	Recommended
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #2	This review does not address all federal, state and local requirements. Acceptance of federal funding requires recipient to comply with all federal, state and local laws. Failure to obtain all appropriate federal, state and local environmental permits and clearances may jeopardize federal funding.	No	Recommended
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Standard Condition #1	Any change to the approved scope of work will require re- evaluation for compliance with NEPA and other Laws and Executive Orders.	No	Recommended
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, aka Solid Waste Disposal Act (RCRA)	All wastes must be collected, stored, transported, and disposed of in accordance with State and Federal requirements. In addition to RCRA, asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint must be tested for, abated, and otherwise handled in accordance with all other applicable laws, regulations, and ordinances including, but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and the	No	Recommended

	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO : PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082					
Conditions Information Toxic Substances Control						
		1.0	Act.	e .		
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Clean Air Act (CAA)	If any asbestos containing materials, lead based paint and/or other hazardous materials are known to be present and/or found during repair activities, the subgrantee must comply with all federal, state and local abatement, disposal and reporting requirements under National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP).	No	Recommended	
EHP Review	Other (EHP)	Clean Air Act (CAA)	The Subgrantee is responsible for complying with all applicable subparts of the CAA. Failure to do so could jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. Any changes to the scope of work must be resubmitted to FEMA for General Conformity Rule review prior to initiation of any work.	No	Recommended	
Insurance Review	Program Terms (Program Specific)	Sec 311 Insurance requirements	INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS, MUST INCLUDE ALL PW's FOR THIS FACILITY. Compliance with Section 311 of the Stafford Act and its implementing regulations, Title 44 CFR 206.250-253 is a grant condition. Applicant must purchase and maintain General insurance coverage for the building and its contents including equipment as identified in this subgrant application. Insurance coverage amount must, at a minimum, be the sum amount of all eligible damages and any Section 406 Hazard Mitigation costs. The required minimum amount is the sum from all subgrant applications for the specific building as result of this declared disaster. In the event this facility has a previous disaster insurance requirement, the highest of	Yes	Recommended	

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO : PA-09-CA-4158-PW-00082						
	Conditions Information					
	all disaster insurance requirements becomes the minimum. Applicant is required to provide the grantee with insurance documentation that demonstrates this insurance condition has been satisfied. FEMA will not provide assistance for the same facility for general damages in future disasters if the requirement to purchase and maintain general insurance is not met. (44 CFR 206.252 (d), 206.253(b)(1). gary renfro 4/25/16					

	Internal Comments					
No.	Queue	User	Date/Time	Reviewer Comments		
5	Final Review	MCDONALD TIMOTHY	07-15-2016 06:39 PM GMT	7/15/16 - letter dated April 5, 2016 from Samuel Ronveaux to David Gillings approved Alternate Project Request for PWs 44, 53 and 72. This PW identifies the approved alternate scope of work. FEMA Log 309422; Cal OES Log 609265. tmcd		
4	Grantee Review	Thorpe Daniel	07-14-2016 09:58 PM GMT	Cal OES understands FEMA has prepared this PW to document the alternate project scope of work, which consists of purchasing capital equipment and upgrading their communication equipment. Based on the information provided, Cal OES recommends approval of the scope of work and costs described in the subgrant as written. DThorpe 7/14/16		
3	EHP Review	GRIFFIN GREGORY	07-14-2016 09:38 PM GMT	Cat E. 0% complete. Sub-recipient: City and County of San Francisco. Location (37.779115 -122.41931). Project is and alternate project request for purchasing and some installation of equipment. Based on the information provided this project has been determined to be a CE(i)&(ix) dlangmai - 07/12/2016 23:09:38 GMT The project is located in Lake County which is within an attainment area for all criteria pollutants according to the USEPA (http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/greenbk/ancl.html, updated 17 June 2016). The SOW associated with this PW is exempt from a conformity determination under the General Conformity Rule dlangmai - 07/12/2016 22:56:41 GMT Project is not within wetlands and would not have any direct or indirect impacts dlangmai - 07/12/2016 22:36:48 GMT Based on the nature of activities, the SOW associated with this PW does not have the potential to affected listed or proposed species or critical habitat dlangmai - 07/12/2016 22:57:49 GMT see condition - dlangmai - 07/12/2016 22:42:55 GMT Equipment storage and installation areas are located within a Zone X according to FIRM # 06109C1200C published April 16, 2009. There would be no indirect or direct effects to te floodplain from this project. No further review required dlangmai - 07/12/2016 22:34:19 GMT		

	Internal Comments					
No.	Queue	User	Date/Time	Reviewer Comments		
	2			This Undertaking was reviewed by Marjorie Nowick who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications per Stipulation I.B.1.a of the Programmatic Agreement among FEMA, California SHPO, and CalOES (October 30, 2014). The Undertaking meets Programmatic Allowance II.B.3.d of the Programmatic Agreement. Therefore, it does not require review by or notification to the SHPO or the ACHP mnowick - 07/14/2016 17:30:45 GMT		
2	Initial Review (EHP Rework)	GREENBERG EUGENE	05-03-2016 08:44 PM GMT	This is an alternate project comprised of various sites from different projects. No additional money will be added. This project is capped at the current amount. Eligible per EG		
1	Insurance Review	RENFRO GARY	04-26-2016 01:48 AM GMT	This is an alternate project combining 3 PWs. As part of the CFR 206.203 and 44 CFR 206.250-253 the applicant must obtain and maintain insurance in the amount of the previous PWs insurance requirement. These amounts would be PW 44 - \$159,195, PW 53 - \$290,010 and PW 72 \$122,634. The PW will be reduced to 90% of the original 3 PWs. TO DETERMINE TOTAL INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS, MUST INCLUDE ALL PW's FOR THIS FACILITY. Compliance with Section 311 of the Stafford Act and its implementing regulations, Title 44 CFR 206.250-253 is a grant condition. Applicant must purchase and maintain General insurance coverage for the building and its contents including equipment as identified in this subgrant application. Insurance coverage amount must, at a minimum, be the sum amount of all eligible damages and any Section 406 Hazard Mitigation costs. The required minimum amount is the sum from all subgrant applications for the specific building as result of this declared disaster. In the event this facility has a previous disaster insurance requirement, the highest of all disaster insurance requirements becomes the minimum. Applicant is required to provide the grantee with insurance documentation that demonstrates this insurance condition has been satisfied. FEMA will not provide assistance for the same facility for general damages in future disasters if the requirement to purchase and maintain general insurance is not met. (44 CFR 206.252(d), 206.253(b)(1). gary renfro 4/25/16		